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IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (BELLA VISTA WATER) CORP., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.

DOCKET NO: W-02465A-23-
APPLICATION

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty Bella Vista") hereby applies for an order establishing the fair value of its plant and property used for the provision of public water utility service and based on such finding approving permanent rates and charges for utility service designed to produce a fair return thereon. In this application, Liberty Bella Vista, is requesting approval to consolidate their rates with the rates for Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer). Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp. ("Liberty Beardsley"), and Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes) Corp. ("Liberty Cordes Lakes"), all of whom are filing for new rates concurrently with this
application, are also requesting approval to consolidate their rates with the rates for Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Rio Rico"). Liberty Rio Rico also is concurrently filing for new rates and approval to consolidate its water rates with Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Cordes Lakes, and Liberty Bella Vista.

As explained in this Application and supporting testimony, following sufficiency Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Cordes Lakes, Liberty Bella Vista and Liberty Rio Rico (jointly referred to as "Applicants") will seek to consolidate the four rate applications into the same docket so that the Commission may consider Applicants' rate applications and requests for consolidation into what is generally referred to as "Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)" in Applicants' filings. Included with this Application by Liberty Bella Vista are all the standard rate filing schedules and analysis for (1) Liberty Bella Vista as a stand-alone water utility; and (2) Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). ${ }^{1}$

In support of this Application, Liberty Bella Vista states as follows:

## LIBERTY BELLA VISTA

## A. Background.

1. Liberty Bella Vista is an Arizona public service corporation engaged in providing water utility services to a 31.8 square mile service territory located in the southwest portion of Cochise County, Arizona. As of April 2023, Liberty Bella Vista provides service to 9,799 connections ( 8,534 residential and 1,055 commercial, 208 fire protection and 2 bulk water).
2. Liberty Bella Vista's business office is located at 14920 W. Camelback Road, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340, and its telephone number is (623) 935-9367. The primary management contact is Moses Thompson who is President of Liberty Utilities - Arizona/Texas.
[^0]
## B. Liberty Bella Vista's Proposed Stand-Alone Rate Increase.

3. Liberty Bella Vista's present rates and charges for utility service were approved by the Commission in Decision No. 75809 approving Liberty Bella Vista's application for a rate increase which was filed on October 28, 2015 using a test year of the twelve months ended December 31, 2014. There have been no other changes to Liberty Bella Vista's rates since the current rates went into effect after November 1, 2016.
4. Liberty Bella Vista's revenues from its utility operations are presently inadequate to provide a fair rate of return on the fair value of its utility plant and property devoted to public service. Operating expenses have also changed since the current rates were set. Therefore, Liberty Bella Vista requests that certain adjustments to its rates and charges for utility service be approved by the Commission so that Liberty Bella Vista may recover its operating expenses and be given an opportunity to earn a just and reasonable rate of return on the fair value of its rate base. Liberty Bella Vista agrees to use its original cost rate base as its fair value rate base in this proceeding to minimize disputes and reduce rate case expense.
5. Filed concurrently herewith are the schedules required pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2103 for rate applications by Class "B" utilities. The test year utilized by Liberty Bella Vista in connection with the preparation of such schedules is the 12-month period that ended April 30, 2023. Liberty Bella Vista requests that the Commission utilize such test year in connection with this Application, with appropriate adjustments to obtain a normal or more realistic relationship between revenues, rate base and expenses during the period in which the rates established in this proceeding are in effect.
6. During the test year, Liberty Bella Vista's adjusted gross revenues were $\$ 5,887,369$. The adjusted operating income was $\$ 118,971$ leading to an operating income deficiency of $\$ 1,290,509$. The adjusted fair value rate base was $\$ 15,750,144$. Thus, the rate of return during the test year was . $76 \%$.
7. Liberty Bella Vista submits that this rate of return is severely inadequate to allow it to obtain debt, pay a reasonable return to its stockholder, maintain a sound credit rating, and/or
enable Liberty Bella Vista to attract additional capital on reasonable and acceptable terms to continue the investment in utility plant necessary to adequately serve customers.
8. Liberty Bella Vista is seeking total revenues of $\$ 7,636,311$. Liberty Bella Vista seeks an increase in total revenues of $\$ 1,748,942$ an increase of approximately $29.71 \%$ over the adjusted and annualized revenues of $\$ 5,887,369$. The revenue amount is inclusive of the revenues required to recover (1) operating expenses; (2) a return on rate base; and is exclusive of rate case expense. Specifically, the increase in annual revenues to provide for recovery of operating expenses and an $8.95 \%$ return on rate base is approximately $\$ 1,748,942$. Rate case expense recovery is being requested through a separate surcharge recovery mechanism.
9. In accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-103.B(5) and per the commission's request, attached hereto as Attachment 1 are water plant descriptions and water usage data as of December 31, 2022.
10. Attached hereto as Attachment 2 is Liberty Bella Vista's proposed tariff of rates and charges, which includes a request for a Purchased Power Adjustor Mechanism ("PPAM"), a Property Tax Adjustor Mechanism ("PTAM"), a Customer Assistance Tariff ("CAT"), an updated Curtailment Tariff, an Emergency Water Augmentation Mechanism, and a Water Treatment Rate Adjustment Mechanism ("WTRAM").

## C. Request to Consolidate and Proposed Rates for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated).

11. Applicants are proposing to consolidate these four companies in these rate case applications for several reasons. To start, Liberty currently has seven (7) regulated water and wastewater utilities in Arizona. Operating and managing those utilities as separate utilities for ratemaking purposes is not optimal and results in added ratemaking and related costs. Liberty always has intended to consolidate all of the Arizona utilities into a single entity for ratemaking purposes. Liberty took the first step towards statewide consolidation by seeking Commission approval for the merger of Liberty Utilities (Entrada Del Oro Sewer) Corp. into Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp. in Docket Nos. SW-043 16A-21-0325 and SW-025 19A-21-0326. The Commission approved that consolidation in Decision No. 78871. In turn, the proposed consolidation of Bella Vista, Beardsley and Cordes Lakes into Liberty Rio Rico is the next natural
step towards statewide consolidation for rate making purposes. If consolidation of those entities is approved by the Commission here, Liberty would have four regulated utilities in Arizona, in turn providing a springboard for consolidation of those entities into a single entity at some point in the future. Further, Liberty submits that it is beneficial to all customers of Beardsley, Bella Vista, Cordes Lakes and Rio Rico to consolidate the customer bases for ratemaking, operations and capital investments because it leads to as large a customer base as possible across which costs may be spread. Consolidation of the four entities into Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) will also reduce the regulatory costs and burdens for all stakeholders, including the companies and customers as they will share efficiencies gained in the reduction of administrative costs associated with the expenses of Commission filings (to include compliance and rate case expenses).
12. During the test year, the adjusted gross revenues for Liberty Rio Rico water utility service (Consolidated) were $\$ 12,713,121$. The adjusted operating income was $\$ 268,057$, leading to an operating income deficiency of $\$ 4,096,377$. The rate of return on water operations during the test year was $0.55 \%$.
13. Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is seeking an increase in water utility revenues equal to $\$ 5,577,633$, an increase in revenues of $43.87 \%$. The adjustments to the Company's rates and charges that are proposed herein, when fully implemented, will produce a rate of return on the fair value rate base equal to $8.94 \%$ from water operations._The revenue amount is inclusive of the revenues required to recover the proposed (1) operating expenses; (2) a return on rate base; and is exclusive of rate case expense surcharge revenues. Rate case expense recovery is being requested through a separate surcharge recovery mechanism.
14. During the test year, the adjusted gross revenues for Liberty Rio Rico wastewater utility service (Consolidated) were $\$ 1,852,455$. The adjusted operating income was $\$ 432,737$, leading to an operating income deficiency of $\$ 366,438$. The rate of return on wastewater operations during the tests year was $4.84 \%$.
15. Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is seeking an increase in wastewater utility revenues equal to $\$ 502,321$, an increase in revenues of $27.12 \%$. The adjustments to the Company's rates and charges that are proposed herein, when fully implemented, will produce a rate of return
on the fair value rate base equal to $8.94 \%$ from wastewater operations. The revenue amount is inclusive of the revenues required to recover the proposed (1) operating expenses; (2) a return on rate base; and is exclusive of rate case expense surcharge revenues. Rate case expense recovery is being requested through a separate surcharge recovery mechanism.
16. Attached as Attachment 3 is the proposed tariff of consolidated rates and charges, which includes a request for a PPAM, PPTAM, CAT, EWAM, WTRAM, and curtailment tariff.

## SUPPORTING TESTIMONY AND SCHEDULES ${ }^{2}$

17. Filed concurrently in support of this Application and the Applicants' request for consolidation of rates are the following direct testimonies:
a. Direct testimony of Manasa Rao - Ms. Rao, Sr. Director Rates \& Regulatory Affairs (West Region), provides an overview of the proposal for new rates for Liberty Cordes Lakes separately and jointly with Liberty Rio Rico. Ms. Rao also addresses rate case expense, the proposed Water Treatment Rate Adjustment Mechanism (WTRAM), Post Test Year Plant adjustments and adjustments to test year expenses.
b. Direct Testimony of Matthew Garlick, Vice President, Operations Special Projects for Liberty's regulated utilities in Arizona and Texas, provides support for the recovery of acquisition premiums for Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Cordes Lakes, Liberty's consolidation request and technical support for the proposed WTRAM.
c. Direct Testimony of Adolfo Garcia - Mr. Garcia, Bella Vista Operations Manager, illustrates Liberty Bella Vista's operations and capital investments made by Liberty after the test year of Bella Vista's last rate case, test year ended December 31, 2014.
d. Direct Testimony of Paul Walker - Mr. Walker, Regulatory Consultant, discusses the proposed consolidation of the Applicants and the acquisition premiums for Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Cordes Lakes

[^1]e. Direct Testimony of Lauren Preston - Ms. Preston, Vice President / Customer Care, discusses the Customer First capital investment for the Applicants and the Customer Assistance Tariff.
f. Direct Testimony of Jill Schwartz - Ms. Schwartz, Sr. Director of Regulatory Policy and Strategy, provides testimony regarding corporate structure, shared services costs, cost allocation, the Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM") and Indirect Overhead ("INDOH").
g. Direct Testimony of Thomas Bourassa, Mr. Bourassa, Regulatory and Accounting Consultant, provides testimony on all the components of the revenue requirement and rates, except rate case expense. His testimony addresses rate base, income statement (revenue and operating expenses), cost of capital, required increase in revenue, rate design and proposed rates and charges for service.
18. All supporting schedules for Liberty Bella Vista and Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) are attached following the direct testimonies.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

19. The person responsible for overseeing and directing the conduct of this rate application is Manasa Rao, Sr. Director Rates \& Regulatory Affairs (West Region). Ms. Rao was assisted by Thomas J. Bourassa, rate consultant and undersigned legal counsel. Ms. Rao's mailing address is 9750 Washburn Rd, Downey, CA, 90241; her telephone number is (562) 805-2084; and her email address is Manasa.Rao@libertyutilities.com. Mr. Bourassa's mailing address is 139 W . Wood Drive, Phoenix, Arizona 85029; his telephone number is (602) 246-7150; and his email address is tjb114@cox.net.
20. All discovery, data requests and other requests for information concerning this Application should be directed to Ms. Rao at Manasa.Rao@libertyutilities.com, and Mr. Bourassa at tbj114@cox.net, with a copy to undersigned counsel, Kelly A. Daly at kdaly@swlaw.com, Paloma Scheiferstein at pscheiferstein@swlaw.com, and Lisa.Lance@libertyutilities.com.

## RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Liberty Bella Vista requests the following relief:
A. That the Commission, upon proper notice and at the earliest possible time, conduct a hearing in accordance with A.R.S. § 40-251 and determine the fair value of Liberty Bella Vista's utility plants and property devoted to providing water utility service.
B. Based upon such determination, that the Commission (1) grant the request to consolidate Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Bella Vista into Liberty Rio Rico; and (2) approve permanent adjustments to the rates and charges for water and wastewater utility service provided by Liberty Rio Rico on a consolidated basis as proposed herein, or approve such other rates and charges as will produce a just and reasonable rate of return on the fair value of Liberty Bella Vista's consolidated utility plant and property.
C. That the Commission approve the requests for a PPAM, PTAM, CAT, EWAM and WTRAM; and
D. That the Commission authorize such other and further relief as may be appropriate to ensure that Liberty Bella Vista has an opportunity to earn a just and reasonable return on the fair value of its utility plant and property and as may otherwise be required under Arizona law.

RESPECFULLY SUBMITTED this $28^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2023.

## SNELL \& WILMER L.L.P.

By:_/s/Kelly A. Daly / Paloma Scheiferstein
Kelly A. Daly
Paloma Scheiferstein
1 East Washington Street, Suite 2700
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
kdaly@swlaw.com
pscheiferstein@swlaw.com
and

## LIBERTY UTILITIES

Lisa C. Lance
Director, Legal Services Arizona and Texas 14920 W. Camelback Road
Litchfield Park, Arizona 85340
Lisa.Lance@libertyutilities.com
Attorneys for Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista)
Corp.

ORIGINAL eFiled
this $28^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2023, with:
Docket Control
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

By: /s/ Regina Wise

## ATTACHMENT 1

Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description
12/31/2022

| Water Utility Plant Description |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name of the System: | BELLA VISTA SOUTH |  |  |
| ADEQ Public Water System Number: |  |  |  |
| ADWR PCC Number: | AZ0402007 |  |  |


| MAINS |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Sizes (inches) | Material | Length (feet) |
| 1.00 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 0 |
| 1.25 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 0 |
| 2.00 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 2,390 |
| 3.00 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 3,235 |
| 4.00 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 17,566 |
| 6.00 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 47,805 |
| 8.00 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 36,720 |
| 10.00 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 0 |
| 12.00 | ACP/DIP/PVC/GALV/POLY | 25,000 |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |


| SERVICE LINES |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material | Percent of system |  | | Year |
| :---: |
| installed |$|$| Black poly | $8 \%$ | 2010 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Copper | $13 \%$ | 1988 |
| Galvanized steel | $22 \%$ | 2013 |
| Copper | NA | NA |
| NA |  |  |


| CUSTOMER METERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size (inches) | Quantity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent over } \\ 1,00,000 \text { gallons } \end{gathered}$ | Percent over 10 years old |
| 5/8 X 3/4 | 824 | 0\% | 0\% |
| 0.75 | 3 | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1 | 8 | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1.5 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Compound 2 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turbine 2 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Compound 3 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Turbine 3 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Compound 4 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Turbine 5 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Compound 6 | 1 | NA | NA |
| Turbine 6 | 0 | NA | NA |
| $6+$ | 0 | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |


| BOOSTER PUMPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horsepower | GPM | Quantity |
| 2 | 22 | 8 |
| 5 | 42 | 6 |
| 7.5 | 90 | 2 |
| 10 | 42 | 2 |
| 15 | 320 | 4 |
| 25 | 250 | 4 |
| 40 | 300 | 4 |
| 75 | 1,150 | 1 |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |


| FIRE HYDRANTS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Type | Quantity |
| Standard | 74 |
| Other | 7 |


| STORAGE TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (gallons) | Material | Quantity | Year <br> installed |
| 3,000 | steel | 1 | 2008 |
| 10,000 | steel | 1 | 2021 |
| 7,000 | steel | 1 | 1959 |
| 7,100 | steel | 1 | 1959 |
| 10,000 | steel | 1 | 1997 |
| 16,000 | steel | 1 | 1959 |
| 16,500 | steel | 3 | 1959 |
| 50,000 | steel | 1 | 2010 |
| 80,000 | steel | 2 | 1984 |
| 100,000 | steel | 3 | 2004 |
| 200,000 | steel | 2 | 2004 |
| 429,000 | steel | 1 | 2004 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |


| PRESSURE/BLADDER TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity <br> (gallons) | Material | Quantity | Year installed |
| 30 | Steel | 1 | 2013 |
| 80 | Steel | 1 | 2004 |
| 1,000 | Steel | 1 | 2004 |
| 1,000 | Steel | 1 | 1982 |
| 1,500 | Steel | 1 | 2004 |
| 2,000 | Steel | 1 | 1984 |
| 5,000 | Steel | 1 | 2000 |
| 6,000 | Steel | 1 | 1996 |
| 7,000 | Steel | 1 | 2004 |
| 7,000 | steel | 1 | 1997 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

*A standard fire hydrant has two 2.5 inch hose connection nozzles with 7.5 threads per inch, and one 4.5 inch pumper connection nozzle with 4 threads per inch.

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)
12/31/2022
Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)
For the following three items, list the utility owned assets in each category for each system.

|  | 9 Sodium Hypochlorite Solution Dosage Pumps |
| :--- | :--- |
| TREATMENT |  |
| EQUIPMENT: |  |


| STRUCTURES: | Wall at Apache Pointe Booster Station, Fences around wells and tanks, two small Pump houses, Well House at NV \#9, <br> $4 \times 6$ Chlorinator Bldg. |
| :--- | :--- |


| OTHER: | None. |
| :--- | :--- |

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC).
Use one of the following methods:
(a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by
(b) If no historical flow data are available, use:
(b) $\quad \mathrm{ERC}=($ Total SFR gallons sold $($ Omit 000$) / 365$ days $/ 350$ gallons per day $)$

## ERC

Method used:

|  |
| :--- |
| (a) $\quad 229$ |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Well and Water Usage
12/31/2022

| Well and Water Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADEQ Public Water System Number: |  |  | AZ0402007 |  | Pump Motor Type ** | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Drilled } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Water level } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Water level } \\ 2020 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Meter Size } \\ \text { (inches) } \end{gathered}$ | How measured: | Active |
| ADWR PCC Number: |  |  | 91-000028.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Well registry 55\# (55- } \\ & \text { XXXXXX): } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Pump Horsepower | Pump Yield (gpm) | Casing Depth (feet) | Casing Diameter (inches) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55-610119 ${ }^{\text {STUMP }}$ | 5 | 50 | 250 | 6 | submersible | 1982 | 86 | 48 | 2 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-805652 ASH | 5 | 50 | 80 | 8 | submersible | 1982 | 81 | 80 | 2 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-536074 $\quad$ RO\#1 | 1 | 5 | 160 | 8 | submersible | 1992 | 15 | 46 | 1 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-553209 WHORSE | 7.5 | 25 | 608 | 12 | submersible | 1997 | 203 | 171 | 4 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-597128 $\quad$ RO\#2 | 1.5 | 14 | 305 | 6 | submersible | 2003 | 22 | 16 | 2 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-583389 $\quad$ RO\#3 | 5 | 25 | 500 | 8 | submersible | 2001 | 128 | 215 | 1 | Metered | No |
| 55-508962 ${ }^{\text {NV16 }}$ | 5 | 30 | 215 | 6 | submersible | 1984 | 157 | 151 | 2 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-507217 ${ }^{\text {NV15 }}$ | 5 | 40 | 205 | 6 | submersible | 1984 | 174 | 156 | 2 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-642087 NV 3 | 3 | 20 | 243 | 6 | submersible | 1958 | 134 | 110 | 2 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-624091 NV 9 | 3 | 12 | 287 | 6 | submersible | 1959 | 96 | 121 | 2 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-200-402 NV17 | 7.5 | 17 | 790 | 8 | submersible | 2004 | 181 | 180 | 3 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-203881 FAIRFIELD | 15 | 80 | 800 | 8 | submersible | 2004 | 130 | 132 | 3 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-641821 NV10 | 2 | 20 | 154 | 4 | submersible | unknown | 122 | 117 | 1 | Metered | Yes |
| 55-204088 KR | 25 | 170 | 900 | 8 | submersible | 2007 | 446 | 440 | 6 | Metered | Yes |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |


| Name of system water delivered to: |  |  | BELLA VISTA SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADWR PCC Number: | $91-000028.0000$ |  |  |
| Source of water delivered to another system | NA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Name of system water received from: | NA |  |  |
| ADWR PCC Number: | NA |  |  |
| Source of water received | NA |  |  |
| Well registry 55\# (55-XXXXXX): |  |  |  |


| Month | Water withdrawn (gallons)1 | Water sold (gallons)2 | Water delivered (sold) to other systems (gallons) 3 | Water received (purchased) from other systems (gallons)4 | Estimated authorized use (gallons)5 | Purchased <br> Power <br> Expense ${ }^{6}$ | Purchased Power $(\mathrm{kWh})^{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 5,365,910.00 | 5,076,749.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 73,500.00 | \$4,230 | 22,843 |
| February | 4,709,573.00 | 4,148,706.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25,000.00 | 3,773 | 19,888 |
| March | 5,909,970.00 | 4,545,741.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5,000.00 | 3,938 | 22,151 |
| April | 7,657,106.00 | 7,302,150.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30,000.00 | 4,964 | 25,358 |
| May | 9,026,034.00 | 7,417,640.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10,000.00 | 5,969 | 32,307 |
| June | 8,202,129.00 | 7,417,640.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6,980 | 40,736 |
| July | 6,657,109.00 | 7,093,759.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5,931 | 30,994 |
| August | 5,389,894.00 | 5,213,523.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 65,000.00 | 5,513 | 27,424 |
| September | 6,496,508.00 | 6,072,199.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3,000.00 | 4,996 | 22,757 |
| October | 5,497,109.00 | 5,374,122.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25,000.00 | 5,229 | 23,671 |
| November | 5,202,732.00 | 4,907,809.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7,500.00 | 4,648 | 21,875 |
| December | 4,832,114.00 | 4,481,572.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50,000.00 | 4,258 | 20,573 |
| Totals | 74,946,188.00 | 69,051,610.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 294,000.00 | \$60,429 | 310,577 |


| If applicable, in the space below please provide a description for all un-metered water use along with amounts: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| NA |  |
|  |  |

1 Water withdrawn - Total gallons of water withdrawn from pumped sources.
2 Water sold - Total gallons from customer meters, and other sales such as construction water
3 Water delivered (sold) to other systems - Total gallons of water delivered to other systems.
4 Water received (purchased) from other systems - Total gallons of water purchased/received from other systems.
5 Estimated authorized use - Total estimated gallons from authorized metered or unmetered use. Authorized uses such as flushing (mains, services and hydrants) draining/cleaning tanks, process, construction,
fire fighting, etc. Non-authorized use (real losses) are service line breaks and leaks, water main breaks, meter inaccuracies and theft.
6 Enter the total purchased power costs for the power meters associated with this system.
7 Enter the total purchased kWh used by the power meters associated with this system.

Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description
12/31/2022

| Water Utility Plant Description |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name of the System: | NORTHERN SUNRISE WC - CORONADO |  |
| ADEQ Public Water System Number: | AZ0402013 |  |
| ADWR PCC Number: | $91-000034.0000$ |  |


| MAINS |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Sizes (inches) | Material |  |
| 1.25 | PVC/GALV/ACP | Length (feet) |
| 2.00 | PVC/GALV/ACP | 678 |
| 3.00 | PVC/GALV/ACP | 25,000 |
| 4.00 | PVC/GALV/ACP | 1,751 |
| 6.00 | PVC/GALV/ACP | 4,405 |
| NA | NA | 20,990 |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |


| SERVICE LINES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Percent of system | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Year } \\ \text { installed } \end{array}$ |
| Black poly | 55\% | 1980 |
| Galvanized steel | 30\% | 1960 |
| PVC | 15\% | 1980 |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |


| CUSTOMER METERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size (inches) | Quantity | Percent over $1,00,000$ gallons | Percent over 10 years old |
| 5/8 X 3/4 | 234 | 0\% | 0\% |
| 0.75 | 0 | NA | NA |
| 1 | 2 | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1.5 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Compound 2 | 2 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turbine 2 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Compound 3 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Turbine 3 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Compound 4 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Turbine 5 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Compound 6 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Turbine 6 | 0 | NA | NA |
| $6+$ | 0 | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |


| BOOSTER PUMPS |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Horsepower | GPM | Quantity |  |
| 7.5 | 50 | 1 |  |
| 10 | 70 | 1 |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |


| STORAGE TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material |  | Quantity | Year <br> Capacity (gallons) |
| 35,000 | Steel | 2 | 2014 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |


| FIRE HYDRANTS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Type | Quantity |
| Standard |  |
| Other | 0 |


| PRESSURE/BLADDER TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity <br> (gallons) | Material | Quantity | Year installed |
| 81 | Steel | 2 | 2016 |
| 2,000 | Steel | 1 | 2010 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)
12/31/2022

> Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)

For the following three items, list the utility owned assets in each category for each system.

|  | 2 Chlorine Bleach Dosage Pumps |
| :--- | :--- |
| TREATMENT |  |
| EQUIPMENT: |  |


| STRUCTURES: | Chainlink Fences around all sites |
| :--- | :--- |


| OTHER: | None. |
| :--- | :--- |

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC).
Use one of the following methods:
(a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by (a) the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
(b) If no historical flow data are available, use:
$\mathrm{ERC}=($ Total SFR gallons sold $($ Omit 000$) / 365$ days $/ 350$ gallons per day $)$

## ERC

|  |
| ---: |



Instructions: Fill out the Grey Cells with the relevant information. Input 0 or none if there is nothing recorded in that account or there is no applicable information to report.

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description
12/31/2022


| BOOSTER PUMPS |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horsepower | GPM | Quantity |  |  |
| 15 | 140 | 2 |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |


| STORAGE TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material | Year |  |  |
| Capacity (gallons) | Steel | Quantity | installed |
| 100,000 | NA | 1 | 2008 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |  |


| FIRE HYDRANTS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Type | Quantity |
| Standard $*$ | 0 |
| Other | 0 |


| PRESSURE/BLADDER TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity <br> (gallons) | Material | Quantity | Year installed |
| 1,500 | Steel | 1 | 2019 |
| 81 | Steel | 1 | 2008 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

* A standard fire hydrant has two 2.5 inch hose connection nozzles with 7.5 threads per inch, and one 4.5 inch pumper connection nozzle with 4 threads per inch.

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)
12/31/2022
Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)
For the following three items, list the utility owned assets in each category for each system.

|  | 2 Chlorine Bleach Injector Pumps |
| :--- | :--- |
| TREATMENT |  |
| EQUIPMENT: |  |


| STRUCTURES: | 6 ' Chainlink Fence with Slats at Mustang Well, 6' Chainlink fence at Crystal Well |
| :--- | :--- |


| OTHER: | None. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

## Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC).

Use one of the following methods:
(a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
If no historical flow data are available, use:
$E R C=($ Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day $)$

ERC
Method used:

|  |
| :--- |
| (a) $\quad 193$ |

12/31/2022


| If applicable, in the space below please provide a description for all un-metered water use along with amounts: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| NA |  |
|  |  |

Instructions: Fill out the Grey Cells with the relevant information. Input 0 or none if there is nothing recorded in that account or there is no applicable information to report.

| 1 Water withdrawn - Total gallons of water withdrawn from pumped sources. |
| :--- |
| 2 Water sold - Total gallons from customer meters, and other sales such as construction water. |
| 3 Water delivered (sold) to other systems - Total gallons of water delivered to other systems. |
| 4 Water received (purchased) from other systems - Total gallons of water purchased/received from other systems. |
| 5 Estimated authorized use - Total estimated gallons from authorized metered or unmetered use. Authorized uses such as flushing (mains, services and hydrants) draining/cleaning tanks, process, construction, fire <br> fighting, etc. Non-authorized use (real losses) are service line breaks and leaks, water main breaks, meter inaccuracies and theft. <br> 6 Enter the total purchased power costs for the power meters associated with this system. <br> 7 Enter the total purchased kWh used by the power meters associated with this system. |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description
12/31/2022

|  | Water Utility Plant Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name of the System: | SOUTHERN SUNRISE WC - COCHISE/HORSESHOE |
| ADEQ Public Water System Number: | AZ0402011 |
| ADWR PCC Number: | 91-000032.0000 |


| MAINS |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Sizes (inches) |  |  |
| 2.00 | PVC/GALV/ACP | Length (feet) |
| 4.00 | PVC/GALV/ACP | 65,538 |
| 6.00 | PVC/GALV/ACP | 20,000 |
| NA | NA | 13,000 |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |


| SERVICE LINES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Percent of system | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Year } \\ \text { installed } \end{array}$ |
| PVC | 85\% | 1980 |
| Copper | 10\% | 2000 |
| Black poly | 5\% | 1990 |
| NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA |


| BOOSTER PUMPS |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horsepower | GPM | Quantity |  |  |
| 5 | 80 | 2 |  |  |
| 10 | 158 | 2 |  |  |
| 20 | 200 | 3 |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |


| STORAGE TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (gallons) | Material | Quantity | Year <br> installed |
| 10,000 | steel | 1 | 1960 |
| 16,000 | steel | 2 | 1960 |
| 170,000 | steel | 1 | 1998 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |


| CUSTOMER METERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size (inches) | Quantity | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Percent over } \\ 1,00,000 \text { gallons }\end{array}$ |  |
| $5 / 8 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{3/4}$ | 640 | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| over 10 |  |  |  |
| years old |  |  |  |$]$


| FIRE HYDRANTS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Type | Quantity |
| Standard ${ }^{*}$ |  |
| Other | 0 |


| PRESSURE/BLADDER TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity <br> (gallons) | Material |  | Quantity | | Year |
| :---: |
| installed |$|$| 81 | Steel | 1 | 2014 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,000 | Steel | 2 | 1960 |
| NA | NA | NA | 1950 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

* A standard fire hydrant has two 2.5 inch hose connection nozzles with 7.5 threads per inch, and one 4.5 inch pumper connection nozzle with 4 threads per inch.

Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)
12/31/2022

> Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)

For the following three items, list the utility owned assets in each category for each system.

|  | 2 Chlorine Bleach Injection Pumps |
| :--- | :--- |
| TREATMENT |  |
| EQUIPMENT: |  |


| STRUCTURES: | Small Sheds, Building at HorseShoe Booster Site, 6' Chain Link Fences at all sites |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |


| OTHER: | None. |
| :--- | :--- |

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC).
Use one of the following methods:
(a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by
the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days
(b) If no historical flow data are available, use:
b) $\quad \mathrm{ERC}=($ Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) $/ 365$ days $/ 350$ gallons per day $)$

ERC
Method used: $\square$

12/31/2022



Instructions: Fill out the Grey Cells with the relevant information. Input 0 or none if there is nothing recorded in that account or there is no applicable information to report.

1 Water withdrawn - Total gallons of water withdrawn from pumped sources.
2 Water sold - Total gallons from customer meters, and other sales such as construction water.
3 Water delivered (sold) to other systems - Total gallons of water delivered to other systems.
4 Water received (purchased) from other systems - Total gallons of water purchased/received from other systems.
5 Estimated authorized use - Total estimated gallons from authorized metered or unmetered use. Authorized uses such as flushing (mains, services and hydrants) draining/cleaning tanks, process, construction, fire fighting, etc. Non-authorized use (real losses) are service line breaks and leaks, water main breaks, meter inaccuracies and theft
6 Enter the total purchased power costs for the power meters associated with this system.
7 Enter the total purchased kWh used by the power meters associated with this system.

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description
12/31/2022


| BOOSTER PUMPS |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horsepower | GPM | Quantity |  |  |
| 15 | 140 | 2 |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |


| STORAGE TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material | Year |  |  |
| Caparity (gallons) | Steel | 1 | 2009 |
| 150,000 | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA |  |  |  |


| FIRE HYDRANTS |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Type | Quantity |  |
| Standard ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |
| Other |  |  |


| PRESSURE/BLADDER TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity <br> (gallons) | Material | Quantity | Year installed |
| 81 | Steel | 1 | 2009 |
| 1,000 | Steel | 1 | 1990 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

* A standard fire hydrant has two 2.5 inch hose connection nozzles with 7.5 threads per inch, and one 4.5 inch pumper connection nozzle with 4 threads per inch.

For the following three items, list the utility owned assets in each category for each system.

|  | 1 Chlorine Bleach Injection Pump |
| :--- | :--- |
| TREATMENT |  |


| STRUCTURES: | $6^{\prime}$ Fence with slats, 6' chain link fence |
| :--- | :--- |


| OTHER: | None. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

## Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC).

Use one of the following methods:
(a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the
average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
(b) If no historical flow data are available, use:
(b) $\quad \mathrm{ERC}=($ Total SFR gallons sold $($ Omit 000$) / 365$ days $/ 350$ gallons per day $)$

ERC

| (a) | 236 |
| :--- | ---: |




Instructions: Fill out the Grey Cells with the relevant information. Input 0 or none if there is nothing recorded in that account or there is no applicable information to report.

1 Water withdrawn - Total gallons of water withdrawn from pumped sources.
2 Water sold - Total gallons from customer meters, and other sales such as construction water.
3 Water delivered (sold) to other systems - Total gallons of water delivered to other systems.
4 Water received (purchased) from other systems - Total gallons of water purchased/received from other systems.
5 Estimated authorized use - Total estimated gallons from authorized metered or unmetered use. Authorized uses such as flushing (mains, services and hydrants) draining/cleaning tanks, process, construction, fire fighting, etc. Non-authorized use (real losses) are service line breaks and leaks, water main breaks, meter inaccuracies and theft
6 Enter the total purchased power costs for the power meters associated with this system
7 Enter the total purchased kWh used by the power meters associated with this system.

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description
12/31/2022


| BOOSTER PUMPS |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horsepower | GPM | Quantity |  |  |
| 15 | 130 | 4 |  |  |
| 20 | 350 | 20 |  |  |
| 25 | 320 | 2 |  |  |
| 30 | 475 | 10 |  |  |


| STORAGE TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (gallons) | Material | Year |  |
| installed |  |  |  |$|$| 32,000 | steel | 1 | 1972 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100,000 | steel | 1 | 1960 |
| 200,000 | steel | 11 | $1954-1997$ |
| 400,000 | steel | 2 | 1968,1954 |
| $1,500,000$ | steel | 2 | 1988 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |


| FIRE HYDRANTS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Type | Quantity |
| Standard | 650 |
| Other | 0 |


| PRESSURE/BLADDER TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity <br> (gallons) | Material | Quantity | Year installed |
| 80 | Steel | 2 | 2014 |
| 80 | Steel | 1 | 2019 |
| 5,000 | Steel | 11 | $1954-1972$ |
| 6,000 | Steel | 1 | 1968 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

* A standard fire hydrant has two 2.5 inch hose connection nozzles with 7.5 threads per inch, and one 4.5 inch pumper connection nozzle with 4 threads per inch.

For the following three items, list the utility owned assets in each category for each system.

|  | 15 chlorination pumps and injectors |
| :--- | :--- |
| TREATMENT |  |
| EQUIPMENT: |  |


| STRUCTURES: | Well \#18 Site Building, Well \#13 Site Building, Wall at Well \#5 and Two Site Buildings, Well \#8 Site Building, Well \#19 Building, Fences <br> (around wells \& tanks) |
| :--- | :--- |


| OTHER: | Two Generators, Two back hoe, air compressor, trailer, water tank, dump trailer, 8 Standpipes, Ditch Witch Vactor potholing machine, Valve <br> Turning Machine |
| :--- | :--- |

## Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC).

Use one of the following methods:
(a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
(b) If no historical flow data are available, use:
$\quad \mathrm{ERC}=($ Total SFR gallons sold $($ Omit 000) $/ 365$ days $/ 350$ gallons per day $)$

ERC
Method used:

|  |
| ---: |
| (a) |



| Name of system water delivered to: | BELLA VISTA CITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADWR PCC Number: |  | 91-000031.0000 |
| Source of water delivered to another system | NA |  |
|  |  |  |
| Name of system water received from: | NA |  |
| ADWR PCC Number: |  | NA |
| Source of water received | NA |  |
| Well registry 55\# (55-XXXXXX): | NA |  |


| Month | Water withdrawn (gallons)1 | Water sold (gallons)2 | Water delivered (sold) to other systems (gallons) 3 | Water received (purchased) from other systems (gallons)4 | Estimated authorized use (gallons)5 | Purchased Power Expense ${ }^{6}$ | Purchased Power (kWh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 66,872,368.000 | 63,791,252.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 84,000.000 | \$32,100 | 244,020 |
| February | 62,480,753.000 | 57,772,889.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 111,000.000 | 30,678 | 240,026 |
| March | 75,466,493.000 | 59,388,132.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 42,000.000 | 34,568 | 254,581 |
| April | 83,409,098.000 | 72,613,395.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 67,000.000 | 41,047 | 278,466 |
| May | 100,565,273.000 | 83,229,197.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 70,000.000 | 49,388 | 336,765 |
| June | 98,416,240.000 | 83,229,197.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 28,800.000 | 55,326 | 388,347 |
| July | 84,667,184.000 | 89,110,464.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 68,000.000 | 52,667 | 346,374 |
| August | 78,356,902.000 | 74,506,971.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 37,500.000 | 50,701 | 315,835 |
| September | 80,927,594.000 | 75,577,213.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 102,000.000 | 25,674 | 300,816 |
| October | 72,340,490.000 | 76,142,222.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 52,000.000 | 51,006 | 294,245 |
| November | 70,226,631.000 | 65,656,575.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 52,000.000 | 45,695 | 273,412 |
| December | 66,263,772.000 | 63,904,017.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 53,000.000 | 8,213 | 250,584 |
| Totals | 939,992,798.000 | 864,921,524.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 767,300.000 | \$477,062 | 3,523,471 |

If applicable, in the space below please provide a description for all un-metered water use along with amounts:
NA
NA

Instructions: Fill out the Grey Cells with the relevant information. Input 0 or none if there is nothing recorded in that account or there is no applicable information to report.

| 1 Water withdrawn - Total gallons of water withdrawn from pumped sources. |
| :--- |
| 2 Water sold - Total gallons from customer meters, and other sales such as construction water. |
| 3 Water delivered (sold) to other systems - Total gallons of water delivered to other systems. |
| 4 Water received (purchased) from other systems - Total gallons of water purchased/received from other systems. |
| 5 Estimated authorized use - Total estimated gallons from authorized metered or unmetered use. Authorized uses such as flushing (mains, services and hydrants) draining/cleaning tanks, process, construction, fire fighting, <br> etc. Non-authorized use (real losses) are service line breaks and leaks, water main breaks, meter inaccuracies and theft. |
| 6 Enter the total purchased power costs for the power meters associated with this system. |
| 7 Enter the total purchased kWh used by the power meters associated with this system. |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description
12/31/2022


| BOOSTER PUMPS |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horsepower | GPM | NA |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| NA | NA |  |  |  |


| FIRE HYDRANTS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Type | Quantity |
| Standard $*$ |  |
| Other | 0 |


| STORAGE TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material |  |  |  |
| Capacity (gallons) | Quantity | Year |  |
| installed |  |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |


| PRESSURE/BLADDER TANKS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity <br> (gallons) | Material | Quantity | Year installed |
| 100 | Steel | 3 | 2017 |
| 5,000 | Steel | 1 | 2007 |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Annual Report
Water Utility Plant Description (Continued)
12/31/2022

For the following three items, list the utility owned assets in each category for each system.

|  | 2 Chlorine Bleach Dosage Pumps |
| :--- | :--- |
| TREATMENT |  |
| EQUIPMENT: |  |


| STRUCTURES: | both well sites have brand new chain linked fence and have a 10 by 10 shed |
| :--- | :--- |


|  | None. |
| :--- | :--- |
| OTHER: |  |

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC).
Use one of the following methods:
(a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
If no historical flow data are available, use:
$E R C=($ Total SFR gallons sold $($ Omit 000) $/ 365$ days $/ 350$ gallons per day $)$

ERC
Method used:

|  |
| ---: |
| (a) 224 |



Instructions: Fill out the Grey Cells with the relevant information. Input 0 or none if there is nothing recorded in that account or there is no applicable information to report.
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## Applies to all service areas <br> PART ONE <br> STATEMENT OF CHARGES

## I. RATES

In Decision No. XXXXX, dated XXXXX, the Commission authorized the following rates and charges to become effective XXXXX:
A. Monthly Minimum Charge

| Meter Size (All Classes) | Charge ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5/8" x 3/4" Meter | \$ 20.77 |
| 3/4" Meter | 31.16 |
| 1" Meter | 51.93 |
| 11/2" Meter | 103.85 |
| $2 "$ Meter | 166.16 |
| 3" Meter | 332.32 |
| 4" Meter | 519.25 |
| 6" Meter | 1,038.50 |
| 8" Meter | 1,661.60 |
| 10" Meter | 2,388.55 |
| 12" Meter | 4,465.55 |
| Fire Lines up to 8 inch (R14-2-408.B) | Per Rule* |
| Fire Lines 10 inch (R14-2-408.B) | Per Rule* |
| Fire Lines 12 inch (R14-2-408.B) | Per Rule* |

* Note 1: $2 \%$ of the equivalent monthly meter size or $\$ 10$ whichever is greater for all meter sizes.

[^2]
## Applies to all service areas <br> PART ONE <br> STATEMENT OF CHARGES

## B. Commodity Rates

The rate for use in addition to the minimum stated above shall be at the following rates per 1,000 gallons:

| Meter Size | Consumption | ${\text { Charge } \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{2}}}^{\text {5/8" } 3 / 4 " \text { Meter - All Classes (Except Standpipe) }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 to 4,000 gallons | $\$ 2.59$ |
|  | 4,001 to 10,000 gallons | 4.00 |
| 3/4" Meter - All Classes (Except Standpipe) | Over 10,000 gallons | 5.06 |
|  | 0 to 4,000 gallons | 2.59 |
|  | 4,001 to 10,000 gallons | 4.00 |
| 1" Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | Over 10,000 gallons | 5.06 |
|  | 0 to 25,000 gallons | 4.00 |
| $11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | Over 25,000 gallons | 5.06 |
|  | 0 to 50,000 gallons | 4.00 |
| 2" Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | Over 50,000 gallons | 5.06 |
|  | 0 to 80,000 gallons | 4.00 |
| 3" Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | Over 80,000 gallons | 5.06 |
|  | 0 to 160,000 gallons | 4.00 |
|  | Over 160,000 gallons | 5.06 |

[^3]
## Applies to all service areas PART ONE <br> STATEMENT OF CHARGES

| Meter Size | Consumption | Charge |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $4 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 250,000 gallons | $\$ 4.00$ |
|  | Over 250,000 gallons | 5.06 |
| $6 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 500,000 gallons | 4.00 |
|  | Over 500,000 gallons | 5.06 |
| $8 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 800,000 gallons | 4.00 |
|  | Over 800,000 gallons | 5.06 |
| $10 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 1,150,000 gallons | 4.00 |
|  | Over 1,150,000 gallons | 5.06 |
| $12 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 2,150,000 gallons | 4.00 |
|  | Over 2,150.000 gallons | 5.06 |
| Standpipe (hydrant, bulk) | All Gallons | 5.06 |

## Applies to all WATER service areas PART ONE STATEMENT OF CHARGES

## C. Service Line and Meter Installation Charges

(Refundable Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-405)

| Meter Size | Line | Meter | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ " Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3/4" Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 1" Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 11/2" Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 2" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 2" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 4" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 4" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 6" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 6" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 8" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 8" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 10" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 12" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 12" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 10" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
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## D. Miscellaneous Service Charges

| Service | $\underline{\text { Charge }}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Establishment per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $\$ 30.00$ |
| Re-Establishment of Service per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |
| Reconnection per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $(\mathrm{a})$ |
| Meter Test (if correct) per A.A.C. R14-2-408(F) | $\$ 30.00$ |
| Meter Re-Read (if correct) per A.A.C. R14-2-408(C) | $\$ 30.00$ |
| NSF Check per A.A.C. R14-2-409(F) | $\$ 20.00$ |
| Deferred Payment, Per Month | $1.50 \%$ |
| Late Charge | $(* * *)$ |
| Service Calls After Hours | $\$ 90.00(\mathrm{~b})$ |
| Deposit | $(* *)$ |
| Deposit Interest per A.A.C. R14-2-403(B) | $6.00 \%$ |
| Moving Customer Meter (at customer request) | At Cost |
| Off-Site Facilities Hook Up Fees | Per Hook Up Fee |

* Months off system times the monthly minimum charge per Commission Rule A.A.C. R142-603(D).
** Residential - two times the average bill.
Non-residential - two and one-half times the average bill.
Per Commission Rule A.A.C. R14-2-603(B).
*** Greater of $\$ 5.00$ or $1.50 \%$ per month on unpaid balance.
(a) Customer shall pay the actual cost of physical disconnection and Establishment Fee (if same customer) and there shall be no charge for disconnection if no physical work is performed.
(b) The After-Hours Service Charge shall apply to any service requested by Customer that is performed by Company after regular business hours and shall be in addition to the regular business hours service charge.
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## II. TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS

In addition to the collection of regular rates, the Company will collect from its customers a proportionate share of any privilege, sales, and use tax per A.A.C. R14-2-409(D)(5).

All Advances or Contributions are to include labor, materials, overheads, and all applicable taxes, including all gross-up taxes for income taxes. Cost to include labor, materials and parts, overheads, and all applicable taxes.

Under applicable law, any contributions or advances provided by a Developer are taxable income to the Utility. In accordance with the Gross-Up Sharing Method policy adopted by the Commission in Decision No. 76974, the Company will collect from the Developer an applicable share of income taxes for the Company's state and federal tax liability on all funds contributed and/or advanced. The funds will be collected prior to the commencement of service.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART TWO STATEMENT OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS

## I. PERMITTED COSTS

A. Costs shall be verified by invoice.
B. For services that are provided by the Company at cost, costs shall include labor, materials, other charges incurred, and overhead not to exceed $10 \%$. However, prior to any such service being provided, the estimated cost of such service will be provided by the Company to the customer. After review of the cost estimate, the customer will pay the amount of the estimated cost to the Company.
C. In the event that the actual cost is less than the estimated cost, the Company will refund the excess to the customer within 30 days after completion of the provision of the service or after Company's receipt of invoices, timesheets or other related documents, whichever is later.
D. In the event the actual cost is more than the estimated cost, the Company will bill the customer for the amount due within 30 days after completion of the provision of the service or after the Company's receipt of invoices, timesheets or other related documents, whichever is later. The amount so billed will be due and payable 30 days after the invoice date. However, if the actual cost is more than five percent $(5 \%)$ greater than the total amount paid, the customer will only be required to pay five percent ( $5 \%$ ) more than the total amount paid, unless the Company can demonstrate that the increased costs were beyond its control and could not be foreseen at the time the estimate for the total amount paid was made.
E. At the customer's request, the Company shall make available to the customer all invoices, timesheets or related documents that support the cost for providing such service.
F. Permitted costs shall include any Federal, State or local taxes that are or may be payable by the Company as a result of any tariff or contract for water facilities under which the Customer advances or contributes funds or facilities to the Company.
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## II. INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE; COMPANY'S LIABILITY LIMITATIONS

The Company will supply only such water at such pressures as may be available from time to time as a result of the normal operation of its water system. The Company will maintain a minimum water pressure of 20 p.s.i. and will not guarantee a specific gallons per minute flow rate at any public fire hydrants or fire sprinkler service. In the event service is interrupted, irregular or defective, or fails from causes beyond the Company's control or through ordinary negligence of its employees or agents, the Company will not be liable for any injuries or damages arising therefrom.

## III. RULES AND REGULATIONS

The Company has adopted the Rules and Regulations established by the Commission as the basis for its operating procedures. A.A.C. R14-2-401 through A.A.C. R14-2-411 will be controlling of Company procedures, unless specific Commission Order(s) provide otherwise.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART THREE <br> EMERGENCY WATER AUGMENTATION SURCHARGE TARIFF AND PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION 

## I. Purpose and Applicability

## PURPOSE:

The purpose of this tariff is to authorize Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. (the "Company") to make monthly adjustments to it rates and charges for water service in order to recover cost incurred for water purchases and hauling ("Water Augmentation Costs") in the event that Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp. experiences an emergency water shortage. The charges will be assessed based on usage as provided below.

## Applicability:

This tariff is obtained during the processing of a permanent rate application. This tariff only applies in the event of an "emergency water shortage" as defined in Section II of the definitions below.

## I. Definitions

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions set forth in R-14-2-401 of the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") rules and regulations governing water utilities shall apply in interpreting this tariff schedule.
"Affiliate," means any other entity directly or indirectly controlling or controlled by, or under direct or indirect common control with Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. For purposes of this definition, the term "control" (including the correlative meanings of the terms "controlled by" and "under common control with"), as used with respect to any entity means the power to direct the management policies of such entity, whether through ownership of voting securities. or by contract, or otherwise.
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"Curtailment Account Balance" means any monies collected under the current curtailment tariff.
"Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge" means the surcharge calculated in accordance with Section IV below.
"Emergency Water Shortage., means a water shortage of a serious nature, developing suddenly or unexpectedly, that is out of the Company's control, and demanding immediate attention and has triggered at least Stage 3 of the companies approved Curtailment Plan.
"Surcharge Rate" means the rate per 1,000 gallons that is calculated in accordance with Section III below.
"Water Augmentation Cost" means the actual cost of water purchased and water hauling costs not already included in the utility's existing rates per the las approved rate case.
"Water Augmentation Quantity" means the actual quantity of augmented water (in thousands of gallons).
"Water Sold" means the actual quantity (in thousands of gallons) of water sold by the Company to its Customers during the month corresponding to the month in which water was purchased.

## II. Surcharge Rate Calculation

The surcharge is calculated using data from the previous month's bill, for example, the water augmentation surcharge that is applied on a customer's bill is calculated using the June water augmentation costs and the June total gallons sold. See Figure A for an example of the calculation.

Figure A
For each month that the Company augments water, the Company will calculate the Surcharge Rate per the following formula:

## Water Augmentation Cost/Water Sold

## Example

This example illustrates how the water augmentation surcharge that is included on a customer's bill would be calculated using 2,000 gallons of usage.

| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total June Water \& Hauling Costs | June Ending Curtailmenet Account Balance | Total Gallons Sold in June in 1,000s | Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge per 1,000 gallons |
| \$3,000 | \$100 | 494 | \$6.28 |
| [E] | [F] | [G] |  |
|  | Emergency Water | Total Emergency Water |  |
| Customer's Current | Augmentation | Augmentation |  |
| Usage Gallons in 1,000s | Surcharge (from | Surcharge on |  |
| 2 | \$6.28 | \$12.55 |  |

## II. Plan of Administration

(A) Intent To Bill Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge: For any month in which water is augmented, after completing its billing for the month and receiving the billing for the month, the Company will calculate the Surcharge Rate using the same methodology discussed herein und shown on Figure A and provide Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission Staff') notice of the Company's intent to bill the Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge.
(B) Notice to Commission Staff: For any month in which the Company intends to bill customers an Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge, the Company shall provide Commission Staff notice of the Company's intent to bill the Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge. The notice to Commission Staff shall include the following:

1. The Water Augmentation Cost.
2. The Water Augmentation Quantity.
3. A copy of the bill(s) received for the Water Augmentation.
4. A description of the system problem necessitating Water Augmentation and a description of the action being taken by the Company to resolve the problem including the date operations did or are expected to return to normal.
5. The dates for beginning and ending Water Augmentation.
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6. A schedule showing the calculation of the Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge Rate in excel format with formulas intact.
7. Identification of the hauling(s) available. If only one option was available, please state that.there was only one option.
8. Whether or not the hauling entity was an affiliate.
(C) Implementation of Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge: Commission Staff will review the Notice. If the filing is acceptable to Commission Staff, the resulting Surcharge will be charged to Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. customers as a Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge to be included on customers monthly bill as a separate line item.

The Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge shall be presented as a separate line item on the customer billing.
(D) Documentation to Be Maintained: The Company shall maintain documentation for all costs, billing determinants, and revenues recoveries.
(E) Customer Notice: The Company shall notify its customers or this new tariff as part of its next regularly scheduled billing after the effective date of the tariff but no later than sixty (60) days after the effective date of the tariff in a form acceptable to Staff

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART FOUR CROSS-CONNECTION OR BACKFLOW TARIFF 

## I. PURPOSE:

The purpose of this tariff is to protect Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. (the "Company") water from the possibility of contamination caused by backflow of contaminants that may be present on the customer's premises by requiring the installation and periodic testing of backflow-prevention assemblies pursuant to the provisions of the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-405.B.6. and A.A.C. R18-4-215.

## II. REQUIREMENTS:

In compliance with the Rules and Regulations of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ"), specifically A.A.C. R14-2-405.B. 6 and A.A.C. R18-4-215 relating to backflow prevention:

1. The Company may require a customer to pay for and have installed, and to maintain, test and repair a backflow-prevention assembly if A.A.C. R18-4-215.B or C applies.
2. A backflow-prevention assembly required to be installed by the customer under Paragraph 1 of this tariff shall comply with the requirements set forth in A.A.C. R18-4-215.D and E.
3. Subject to the provisions of A.A.C. R14-2-407 and 410, and in accordance with Paragraphs 1 and 7 of this tariff, the Company may terminate service or deny service to a customer who fails to install a backflow-prevention assembly as required by this tariff.
4. The Company shall give any existing customer who is required to install a backflowprevention assembly written notice of said requirement. If A.A.C. R14-2-410.B.1.a is not applicable, the customer shall be given thirty (30) days from the time such written notice is received in which to comply with this notice. If the customer can show good cause as to why he cannot install the backflow-prevention assembly within thirty (30) days, the Company or Commission Staff may suspend this requirement for a reasonable period of time.
5. Testing shall be in conformance with the requirements of A.A.C. R18-4-215.F. The Company may require the customer to pay to have the backflow-prevention assembly tested as long as the Company does not require an unreasonable number of tests. The Company may also require the customer to pay for repairs to a backflow-prevention assembly
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6. The customer shall provide the Company with records of installation and testing. For each backflow-prevention assembly, these records shall include:
a. assembly identification number and description;
b. location;
c. date(s) of test(s);
d. description of repairs and recommendations for repairs made by tester;
e. tester's name and certificate number; and
f. tester's field test kit certification documentation.
7. In the event the backflow-prevention assembly does not function properly or fails any test, and an obvious hazard as contemplated under A.A.C. R14-2-410.B.1.a. exists, the Company may terminate service immediately and without notice. The backflowprevention assembly shall be repaired or replaced by the customer and retested.
8. In the event the backflow-prevention assembly does not function properly or fails any test, or in the event that a customer fails to comply with the testing requirement, and A.A.C. R14-2-410.B.1.a. is not applicable, the backflow-prevention assembly shall be repaired or replaced within fourteen (14) days of the initial discovery of the deficiency in the assembly or its function. Failure to remedy the deficiency of dysfunction of the assembly, or failure to retest, shall be grounds for termination of water service in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-410.
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## ADEQ Public Water System:

Bella Vista City
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-010
Bella Vista South
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-007
Northern Sunrise (Coronado/Sierra Sunset)
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-013
Northern Sunrise (Mustang/Crystal)
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-054
Southern Sunrise (Cochise)
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-011
Southern Sunrise (Miracle Valley)
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-023

## Sulger Water Company

ADEQ Public Water System Number 02-120
Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Company") is authorized to curtail water service to all customers within its certified area under the terms and conditions listed in this tariff.

This curtailment plan shall become part of the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality Emergency Operations Plan for the Company.

The Company shall notify its customers of this new tariff as part of its next regularly scheduled billing after the effective date of the tariff or no later than sixty (60) days after the effective date of the tariff.

The Company shall provide a copy of the curtailment tariff to any customer, upon request.

## Stage 1 Exists When:

Company is able to maintain water storage in the systems at 100 percent of capacity and there are no known problems with its well production or water storage in the system.

Restrictions: Under Stage 1, the Company is deemed to be operating normally and no curtailment is necessary but conservation efforts are encouraged as a best management practice ${ }^{1}$.

Notice Requirements: Under Stage 1, no notice is necessary.
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## Stage 2 Exists When:

a. Company's water storage or well production has been less than 80 percent of capacity for at least 48 consecutive hours, and
b. Company has identified issues such as a steadily declining water table, increased draw down threatening pump operations, or poor water production, creating a reasonable belief the Company will be unable to meet anticipated water demand on a sustained basis.

Restrictions: Under Stage 2, the Company may request the customers to voluntarily employ water conservation measures to reduce water consumption by approximately 50 percent. The below conservation measures are encouraged to reduce water consumption:

- Divide outside watering on uniform basis such as:

| Address Ends In | $1,2,4,7,0$ | $3,5,6,8$, or 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Watering Days | Monday and Wednesday | Tuesday and Thursday |

- Outdoor watering should be limited between the following times:

| Seasonal Period | Watering Prohibited |
| :--- | :--- |
| April - September | $6: 00$ a.m. $-7: 00$ p.m. |
| October - March | 8:00 a.m. $-7: 00$ p.m. |

- Eliminate outside watering on weekends and holidays
- Eliminate runoff from outdoor irrigation
- Use a shut-off hose nozzle if using hose to irrigate landscape or wash vehicles
- Eliminate washing of hard surfaces outdoors except washing to alleviate health or fire hazards
- Construction water blackout period between the hours of 5:00 am and 9:00 am, Monday through Sunday implemented
- Fix indoor and outdoor leaks
- Indoor water conservation techniques should be employed whenever possible

Notice Requirements: Company is required to notify customers by delivering written notice to each service address or by utilizing the Company's emergency messaging system which includes email, text, and phone call, or by posting on Company's website, or at the Company's option a combination of these notifications. Such notice shall notify the customers of the general nature of the problem and the need to conserve water.

The Company shall notify the Consumer Services Section of the Utilities Division of the Corporation Commission at least 12 hours prior to entering Stage 2.
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## Stage 3 Exists When:

a. Company's total water storage or well production has been less than 50 percent of capacity for at least 24 consecutive hours, and
b. Company has identified issues such as a steadily declining water table, increased draw down threatening pump operations, or poor water production, creating a reasonable belief the Company will be unable to meet anticipated water demand on a sustained basis.

Restrictions: Under Stage 3, the Company shall inform the customers of a mandatory restriction to employ water conservation measures to reduce daily consumption. All restrictions from Stage 2 shall be employed in addition to the below conservation measures:

- All outside watering eliminated, except livestock
- Draining and refilling water features is prohibited
- The filling of any swimming pool, spas, fountains or ornamental pools is prohibited
- Washing of any vehicle is prohibited, including commercial car washes and commercial truck washes.
- Water runoff is prohibited
- The use of drip or misting systems of any kind is prohibited
- The use of water for dust control or any outdoor cleaning uses is prohibited
- The use of construction water is prohibited

The following priority of use for delivery of water is set forth: (1) All existing regularly metered residential customers; (2) All regularly metered commercial customers that are classified as healthcare; (3) All regularly metered commercial customers; (3) All uses of water, other than fire hydrant use for new construction; (4) Fire hydrant use for landscape irrigation or lakes; (5) All other construction fire hydrant use, metered or unmetered.

## Notice Requirements:

1. Company is required to notify customers by delivering written notice to each service address or by utilizing the Company's emergency messaging system which includes email, text, and phone call, or by posting on Company's website, or at the Company's option a combination of these notifications. Such notice shall notify the customers of the general nature of the problem and the need to conserve water.
2. Beginning with Stage 3, the Company shall post at least two (2) signs showing the curtailment stage. Signs shall be posted at noticeable locations, like at the well sites and at the entrance to major subdivisions served by the Company.

ISSUED BY:
Moses Thompson, President
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3. The Company shall notify the Consumer Services Section of the Utilities Division of the Corporation Commission at least 12 hours prior to entering Stage 3.

Once Stage 3 has been reached, the Company must begin to augment the supply of water by either hauling or through an emergency interconnect with an approved water supply in an attempt to maintain the curtailment at a level no higher than Stage 3 until a permanent solution has been implemented.

Customers who fail to comply with the above restrictions will be given a written notice to end all outdoor uses. Failure to comply within twenty-four (24) hours of receipt of the notice may result in temporary loss of service through the installation and use of a flow restrictor device or other means until an agreement can be made to end unauthorized use of outdoor water. To restore service, the customer shall be required to pay all authorized reconnection fees. If a customer believes he/she has been disconnected in error, the customer may contact the Commission's Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000 to initiate an investigation.

## Stage 4 Exists When:

a. Company's total water storage or well production has been less than 25 percent of capacity for at least 12 consecutive hours, and
b. Company has identified issues such as a steadily declining water table, increased draw down threatening pump operations, or poor water production, creating a reasonable belief the Company will be unable to meet anticipated water demand on a sustained basis.

Restrictions: Under Stage 4, Company shall inform the customers of a mandatory restriction to employ water conservation measures to reduce daily consumption. Failure to comply will result in temporary customer disconnection. All restrictions from Stage 2 and Stage 3 shall be employed in addition to the following uses of water being prohibited:

- Restaurant patrons shall be served water only upon request
- All leaks, breaks, or other malfunctions in the customer's plumbing fixtures and/or irrigation system must be repaired within five (5) business days of written notification by the utility.
- Any other water intensive activity is prohibited
- The addition of new service lines and meter installations is prohibited.

The following priority of use for delivery of water is set forth: (1) All existing regularly metered residential customers; (2) All regularly metered commercial customers; (3) All uses of water, other than fire hydrant use for new construction; (4) Fire hydrant use for landscape irrigation or lakes; (5) All other construction fire hydrant use, metered or unmetered.
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## Notice Requirements:

1. Company is required to notify customers by delivering written notice to each service address or by utilizing the Company's emergency messaging system which includes email, text, and phone call, or by posting on Company's website, or at the Company's option a combination of these notifications. Such notice shall notify the customers of the general nature of the problem and the need to conserve water.
2. Company shall post at least two (2) signs showing curtailment stage. Signs shall be posted at noticeable locations, like at the well sites and at the entrance to major subdivisions served by the Company.
3. Company shall notify the Consumer Services Section of the Utilities Division of the Corporation Commission at least 12 hours prior to entering Stage 4.

Once Stage 4 has been reached, the Company must augment the supply of water by hauling or through an emergency interconnect from an approved supply or must otherwise provide emergency drinking water for its customers until a permanent solution has been implemented.

Customers who fail to comply with the above restrictions will be given a written notice to end all outdoor uses. Failure to comply within twenty-four (24) hours of receipt of the notice may result in temporary loss of service through the installation and use of a flow restrictor device or other means until an agreement can be made to end unauthorized use of outdoor water. To restore service, the customer shall be required to pay all authorized reconnection fees. If a customer believes he/she has been disconnected in error, the customer may contact the Commission's Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000 to initiate an investigation.
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## LIBERTY UTILITIES (BELLA VISTA WATER) CORP. WATER HOOK-UP FEE TARIFF

## I. Purpose and Applicability

The purpose of the off-site hook-up fees payable to Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Company") pursuant to this tariff is to equitably apportion the costs of constructing additional off-site facilities necessary to provide water production, delivery, storage and pressure among all new service connections. These charges are applicable to all new service connections undertaken via Main Extension Agreements, or requests for service not requiring a Main Extension Agreement entered into after the effective date of this tariff. The charges are one-time charges and are payable as a condition to Company's establishment of service, as more particularly provided below.

## II. Definitions

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions set forth in R-14-2-401 of the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") rules and regulations governing water utilities shall apply in interpreting this tariff schedule.
"Applicant" means any party entering into an agreement with Company for the installation of water facilities to serve new service connections, and may include Developers and/or Builders of new residential subdivisions and/or non-residential properties.
"Company" means Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
"Main Extension Agreement" means any agreement whereby an Applicant, Developer and/or Builder agrees to advance the costs of the installation of water facilities necessary to serve new service connections within a development, or installs such water facilities necessary to serve new service connections and transfers ownership of such water facilities to the Company, which agreement shall require the approval of the Commission pursuant to A.A.C. R-14-2-406, and shall have the same meaning as "Water Facilities Agreement" or "Line Extension Agreement."
"Off-site Facilities" means wells, storage tanks and related appurtenances necessary for proper operation, including engineering and design costs. Offsite facilities may also include booster pumps, pressure tanks, transmission mains and related appurtenances necessary for proper operation if these facilities are not for the exclusive use of the applicant and will benefit the entire water system.
"Service Connection" means and includes all service connections for single-family residential, commercial, industrial or other uses, regardless of meter size.
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## III. Water Hook-up Fee

For each new service connection, the Company shall collect an Off-Site Hook-Up Fee derived from the following table:

| OFF-SITE WATER HOOK-UP FEE TABLE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meter Size | Size Factor | Total Fee |
| $5 / 8 " \times 3 / 4 "$ | 1 | $\$ 1,600$ |
| $3 / 4 "$ | 1.5 | $\$ 2,400$ |
| $1 "$ | 2.5 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| $1-1 / 2 "$ | 5 | $\$ 8,000$ |
| $2 "$ | 8 | $\$ 12,800$ |
| $3 "$ | 16 | $\$ 25,600$ |
| $4 "$ | 25 | $\$ 40,000$ |
| $6 "$ or larger | 50 | $\$ 80,000$ |

## IV. Terms and Conditions

(A) Assessment of One Time Off-Site Hook-up Fee: The off-site facilities hook-up fee may be assessed only once per parcel, service connection, or lot within a subdivision. If a development or subdivision is upsized by Applicant, Builder and/or Developer after assessment of Hook-Up fee by Company, Company may charge additional hook-up fees for such upsizing or expansion by Applicant based on the fee table above.
(B) Use of Off-Site Facilities Hook-up Fee: The off-site facilities hook-up fees may only be used to pay for capital items of off-site facilities, repay loans obtained to fund the cost of installation of off-site facilities, or pay state and federal income taxes related to the hook-up fees. Off-site hook-up fees shall not be used to cover repairs, maintenance, or operational costs. The Company shall record amounts collected under this tariff as CIAC; however, such amounts shall not be deducted from rate base until such amounts have been expended for plant.

# Applies to all WATER service areas PART SIX HOOK UP FEES 

## (C) Time of Payment:

1) For those requiring a Main Extension Agreement: In the event that the person or entity that will be constructing improvements ("Applicant", "Developer" or "Builder") is otherwise required to enter into a Main Extension Agreement, whereby the Applicant, Developer or Builder agrees to advance the costs of installing mains, valves, fittings, hydrants and other on-site improvements in order to extend service in accordance with R-14-2-406(B), payment of the Hook-Up Fees required hereunder shall be made by the Applicant, Developer or Builder no later than within 15 calendar days after receipt of notification from the Company that the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission has approved the Main Extension Agreement in accordance with R-14-2-406(M), or as otherwise mutually agreement between Applicant and Company.
2) For those connecting to an existing main: In the event that the Applicant, Developer or Builder for service is not required to enter into a Main Extension Agreement, the Hook-Up Fee charges hereunder shall be due and payable at the time the meter and service line installation fee is due and payable.
(D) Off-Site Facilities Construction by Developer: Company and Applicant, Developer, or Builder may agree to construction of off-site facilities necessary to serve a particular development by Applicant, Developer or Builder, which facilities are then conveyed to Company. In that event, Company shall credit the total cost of such off-site facilities as an offset to off-site hook-up fees due under this Tariff. If the total cost of the off-site facilities constructed by Applicant, Developer or Builder and conveyed to Company is less than the applicable off-site hook-up fees under this Tariff, Applicant, Developer or Builder shall pay the remaining amount of off-site hook-up fees owed hereunder. If the total cost of the off-site facilities contributed by Applicant, Developer or Builder and conveyed to Company is more than the applicable offsite hook-up fees under this Tariff, Applicant, Developer or Builder shall be refunded the difference upon acceptance of the off-site facilities by the Company.
(E) Failure to Pay Charges; Delinquent Payments: The Company will not be obligated to make an advance commitment to provide or actually provide water service to any Developer, Builder or other applicant for service in the event that the Developer, Builder or other applicant for service has not paid in full all charges hereunder. Under no circumstances will the Company set a meter or otherwise allow service to be established if the entire amount of any payment due hereunder has not been paid.
(F) Large Subdivision Projects: In the event that the Applicant, Developer or Builder is engaged in the development of a residential subdivision containing more than 150 lots, the Company may, in its discretion, agree to payment of off-site hook-up fees in installments. Such installments may be based on the residential subdivision development's phasing, and should attempt to equitably apportion the payment of charges hereunder based on the Applicant's, Developer's or Builder's construction schedule and water service requirements.

ISSUED BY:
Moses Thompson, President

# Applies to all WATER service areas <br> PART SIX <br> HOOK UP FEES 

(G) Off-Site Hook-Up Fees Non-refundable: The amounts collected by the Company as Hook-Up Fees pursuant to the off-site hook-up fee tariff shall be non-refundable contributions in aid of construction ("CIAC").
(H) Use of Off-Site Hook-Up Fees Received: All funds collected by the Company as off-site hook-up fees shall be deposited into a separate unaffiliated third-party interest bearing bank account and used for the purposes of paying for the costs of installation of off-site facilities, including repayment of loans obtained for the installation of off-site facilities that will benefit the entire water system. In addition, funds may be used to pay state and federal income taxes related to the hook-up fees.
(I) Off-Site Hook-up Fee in Addition to On-site Facilities: The off-site hook-up fee shall be in addition to any costs associated with the construction of on-site facilities under a Main Extension Agreement.
(J) Disposition of Excess Funds: After all necessary and desirable off-site facilities are constructed utilizing funds collected pursuant to the off-site hook-up fees, or if the off-site hook-up fee has been terminated by order of the Arizona Corporation Commission, any funds remaining in the unaffiliated thirdparty interest bearing bank account shall be refunded. The manner of the refund shall be determined by the Commission at the time a refund becomes necessary.
(K) Fire Flow Requirements: In the event the applicant for service has fire flow requirements that require additional facilities beyond those facilities whose costs were included in the off-site hook-up fee, and which are contemplated to be constructed using the proceeds of the off-site hook-up Fee, the Company may require the applicant to install such additional facilities as are required to meet those additional fire flow requirements, as a non-refundable contribution, in addition to the off-site hook-up fee.
(L) Status Reporting Requirements to the Commission: The Company shall submit a calendar year OffSite Hook-Up Fee status report each January to Docket Control for the prior twelve (12) month period, beginning January 2017, until the hook-up fee tariff is no longer in effect. This status report shall contain a list of all customers that have paid the hook-up fee tariff, the amount each has paid, the physical property in respect of which such fee was paid, the amount of money spent from the account, the amount of interest earned on the funds within the tariff account, and an itemization of all facilities that have been installed using the tariff funds during the 12 month period.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART SEVEN <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## Applicability

Applicable to residential water service for domestic use rendered to individuals who meet all the program qualifications and special conditions of this rate schedule.

## Programs

This Customer Assistance Tariff (CAT) contains the following programs: (1) Low-Income Program; (2) Deployed Services Member Program; and (3) Disabled Veteran Program. Collectively, these three programs are referred to as the "Customer Assistance Programs".

## Territory

Within all customer service areas served by Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty" or "Company").

## Rates

Fifteen percent (15\%) discount applied to the regular filed tariff.

## Program Qualifications

1. The Liberty bill must be in your name and the address must be your primary residence.
2. You may not be claimed as a dependent on another person's tax return.
3. You must reapply each time you move residences.
4. You must renew your application once every year, or sooner, if requested.
5. You must notify Liberty within thirty (30) days if you become ineligible for the CAT.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART SEVEN <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## Special Conditions

1. Application: An application on a form authorized by the Commission is required for each request for service under this schedule. A customer must reapply every year or sooner, if requested.
2. Commencement of Rate: Eligible customers whose applications have been approved shall be billed on this schedule commencing with the next regularly scheduled billing period that follows receipt of application by Liberty.
3. Verification: Information provided by the applicant is subject to verification by Liberty. Refusal or failure of a customer to provide documentation of eligibility acceptable to Liberty, upon request by Liberty, shall result in removal from this rate schedule.
4. Notice from Customer: It is the customer's responsibility to notify Liberty if there is a change of eligibility status.
5. Rebilling: Customers may be re-billed retroactively for periods of ineligibility under the applicable rate schedule.
6. Participation Limit: The CAT (for all three programs included) is limited to 2,400 customers of the Company. Applications will be reviewed and approved on a first come, first served basis. Applicants will be placed on a waiting list if the participation limit has been met.
7. Qualification: A customer that qualifies for more than one program will only receive benefits from one program per year. CAT benefits will not be combined or accumulated.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART SEVEN <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## LOW INCOME PROGRAM

To qualify for the low income program, the total gross annual income of all persons living in your household cannot exceed the income levels below:

## Effective $\mathbf{~ x x x x ~} \mathbf{x x}$, $\mathbf{x x x}$

| No. of Person <br> in Household | Total Gross <br> Annual Income* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$XXXXX |
| 2 | \$XXXXX |
| 3 | \$XXXXX |
| 4 | \$XXXXX |
| 5 | \$XXXXX |
| 6 | \$XXXXX |

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX
*Qualifying annual incomes are set at 150 percent of the 202X federal poverty levels.
Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:

| Wages or salaries | Social Security, SSI, SSP | Rental or royalty income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest or dividends from: | Scholarships, grants, or other | Profit from self-employm |
| Savings account, stocks or bonds | aid used for living expenses | (IRS form Schedule C, Line 29) |
| Unemployment benefits | Disability payments | Worker's Compensation |
| TANF (AFDC) | Food Stamps | Child Support |
| Pensions | Insurance settlements | Spousal Support |
| Gifts |  |  |

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART SEVEN <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## DEPLOYED SERVICES MEMBER PROGRAM

This program allows the Company to provide a $15 \%$ discount to deployed service members of the United States Military. The Company will provide the credit on the deployed service member's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

The Company will provide the credit on the deployed service member's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

1. Deployment is not a "permanent change of station." Permanent change of station requires a service member to permanently change his or her place of residence, paid for by the applicable military branch. A service member's decision to keep a secondary residence in Arizona would be discretionary and would not qualify for this credit.
2. Deployed member does not have family living in the premises. Short term deployments, where a spouse and/or dependents remain in the United States would not qualify, as the service member would receive separate compensation from the military to cover domestic expenses while deployed.
3. The deployed service member is an active member of the military (e.g., Air Force, Army, Coast Guard, Marines, and Navy), as defined by 10 U.S.C. § 101(a)(4), and includes any member of the Reserves or National Guard called to active duty.

## Administration

1. Participation shall be determined on a first come, first served basis.
2. Each service member's eligibility must be verified based on written orders from the service member's command.
3. Continued eligibility will be determined periodically through a recertification process.
4. The Company is permitted to seek Commission approval to change participant limits based on level of participation.
5. Qualifying annual incomes are set at 200 percent of the 202X federal poverty levels.
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## DEPLOYED SERVICES MEMBER PROGRAM

## Effective $\mathbf{x x x x} \mathbf{x x}$, xxxx

| No. of Person <br> in Household |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |


| Total Gross <br> Annual Income* |
| :---: |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX

Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:

| Wages or salaries | Social Security, SSI, SSP | Rental or royalty income |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest or dividends from: | Scholarships, grants, or other | Profit from self-employment <br> Savings account, stocks or |
| aid | (IRS form Schedule C, Line |  |
| bonds | used for living expenses | 29) |
| Unemployment benefits | Disability payments | Worker's Compensation |
| TANF (AFDC) | Food Stamps | Child Support |
| Pensions | Insurance settlements | Spousal Support |
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## DISABLED MILITARY VETERAN PROGRAM

This program allows the Company to provide a $15 \%$ discount to disabled military veterans of the United States Military. The Company will provide the credit on the disabled military veteran's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

The Company will provide the credit on the disabled military veteran's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

1. Disabled military veteran was honorably discharged from the armed forces.
2. Disabled military veteran must have a permanent disability rating related to their military duty service.
3. The disabled military veteran must have been an active member of the military (e.g., Air Force, Army, Coast Guard, Marines, and Navy), as defined by 10 U.S.C. § 101(a)(4), and includes any member of the Reserves or National Guard called to active duty.

## Administration

1. Participation shall be determined on a first come, first served basis.
2. Each service member's eligibility must be verified based on documentation demonstrating a medical discharge or other written documentation from the United States Department of Defense or Department of Veteran Affairs.
3. Continued eligibility will be determined periodically through a recertification process.
4. The Company is permitted to seek Commission approval to change participant limits based on level of participation.
5. Qualifying annual incomes are set at 200 percent of the 202X federal poverty levels

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART SEVEN <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## DISABLED MILITARY VETERAN PROGRAM

## Effective $\mathbf{~ x x x x ~ x x , ~} \mathbf{x x x x}$

| No. of Person <br> in Household | Total Gross <br> Annual Income* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$XXXXX |
| 2 | \$XXXXX |
| 3 | \$XXXXX |
| 4 | \$XXXXX |
| 5 | \$XXXXX |
| 6 | \$XXXXX |

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX

Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:
Wages or salaries
Interest or dividends from:
Savings account, stocks or
bonds
Unemployment benefits
TANF (AFDC)
Pensions
Gifts

Social Security, SSI, SSP
Scholarships, grants, or other aid
used for living expenses
Disability payments
Food Stamps
Insurance settlements

Rental or royalty income
Profit from self-employment
(IRS form Schedule C, Line
29)

Worker's Compensation
Child Support
Spousal Support
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## RECOVERY OF COST OF CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF AND CUSTOMER SURCHARGES

The Company shall recover the CAT costs from a monthly CAT surcharge on all residential and non-residential water customers who are not participating in the CAT. Liberty is entitled to seek recovery of direct costs (i.e., those costs directly associated with the programs, which costs would not be incurred in the absence of the programs). The Company shall account for those direct costs separately from other operating costs.

Liberty shall be entitled to implement a CAT surcharge on non-participating residential and nonresidential water as follows.

- For customers participating in the CAT, the Company shall maintain a balancing account detailing the beginning and ending balance of the cumulative unrecovered program costs each month.
- Liberty's authorized rate of return shall be applied monthly to the average of the beginning balances of the cumulative unrecovered program costs for water service and included in the beginning balances for the following month.
- Using the balancing account, Liberty shall calculate the monthly surcharge for each customer as follows:
(Ending Balance for Low-Income Tariff Balancing Account including amortized carrying costs during recovery period /Number of active non-participating water connections at year end)/12
- The ending balance in the balancing account shall equal the beginning balances plus discounts allowed on bills for the twelve month tracking period, plus direct program costs incurred in the twelve month period plus the return less surcharge fees billed in the twelve month tracking period.
- Liberty shall implement a monthly surcharge for the CAT for each twelve month period of the CAT. The Company shall calculate the monthly surcharge each year based on the active number of customer connections as of December 31 of the prior year. The Company shall file notice of the surcharge, along with a report on the CAT, with the Arizona Corporation Commission on or before January 31 and the surcharge shall be implemented on customer bills in February of each year with the recovery period ending in January of the following year.


# Applies to all service areas <br> PART EIGHT <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR PURCHASED POWER ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM 

## I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION.

This document is the Plan of Administration ("POA") for the Purchased Power Adjustment Mechanism ("PPAM") for Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. The PPAM allows Liberty Bella Vista to pass through to its customers the increase or decrease in purchased power costs that result from a rate change for any Commission-regulated electric service provider supplying retail electric service to the Company.

## II. PPAM RELATED FILINGS.

A. Within 60 days of the effective date of a Commission Decision authorizing a rate change in the approved tariffs for any Commission-regulated electric service provider supplying retail electric service to the Company, the Company shall file with Docket Control an analysis of the actual impact on the energy portion of the Company's electric service costs.
B. The Company will provide the Commission with spreadsheets detailing exactly how the Company's purchased power expenses were calculated in the time period prior to a change in the rate that the Company must pay for purchased power. These calculations will include basic service charges and rate and volume figures. That is, the Company will break down its total purchased power bill into the amount due to fixed fees, volume of electricity used, and the rates paid per unit of electricity. For the period following the rate change, the Company will provide the same information, then compare the two periods, isolating any change in purchased power cost that is due exclusively to a rate change. The specific intent is to show exactly how much of any increase or decrease is due to changes in rates beyond the Company's control and how much is due to a change in the amount of power that the Company consumes. The Company will only recover increases or refund decreases that are due to changes in rates.
C. All revised schedules filed with the Commission pursuant to the provisions of this PPAM will be accompanied by documentation prepared by the Company in a format approved by Utilities Division Staff of the Commission and will contain sufficient detail to enable the Commission to verify accuracy of the Company's calculations.
D. The surcharges will not become effective until approved by the Commission.
E. The Company will file annually with the Commission a report detailing the Company's purchased power costs and any conservation or power-shifting measures employed by the Company.
F. The Company shall provide notice (in a form acceptable to Staff) of the rate increases to customers with the bill where the rate increase first appears.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART EIGHT <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> PURCHASED POWER ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

## III. APPLICATION TO WATER CUSTOMERS.

A. The increase or decrease in purchased power costs that are due to changes in rates at the Company's water facilities will be allocated on a per capita basis.
B. See the following example:

| Test Year |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Purchased Power Rate | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 8 0 0}$ |
| Kilowatt Hours Used | $1,250,000$ |
| Purchased Power Expense | $\$ 100,000$ |$\quad$| Current Year |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Purchased Power Rate | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 1 0 0 0}$ |
| Kilowatt Hours Used | $1,250,000$ |
| Purchased Power Expense | $\$ 125,000$ |


| Pass Through Calculation |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current Year Purchased Power Expense | $\$ 125,000$ |
| Test Year Purchased Power Expense | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Increase in Purchased Power Expense Due to Rate Increase | $\$ 25,000$ |

PPAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill

| Increase in Purchased Power Expense Due to Rate Increase | $\$ 25,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of Water Customers | 20,000 |
| PP | $\$ 1,25$ |

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART NINE <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM 

## I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION.

This document is the Plan of Administration ("POA") for the Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism ("PTAM") for Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. The PTAM allows Liberty Bella Vista to pass through to its customers the increase or decrease in property taxes that results from a change in the applicable assessment ratio and/or property tax rates.

## II. PTAM RELATED FILINGS.

A. Within 60 days of the effective date of a change in the assessment ratio and/or property tax rates applicable to the Company, the Company shall file with Docket Control an analysis of the actual impact on the Company's property tax expenses.
B. The Company will provide the Commission with spreadsheets detailing exactly how the Company's property tax expenses were calculated in the time period prior to a change in the assessment ratio and/or property tax rate that affects the Company's property tax expenses. These calculations will include the assessment ratio, the property tax rates, and the value of the property that was taxed. For the period following the change(s), the Company will provide the same information, then compare the two periods, isolating any change in property tax expense that is due exclusively to changes in the assessment ratio and/or property tax rates. The specific intent is to show exactly how much of any increase or decrease in property tax expense is due to changes in the assessment ratio and tax rates beyond the Company's control and how much is due to changes in the value of the property the Company owns. The Company will only recover increases or refund decreases that are due to changes in the assessment ratio and tax rates.
C. All revised schedules filed with the Commission pursuant to the provisions of this PTAM will be accompanied by documentation prepared by the Company in a format approved by Utilities Division Staff of the Commission and will contain sufficient detail to enable the Commission to verify accuracy of the Company's calculations.
D. The surcharges will not become effective until approved by the Commission.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART NINE <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

G. The Company will file annually with the Commission a report detailing the Company's property tax expenses.
H. The Company shall provide notice (in a form acceptable to Staff) of the rate increases to customers with the bill where the rate increase first appears.

## III. APPLICATION TO WATER CUSTOMERS.

C. The increase or decrease in property tax expenses that are due to changes in the assessment ratio and/or property tax rates at the Company's WATER facilities will be allocated on a per capita basis.
D. See the examples on the next page:

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART NINE <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

Change in Assessment Ratio Example

| Test Year |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Assessment Ratio | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Property Full Cash Value | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ |


| Change in Assessed Valuation |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current Year Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,100,000$ |
| Test Year Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Increase in Assessed Valuation Due to Increase in Assessment Ratio | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Test Year |  |  | Current Year |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Total Property Tax Rate | $10.00 \%$ |  |  |
| Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 200,000$ |  |
| Total Property Tax Rate | $10.00 \%$ |  |  |
| Property Tax Expense |  | Assessed Valuation | Property Tax Expense |


| PTAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Increase in Property Tax Expense Due to Increase in Assessment Ratio | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Number of WATER Customers | 20,000 |
|  | $\$ 0.50$ |

Change in Total Property Tax Rate Example

| Test Year |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Property Tax Rate | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Property Tax Expense | $\$ 200,000$ |$\quad$| Current Year |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Property Tax Rate | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Property Tax Expense | $\$ 220,000$ |


| Pass Through Calculation |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current Year Property Tax Expense | $\$ 220,000$ |
| Test Year Property Tax Expense | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Increase in Property Tax Expense Due to Rate Increase | $\$ 20,000$ |


| PTAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Increase in Property Tax Expense Due to Rate Increase | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Number of WATER Customers | 20,000 |
| PTAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill | $\$ 1.00$ |

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART TEN <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR WATER TREATMENT RATE ADJUSTOR MECHANISM 

## I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION.

This document is the Plan of Administration ("POA") for Liberty (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty" or "Company"). Water Treatment Rate Adjustor Mechanism ("WTRAM"). This Adjustor Mechanism is designed to recover the incremental revenue requirement associated with the treatment of federally regulated contaminants. The Water Treatment Program is designed to facilitate certain critical infrastructure investments that are needed to achieve compliance with statutory requirements related to maximum contaminant levels (MCL) of regulated contaminants in Liberty Utilities' water and wastewater systems. This Adjustor Mechanism aids the timely recovery of the revenue requirement associated with Capital Expenditures plus the incremental operating and maintenance costs related to such Capital Expenditures for infrastructure that: (i) are approved by the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC or Commission); (ii) are completed and placed into service; (iii) are not yet included in rate base; and (iv) are necessary to achieve compliance with federal regulation.

## II. WTRAM FILING TIMELINE.

A. Liberty Utilities shall file its yearly WTRAM Surcharge Request no later than March 31 each calendar year.
B. The March filing shall include all associated projects placed into service during the twelvemonth period ended December 31 (inclusive) of the previous calendar year in compliance with the criteria listed above.
C. Staff shall file its Report and Proposed Order on the WTRAM Surcharge Request (filed by Liberty) with the Commission no later than May $31^{\text {st }}$ in the same calendar year. Please refer to the table below.
D. Upon Staff's approval, Liberty Utilities shall implement the surcharge on July 1 of the same calendar year of the filing.

See Schedule Filing Timeline on the next page:

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART TEN <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> <br> WATER TREATMENT ADJUSTOR MECHANISM 

 <br> <br> WATER TREATMENT ADJUSTOR MECHANISM}

| Due Date | Filing / Documents | $\underline{\text { Purpose }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 03.31 .2025 | WTRAM Surcharge Calculation. Completed <br> Contaminant Remission Project table for 2024 <br> with supporting schedules, workpapers | WTRAM Surcharge Request: <br> 2024 Completed Projects |
| 05.31 .2025 | Staff Report and Proposed Order to be docketed <br> with supporting schedules <br> (Annual WTRAM Surcharge Filing) | WTRAM Surcharge for 2024 <br> completed projects. |
| 07.01 .2025 | WTRAM surcharge added to customer bills | Recovery of revenue <br> requirement for WTRAM <br> plant additions in 2024 |

## III. Schedule of Completed Federally Regulated Contaminant Treatment Plant Projects, Incremental O\&M Costs and Surcharge Request.

The WTRAM Surcharge shall be implemented when the EPA has established acceptable limits (MCLs) on regulated constituents. The Schedule of Completed Projects will contain the following:
A. Completed Project Information Projects).

1. Location and description of plant asset placed in service.
2. In service date
3. A summary of compliance issues each project will mitigate.
B. Cost Recovery (WTRAM Surcharge Request). The WTRAM Surcharge Request shall include Liberty Utilities' request to set / reset the WTRAM Surcharge to recover the revenue requirement associated with the Capital Expenditures used for infrastructure to achieve statutorily mandated compliance with MCLs of federally regulated contaminants. The request shall also include related incremental O\&M costs. The WTRAM Surcharge Request shall include the following information, as applicable, regarding the costs Liberty Utilities proposes to recover through the WTRAM Surcharge:
4. Evidence in support of the recorded costs for each project undertaken during the preceding calendar year. This information shall include the following, as applicable:
i. The actual cost of each project including separately identifying material costs, contractor costs, internal labor costs, and other material costs of the projects, including, without limitation, permitting, studies or other governmental mandates necessary to remove contaminants
ii. In-service date
5. Retirement date, dollar amount of plant retired, and cost of removal.
6. A schedule of related incremental O\&M costs.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART TEN <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> WATER TREATMENT ADJUSTOR MECHANISM

C. Calculation of the WTRAM Surcharge. The WTRAM Surcharge shall be calculated in accordance with the Exhibits to this POA. The Commission-approved Rate of Return shall be used for the purpose of this calculation.
D. Staff will file a Staff Report and Proposed Order regarding the WTRAM Surcharge Request no later than May $31^{\text {st }}$ of each year.
E. Reconciliation and True-up Adjustment:

1. The True-up Adjustment shall be calculated in accordance with the exhibits to the POA.
2. For each 12-month period that a WTRAM Surcharge is in effect, the Company shall reconcile the amounts collected by the WTRAM Surcharge with the WTRAM authorized revenue, for that 12 -month period. The difference between WTRAM authorized revenue and the amount collected through the WTRAM Surcharge is the True-Up Adjustment, and this amount shall be incorporated into the next WTRAM Surcharge.
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## Applies to all service areas <br> PART ONE <br> STATEMENT OF CHARGES

## I. RATES

In Decision No. XXXXX, dated XXXXX, the Commission authorized the following rates and charges to become effective XXXXX:
A. Monthly Minimum Charge

| Meter Size (All Classes) | Charge $^{\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4 "$ Meter | $\$ 4.25$ |
| $3 / 4 "$ Meter | 36.38 |
| $1 "$ Meter | 60.63 |
| $11 / 2 "$ Meter | 121.25 |
| $2 "$ Meter | 194.00 |
| $3 "$ Meter | 388.00 |
| $4 "$ Meter | 606.25 |
| $6 "$ Meter | $1,212.50$ |
| $8 "$ Meter | $1,940.00$ |
| $10 "$ Meter | $2,788.75$ |
| $12 "$ Meter | $5,213.75$ |
| Morningstar Ranch Community Association -6 inch | 826.00 |
| Fire Service Lines | Charge |
| All Meter Sizes | Per Rule* |

[^4]* Per A.A.C. R14-2-408.B. $2.00 \%$ of monthly minimum for a comparable size meter, but no less than $\$ 10.00$ per month. The service charge for fire sprinklers is only applicable for service line separate and distinct from the primary water service line.


## Applies to all service areas <br> PART ONE <br> STATEMENT OF CHARGES

## B. Commodity Rates

The rate for use in addition to the minimum stated above shall be at the following rates per 1,000 gallons:

| Meter Size | Consumption | Charge $\mathbf{3}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 8 " \times 3 / 4 "$ Meter - (All Classes, Except Standpipe) | 0 to 4,000 gallons | 3.11 |
|  | 4,001 to 10,000 gallons | 5.30 |
| $3 / 4 "$ Meter - (All Classes, Except Standpipe) | Over 10,000 gallons | 7.18 |
|  | 0 to 4,000 gallons | 3.11 |
| $1 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 4,001 to 10,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 10,000 gallons | 7.18 |
| $11 / 2 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 25,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 25,000 gallons | 7.18 |
| $2 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 50,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 50,000 gallons | 7.18 |
| $3 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 80,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 80,000 gallons | 7.18 |
|  | 0 to 160,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 160,000 gallons | 7.18 |

[^5]Applies to all service areas
PART ONE
STATEMENT OF CHARGES

| Meter Size | Consumption | Charge |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $4 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 250,000 gallons | $\$ 5.30$ |
|  | Over 250,000 gallons | 7.18 |
| $6 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 500,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 500,000 gallons | 7.18 |
| $8 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 800,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 800,000 gallons | 7.18 |
| $10 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 1,150,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 1,150,000 gallons | 7.18 |
| $12 "$ Meter - All Classes (except standpipe) | 0 to 2,150,000 gallons | 5.30 |
|  | Over 2,150,000 gallons | 7.18 |
| Morningstar Ranch Community Association | All gallons | 8.68 |
| Construction/Bulk/Standpipe | All gallons | 7.18 |

## Applies to all WATER service areas <br> PART ONE <br> STATEMENT OF CHARGES

## C. Service Line and Meter Installation Charges

(Refundable Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-405)

| Meter Size | Line | Meter | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/8 x 3/4" Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3/4" Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 1" Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| $11 / 2$ " Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 2" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 2" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 4" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 4" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 6" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 6" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 8" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 8" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 10" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 10" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 12" Turbine Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 12" Compound Meter | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |

## Applies to all service areas PART ONE STATEMENT OF CHARGES

## D. Miscellaneous Service Charges

| Service | Charge |
| :--- | ---: |
| Establishment per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $\$ 30.00$ |
| Re-Establishment of Service per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $(*)$ |
| Reconnection per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $(\mathrm{a})$ |
| Meter Test (if correct) per A.A.C. R14-2-408(F) | $\$ 30.00$ |
| Meter Re-Read (if correct) per A.A.C. R14-2-408(C) | $\$ 30.00$ |
| NSF Check per A.A.C. R14-2-409(F) | $\$ 20.00$ |
| Deferred Payment, Per Month | $1.50 \%$ |
| Late Charge | $(* * *)$ |
| Service Calls After Hours | $\$ 90.00(\mathrm{~b})$ |
| Deposit | $(* *)$ |
| Deposit Interest per A.A.C. R14-2-403(B) | $6.00 \%$ |
| Moving Customer Meter (at customer request) | At Cost |
| Off-Site Facilities Hook Up Fees | Per Hook Up Fee |

* Months off system times the monthly minimum charge per Commission Rule A.A.C. R142-603(D).
** Residential - two times the average bill.
Non-residential - two and one-half times the average bill.
Per Commission Rule A.A.C. R14-2-603(B).
*** Greater of $\$ 5.00$ or $1.50 \%$ per month on unpaid balance.
(a) Customer shall pay the actual cost of physical disconnection and Establishment Fee (if same customer) and there shall be no charge for disconnection if no physical work is performed.
(b) The After-Hours Service Charge shall apply to any service requested by Customer that is performed by Company after regular business hours and shall be in addition to the regular business hours service charge.


## Applies to all service areas <br> PART ONE <br> STATEMENT OF CHARGES

## II. TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS

In addition to the collection of regular rates, the Company will collect from its customers a proportionate share of any privilege, sales, and use tax per A.A.C. R14-2-409(D)(5).

All Advances or Contributions are to include labor, materials, overheads, and all applicable taxes, including all gross-up taxes for income taxes. Cost to include labor, materials and parts, overheads, and all applicable taxes.

Under applicable law, any contributions or advances provided by a Developer are taxable income to the Utility. In accordance with the Gross-Up Sharing Method policy adopted by the Commission in Decision No. 76974, the Company will collect from the Developer an applicable share of income taxes for the Company's state and federal tax liability on all funds contributed and/or advanced. The funds will be collected prior to the commencement of service.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART TWO STATEMENT OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS 

## I. PERMITTED COSTS

A. Costs shall be verified by invoice.
B. For services that are provided by the Company at cost, costs shall include labor, materials, other charges incurred, and overhead not to exceed $10 \%$. However, prior to any such service being provided, the estimated cost of such service will be provided by the Company to the customer. After review of the cost estimate, the customer will pay the amount of the estimated cost to the Company.
C. In the event that the actual cost is less than the estimated cost, the Company will refund the excess to the customer within 30 days after completion of the provision of the service or after Company's receipt of invoices, timesheets or other related documents, whichever is later.
D. In the event the actual cost is more than the estimated cost, the Company will bill the customer for the amount due within 30 days after completion of the provision of the service or after the Company's receipt of invoices, timesheets or other related documents, whichever is later. The amount so billed will be due and payable 30 days after the invoice date. However, if the actual cost is more than five percent ( $5 \%$ ) greater than the total amount paid, the customer will only be required to pay five percent ( $5 \%$ ) more than the total amount paid, unless the Company can demonstrate that the increased costs were beyond its control and could not be foreseen at the time the estimate for the total amount paid was made.
E. At the customer's request, the Company shall make available to the customer all invoices, timesheets or related documents that support the cost for providing such service.
F. Permitted costs shall include any Federal, State or local taxes that are or may be payable by the Company as a result of any tariff or contract for water facilities under which the Customer advances or contributes funds or facilities to the Company.

## Applies to all service areas PART TWO STATEMENT OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS

## II. INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE; COMPANY'S LIABILITY LIMITATIONS

The Company will supply only such water at such pressures as may be available from time to time as a result of the normal operation of its water system. The Company will maintain a minimum water pressure of 20 p.s.i. and will not guarantee a specific gallons per minute flow rate at any public fire hydrants or fire sprinkler service. In the event service is interrupted, irregular or defective, or fails from causes beyond the Company's control or through ordinary negligence of its employees or agents, the Company will not be liable for any injuries or damages arising therefrom.

## III. RULES AND REGULATIONS

The Company has adopted the Rules and Regulations established by the Commission as the basis for its operating procedures. A.A.C. R14-2-401 through A.A.C. R14-2-411 will be controlling of Company procedures, unless specific Commission Order(s) provide otherwise.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART THREE <br> EMERGENCY WATER AUGMENTATION SURCHARGE TARIFF AND PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION 

## I. Purpose and Applicability

## PURPOSE:

The purpose of this tariff is to authorize Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. (the "Company") to make monthly adjustments to it rates and charges for water service in order to recover cost incurred for water purchases and hauling ("Water Augmentation Costs") in the event that Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. experiences an emergency water shortage. The charges will be assessed based on usage as provided below.

## Applicability:

This tariff is obtained during the processing of a permanent rate app 1ication. This tariff only applies in the event of an "emergency water shortage" as defined in Section II of the definitions below.

## II. Definitions

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions set forth in R-14-2-401 of the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") rules and regulations governing water utilities shall apply in interpreting this tariff schedule.
"Affiliate," means any other entity directly or indirectly controlling or controlled by, or under direct or indirect common control with Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. For purposes of this definition, the term "control" (including the correlative meanings of the terms "controlled by" and "under common control with"), as used with respect to any entity. means the power to direct the management policies of such entity, whether through ownership of voting securities. or by contract, or otherwise.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART THREE <br> EMERGENCY WATER AUGMENTATION SURCHARGE TARIFF AND PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION 

"Curtailment Account Balance" means any monies collected under the current curtailment tariff.
"Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge" means the surcharge calculated in accordance with Section IV below.
"Emergency Water Shortage., means a water shortage of a serious nature, developing suddenly or unexpectedly, that is out of the Company's control, and demanding immediate attention and has triggered at least Stage 3 of the companies approved Curtailment Plan.
"Surcharge Rate" means the rate per 1,000 gallons that is calculated in accordance with Section III below.
"Water Augmentation Cost" means the actual cost of water purchased and water hauling costs not already included in the utility's existing rates per the last approved rate case.
"Water Augmentation Quantity" means the actual quantity of augmented water (in thousands of gallons).
"Water Sold" means the actual quantity (in thousands of gallons) of water sold by the Company to its Customers during the month corresponding to the month in which water was purchased.

## III. Surcharge Rate Calculation

The surcharge is calculated using data from the previous month's bill, for example, the water augmentation surcharge that is applied on a customer's bill is calculated using the June water augmentation costs and the June total gallons sold. See Figure A for an example of the calculation.

Figure A
For each month that the Company augments water, the Company will calculate the Surcharge Rate per the following formula:

Water Augmentation Cost/Water Sold

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART THREE <br> EMERGENCY WATER AUGMENTATION SURCHARGE TARIFF AND PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION 

## Example

This example illustrates how the water augmentation surcharge that is included on a customer's bill would be calculated using 2,000 gallons of usage.
[A]
[B]
[C]
[D]

| Total June Water \& Hauling Costs | June Ending Curtailment Account Balance | Total Gallons Sold in June in 1,000 s | Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge per 1,000 gallons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$3,000 | \$100 | 494 | \$6.28 |
| [E] | [F] | [G] |  |


| Customer's Current |  | Total Emergency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Emergency Water | Water |
|  | Augmentation | Augmentation |
| Usage Gallons in | Surcharge (from | Surcharge on |
| 1,000s | Col.D) | Current Bill |
| 2 | \$6.28 | \$12.55 |

## II. Plan of Administration

(A) Intent To Bill Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge: For any month in which water is augmented, after completing its billing for the month and receiving the billing for the month, the Company will calculate the Surcharge Rate using the same methodology discussed herein und shown on Figure A and provide Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission Staff') notice of the Company's intent to bill the Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge.
(B) Notice to Commission Staff: For any month in which the Company intends to bill customers an Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge, the Company shall provide Commission Staff notice of the Company's intent to bill the Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge. The notice to Commission Staff shall include the following:

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART THREE <br> EMERGENCY WATER AUGMENTATION SURCHARGE TARIFF AND PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION 

1. The Water Augmentation Cost.
2. The Water Augmentation Quantity.
3. A copy of the bill(s) received for the Water Augmentation.
4. A description of the system problem necessitating Water Augmentation and a description of the action being taken by the Company to resolve the problem including the date operations did or are expected to return to normal.
5. The dates for beginning and ending Water Augmentation.
6. A schedule showing the calculation of the Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge Rate in excel format with formulas intact.
7. Identification of the hauling(s) available. If only one option was available, please state that.there was only one option.
8. Whether or not the hauling entity was an affiliate.
(C) Implementation of Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge: Commission Staff will review the Notice. If the filing is acceptable to Commission Staff, the resulting Surcharge will be charged to Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. customers as a Emergency Water Augmentation Surcharge to be included on customers monthly bill as a separate line item.
(D) Documentation to Be Maintained: The Company shall maintain documentation for all costs, billing determinants, and revenues recoveries.
(E) Customer Notice: The Company shall notify its customers or this new tariff as part of its next regularly scheduled billing after the effective date of the tariff but no later than sixty (60) days after the effective date of the tariff in a form acceptable to Staff.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART FOUR CROSS-CONNECTION OR BACKFLOW TARIFF 

## I. PURPOSE:

The purpose of this tariff is to protect Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. (the "Company") water from the possibility of contamination caused by backflow of contaminants that may be present on the customer's premises by requiring the installation and periodic testing of backflow-prevention assemblies pursuant to the provisions of the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-405.B.6. and A.A.C. R18-4-215.

## II. REQUIREMENTS:

In compliance with the Rules and Regulations of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ"), specifically A.A.C. R14-2-405.B. 6 and A.A.C. R18-4-215 relating to backflow prevention:

1. The Company may require a customer to pay for and have installed, and to maintain, test and repair a backflow-prevention assembly if A.A.C. R18-4-215.B or C applies.
2. A backflow-prevention assembly required to be installed by the customer under Paragraph 1 of this tariff shall comply with the requirements set forth in A.A.C. R18-4-215.D and E.
3. Subject to the provisions of A.A.C. R14-2-407 and 410, and in accordance with Paragraphs 1 and 7 of this tariff, the Company may terminate service or deny service to a customer who fails to install a backflow-prevention assembly as required by this tariff.
4. The Company shall give any existing customer who is required to install a backflowprevention assembly written notice of said requirement. If A.A.C. R14-2-410.B.1.a is not applicable, the customer shall be given thirty (30) days from the time such written notice is received in which to comply with this notice. If the customer can show good cause as to why he cannot install the backflow-prevention assembly within thirty (30) days, the Company or Commission Staff may suspend this requirement for a reasonable period of time.
5. Testing shall be in conformance with the requirements of A.A.C. R18-4-215.F. The Company may require the customer to pay to have the backflow-prevention assembly tested as long as the Company does not require an unreasonable number of tests. The Company may also require the customer to pay for repairs to a backflow-prevention assembly

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART FOUR CROSS-CONNECTION OR BACKFLOW TARIFF

6. The customer shall provide the Company with records of installation and testing. For each backflow-prevention assembly, these records shall include:
a. assembly identification number and description;
b. location;
c. date(s) of test(s);
d. description of repairs and recommendations for repairs made by tester;
e. tester's name and certificate number, and
f. tester's field test kit certification documentation.
7. In the event the backflow-prevention assembly does not function properly or fails any test, and an obvious hazard as contemplated under A.A.C. R14-2-410.B.1.a. exists, the Company may terminate service immediately and without notice. The backflowprevention assembly shall be repaired or replaced by the customer and retested.
8. In the event the backflow-prevention assembly does not function properly or fails any test, or in the event that a customer fails to comply with the testing requirement, and A.A.C. R14-2-410.B.1.a. is not applicable, the backflow-prevention assembly shall be repaired or replaced within fourteen (14) days of the initial discovery of the deficiency in the assembly or its function. Failure to remedy the deficiency of dysfunction of the assembly, or failure to retest, shall be grounds for termination of water service in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-410.

# Applies to all service areas <br> <br> PART FIVE <br> <br> PART FIVE <br> <br> CURTAILMENT PLAN 

 <br> <br> CURTAILMENT PLAN}

## ADEQ Public Water System:

ADEQ Public Water System Number: 12-011
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 07-007
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 07-511
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 07-517
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 07-0528
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-010
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-007
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-013
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-054
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-011
ADEQ Public Water System Number: 02-023
ADEQ Public Water System Number 02-120
ADEQ Public Water System Numbers: 13-023
Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. ("Company") is authorized to curtail water service to all customers within its certified area under the terms and conditions listed in this tariff.

This curtailment plan shall become part of the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality Emergency Operations Plan for the Company.

The Company shall notify its customers of this new tariff as part of its next regularly scheduled billing after the effective date of the tariff or no later than sixty (60) days after the effective date of the tariff.

The Company shall provide a copy of the curtailment tariff to any customer, upon request.

## Stage 1 Exists When:

Company is able to maintain water storage in the systems at 100 percent of capacity and there are no known problems with its well production or water storage in the system.

Restrictions: Under Stage 1, the Company is deemed to be operating normally and no curtailment is necessary but conservation efforts are encouraged as a best management practice ${ }^{1}$.

Notice Requirements: Under Stage 1, no notice is necessary.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART FIVE <br> CURTAILMENT PLAN

## Stage 2 Exists When:

a. Company's water storage or well production has been less than 80 percent of capacity for at least 48 consecutive hours, and
b. Company has identified issues such as a steadily declining water table, increased draw down threatening pump operations, or poor water production, creating a reasonable belief the Company will be unable to meet anticipated water demand on a sustained basis.

Restrictions: Under Stage 2, the Company may request the customers to voluntarily employ water conservation measures to reduce water consumption by approximately 50 percent. The below conservation measures are encouraged to reduce water consumption:

- Divide outside watering on uniform basis such as:

| Address Ends In | $1,2,4,7,0$ | $3,5,6,8$, or 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Watering Days | Monday and Wednesday | Tuesday and Thursday |

- Outdoor watering should be limited between the following times:

| Seasonal Period | Watering Prohibited |
| :--- | :--- |
| April - September | 6:00 a.m. $-7: 00$ p.m. |
| October - March | $8: 00$ a.m. $-7: 00$ p.m. |

- Eliminate outside watering on weekends and holidays
- Eliminate runoff from outdoor irrigation
- Use a shut-off hose nozzle if using hose to irrigate landscape or wash vehicles
- Eliminate washing of hard surfaces outdoors except washing to alleviate health or fire hazards
- Construction water blackout period between the hours of 5:00 am and 9:00 am, Monday through Sunday implemented
- Fix indoor and outdoor leaks
- Indoor water conservation techniques should be employed whenever possible

Notice Requirements: Company is required to notify customers by delivering written notice to each service address or by utilizing the Company's emergency messaging system which includes email, text, and phone call, or by posting on Company's website, or at the Company's option a combination of these notifications. Such notice shall notify the customers of the general nature of the problem and the need to conserve water.

The Company shall notify the Consumer Services Section of the Utilities Division of the Corporation Commission at least 12 hours prior to entering Stage 2.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART FIVE <br> CURTAILMENT PLAN

## Stage 3 Exists When:

a. Company's total water storage or well production has been less than 50 percent of capacity for at least 24 consecutive hours, and
b. Company has identified issues such as a steadily declining water table, increased draw down threatening pump operations, or poor water production, creating a reasonable belief the Company will be unable to meet anticipated water demand on a sustained basis.

Restrictions: Under Stage 3, the Company shall inform the customers of a mandatory restriction to employ water conservation measures to reduce daily consumption. All restrictions from Stage 2 shall be employed in addition to the below conservation measures:

- All outside watering eliminated, except livestock
- Draining and refilling water features is prohibited
- The filling of any swimming pool, spas, fountains or ornamental pools is prohibited
- Washing of any vehicle is prohibited, including commercial car washes and commercial truck washes.
- Water runoff is prohibited
- The use of drip or misting systems of any kind is prohibited
- The use of water for dust control or any outdoor cleaning uses is prohibited
- The use of construction water is prohibited

The following priority of use for delivery of water is set forth: (1) All existing regularly metered residential customers; (2) All regularly metered commercial customers that are classified as healthcare; (3) All regularly metered commercial customers; (3) All uses of water, other than fire hydrant use for new construction; (4) Fire hydrant use for landscape irrigation or lakes; (5) All other construction fire hydrant use, metered or unmetered.

## Notice Requirements:

1. Company is required to notify customers by delivering written notice to each service address or by utilizing the Company's emergency messaging system which includes email, text, and phone call, or by posting on Company's website, or at the Company's option a combination of these notifications. Such notice shall notify the customers of the general nature of the problem and the need to conserve water.
2. Beginning with Stage 3, the Company shall post at least two (2) signs showing the curtailment stage. Signs shall be posted at noticeable locations, like at the well sites and at the entrance to major subdivisions served by the Company.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART FIVE <br> CURTAILMENT PLAN

3. The Company shall notify the Consumer Services Section of the Utilities Division of the Corporation Commission at least 12 hours prior to entering Stage 3.

Once Stage 3 has been reached, the Company must begin to augment the supply of water by either hauling or through an emergency interconnect with an approved water supply in an attempt to maintain the curtailment at a level no higher than Stage 3 until a permanent solution has been implemented.

Customers who fail to comply with the above restrictions will be given a written notice to end all outdoor uses. Failure to comply within twenty-four (24) hours of receipt of the notice may result in temporary loss of service through the installation and use of a flow restrictor device or other means until an agreement can be made to end unauthorized use of outdoor water. To restore service, the customer shall be required to pay all authorized reconnection fees. If a customer believes he/she has been disconnected in error, the customer may contact the Commission's Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000 to initiate an investigation.

## Stage 4 Exists When:

a. Company's total water storage or well production has been less than 25 percent of capacity for at least 12 consecutive hours, and
b. Company has identified issues such as a steadily declining water table, increased draw down threatening pump operations, or poor water production, creating a reasonable belief the Company will be unable to meet anticipated water demand on a sustained basis.

Restrictions: Under Stage 4, Company shall inform the customers of a mandatory restriction to employ water conservation measures to reduce daily consumption. Failure to comply will result in temporary customer disconnection. All restrictions from Stage 2 and Stage 3 shall be employed in addition to the following uses of water being prohibited:

- Restaurant patrons shall be served water only upon request
- All leaks, breaks, or other malfunctions in the customer's plumbing fixtures and/or irrigation system must be repaired within five (5) business days of written notification by the utility.
- Any other water intensive activity is prohibited
- The addition of new service lines and meter installations is prohibited.

The following priority of use for delivery of water is set forth: (1) All existing regularly metered residential customers; (2) All regularly metered commercial customers; (3) All uses of water, other than fire hydrant use for new construction; (4) Fire hydrant use for landscape irrigation or lakes; (5) All other construction fire hydrant use, metered or unmetered.

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART FIVE <br> CURTAILMENT PLAN 

## Notice Requirements:

1. Company is required to notify customers by delivering written notice to each service address or by utilizing the Company's emergency messaging system which includes email, text, and phone call, or by posting on Company's website, or at the Company's option a combination of these notifications. Such notice shall notify the customers of the general nature of the problem and the need to conserve water.
2. Company shall post at least two (2) signs showing curtailment stage. Signs shall be posted at noticeable locations, like at the well sites and at the entrance to major subdivisions served by the Company.
3. Company shall notify the Consumer Services Section of the Utilities Division of the Corporation Commission at least 12 hours prior to entering Stage 4.

Once Stage 4 has been reached, the Company must augment the supply of water by hauling or through an emergency interconnect from an approved supply or must otherwise provide emergency drinking water for its customers until a permanent solution has been implemented.

Customers who fail to comply with the above restrictions will be given a written notice to end all outdoor uses. Failure to comply within twenty-four (24) hours of receipt of the notice may result in temporary loss of service through the installation and use of a flow restrictor device or other means until an agreement can be made to end unauthorized use of outdoor water. To restore service, the customer shall be required to pay all authorized reconnection fees. If a customer believes he/she has been disconnected in error, the customer may contact the Commission's Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000 to initiate an investigation.

# Applies to all WATER service areas <br> PART SIX <br> HOOK UP FEES 

## LIBERTY UTILITIES (RIO RICO WATER \& SEWER) CORP. WATER HOOK-UP FEE TARIFF

## I. Purpose and Applicability

The purpose of the off-site hook-up fees payable to Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. ("Company") pursuant to this tariff is to equitably apportion the costs of constructing additional offsite facilities necessary to provide water production, delivery, storage and pressure among all new service connections. These charges are applicable to all new service connections undertaken via Main Extension Agreements, or requests for service not requiring a Main Extension Agreement entered into after the effective date of this tariff. The charges are one-time charges and are payable as a condition to Company's establishment of service, as more particularly provided below.

## II. Definitions

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions set forth in R-14-2-401 of the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") rules and regulations governing water utilities shall apply in interpreting this tariff schedule.
"Applicant" means any party entering into an agreement with Company for the installation of water facilities to serve new service connections, and may include Developers and/or Builders of new residential subdivisions and/or non-residential properties.
"Company" means Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp.
"Main Extension Agreement" means any agreement whereby an Applicant, Developer and/or Builder agrees to advance the costs of the installation of water facilities necessary to serve new service connections within a development, or installs such water facilities necessary to serve new service connections and transfers ownership of such water facilities to the Company, which agreement shall require the approval of the Commission pursuant to A.A.C. R-14-2-406, and shall have the same meaning as "Water Facilities Agreement" or "Line Extension Agreement."
"Off-site Facilities" means wells, storage tanks and related appurtenances necessary for proper operation, including engineering and design costs. Offsite facilities may also include booster pumps, pressure tanks, transmission mains and related appurtenances necessary for proper operation if these facilities are not for the exclusive use of the applicant and will benefit the entire water system.
"Service Connection" means and includes all service connections for single-family residential, commercial, industrial or other uses, regardless of meter size.

## Applies to all WATER service areas <br> PART SIX <br> HOOK UP FEES

## III. Water Hook-up Fee

For each new service connection, the Company shall collect an Off-Site Hook-Up Fee derived from the following table:

| OFF-SITE WATER HOOK-UP FEE TABLE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meter Size | Size Factor | Total Fee |
| $5 / 8 " \times 3 / 4 "$ | 1 | $\$ 1,600$ |
| $3 / 4 "$ | 1.5 | $\$ 2,400$ |
| $1 "$ | 2.5 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| $1-1 / 2 "$ | 5 | $\$ 8,000$ |
| $2 "$ | 8 | $\$ 12,800$ |
| $3 "$ | 16 | $\$ 25,600$ |
| $4 "$ | 25 | $\$ 40,000$ |
| $6 "$ or larger | 50 | $\$ 80,000$ |

## IV. Terms and Conditions

(A) Assessment of One Time Off-Site Hook-up Fee: The off-site facilities hook-up fee may be assessed only once per parcel, service connection, or lot within a subdivision. If a development or subdivision is upsized by Applicant, Builder and/or Developer after assessment of Hook-Up fee by Company, Company may charge additional hook-up fees for such upsizing or expansion by Applicant based on the fee table above.
(B) Use of Off-Site Facilities Hook-up Fee: The off-site facilities hook-up fees may only be used to pay for capital items of off-site facilities, repay loans obtained to fund the cost of installation of off-site facilities, or pay state and federal income taxes related to the hook-up fees. Off-site hook-up fees shall not be used to cover repairs, maintenance, or operational costs. The Company shall record amounts collected under this tariff as CIAC; however, such amounts shall not be deducted from rate base until such amounts have been expended for plant.
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## (C) Time of Payment:

1) For those requiring a Main Extension Agreement: In the event that the person or entity that will be constructing improvements ("Applicant", "Developer" or "Builder") is otherwise required to enter into a Main Extension Agreement, whereby the Applicant, Developer or Builder agrees to advance the costs of installing mains, valves, fittings, hydrants and other on-site improvements in order to extend service in accordance with R-14-2-406(B), payment of the Hook-Up Fees required hereunder shall be made by the Applicant, Developer or Builder no later than within 15 calendar days after receipt of notification from the Company that the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission has approved the Main Extension Agreement in accordance with R-14-2-406(M), or as otherwise mutually agreement between Applicant and Company.
2) For those connecting to an existing main: In the event that the Applicant, Developer or Builder for service is not required to enter into a Main Extension Agreement, the Hook-Up Fee charges hereunder shall be due and payable at the time the meter and service line installation fee is due and payable.
(D) Off-Site Facilities Construction by Developer: Company and Applicant, Developer, or Builder may agree to construction of off-site facilities necessary to serve a particular development by Applicant, Developer or Builder, which facilities are then conveyed to Company. In that event, Company shall credit the total cost of such off-site facilities as an offset to off-site hook-up fees due under this Tariff. If the total cost of the off-site facilities constructed by Applicant, Developer or Builder and conveyed to Company is less than the applicable off-site hook-up fees under this Tariff, Applicant, Developer or Builder shall pay the remaining amount of off-site hook-up fees owed hereunder. If the total cost of the off-site facilities contributed by Applicant, Developer or Builder and conveyed to Company is more than the applicable offsite hook-up fees under this Tariff, Applicant, Developer or Builder shall be refunded the difference upon acceptance of the off-site facilities by the Company.
(E) Failure to Pay Charges; Delinquent Payments: The Company will not be obligated to make an advance commitment to provide or actually provide water service to any Developer, Builder or other applicant for service in the event that the Developer, Builder or other applicant for service has not paid in full all charges hereunder. Under no circumstances will the Company set a meter or otherwise allow service to be established if the entire amount of any payment due hereunder has not been paid.
(F) Large Subdivision Projects: In the event that the Applicant, Developer or Builder is engaged in the development of a residential subdivision containing more than 150 lots, the Company may, in its discretion, agree to payment of off-site hook-up fees in installments. Such installments may be based on the residential subdivision development's phasing, and should attempt to equitably apportion the payment of charges hereunder based on the Applicant's, Developer's or Builder's construction schedule and water service requirements.
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(G) Off-Site Hook-Up Fees Non-refundable: The amounts collected by the Company as Hook-Up Fees pursuant to the off-site hook-up fee tariff shall be non-refundable contributions in aid of construction ("CIAC").
(H) Use of Off-Site Hook-Up Fees Received: All funds collected by the Company as off-site hook-up fees shall be deposited into a separate unaffiliated third-party interest bearing bank account and used for the purposes of paying for the costs of installation of off-site facilities, including repayment of loans obtained for the installation of off-site facilities that will benefit the entire water system. In addition, funds may be used to pay state and federal income taxes related to the hook-up fees.
(I) Off-Site Hook-up Fee in Addition to On-site Facilities: The off-site hook-up fee shall be in addition to any costs associated with the construction of on-site facilities under a Main Extension Agreement.
(J) Disposition of Excess Funds: After all necessary and desirable off-site facilities are constructed utilizing funds collected pursuant to the off-site hook-up fees, or if the off-site hook-up fee has been terminated by order of the Arizona Corporation Commission, any funds remaining in the unaffiliated thirdparty interest bearing bank account shall be refunded. The manner of the refund shall be determined by the Commission at the time a refund becomes necessary.
(K) Fire Flow Requirements: In the event the applicant for service has fire flow requirements that require additional facilities beyond those facilities whose costs were included in the off-site hook-up fee, and which are contemplated to be constructed using the proceeds of the off-site hook-up Fee, the Company may require the applicant to install such additional facilities as are required to meet those additional fire flow requirements, as a non-refundable contribution, in addition to the off-site hook-up fee.
(L) Status Reporting Requirements to the Commission: The Company shall submit a calendar year OffSite Hook-Up Fee status report each January to Docket Control for the prior twelve (12) month period, beginning January 2017, until the hook-up fee tariff is no longer in effect. This status report shall contain a list of all customers that have paid the hook-up fee tariff, the amount each has paid, the physical property in respect of which such fee was paid, the amount of money spent from the account, the amount of interest earned on the funds within the tariff account, and an itemization of all facilities that have been installed using the tariff funds during the 12 month period.
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## I. RATES

In Decision No. XXXXX, dated XXXXX, the Commission authorized the following rates and charges to become effective XXXXX:
A. Monthly Usage Charges

| Meter Size (All Classes) | Charge ${ }^{\mathbf{5 . 6}}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $5 / 8 " \times 3 / 4 "$ Meter | $\$ 66.37$ |
| $3 / 4 "$ Meter | 76.53 |
| $1 "$ Meter | 93.60 |
| $11 / 2 "$ Meter | 138.16 |
| $2 "$ Meter | 191.66 |
| $3 "$ Meter | 333.88 |
| $4 "$ Meter | 494.93 |
| $6 "$ Meter | 940.56 |
| $8 "$ Meter | $1,432.76$ |
| $10 "$ Meter | $2,146.98$ |
| $12 "$ Meter | $3,053.15$ |

[^6]
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## B. Commodity Rates (All Meter Sizes)

| Commercial and Multi-Tenant Only |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0 to 7,000 gallons | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Over 7,000 gallons | 9.15 |
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## C. Service Line and Meter Installation Charges

| Service Line Size | Charge |
| :--- | :--- |
| $4 "$ Meter | At Cost |
| $6 "$ Meter | At Cost |
| $8 "$ Meter | At Cost |
| $10 "$ Meter | At Cost |
| $12 "$ Meter | At Cost |
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## D. Service Charges

| Service | Charge |
| :--- | ---: |
| Establishment per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $\$ 30.00$ |
| Re-Establishment of Service per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $(*)$ |
| Reconnection per A.A.C. R14-2-403(D) | $(\mathrm{a})$ |
| Disconnection (Delinquent) | (c) |
| NSF Check per A.A.C. R14-2-409(F) | $\$ 20.00$ |
| Deferred Payment, Per Month | $1.50 \%$ per month |
| Late Charge | $1.50 \%$ per month |
| Service Calls After Hours | $\$ 90.00(\mathrm{~b})$ |
| Deposit Interest per A.A.C. R14-2-403(B) | $6.00 \%(* *)$ |
| Deposit | $\left({ }^{* * *}\right)$ |

* Months off system times the monthly minimum charge per Commission Rule A.A.C. R142-603(D).
** Per Commission Rule A.A.C. R14-2-603(B).
Residential - two times the average bill.
Non-residential - two and one-half times the average bill.
*** Greater of $\$ 5.00$ or $1.50 \%$ per month on unpaid balance.
(a) Customer shall pay the actual cost of physical disconnection and Establishment Fee (if same customer) and there shall be no charge for disconnection if no physical work is performed.
(b) The After-Hours Service Charge shall apply to any service requested by Customer that is performed by

Company after regular business hours and shall be in addition to the regular business hours service charge.
(c) The actual cost of disconnection including costs for excavation and trenching, pipeline modification, sewer block, backfill and grading, road repairs and permitting. Customer will be provided copies of invoices for actual costs incurred. There shall be no charge for disconnection if no work is performed.
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## II. TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS

In addition to the collection of regular rates, the Company will collect from its customers a proportionate share of any privilege, sales, use and franchise tax per Commission Rule 14-2-608(D)(5).

All Advances or Contributions are to include labor, materials, overheads, and all applicable taxes, including all gross-up taxes for income taxes. Cost to include labor, materials and parts, overheads, and all applicable taxes.

Under applicable law, any contributions or advances provided by a Developer are taxable income to the Utility. In accordance with the Gross-Up Sharing Method policy adopted by the Commission in Decision No. 76974, the Company will collect from the Developer an applicable share of income taxes for the Company's state and federal tax liability on all funds contributed and/or advanced. The funds will be collected prior to the commencement of service.

## Applies to all WASTEWATER service areas PART EIGHT STATEMENT OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS

## I. PERMITTED COSTS

A. Costs shall be verified by invoice.
B. For services that are provided by the Company at cost, costs shall include labor, materials, other charges incurred, and overhead. However, prior to any such service being provided, the estimated cost of such service will be provided by the Company to the customer. After review of the cost estimate, the customer will pay the amount of the estimated cost to the Company.
C. In the event that the actual cost is less than the estimated cost, the Company will refund the excess to the customer within 30 days after completion of the provision of the service or after Company's receipt of invoices, timesheets or other related documents, whichever is later.
D. In the event the actual cost is more than the estimated cost, the Company will bill the customer for the amount due within 30 days after completion of the invoices, timesheets or other related documents, whichever is later. The amount so billed will be due and payable 30 days after the invoice date. However, if the actual cost is more than five percent ( $5 \%$ ) greater than the total amount paid, the customer will only be required to pay five percent ( $5 \%$ ) more than the total amount paid, unless the Company can demonstrate that the increased costs were beyond its control and could not be foreseen at the time the estimate for the total amount paid was made.
E. At the customer's request, the Company shall make available to the customer all invoices, timesheets or related documents that support the cost for providing such service.
F. Permitted costs shall include any Federal, State or local taxes that are or may be payable by the Company as a result of any tariff or contract for wastewater facilities under which the Customer advances or contributes funds or facilities to the Company.
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## II. CUSTOMER DISCHARGE TO SYSTEM

## A. Service Subject to Regulation

Company provides wastewater service using treatment and collection facilities that are regulated by numerous county, state and federal Statutes and Regulations. Those Regulations include limitations as to domestic strength wastewater and the type of wastewater that may be discharged into the system by any person directly or indirectly connected to the plant.

## B. Waste Limitations

Company has established the permissible limits of concentration as domestic strength wastewater and will limit concentration for various specific substances, materials, waters, or wastes that can be accepted in the sewer system, and to specify those substances, materials, waters, or wastes that are prohibited from entering the sewer system. Each permissible limit so established shall be placed on file in the business office of Company, with a copy filed with the Commission. No person shall discharge, or cause to be discharged, any new sources of inflow including, but not limited to, storm water, surface water, groundwater, roof runoffs, subsurface drainage, cooling water, or polluted industrial process waters into the sanitary sewer. Company will require an affidavit from all nonresidential customers, and their professional engineer, stating that the wastewater discharged to the system does not exceed domestic strength or applicable pre-treatment standards.

## C. Inspection and Right of Entry

Every facility that is involved directly or indirectly with the discharge of wastewater to the Treatment Plant may be inspected by Company as it deems necessary. These facilities shall include but not be limited to sewer; sewage pumping plants; all processes; devices and connection sewer; and all similar sewerage facilities. Inspections may be made to determine that such facilities are maintained and operated properly and are adequate to meet the provisions of these rules and this tariff. Inspections may include the collection of samples. Authorized personnel of Company shall be provided immediate access to all of the above facilities or to other facilities directly or indirectly connected to the Treatment Plant at all reasonable times including those occasioned by emergency conditions. Any permanent or temporary obstruction to easy access to the user's facility to be inspected shall promptly be removed by the facility user or owner at
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the written or verbal request of Company and shall not be replaced. No person shall interfere with, delay, resist or refuse entrance to an authorized Company representative attempting to inspect any facility involved directly or indirectly with a discharge of wastewater to the Treatment Plant. Adequate identification shall be provided by Company for all inspectors and other authorized personnel and these persons shall identify themselves when entering any property for inspection purposes or when inspecting the work of any contractor.

All transient motor homes, travel trailers and other units containing holding tanks must arrive at Company's service area in an empty condition. Inspection will be required of said units prior to their being allowed to hookup to the wastewater system.

## D. Termination of Water Service for Violation of Wastewater Rules and Regulations

Company is authorized to discontinue water service to any person connected to both its water and sewer systems who violates Company's wastewater terms and conditions as set forth in this section or in any way creates a public health hazard or the likelihood of such a public health hazard. This termination authority does not apply to non-payment for water or wastewater services.

## III. RULES AND REGULATIONS

Company has adopted the Rules and Regulations established by the Commission as the basis for its operating procedures. A.A.C. R14-2-601 through A.A.C. R14-2-609 will be controlling of Company procedures, unless specifically approved tariffs or Commission $\operatorname{Order}(\mathrm{s})$ provide otherwise.
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## Applicability

Applicable to residential water service for domestic use rendered to individuals who meet all the program qualifications and special conditions of this rate schedule.

## Programs

This Customer Assistance Tariff (CAT) contains the following programs: (1) Low-Income Program; (2) Deployed Services Member Program; and (3) Disabled Veteran Program. Collectively, these three programs are referred to as the "Customer Assistance Programs".

## Territory

Within all customer service areas served by Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty" or "Company").

## Rates

Fifteen percent (15\%) discount applied to the regular filed tariff.

## Program Qualifications

1. The Liberty bill must be in your name and the address must be your primary residence.
2. You may not be claimed as a dependent on another person's tax return.
3. You must reapply each time you move residences.
4. You must renew your application once every year, or sooner, if requested.
5. You must notify Liberty within thirty (30) days if you become ineligible for the CAT.
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## Special Conditions

1. Application: An application on a form authorized by the Commission is required for each request for service under this schedule. A customer must reapply every year or sooner, if requested.
2. Commencement of Rate: Eligible customers whose applications have been approved shall be billed on this schedule commencing with the next regularly scheduled billing period that follows receipt of application by Liberty.
3. Verification: Information provided by the applicant is subject to verification by Liberty. Refusal or failure of a customer to provide documentation of eligibility acceptable to Liberty, upon request by Liberty, shall result in removal from this rate schedule.
4. Notice from Customer: It is the customer's responsibility to notify Liberty if there is a change of eligibility status.
5. Rebilling: Customers may be re-billed retroactively for periods of ineligibility under the applicable rate schedule.
6. Participation Limit: The CAT (for all three programs included) is limited to 5,645 water division customers and 725 wastewater division customers of the Company. Applications will be reviewed and approved on a first come, first served basis. Applicants will be placed on a waiting list if the participation limit has been met.
7. Qualification: A customer that qualifies for more than one program will only receive benefits from one program per year. CAT benefits will not be combined or accumulated.
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## LOW INCOME PROGRAM

To qualify for the low income program, the total gross annual income of all persons living in your household cannot exceed the income levels below:

## Effective $\mathbf{x x x x} \mathbf{x x}, \mathbf{x x x x}$

| No. of Person <br> in Household | Total Gross <br> Annual Income* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$XXXXX |
| 2 | \$XXXXX |
| 3 | \$XXXXX |
| 4 | \$XXXXX |
| 5 | \$XXXXX |
| 6 | \$XXXXX |

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX
*Qualifying annual incomes are set at 150 percent of the 202X federal poverty levels.
Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:

| Wages or salaries | Social Security, SSI, SSP | lty income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest or dividends from: | Scholarships, grants, or other | Profit from self-employment |
| Savings account, stocks or bonds | aid used for living expenses | (IRS form Schedule C, Line 29) |
| Unemployment benefits | Disability payments | Worker's Compensation |
| TANF (AFDC) | Food Stamps | Child Support |
| Pensions | Insurance settlements | Spousal Support |
| Gifts |  |  |
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## DEPLOYED SERVICES MEMBER PROGRAM

This program allows the Company to provide a $15 \%$ discount to deployed service members of the United States Military. The Company will provide the credit on the deployed service member's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

The Company will provide the credit on the deployed service member's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

1. Deployment is not a "permanent change of station." Permanent change of station requires a service member to permanently change his or her place of residence, paid for by the applicable military branch. A service member's decision to keep a secondary residence in Arizona would be discretionary and would not qualify for this credit.
2. Deployed member does not have family living in the premises. Short term deployments, where a spouse and/or dependents remain in the United States would not qualify, as the service member would receive separate compensation from the military to cover domestic expenses while deployed.
3. The deployed service member is an active member of the military (e.g., Air Force, Army, Coast Guard, Marines, and Navy), as defined by 10 U.S.C. § 101(a)(4), and includes any member of the Reserves or National Guard called to active duty.

## Administration

1. Participation shall be determined on a first come, first served basis.
2. Each service member's eligibility must be verified based on written orders from the service member's command.
3. Continued eligibility will be determined periodically through a recertification process.
4. The Company is permitted to seek Commission approval to change participant limits based on level of participation.
5. Qualifying annual incomes are set at 200 percent of the 202X federal poverty levels.
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## DEPLOYED SERVICES MEMBER PROGRAM

## Effective $\mathbf{x x x x} \mathbf{x x}$, xxxx

| No. of Person <br> in Household |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |


| Total Gross <br> Annual Income* |
| :---: |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX

Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:

| Wages or salaries | Social Security, SSI, SSP | Rental or royalty income |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest or dividends from: | Scholarships, grants, or other | Profit from self-employment <br> (IRS form Schedule C, Line |
| Savings account, stocks or | aid | used for living expenses |
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## DISABLED MILITARY VETERAN PROGRAM

This program allows the Company to provide a $15 \%$ discount to disabled military veterans of the United States Military. The Company will provide the credit on the disabled military veteran's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

The Company will provide the credit on the disabled military veteran's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

1. Disabled military veteran was honorably discharged from the armed forces.
2. Disabled military veteran must have a permanent disability rating related to their military duty service.
3. The disabled military veteran must have been an active member of the military (e.g., Air Force, Army, Coast Guard, Marines, and Navy), as defined by 10 U.S.C. § 101(a)(4), and includes any member of the Reserves or National Guard called to active duty.

## Administration

1. Participation shall be determined on a first come, first served basis.
2. Each service member's eligibility must be verified based on documentation demonstrating a medical discharge or other written documentation from the United States Department of Defense or Department of Veteran Affairs.
3. Continued eligibility will be determined periodically through a recertification process.
4. The Company is permitted to seek Commission approval to change participant limits based on level of participation.
5. Qualifying annual incomes are set at 200 percent of the 202X federal poverty levels
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## DISABLED MILITARY VETERAN PROGRAM

## Effective $\mathbf{~ x x x x ~ x x , ~} \mathbf{x x x x}$

| No. of Person <br> in Household | Total Gross <br> Annual Income* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$XXXXX |
| 2 | \$XXXXX |
| 3 | \$XXXXX |
| 4 | \$XXXXX |
| 5 | \$XXXXX |
| 6 | \$XXXXX |

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX

Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:
Wages or salaries
Interest or dividends from:
Savings account, stocks or
bonds
Unemployment benefits
TANF (AFDC)
Pensions
Gifts

Social Security, SSI, SSP
Scholarships, grants, or other aid
used for living expenses
Disability payments
Food Stamps
Insurance settlements

Rental or royalty income
Profit from self-employment
(IRS form Schedule C, Line
29)

Worker's Compensation
Child Support
Spousal Support
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## RECOVERY OF COST OF CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF AND CUSTOMER SURCHARGES

The Company shall recover the CAT costs from a monthly CAT surcharge on all residential and non-residential water customers who are not participating in the CAT. Liberty is entitled to seek recovery of direct costs (i.e., those costs directly associated with the programs, which costs would not be incurred in the absence of the programs). The Company shall account for those direct costs separately from other operating costs.

Liberty shall be entitled to implement a CAT surcharge on non-participating residential and nonresidential water as follows.

- For customers participating in the CAT, the Company shall maintain a balancing account detailing the beginning and ending balance of the cumulative unrecovered program costs each month.
- Liberty's authorized rate of return shall be applied monthly to the average of the beginning balances of the cumulative unrecovered program costs for water service and included in the beginning balances for the following month.
- Using the balancing account, Liberty shall calculate the monthly surcharge for each customer as follows:
(Ending Balance for Low-Income Tariff Balancing Account including amortized carrying costs during recovery period /Number of active non-participating water connections at year end)/12
- The ending balance in the balancing account shall equal the beginning balances plus discounts allowed on bills for the twelve month tracking period, plus direct program costs incurred in the twelve month period plus the return less surcharge fees billed in the twelve month tracking period.
- Liberty shall implement a monthly surcharge for the CAT for each twelve month period of the CAT. The Company shall calculate the monthly surcharge each year based on the active number of customer connections as of December 31 of the prior year. The Company shall file notice of the surcharge, along with a report on the CAT, with the Arizona Corporation Commission on or before January 31 and the surcharge shall be implemented on customer bills in February of each year with the recovery period ending in January of the following year.
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## I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION.

This document is the Plan of Administration ("POA") for the Purchased Power Adjustment Mechanism ("PPAM") for Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. The PPAM allows Liberty Rio Rico to pass through to its customers the increase or decrease in purchased power costs that result from a rate change for any Commission-regulated electric service provider supplying retail electric service to the Company.

## II. PPAM RELATED FILINGS.

A. Within 60 days of the effective date of a Commission Decision authorizing a rate change in the approved tariffs for any Commission-regulated electric service provider supplying retail electric service to the Company, the Company shall file with Docket Control an analysis of the actual impact on the energy portion of the Company's electric service costs.
B. The Company will provide the Commission with spreadsheets detailing exactly how the Company's purchased power expenses were calculated in the time period prior to a change in the rate that the Company must pay for purchased power. These calculations will include basic service charges and rate and volume figures. That is, the Company will break down its total purchased power bill into the amount due to fixed fees, volume of electricity used, and the rates paid per unit of electricity. For the period following the rate change, the Company will provide the same information, then compare the two periods, isolating any change in purchased power cost that is due exclusively to a rate change. The specific intent is to show exactly how much of any increase or decrease is due to changes in rates beyond the Company's control and how much is due to a change in the amount of power that the Company consumes. The Company will only recover increases or refund decreases that are due to changes in rates.
C. All revised schedules filed with the Commission pursuant to the provisions of this PPAM will be accompanied by documentation prepared by the Company in a format approved by Utilities Division Staff of the Commission and will contain sufficient detail to enable the Commission to verify accuracy of the Company's calculations.
D. The surcharges will not become effective until approved by the Commission.
E. The Company will file annually with the Commission a report detailing the Company's purchased power costs and any conservation or power-shifting measures employed by the Company.
F. The Company shall provide notice (in a form acceptable to Staff) of the rate increases to customers with the bill where the rate increase first appears.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART TEN <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR PURCHASED POWER ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

## III. APPLICATION TO WATER CUSTOMERS.

A. The increase or decrease in purchased power costs that are due to changes in rates at the Company's water facilities will be allocated on a per capita basis.
B. See the following example:

| Test Year |  | Current Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Power Rate | \$0.0800 | Purchased Power Rate | \$0.1000 |
| Kilowatt Hours Used | 1,250,000 | Kilowatt Hours Used | 1,250,000 |
| Purchased Power Expense | \$100,000 | Purchased Power Expense | \$125,000 |


| Pass Through Calculation |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current Year Purchased Power Expense | $\$ 125,000$ |
| Test Year Purchased Power Expense | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Increase in Purchased Power Expense Due to Rate Increase | $\$ 25,000$ |


| PPAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Increase in Purchased Power Expense Due to Rate Increase | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Number of Water Customers | 20,000 |
| PPAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill | $\$ 1.25$ |

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART ELEVEN <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM 

## I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION.

This document is the Plan of Administration ("POA") for the Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism ("PTAM") for Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. The PTAM allows Liberty Rio Rico to pass through to its customers the increase or decrease in property taxes that results from a change in the applicable assessment ratio and/or property tax rates.

## II. PTAM RELATED FILINGS.

A. Within 60 days of the effective date of a change in the assessment ratio and/or property tax rates applicable to the Company, the Company shall file with Docket Control an analysis of the actual impact on the Company's property tax expenses.
B. The Company will provide the Commission with spreadsheets detailing exactly how the Company's property tax expenses were calculated in the time period prior to a change in the assessment ratio and/or property tax rate that affects the Company's property tax expenses. These calculations will include the assessment ratio, the property tax rates, and the value of the property that was taxed. For the period following the change(s), the Company will provide the same information, then compare the two periods, isolating any change in property tax expense that is due exclusively to changes in the assessment ratio and/or property tax rates. The specific intent is to show exactly how much of any increase or decrease in property tax expense is due to changes in the assessment ratio and tax rates beyond the Company's control and how much is due to changes in the value of the property the Company owns. The Company will only recover increases or refund decreases that are due to changes in the assessment ratio and tax rates.
C. All revised schedules filed with the Commission pursuant to the provisions of this PTAM will be accompanied by documentation prepared by the Company in a format approved by Utilities Division Staff of the Commission and will contain sufficient detail to enable the Commission to verify accuracy of the Company's calculations.
D. The surcharges will not become effective until approved by the Commission.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART ELEVEN <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

G. The Company will file annually with the Commission a report detailing the Company's property tax expenses.
H. The Company shall provide notice (in a form acceptable to Staff) of the rate increases to customers with the bill where the rate increase first appears.

## III. APPLICATION TO WATER CUSTOMERS.

C. The increase or decrease in property tax expenses that are due to changes in the assessment ratio and/or property tax rates at the Company's WATER facilities will be allocated on a per capita basis.
D. See the examples on the next page:

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART ELEVEN <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

## Change in Assessment Ratio Example

| Test Year |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Assessment Ratio | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Property Full Cash Value | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ |$\quad$| Current Year |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Assessment Ratio | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Property Full Cash Value | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,100,000$ |


| Change in Assessed Valuation |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current Year Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,100,000$ |
| Test Year Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Increase in Assessed Valuation Due to Increase in Assessment Ratio | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Test Year |  | Current Year |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Total Property Tax Rate | $10.00 \%$ |  | Total Property Tax Rate |


| PTAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Increase in Property Tax Expense Due to Increase in Assessment Ratio | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Number of WATER Customers | 20,000 |
|  | $\$ 0.50$ |

Change in Total Property Tax Rate Example

| Test Year |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Property Tax Rate | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Property Tax Expense | $\$ 200,000$ |$\quad$| Current Year |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Property Tax Rate | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Assessed Valuation | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Property Tax Expense | $\$ 220,000$ |


| Pass Through Calculation |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current Year Property Tax Expense | $\$ 220,000$ |
| Test Year Property Tax Expense | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Increase in Property Tax Expense Due to Rate Increase | $\$ 20,000$ |


| PTAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Increase in Property Tax Expense Due to Rate Increase | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Number of WATER Customers | 20,000 |
| PTAM Charge on Sample Customer Bill | $\$ 1.00$ |

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART TWELVE <br> <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR WATER TREATMENT RATE ADJUSTOR MECHANISM 

## I. GENERAL DESCRIPTION.

This document is the Plan of Administration ("POA") for Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty" or "Company") Water Treatment Rate Adjustor Mechanism ("WTRAM"). This Adjustor Mechanism is designed to recover the incremental revenue requirement associated with the treatment of federally regulated contaminants. The Water Treatment Program is designed to facilitate certain critical infrastructure investments that are needed to achieve compliance with statutory requirements related to maximum contaminant levels (MCL) of regulated contaminants in Liberty water and wastewater systems. This Adjustor Mechanism aids the timely recovery of the revenue requirement associated with Capital Expenditures plus the incremental operating and maintenance costs related to such Capital Expenditures for infrastructure that: (i) are approved by the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC or Commission); (ii) are completed and placed into service; (iii) are not yet included in rate base; and (iv) are necessary to achieve compliance with federal regulation.

## II. WTRAM FILING TIMELINE.

A. Liberty shall file its yearly WTRAM Surcharge Request no later than March 31 each calendar year.
B. The March filing shall include all associated projects placed into service during the twelvemonth period ended December 31 (inclusive) of the previous calendar year, and in compliance with the above listed criteria.
C. Staff shall file its Report and Proposed Order on the WTRAM Surcharge Request (filed by Liberty) with the Commission no later than May $31^{\text {st }}$ in the same calendar year. Please refer to the table below.
D. Upon Staff's approval, Liberty shall implement the surcharge on July 1 of the same calendar year of the filing.

See Schedule Filing Timeline on the next page:

# Applies to all service areas <br> PART TWELVE <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR WATER TREATMENT ADJUSTOR MECHANISM 

| Due Date | Filing / Documents | $\underline{\text { Purpose }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 03.31 .2025 | WTRAM Surcharge Calculation. Completed <br> Contaminant Remission Project table for 2024 <br> with supporting schedules, workpapers | WTRAM Surcharge Request: <br> 2024 Completed Projects |
| 05.31 .2025 | Staff Report and Proposed Order to be docketed <br> with supporting schedules <br> (Annual WTRAM Surcharge Filing) | WTRAM Surcharge for 2024 <br> completed projects. |
| 07.01 .2025 | WTRAM surcharge added to customer bills | Recovery of revenue <br> requirement for WTRAM <br> plant additions in 2024 |

## III. Schedule of Completed Federally Regulated Contaminant Treatment Plant Projects, Incremental O\&M Costs and Surcharge Request.

The WTRAM Surcharge shall be implemented when the EPA has established acceptable limits (MCLs) on regulated contaminants. The Schedule of Completed Projects will contain the following:
A. Completed Project Information Projects).

1. Location and description of plant asset placed in service.
2. In service date
3. A summary of compliance issues each project will mitigate.
B. Cost Recovery (WTRAM Surcharge Request). The WTRAM Surcharge Request shall include Liberty Utilities' request to set / reset the WTRAM Surcharge to recover the revenue requirement associated with the Capital Expenditures used for infrastructure to achieve statutorily mandated compliance with MCLs of federally regulated contaminants. The request shall also include related incremental O\&M costs. The WTRAM Surcharge Request shall include the following information, as applicable, regarding the costs Liberty proposes to recover through the WTRAM Surcharge:
4. Evidence in support of the recorded costs for each project undertaken during the preceding calendar year. This information shall include the following, as applicable:
i. The actual cost of each project including separately identifying material costs, contractor costs, internal labor costs, and other material costs of the projects, including, without limitation, permitting, studies or other governmental mandates necessary to remove contaminants
ii. In-service date
5. Retirement date, dollar amount of plant retired, and cost of removal.
6. A schedule of related incremental O\&M costs.

## Applies to all service areas <br> PART TWELVE <br> PLAN OF ADMINISTRATION FOR <br> WATER TREATMENT ADJUSTOR MECHANISM

C. Calculation of the WTRAM Surcharge. The WTRAM Surcharge shall be calculated in accordance with the Exhibits to this POA. The Commission-approved Rate of Return shall be used for the purpose of this calculation.
D. Staff will file a Staff Report and Proposed Order regarding the WTRAM Surcharge Request no later than May $31^{\text {st }}$ of each year.
E. Reconciliation and True-up Adjustment:

1. The True-up Adjustment shall be calculated in accordance with the exhibits to the POA.
2. For each 12-month period that a WTRAM Surcharge is in effect, the Company shall reconcile the amounts collected by the WTRAM Surcharge with the WTRAM authorized revenue, for that 12 -month period. The difference between WTRAM authorized revenue and the amount collected through the WTRAM Surcharge is the True-Up Adjustment, and this amount shall be incorporated into the next WTRAM Surcharge.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY.
Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
A. My name is Manasa Rao. My business address is 9750 Washburn Road, Downey, CA, 90241.

## Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am employed by Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("LUSC") as the Senior ("Sr.") Director Rates \& Regulatory Affairs (West Region). LUSC is a direct subsidiary of Liberty Utilities Co. ("LUCo") and is a subsidiary of Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. ("Liberty Canada" or "LUCC"), which is a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Algonquin Power \& Utilities Corp. ("APUC"). As the Sr. Director of Rates \& Regulatory Affairs for the West Region, I am responsible for the development and execution of the regulatory strategy, including rate cases and other regulatory matters for Liberty's regulated operations in Arizona, California and Texas, and I am the Project Manager for the rate cases of the Applicants.
Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. I am testifying on behalf of Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes Water) Corp. ("Liberty Cordes Lakes"), Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty Bella Vista"), Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water and Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Rio Rico"), and Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp. ("Liberty Beardsley"), collectively referred to sometimes herein as "Applicants"). I also am testifying on behalf of the Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. as a consolidated entity in accordance with the Applicants' proposal to consolidate Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Cordes Lakes into Liberty Rio Rico.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.
A. Prior to my present role as the Sr. Director of Rates \& Regulatory Affairs for the West Region, I was the Director of Financial Planning \& Analysis, West region for two years. Prior to that, I was employed by Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. for over seven years in various Finance related positions, including Manager, External Reporting and Senior

Manager, Financial Planning \& Analysis. I received my Bachelor's degree in Business Administration from Truman State University, MO in 2004 and also hold a Chartered Professional Accountant (CPA, CMA) designation from Canada.

## Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION OR ANY

 OTHER REGULATORY AGENCY?A. While I have not previously testified before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), I have previously provided written and oral testimony before the California Public Utility Commission in general rate case and cost of capital proceedings.
II. A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF APPLICANTS' RATE FILINGS.
Q. WHY ARE THE APPLICANTS CURRENTLY FILING RATE CASES?
A. The Commission has ordered both Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Beardsley to file rate cases on or before December 31, 2023. ${ }^{1}$ Given those Commission orders, Liberty planned to file rate cases for Liberty Bella Vista and Liberty Rio Rico along with the Commission ordered rate cases for Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Beardsley using the same test year ending April 30, 2023. Further, since the last rate cases for Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Rio Rico, operating expenses have increased and each utility has made significant capital improvements to provide reliable service to customers. As a result, each utility is filing these rate cases to request rate relief. As part of these rate filings, Liberty also proposes to merge and consolidate Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley, and Liberty Cordes Lakes into Liberty Rio Rico, including the transfer of all useful and necessary assets of Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley, and Liberty Cordes Lakes, including their certificates of convenience and necessity, to Liberty Rio Rico as requested in the Companies' applications filed in these dockets and approval by the Commission of one tariff of rates and charges for all customers of Bella Vista, Rio Rico, Beardsley and Cordes Lakes under Liberty Rio Rico as the consolidated entity (from now

[^7]on referring to the consolidated entity as" Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)." The goal is to have one utility which we refer to throughout the rate filing and direct testimony as "Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)

## Q. WHAT TOPICS ARE YOU COVERING IN YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide an overview of the Applicants' separate and consolidated requests for new rates based on findings of fair value rate base and recommended changes to each Company's tariff of rates and charges, along with testimony on the consolidated tariff of rates and charges. Liberty is requesting the consolidation of the Applicants as explained in Matthew Garlick's and Paul Walker's direct testimonies in this rate case. In my testimony, I address the Applicant's revenue requirement and tariffs on a stand-alone basis as well as on a consolidated basis should the consolidation be approved as requested. I will also be testifying on rate case expenses; a Water Treatment Rate Adjustment Mechanism (WTRAM)for the Applicants; proposed Post Test Year Plant (PTYP) adjustments, proposed adjustments to test year expenses; and rate case expenses.

## Q. PLEASE INTRODUCE THE OTHER WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANTS AND PROVIDE A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THEIR TESTIMONIES.

A. The following witnesses are submitting testimony in the rate cases filed for the Applicants:

- Matthew Garlick, Vice President, Operations Special Projects for Liberty's regulated utilities in Arizona and Texas, provides testimony supporting the recovery of acquisition premiums for Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Beardsley. His testimony will also support Liberty's consolidation request and provide technical support for our Water Treatment Rate Adjustment Mechanism ("WTRAM") proposal.
- Joshua Reiff, Manager Operations, provides direct testimony regarding Liberty Cordes Lakes' operations and capital investments since the last rate case.
- Adolfo Garcia, Manager Operations, provides direct testimony regarding Liberty Bella Vista's operations and capital investments since the last rate case.
- Terry Gilbertson, Senior Manager Operations, provides direct testimony regarding Liberty Beardsley's operations and capital investments since the last rate case
- Martin Garlant, Senior Manager Operations, provides direct testimony regarding Liberty Rio Rico's operations and capital investments since the last rate case
- Paul Walker, Regulatory Consultant, provides direct testimony on the proposed consolidation of the Applicants, and the acquisition premiums for Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Beardsley Water.
- Lauren Preston, Vice President, Customer Care, provides direct testimony regarding the Customer First capital investment for the Applicants and the Applicants' request for Customer Assistance Tariff ("CAT").
- Jill Schwartz, Senior Director of Regulatory Policy and Strategy, provides direct testimony regarding the corporate structure, shared services costs, cost allocation and Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM") and Indirect Overhead ("INDOH").
- Thomas Bourassa, Regulatory and Accounting Consultant, will provide testimony on all the components of the revenue requirement and rates, except rate case expense. His testimony will address rate base, income statement (revenue and operating expenses), cost of capital, required increase in revenue, and rate design and proposed rates and charges for service.

All of the revenue requirement components and rates I discuss in this direct testimony for Liberty Cordes Lakes, Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Rio Rico, Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) are based on schedules prepared by these witnesses.

## Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE APPLICANTS' INDIVIDUAL, STANDALONE REQUESTS FOR NEW RATES?

A. As reflected on the respective Schedule A-1 for Liberty Cordes Lakes, Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Rio Rico and Liberty Beardsley, the Applicants' stand-alone requests for increase in annual revenues as follows:

- Liberty Cordes Lakes is seeking an increase in annual revenues of approximately $\$ 1,367,617$ or 210.47 percent based on a fair value rate base of $\$ 7,514,781$ and a required operating income of $\$ 606,849$ premised on 8.08 percent rate of return on rate base.
- Liberty Bella Vista is seeking an increase in annual revenues of approximately $\$ 1,748,942$, or 29.71 percent based on fair value rate base of $\$ 15,750,144$ and a required operating income of $\$ 1,409,480$ premised on 8.95 percent rate of return on rate base.
- Liberty Rio Rico Water is seeking an increase in annual revenues of approximately $\$ 1,705,763$ or 40.72 percent based on fair value rate base of $\$ 19,028,481$ and a required operating income of $\$ 1,702,859$ premised on 8.95 percent rate of return on rate base.
- Liberty Rio Rico Sewer is seeking an increase in annual revenues of approximately $\$ 503,592$ or 27.19 percent based on fair value rate base of $\$ 8,944,112$ and a required operating income of $\$ 800,409$ premised on 8.95 percent rate of return on rate base.
- Liberty Beardsley Water is seeking an increase in annual revenues of approximately $\$ 691,290$ or 34.79 percent based on fair value rate base of $\$ 6,530,036$ and a required operating income of $\$ 584,373$ premised on 8.95 percent rate of return on rate base. As discussed in more detail by Mr. Bourassa in his testimony, each of the Applicant's schedules support the fair value rate base and operating income noted above. For each of the Applicants, the overall rate of return is based upon a capital structure consisting of 54 percent equity and 46 percent debt, with a return on equity of 10.95 percent.


## Q. HAVE THE APPLICANTS CALCULATED THE AVERAGE BILL IMPACTS ON

 RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS UNDER THE PROPOSED NEW STAND-ALONE RATES FOR EACH COMPANY?A. Yes, based on the requested revenue increase; the stand-alone bill impacts for each Applicant presented in the "H" Schedules sponsored by Mr. Bourassa are summarized below using the meter size with largest residential customers for each applicant represented.

Table 1
Stand-Alone Monthly Bill Impacts on Largest Customer Class (Residential)

| Utility* | Meter Size | Avg Use <br> (gallons) | Present Bill | Proposed <br> Bill | Change (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RR | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | 6,070 | $\$ 37.03$ | $\$ 50.80$ | $\$ 13.78$ |
| BV | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | 5,274 | $\$ 27.87$ | $\$ 36.24$ | $\$ 8.37$ |
| CL | $3 / 4$ | 3,420 | $\$ 30.06$ | $\$ 100.70$ | $\$ 70.63$ |
| BW | $3 / 4$ | 5,256 | $\$ 52.10$ | $\$ 69.18$ | $\$ 17.08$ |
| RR-S | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | - | $\$ 52.68$ | $\$ 66.37$ | $\$ 13.70$ |

*RR $=$ Liberty Rio Rico Water
BV $=$ Liberty Bella Vista
CL $=$ Liberty Cordes Lakes
BW = Liberty Beardsley
$R R-S=$ Liberty Rio Rico Sewer

## Q. WHAT IS THE PROPOSED REVENUE INCREASE FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED)?

A. On a combined basis, Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is seeking a revenue increase of approximately $\$ 5,577,633$ or 43.87 percent for Water and $\$ 502,321$ or 27.12 percent for Wastewater. The Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) schedules for water support a combined fair value rate base of $\$ 48,845,400$ and a combined required net operating income of $\$ 4,364,434$ based upon a 8.94 percent overall rate of return. The Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) schedules for wastewater support a fair value rate base of $\$ 8,944,121$, and a required net operating income of $\$ 799,175$ based upon a $8.94 \%$ overall rate of return.
Q. HOW HAS THE PROPOSED CONSOLIDATION OF THE APPLICANTS BEEN PRESENTED FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES?
A. We have prepared an additional set of the primary ratemaking schedules included with the application which I will be sponsoring. This additional set contains A, B, C, D, E, F and H schedules for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). This approach presents the Applicants' rate bases, revenues, expenses, costs of capital and rates on a stand-alone basis and on a consolidated basis. This presentation allows for a direct comparison of the rates and rate impacts of the proposed consolidation. In addition, Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley, and Liberty Cordes Lakes Liberty Cordes Lakes will be filing a separate application
pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-285 for Commission approval to transfer each Company's used and useful assets and their CC\&Ns to Liberty Rio Rico. Following sufficiency, Applicants will file motions seeking to consolidate the four rate applications and the application for approval to transfer into a consolidated docket. Applicants will also be filing financing applications during the sufficiency review period and we will also seek to consolidate those applications into the same single consolidated docket. In summary, we intend to have all the separate filings regarding Liberty Cordes Lakes, Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Rio Rico and Liberty Bella Vista be heard and decided together in on consolidated docket.

## Q. THANK YOU. HAVE THE APPLICANTS ALSO PREPARED A

 CONSOLIDATED TARIFF?A. Yes. In total, five sets of tariffs have been filed: 1) a Liberty Cordes stand-alone tariff, 2) a Liberty Beardsley stand-alone tariff, 3) a Liberty Bella Vista stand-alone tariff, 4) a Liberty Rio Rico water stand-alone tariff, 5) a Liberty Rio Rico wastewater stand-alone tariff and 6) a Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) water and wastewater tariff. On a combined basis, the Liberty water and wastewater tariffs have been standardized and reflect the same updates made in the stand-alone tariffs. Only Liberty Rio Rico has a wastewater tariff in this application, which remains the same on a stand-alone and consolidated basis. The Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) tariff also includes a Customer Assistance Tariff ("CAT"), a Hook-Up Fee ("HUF") Tariff, a WTRAM Tariff, and Emergency Water Augmentation Mechanism (EWAM) Tariff.

## Q. ARE THERE ANY DIFFERENCES IN THE CONSOLIDATED TARIFF RELATIVE TO THE STAND-ALONE TARIFFS?

A. Yes. There are a few differences in the Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) tariff from the stand-alone tariffs for Applicants. These include:

- CAT Customer Limits - For all three programs (Low Income, Deployed Services Member, and Disabled Military Veteran) included in the CAT, the customer limits proposed for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is 5,645 water customers and 725 wastewater customers, which is the sum total of the stand-alone CAT customer limits:

645 water customers proposed for Liberty Beardsley Water, 2,400 water customers proposed for Liberty Bella Vista, 200 water customers proposed for Liberty Cordes Lakes, and 2,400 water customers and 725 wastewater customers proposed for Liberty Rio Rico. Details regarding the CAT is addressed in the direct testimony of Lauren Preston.

- HUF tariff - The HUF tariff is requested to be maintained, as currently authorized, in the stand-alone Liberty Bella Vista Tariff. Consistent with the stand-alone Liberty Bella Vista tariff, HUF is also included in the Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) tariff.
- Meter sizes and commodity consumption brackets: Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) tariff retains all meter size classifications from the four stand-alone tariffs. Simply put, Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) tariff will have more meter sizes as opposed to stand alone tariffs
- Other charges: These include charges for services such as establishing service, reconnection, and meter tests. Service charges proposed have been standardized across the stand-alone tariffs for the individual Applicants and the Liberty Rio Rico (consolidated) tariff.


## Q. HAVE THE APPLICANTS CALCULATED THE AVERAGE BILL IMPACTS ON RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS BASED ON THE PROPOSED CONSOLIDATED RATES?

A. Yes. On a combined basis, under Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated), the impact on a typical residential customer for each Applicant is summarized in the table below.

Table 2
Consolidated Bill Impacts on Largest Residential Customer Class

| Utility* | Meter Size | Avg. Use <br> (gallons) | Present Bill | Proposed Bill | Change (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| RR | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | 6,070 | $\$ 37.03$ | $\$ 47.66$ | $\$ 10.63$ |
| BV | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | 5,274 | $\$ 27.87$ | $\$ 43.44$ | $\$ 15.57$ |
| CL | $3 / 4$ | 3,420 | $\$ 30.06$ | $\$ 47.02$ | $\$ 16.95$ |
| BW | $3 / 4$ | 5,256 | $\$ 52.10$ | $\$ 55.48$ | $\$ 3.38$ |
| RR-S | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | - | $\$ 52.68$ | $\$ 66.37$ | $\$ 13.70$ |

*RR = Liberty Rio Rico Water
BV $=$ Liberty Bella Vista
CL $=$ Liberty Cordes Lakes
$B W=$ Liberty Beardsley
$R R-S=$ Liberty Rio Rico Sewer
Q. DO THE NUMBERS YOU HAVE PRESENTED ABOVE INCLUDE RATE CASE EXPENSE FOR THE APPLICANTS IN THIS CASE?
A. No, those numbers do not include rate case expense because the Applicants are requesting rate case expense surcharges. For Liberty Cordes Lakes, Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Bella Vista and Liberty Rio Rico, the monthly rate case expense surcharge to be collected from customers over three years is estimated at $\$ 1.13, \$ 0.92, \$ 0.82$ and $\$ 0.83$, respectively. However, if consolidation is approved, the monthly rate case expense surcharge for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) would be an estimated $\$ 0.86$ per month for three years. I will discuss the estimated rate case expense and proposed surcharges in more detail in a later subsection of this direct testimony. ${ }^{2}$

## III. RATE CASE EXPENSE.

Q. YOU MENTIONED IN YOUR OVERVIEW SECTION THAT APPLICANTS SEEK TO RECOVER RATE CASE EXPENSES THROUGH A SURCHARGE.
A. Yes, that is correct. Liberty understands that rate case expense surcharges are becoming more frequent in Arizona as a means of ensuring that utilities recover their authorized rate case expense. As long as utilities are allowed an actual chance to recover the full amount of

[^8]authorized rate case expense, this process should ultimately be fair to the Applicants and customers.
Q. DID YOU START WITH A TOTAL ESTIMATED RATE CASE EXPENSE?
A. Yes. After consultation and consideration of other recent Liberty Utilities' rate cases in Arizona, it was estimated that Applicants would likely incur an estimated actual rate case expense of no less than $\$ 750,000$. Based on that current estimated level of rate case expense, we have included $\$ 750,000$ in our rate case expense surcharge calculations.
Q. WHY DID YOU CHARACTERIZE THIS AMOUNT AS THE "CURRENT ESTIMATED LEVEL," MS. RAO?
A. Because at this stage of the proceeding, we can only estimate rate case expense. The number of parties, the scope of discovery, whether there are multiple procedural matters, the number of issues in dispute, the number of hearing days and need for closing briefs are all factors we must consider and can only project potential costs for at this stage.
Q. DOES THAT MEAN THE TOTAL AUTHORIZED RATE CASE EXPENSE REQUESTED MAY BE ADJUSTED LATER IN THE PROCEEDINGS?
A. Yes, the rate case expense may be adjusted up or down, as necessary, to more closely approximate the actual amount of rate case expense incurred by the Applicants to obtain a determination of fair value rate base and the setting of new rates.

## Q. BASED ON THE CURRENT ESTIMATED LEVEL OF RATE CASE EXPENSE, WHAT ARE THE ESTIMATED RATE CASE EXPENSE SURCHARGES?

A. If the Commission approves the proposed consolidation, Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) would implement a monthly rate case expense surcharge estimated at $\$ 0.86$ for three years. On a stand-alone basis, we have calculated a monthly surcharge of $\$ 1.13, \$ 0.82, \$ 0.83$, $\$ 0.92$, and $\$ 0.81$ for Liberty Cordes Lakes, Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Rio Rico Water, Liberty Beardsley, and Liberty Rio Rico Sewer respectively. Each of these monthly surcharges are to be collected from customers for a period of three years. These stand-alone surcharges were based on an allocation of the rate case expense as follows: 8.10 percent to

Liberty Cordes Lakes, 11.52 percent to Liberty Beardsley, 41.50 percent to Liberty Bella Vista, 29.67 percent to Liberty Rio Rico Water, and $9.21 \%$ to Liberty Rio Rico Sewer.
Q. WHY ARE YOU RECOMMENDING A THREE-YEAR RECOVERY PERIOD?
A. It is reasonably expected that the next rate case(s) for the Applicants and/or Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) will be filed within three years of the completion of these rate cases.
IV. POST TEST YEAR PLANT
Q. ARE YOU PROPOSING THAT ANY POST TEST YEAR PLANT BE INCLUDED IN RATE BASES FOR EACH APPLICANT AND/OR THE RATE BASE OF THE CONSOLIDATED COMPANY?
A. Yes. The Post Test Year Plant ("PTYP") adjustments to rate base for each Applicant and Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) rate base are summarized below.

- Liberty Cordes Lakes - $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 5 8 5 , 5 7 5}$
- Liberty Beardsley - $\mathbf{~ 1 , 0 1 2 , 4 3 3}$
- Liberty Bella Vista - $\$ 2,316,024$
- Liberty Rio Rico Water - \$2,636,590
- Liberty Rio Rico Sewer - $\$ 982,711$
- Liberty Rio Rico Consolidated - $\$ 8,533,333$

PTYP adjustments in rate base include, but are not limited to, main and service line replacements, meter replacements, automated meter reading devices and cyber security investment. PTYP additions for each Applicant are discussed in the respective testimonies of Joshua Reiff (Liberty Cordes Lakes), Martin Garlant (Liberty Rio Rico), Terry Gilbertson (Liberty Beardsley) and Adolfo Garcia (Liberty Bella Vista).

In addition, the Company proposes to include one half year of the annual depreciation expense calculated for the PTYP using half-year convention for the Applicants on a stand-alone and Consolidated basis.
Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY ON POST TEST YEAR PLANT ("PTYP").
A. My direct testimony addresses the computation of the Company's PTYP adjustment to determine the appropriate level of cost of service and supports the Company's proposed PTYP adjustment from a rate making perspective.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE AND EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED PTYP

 ADJUSTMENT?A. The Company is proposing a PTYP adjustment (Adjustment 1-B on Schedule B-2 as discussed in Mr. Bourassa's direct testimony) to the recorded rate base amounts on April 30, 2023. The PTYP adjustment includes non-revenue producing projects that are expected to be closed through April 30, 2024 that are used and useful and will be serving customers during the rate effective period. The Company's twelve-month PTYP adjustment for nonrevenue producing plant is consistent with the Commission-approved practice in prior General Rate Cases ("GRC") for other Arizona utilities ${ }^{3}$. Non-revenue producing plant represents plant that is constructed to continue to provide service or enhance reliability and safety for existing customers. ${ }^{4}$ The Company will not realize any incremental operating revenues from the construction and addition of this plant at the time it is placed into service, in other words, these capital additions are non-revenue producing. All of these post test year plant improvements are used and useful or will be before a hearing in this case in the service to our existing customers of Applicants.

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THE COMPANY'S PTYP ADJUSTMENT?

A. The purpose of the PTYP adjustment is to more accurately reflect the level of costs the Applicants will incur to serve their end of test year customer base during the rate effective period. It is important to note that while the Applicants' PTYP adjustment reduces regulatory lag, it does not eliminate regulatory lag. By the time rates from this proceeding go into effect, the PTYP investments will range from several months to over one year old. Examples of PTYP in this adjustment include but are not limited to main and service line

[^9]replacements, meter replacements, automated meter reading devices and cyber security investment.
Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHY RECOGNITION OF PTYP ADJUSTMENT IS BENEFICIAL?
A. Regulatory tools, like PTYP adjustments, serve to keep utilities financially healthy by mitigating regulatory lag, while balancing cost impacts on customers. Regulatory lag is the difference in time between when a utility spends money and when it recovers those dollars from customers. PTYP represent plant additions that are expected to be in service and used in providing safe and reliable services to customers. The use of PTYP adjustments helps avoid the time, cost and resources (on the part of both utilities and the Commission) associated with frequent rate case proceedings needed to adjust rates, mitigates rate shock, promotes gradualism in rates, and helps limit the long-run average capital costs embedded in rates. As a result, it promotes the public interest by ensuring just and reasonable rates, and by allowing utilities to timely recover the costs of providing safe and reliable services to customers.
Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW PTYP ADJUSTMENTS MITIGATE REGULATORY LAG?
A. The timeframe to prepare and adjudicate a general rate case in Arizona and implement new rates can be as long as two years. PTYP adjustments help mitigate regulatory lag by decreasing the amount of time between when investments are made and when the utility can recover the investments in rates. The use of PTYP adjustments provides a better matching of rates with the investment costs utilized to provide service at the time those rates will go into effect. This benefits customers as changes in capital investment costs are included in rates sooner, allowing customers to receive better pricing signals and lessening differences between current customers and future customers, as rates more accurately reflect a utility's true cost of providing service.
Q. WHAT IS THE CURRENT COMMISSION POLICY OR PRACTICE ON PTYP IN RATE CASE PROCEEDINGS?
A. There is no written policy issued by the Commission regarding the inclusion of PTYP or specifying the associated time periods for eligible PTYP. The Commission currently has a generic investigation docket (Docket No. AU00000A-19-0080) to evaluate Commission policy on PTYP ("PTYP Docket"). According to the Commission Utilities Division's ("Staff") filed Memorandum ${ }^{5}$ in the PTYP Docket, Staff believes that current practice of allowing PTYP to be included in rate base came from prior Commission decisions and the Commission has approved the inclusion of PTYP for periods ranging from 2 to 18 months. Based on Staff's data points provided in the memorandum, out of 22 rate case proceedings since 2014, 6 or more months of PTYP was allowed in 19 cases and 12 months of PTYP was allowed in 11 cases. ${ }^{6}$ The Company's twelve-month PTYP adjustment is consistent with these data points.
Q. WHAT IS THE AUTHORIZED TIME PERIOD FOR ELIGIBLE PTYP IN LIBERTY'S MOST RECENT ARIZONA GRC?
A. The Commission authorized inclusion of PTYP of 12 months in Liberty's most recent Arizona GRC for Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp. in Decision No. 78871. ${ }^{7}$

## Q. WHAT IS STAFF'S POSITION ON THE PTYP ADJUSTMENT FROM THE PTYP DOCKET?

A. Staff stated in a memorandum ${ }^{8}$ filed in the PTYP Docket that, "Staff utilizes prior Commission decisions in formulating its recommendations. At this time, Staff continues to recommend the inclusion of up to 12 months of PTYP beyond the end of the historic test year. Further, Staff recommends a companion adjustment to accumulated depreciation to include one half year of the annual depreciation expense calculated for the PTYP to update the accumulated depreciation to reflect the half-year convention methodology for depreciation."

[^10]
## Q. IS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED PTYP ADJUSTMENT CONSISTENT WITH STAFF'S STATED POSITIONS?

A. Yes. The table below provides a summary of Staff and the Company's positions on PTYP:

| Staff Positions Based on Staff's <br> Memorandum in Docket 19-0080 | Applicants' Proposed PTYP <br> Adjustment |
| :--- | :--- |
| Inclusion of up to 12 months of PTYP. | Inclusion of 12-month PTYP expected to <br> be closed through April 30, 2024 that are <br> used and useful. |
| PTYP inclusion is not being made to <br> generate or support system growth or new <br> customers. | The Company's proposed PTYP includes <br> only non-revenue producing plant that is <br> constructed to improve service or <br> enhance reliability and safety for existing <br> customers. |
| Inclusion of accumulated depreciation of <br> the proposed PTYP using the half-year <br> convention to the recorded test year end <br> accumulated depreciation. |  |

V. TEST YEAR INCOME STATEMENT ADJUSTMENTS
Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE INCOME STATEMENTS ADJUSTMENTS TO TEST YEAR.
A. Schedule C-1, page 1, for the Applicants summarizes the test year actual and adjusted revenues and expenses. Schedule C-1, pages 2.1 and 2.2 , shows the individual adjustments to the test year. Mr. Bourassa's testimony provides additional details of the adjustments noted on schedule C-1 for the Applicants.

Adjustment 1 of Schedule C-1 annualizes the depreciation and amortization expense. The depreciation rates used for each component of utility plant are shown on Schedule C-2, page 2.

Adjustment 2 adjusts property taxes based on proposed revenues calculated using the Arizona Department of Revenues ("ADOR") valuation method using 2023 property tax rates. The details of the computation are shown on Schedule C-2, page 3.

Adjustment 3 reflects an adjustment to remove any rate case expenses. The Company proposes recovery of rate case expense incurred for this case via a separate surcharge.

Adjustment 4 annualizes revenues to the year-end number of customers. The annualization of revenues is based on the year-end number of customers during the test year compared to the actual number of connections during each month of the test year. Adjustment number 4 also increases purchased power expense and chemicals expense based upon the expected additional gallons to be sold from the revenue annualization.

Adjustment 5 is intentionally left blank. See Schedule C-2, page 6
Adjustment 6 adjusts revenues to correct estimated revenue accruals booked at the end of the test year.

Adjustment 7 adjusts bad debt expense based upon a normalization of bad debt expense using a 3-year historical average rate. See Schedule C-2, page 8.

Adjustment 8 increases expenses to annualize known and measurable operating costs expected from the Customer First software (enterprise resource system) implementation. See Schedule C-2, page 9. The Customer First software and implementation is discussed in more detail by Ms. Preston.

Adjustment 9 increases expense for additional operating costs expected from the Cyber Security program implementation.

Adjustment 10 is a proforma adjustment to be reflective of expenses required to support conservation program efforts implemented per state regulatory requirements.

Adjustment 11 corrects any discrepancies in the test year expenses, which reflect either the non-recoverability of an expense, the miscoding of expense, or the duplication of expense.

Adjustment 12 captures labor expense adjustments. The first adjustment annualizes expense based on known and measurable operations wage increases that were implemented in August of 2023. The second is a proforma adjustment of wage increases to be implemented in January of 2024 of $3.5 \%$.

Adjustment 13 adjusts interest expense to reflect interest synchronization with rate base.

Adjustment 14 reflects income taxes based upon the applicant's adjusted test year revenues and expenses.

## VI. WATER TREATMENT RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

Q. IS LIBERTY PROPOSING A RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. Yes. Liberty is requesting a Water Treatment Rate Adjustment Mechanism ("WTRAM").
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE APPLICANTS' PROPOSAL REGARDING THE WATER TREATMENT RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM.
A. The Applicants propose that the Commission allow for recovery of costs incurred to remediate federally regulated Per and polyfluoroalkyl substances known as PFAS via a rate adjustment mechanism. The mechanism will allow the Applicants to recover expenditures deployed to fund plant additions and operating and maintaining expenses required to achieve compliance with all federally mandated Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) of PFAS and other similar substances. Matthew Garlick's testimony addresses the details of the current, as well as anticipated regulations for PFAS and other similar substances and its impact on the Applicants.

On an annual basis, Liberty will file schedules that include: (1) plant additions that were placed into service in the prior calendar year and used for achieving compliance with MCLs; (2) the calculation of the surcharge needed to recover capital costs of the plant additions described in part (1) above and incremental operating and maintenance ("O\&M") expenses to maintain compliance with MCLs for PFAS and other similar substances; and (3) the True-up calculation. The true-up shall serve to recover under-collected / refund overcollected surcharge fees. The Company will provide Staff with electronic copies of all work papers and supporting documents. A proposal of the WTRAM and its related Plan of Administration Tariff.
Q. WHY ARE THE APPLICANTS REQUESTING A WATER TREATMENT RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM?
A. The Applicants are requesting a WTRAM to help recover the disproportionate costs expected to be incurred as a result of the anticipated regulations promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") regarding PFAS and other similar substances. The ratemaking mechanism is necessary to reduce the impact of regulatory lag in the recovery of extraordinary expenditures required to fund plant assets and O\&M expenses dedicated to achieving compliance with the aforementioned anticipated regulations.

The Commission's acceptance of the WTRAM aligns squarely with public interest. The Mechanism will serve as a valuable tool toward the mitigation of health risks associated with PFAS and will increase stability by reducing volatility in rates. By approving the WTRAM, the Commission will demonstrate crucial regulatory support toward the provision of safe, adequate, and reliable service to ratepayers.

## Q. HAS PFAS BEEN FOUND IN THE WELLS OF ANY OF THE APPLICANTS IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Yes. Liberty Rio Rico Water conducted testing for PFAS on September 3, 2020 and has detected PFAS at the following three wells: W-52 (PFOS, 38 parts per trillion or "ppt"); W8 (PFOA, 7.9 ppt and PFOS, 22 ppt ); and W-6 (PFOS 37 ppt ), which exceed the EPA recommended PFOS and PFOA MCLs that are yet to be promulgated. In addition, based on testing performed by Liberty Bell Vista on April 24, 2023, PFAS presence has been detected in one of its three wells: well W-3 (PFOA 2.25 ppt and PFOS at 2.05 ppt). Liberty Bella Vista will continue to monitor PFAS levels under the anticipated regulations and possibly build treatment facilities to comply with the proposed Safe Drinking Water Act MCLs for PFAS and other similar substances.

## Q. HAS THE COMPANY ESTIMATED THE COST TO TREAT PFOA AND PFOS WITHIN LIBERTY RIO RICO WATER?

A. Yes. Liberty Rio Rico estimates that capital expenditures totaling $\sim \$ 5.8$ million are needed to install filtration equipment that reduces PFOA and PFOS contaminants to levels below the 4.0 ppt MCL which was proposed by the US EPA for both contaminants in March of 2023. Further, Liberty Rio Rico estimates an incremental increase of $\$ 375,000$ in annual O\&M expenses associated with the ongoing treatment of PFAS, primarily for filtration media. These costs are only an estimate and subject to change over time.

## Q. HOW DO MANDATORY COMPLIANCE COSTS RELATED TO PFAS IMPACT LIBERTY RIO RICO WATER'S FINANCIAL HEALTH?

A. To begin, the Company will be required to comply with the new federal regulations which specify MCLs for six PFAS.. Additionally, the EPA's draft regulation required water utilities to be compliant within three years of establishing these new MCLs. Once the regulations with the new MCLs are final, Liberty Rio Rico will require an immediate capital outlay of $\sim \$ 5.8$ million over the following three years; additionally, an incremental annual increase of $\$ 375,000$ in O\&M expenses is expected to treat PFAS.

The $\$ 5.8$ million capital outlay needed to construct facilities to remove PFAS found in Rio Rico water sources equates to a significant increase (over 30\%) to requested adjusted rate base of approximately $\$ 19$ million in this proceeding (please refer to Schedule B-2, page 1). The mandatory capital investment that Rio Rico must make in just 3 years is over $70 \%$ of the increase to net rate base which occurred as part of the normal course of operations within Rio Rico over an interval of 8 years ( $\$ 7.8$ million).

Finally, these are only known forecasted costs. As federal regulations change over time, the costs to remove them are likely to increase. If the Applicants are unable to recover these required plant investments between rate cases, the Company's financial performance will suffer significantly.

## Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE BENEFITS WHICH THE WATER TREATMENT RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM PROVIDES TO STAKEHOLDERS.

A. The mechanism protects the public health of consumers by providing the Company the financial resources to treat toxic PFAS and other similar substances, consistent with the
government's regulations, to improve the water quality that the Company provides to consumers. In addition, this mechanism provides for stability in rates and promotes the financial well-being of the Company. In short, all stakeholders will benefit from the use of the WTRAM. The Commission should allow the Applicants to implement usage of the mechanism.
Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
A. Yes.
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## I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
A. My name is Matthew Garlick. My business address is 14920 W. Camelback Road, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340.

## Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am employed by Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("LUSC") as Vice President, Operations - Special Projects for Liberty's regulated utilities in Arizona ${ }^{1}$ and Texas, including the applicants Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes Water) Corp. ("Liberty Cordes Lakes"), Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp. ("Liberty Beardsley"), Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty Bella Vista"), and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water and Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Rio Rico") (collectively referred to herein sometimes as Applicants). I will use "Liberty" to refer to all of the many Liberty subsidiaries in this testimony.

## Q. WHAT OTHER POSITIONS HAVE YOU HELD WITH LIBERTY

## UTILITIES?

A. I was hired in January 2000 as a Technical Services Supervisor for the utility, then known as Litchfield Park Service Company ${ }^{2}$ ("LPSCO"), and I was with LPSCO when Liberty Utilities acquired it in 2003. In November 2009, I was named Business Manager of Liberty Litchfield Park and was responsible for overseeing the utility operations for approximately 40,000 utility customers. In March 2012, I assumed the role of Director of Operations Arizona, and was responsible for operations throughout Arizona, as well as Texas, Missouri, and Illinois. From June, 2015 through June, 2021, I was the President of our utilities in Arizona and Texas. In 2021, I changed jobs and became Vice President, Strategic Projects for our utilities in Arizona and Texas.

[^11]
## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE PRIOR TO LIBERTY?

A. I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Earth Science from Northern Arizona University. Before joining Liberty Litchfield Park, I was a Senior Project Geologist for roughly 15 years with an environmental engineering firm called Environmental Science and Engineering. My role was to direct and support other project scientists in daily work of cleaning up environmental contamination for various clients throughout Arizona.
Q. DO YOU HOLD ANY CERTIFICATIONS?
A. Yes. I hold Operator Certifications (Grade IV - Wastewater Collection, Water Treatment, Wastewater Treatment, and Grade III in Water Distribution) in Arizona. I also hold a backflow specialist certification. Additionally, I belong to several professional organizations such as the American Water Works Association, and I have previously been a board member as well as served as Vice President for the Water Utilities Association of Arizona.
Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS OR ANY OTHER COMMISSION?
A. Yes, I testified in several Liberty Utilities' rate cases during my tenure as President, including the last Liberty Black Mountain rate case and financing docket, ${ }^{3}$ and the Liberty Bella Vista and Liberty Rio Rico rate cases and financing dockets, ${ }^{4}$ and the Liberty Gold Canyon and Liberty Entrada Del Oro rate case and financing dockets. Most recently, I testified in several Certificate of Convenience \& Necessity (CC\&N) filings for Liberty's regulated utilities in Arizona. ${ }^{5}$
II. PURPOSE OF THE TESTIMONY
Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. I am providing this direct testimony on behalf of the Applicants. In these filings, Liberty proposes to merge and consolidate Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley, and Liberty

[^12]Cordes Lakes into Liberty Rio Rico, including the transfer of all useful and necessary assets of Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley, and Liberty Cordes Lakes, including their certificates of convenience and necessity, to Liberty Rio Rico as requested in the Companies' applications filed in these dockets and approval by the Commission of one tariff of rates and charges for all customers of Bella Vista, Rio Rico, Beardsley and Cordes Lakes under Liberty Rio Rico as the consolidated entity (from now on referring to the consolidated entity as" Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)." This requested consolidation will be supported by my direct testimony as well as Paul Walker's direct testimony. Manasa Rao's testimony provides an overview of the applications and the materials being filed in support of the requested consolidation in her direct testimony filed in the four dockets.

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. My direct testimony will support and explain our consolidation proposal. In my testimony I also explain and support Liberty's requests for recognition of acquisition premiums relating to our acquisitions of Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Cordes Lakes pursuant to the policy and rules adopted by this Commission in Decision No. 75626. Specifically, we are requesting inclusion of an acquisition premium for two of the four systems that have submitted rate applications, formerly known as Beardsley Water Company, Inc. ("Beardsley") and Cordes Lakes Water Co. ("Cordes Lakes"), on the basis that Liberty's acquisition of each utility meets all the relevant criteria for recognition of the acquisition premiums as adopted by the Commission. Specifically, I provide general background concerning Liberty Utilities (Sub) Corp's ("Liberty Utilities") acquisition of Cordes Lakes and Beardsley as I was the acting President at the time of completion of both the acquisitions. Finally, I am providing technical testimony to support the Applicants' request for a Water Treatment Rate Adjustment Mechanism ("WTRAM") in this rate case, as described in Manasa Rao's testimony.
III. CONSOLIDATION OF LIBERTY CORDES LAKES, LIBERTY BEARDSLEY, LIBERTY BELLA VISTA AND LIBERTY RIO RICO
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN LIBERTY'S CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL.
A. Liberty proposes to merge and consolidate Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Cordes Lakes into Liberty Rio Rico, including (1) the transfer of all useful and/or necessary assets of Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Cordes Lakes, including their certificates of convenience and necessity, to Liberty Rio Rico as requested in the Companies' applications filed in this docket and (2) approval by the Commission of one tariff each of water and wastewater rates and charges for all customers of Bella Vista, Rio Rico, Beardsley and Cordes Lakes under Liberty Rio Rico as the consolidated entity. Under this proposal, Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Cordes Lakes, and Liberty Bella Vista would be merged into Liberty Rio Rico, meaning that all of Beardsley's, Cordes Lakes' and Bella Vista's assets would be owned by Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) and all of the Beardsley, Cordes Lakes and Bella Vista customers would become customers of Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). ${ }^{6}$

## Q. WHY IS LIBERTY SEEKING CONSOLIDATION AT THIS TIME?

A. Liberty is proposing to consolidate these four companies in these rate case applications for several reasons. To start, Liberty currently has seven (7) regulated water and wastewater utilities in Arizona. Operating and managing those utilities as separate utilities for ratemaking purposes is not optimal and results in added ratemaking and related costs. Liberty always has intended to seek Commission approval to consolidate all of the Arizona utilities into a single entity for ratemaking purposes. Liberty took the first step towards statewide consolidation by seeking Commission approval for the merger of Liberty Utilities (Entrada Del Oro Sewer) Corp. into Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp. in Docket Nos. SW-043 16A-21-0325 and SW-025 19A-21-0326. The Commission approved that consolidation in Decision No. 78871. In turn, the proposed consolidation of

[^13]Bella Vista, Beardsley and Cordes Lakes into Liberty Rio Rico is the next natural step towards statewide consolidation for rate making purposes. If consolidation of those entities is approved by the Commission here, Liberty would have four regulated utilities in Arizona, in turn providing a springboard for consolidation of those entities into a single entity at some point in the future. ${ }^{7}$

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS OF THE PROPOSED CONSOLIDATION?

A. It is beneficial to all customers of Beardsley, Bella Vista, Cordes Lakes and Rio Rico to consolidate the customer bases for ratemaking, operations, and capital investments because it expands the customer base across which costs may be spread. Consolidation of the four entities into Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) will also reduce the regulatory costs and burdens for all stakeholders, including the companies and customers as they will share economies of scale and efficiencies gained in the reduction of administrative costs associated with the expenses of Commission filings (to include compliance and rate case expenses). Similarly, Commission Staff, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") and other community stakeholders will benefit as the consolidation of the utilities reduces the number of regulatory filings and in return reduces stakeholder time and resources expended on review and response. Mr. Walker's direct testimony provides further discussion on the benefits and merits of Liberty's consolidation proposal.

## Q. IF CONSOLIDATION IS APPROVED, WILL THERE BE ONE SET OF RATES FOR APPLICANTS?

A. Yes, there will be one set of water and wastewater rates for the customers of all the Consolidated Company if consolidation is approved. In Ms. Rao's direct testimony as well as in the direct testimony by Thomas J. Bourassa, we have provided an explanation of the requested rates for Applicants on both a stand-alone basis and for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). ${ }^{8}$

[^14]IV. OVERVIEW OF LIBERTY UTILITIES' ACQUISITION OF CORDES LAKES AND BEARDSLEY WATER
Q. AS THE PRESIDENT DURING THE CORDES LAKES ACQUISITION WHAT WAS THE COMPANY'S ASSESSMENT OF THIS UTILITY?
A. Liberty Utilities (Sub) Corp purchased the stock of Cordes Lakes and assumed control of the system on March 1, 2019. Cordes Lakes is a Class D water utility located in Yavapai County near the intersection of 1-17 and State Highway 69 and serves approximately 1600 connections. Prior to Liberty's ownership, Cordes Lakes experienced operational challenges for several years, including a significant and extended water leak event in June 2017 due to a service line break that resulted in substantially depleted levels of water storage. This event, along with high temperatures resulted in customer demand that exceeded Cordes Lakes' water production capacity. As a result, Cordes Lakes customers faced system-wide rolling outages. Cordes Lakes also implemented a Stage 3 Water Curtailment and began hauling water at significant cost to replenish depleted storage levels. This ultimately necessitated Cordes Lakes' filing of an emergency rate case and emergency financing in July 2017 to pay for expenses related to the emergency as well as to fund capital improvements to the system. ${ }^{9}$ The Commission decided a permanent rate case for Cordes Lakes in 2018, where it directed Cordes Lakes to "continue its service line and meter replacement program and identify and repair leaks on an ongoing basis to address ongoing water loss and operational issues." ${ }^{10}$
Q. WHAT WAS LIBERTY UTILITIES PLAN AT THE TIME OF ACQUISITION TO IMPROVE CORDES LAKES?
A. Liberty acquired Cordes Lakes during a time when it was facing significant operational challenges and without the ability to fund the necessary capital investments needed to solve the water system's water supply problems and prevent customer outages. Liberty's plan when it acquired the utility was to provide safe and reliable service to Cordes Lakes'

[^15]customers by addressing operational challenges, including completing necessary capital improvements to address water loss and source of supply issues for the long-term. Cordes Lakes has benefitted from being part of the larger Liberty organization and now has access to the capital needed to fund necessary infrastructure investments. Prior to Liberty's ownership, Cordes Lakes' small size limited its ability to raise capital to finance necessary system improvements and address operational issues. Since the last rate case, Liberty Cordes Lakes has invested $\$ 5,692,237$ in capital improvements and upgrades to the water system. Post-acquisition, Liberty Cordes Lakes also continued to haul water until the completion of an additional well needed to provide water service for the community was complete in June of 2020, The Hauling of water was particularly needed in 2019, to provide safe and reliable service to its customers. Joshua Reiff's testimony covers details of the capital improvements made to Liberty Cordes Lakes since the last rate case.

## Q. IS LIBERTY REQUESTING TO RECOVER AN ACQUISITION PREMIUM IN THIS RATE CASE FILING FOR CORDES LAKES?

A. Yes, Liberty Cordes Lakes is requesting to recover an acquisition premium of $\$ 948,302$, which has been included as a rate base adjustment. The acquisition premium being requested has been calculated as the difference between the purchase price paid by Liberty and the net assets acquired upon the acquisition of Cordes Lakes.

## Q. AT THE TIME OF THE ACQUISITION WAS IT LIBERTY UTILITIES PLAN TO REQUEST RECOVER ACQUISITION PREMIUM IN THE NEXT RATE CASE FILING FOR CORDES LAKES?

A. Yes, it was Liberty's plan to request recovery of the acquisition premium in the first Liberty Cordes Lakes' rate case in accordance with Commission's water policy established in Decision No. 75626 (July 26, 2016). In that decision, the Commission established a policy relating to acquisitions of "small non-viable" water utilities such as Cordes Lakes, including allowing acquisition premiums associated with the purchase of small non-viable water utilities. As explained in Mr. Walker's testimony, Cordes Lakes more than meets the qualifications of a non-viable utility under the policy. Mr. Walker's testimony provides a
detailed discussion of the applicability of the aforementioned Commission water policy in support of Liberty's request to recover Cordes Lakes' acquisition premium.
Q. AT THE TIME OF THE ACQUISITION WAS IT LIBERTY UTILITIES PLAN TO CONSOLIDATE CORDES LAKES WITH OTHER UTILTIES?
A. Yes. Liberty Cordes Lakes is small Class D water utility with approximately 1300 connections. Good utility and business practices support operating this utility in conjunction with other water utilities as one consolidated utility for ratemaking, operational and capital investment purposes in order to optimize operational practices and minimize administrative costs associated with the expenses of Commission filings. Mr. Walkers address those issues in his testimony.
V. OVERVIEW OF LIBERTY UTILITIES' ACQUISITION OF BEARDSLEY WATER
Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF LIBERTY'S ACQUISITION OF BEARDSLEY WATER?
A. Liberty Utilities (Sub) Corp purchased the stock of Beardsley Water Company, Inc. ("Beardsley Water") assumed control of the system on March 31, 2021. At that time, Beardsley Water was a Class D water utility, located in Maricopa County northwest of US 60 and Arizona Loop 303 that served approximately 2,150 connections at the time of the acquisition. In Beardsley Water's last rate case filed in 2019 (Docket No. W-02074A-190317), the Commission adopted a revenue requirement of $\$ 850,000$ based on Staff's recommended cash flow and operating margin analysis methodology (Decision No. 77695). The Commission concluded that revenue requirement based on a rate-of-return analysis did not yield reasonable results due to the Beardsley Water's Original Cost Rate Base ("OCRB") of negative $\$ 12,054$. In recognizing the anticipated future growth for the utility, the Commission ordered Beardsley Water to file its next rate case by August 2023 in order to monitor and determine the impact of anticipated growth on customer rates. Decision No. 76695 was modified on July 14, 2023, ordering that Beardsley Water to file a rate application by December 31, 2023.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE IMPROVEMENTS MADE TO BEARDSLEY WATER SINCE ACQUISITION?

A. Liberty's plan when it acquired the utility was to provide continued safe and reliable service to Beardsley's customers. Beardsley has benefitted from being part of the larger Liberty organization, including having access to greater managerial, technical, and financial capabilities to operate and improve the system. In comparison to Beardsley Water's previously established OCRB of negative $\$ 12,054$ (Decision No. 77695), Liberty Beardsley is requesting a Fair Value Rate Base ("FVRB") of $\$ 6,530,039$. Terry Gilbertson's direct testimony details the capital improvements made to the system since the last rate case, which include replacing aging meters, upgrading water treatment, and pumping equipment, installing security and software upgrades and services.

## Q. IS LIBERTY REQUESTING TO RECOVER AN ACQUISITION PREMIUM IN

 THIS RATE CASE FILING FOR BEARDSLEY WATER?A. Yes, Liberty Beardsley is requesting to recover an acquisition premium of $\$ 3,085,187$, which has been included as a rate base adjustment. The acquisition premium being requested has been calculated as the difference between the purchase price paid by Liberty and the net assets acquired upon the acquisition of Beardsley Water.

## Q. AT THE TIME OF THE ACQUISITION WAS IT LIBERTY UTILITIES PLAN TO

 REQUEST RECOVER ACQUISITION PREMIUM IN THE NEXT RATE CASE FILING FOR BEARDSLEY WATER?A. Yes, it was Liberty's plan to request recovery of the acquisition premium in the first Liberty Beardsley's rate case in accordance with Commission's water policy established in Decision No. 75626 (July 26, 2016). In that decision, the Commission established a policy relating to acquisitions of "small non-viable" water utilities, including allowing acquisition premiums associated with the purchase of small non-viable water utilities. Mr. Walker's testimony provides detailed discussion and support of the applicability of the aforementioned Commission water policy to Beardsley Water.

## VI. WATER TREATMENT RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM PROPOSAL

Q. ARE YOU ALSO PROVIDING TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF APPLICANT'S REQUEST OF A WATER TREATMENT RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM?
A. Yes, I will be providing technical testimony in support of Liberty's request for a Water Treatment Rate Adjustment Mechanism ("WTRAM"). The Applicants propose that the Commission allow for recovery of costs incurred to remediate federally regulated Per and polyfluoroalkyl substances known as PFAS via a rate adjustment mechanism. Manasa Rao's testimony addresses details of the proposed mechanism and provides discussion of why the mechanism is necessary and in public interest.

## Q. WHAT IS PFAS?

A. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has catalogued over 14,000 different known PFAS ${ }^{10}$ of various chemical structures. Some examples are: Perfluorooctanoic Acid ("PFOA"), Perfluorooctane Sulfonic Acid ("PFOS"), Perfluorobutanesulfonic Acid ("PFBS"), Perfluorononanoic Acid ("PFNA"), Perfluorohexanesulfonic Acid ("PFHxS"), and Hexafluoropropylene Oxide Dimer Acid ("HFPO-DA") also known by the trade name GenX.

## Q. WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF PFAS?

A. PFAS come from many sources. PFAS in groundwater can come from sources such as airports, firefighting training facilities, landfills, manufacturing plants, military installations, past forest fire sites, and wastewater treatment plants. These are widely used, long lasting chemicals, which break down very slowly over time. They can enter the environment through numerous sources including waste stream discharges, stormwater runoff, and infiltration into groundwater aquifers.

## Q. WHAT ARE THE RECOMMENDED MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVELS FOR

 PFOS AND PFOA ACCORDING TO THE EPA?[^16]A. The US EPA has not yet finalized national primary drinking water regulations which are anticipated to be promulgated in 2024. The proposed PFAS Rule prescribes a Maximum Contaminant Limit ("MCL") of 4.0 parts per trillion ("ppt") for PFOA; an MCL of 4.0 ppt for PFOS; and a Hazard Index of one for four other PFAS species: PFBS, PFNA, PFHxS, and GenX chemicals.

## Q. WHAT WATER TREATMENT ISSUES DO APPLICANTS FACE?

A. Liberty Rio Rico and Liberty Bella Vista are currently facing treatment issues. Three of the six wells: W-52 (PFOS, 38 parts per trillion or "ppt"); W-8 (PFOA, 7.9 ppt and PFOS, 22 ppt); and W-6 (PFOS 37 ppt ), exceed the US EPA recommended PFOS and PFOA MCLs that are yet to be promulgated. Sources of this contamination include but are not limited to the manufacture, sale, use and or disposal of PFAS products, including at facilities in the vicinity of Liberty Rio Rico's wells and/or Aqueous Film Forming Foams ("AFFF") that are used to disposed of in the vicinity of Liberty Rio Rico's wells. Liberty Rio Rico continues to test its wells for the presence of PFAS.

Liberty Bella Vista also possesses sampling data showing the presence of PFAS in one of its three wells: well W-3 (PFOA 2.25 ppt and PFOS at 2.05 ppt ). The sources of this contamination include but are not limited to the manufacture, sale, use and or disposal of PFAS products, including at facilities in the vicinity of Liberty Bella Vista's wells and/or ("AFFF") that are used or disposed of in the vicinity of the wells. Liberty Bella Vista will continue to monitor PFAS levels under the anticipated regulations and possibly build treatment facilities to comply with the proposed Safe Drinking Water Act MCLs for PFAS and other similar substances.

## Q. WHAT ARE LIBERTY'S PLANS FOR TREATMENT OF PFOA/PFAS?

A. Liberty Rio Rico will need to build treatment facilities to comply with the proposed Safe Drinking Water Act MCLs for Per-and Polyflouroalkyl Substances (PFAS) and other similar substances at the three wells where PFAS has been detected. Liberty Bella Vista will continue to monitor PFAS levels under the anticipated regulations and possibly build
treatment facilities to comply with the proposed Safe Drinking Water Act MCLs for PFAS and other similar substances.
Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE TREATMENT OPTIONS PROPOSED FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO.
A. While several methods to treat for PFAS currently exist, only three are recognized to be effective by the US EPA: (1) Ion Exchange ("IX"), (2) Granular Activated Carbon ("GAC"), and (3) Reverse Osmosis/Nanofiltration ("RO/NF"). Liberty Rio Rico Water's proposed treatment facilities is based on engineering evaluation and analysis and will utilize one or a combination of the aforementioned recognized treatment options.

## Q. DO THE APPLICANTS FACE ANY NEW SIGNIFICANT COSTS RELATED TO

 NEW GOVERNMENT-MANDATED FOREVER CHEMICAL REGULATIONS?A. Yes. Liberty Rio Rico estimates that capital expenditures totaling $\$ 5.8$ million are needed to install filtration equipment that removes PFOA and PFOS contaminants and achieve compliance with proposed 4.0 ppt MCLs for both contaminants. Further, the Company estimates an incremental increase of $\$ 375,000$ in annual $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ expenses associated with the ongoing treatment of PFAS, primarily for filtration media. These costs are estimates and subject to change as engineering studies have not been performed and the costs are high level estimates based on our experience with PFOA and PFOS treatment in Liberty Systems.

## Q. HOW WILL THE WTRAM BE USED TO FUND THOSE COSTS?

A. The WTRAM will allow the recovery of costs incurred to comply with the anticipated MCLs for PFAS and other similar substances via a rate adjustment mechanism. The mechanism will allow the Applicants to recover expenditures deployed to fund plant additions and operating and maintaining expenses required to achieve compliance with all federally mandated MCLs. Manasa Rao's testimony provides further discussion of the WTRAM in her testimony.
Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
A. Yes.
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## I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
A. My name is Paul Walker. My business address is 1310 E. Pedro Road, Phoenix, AZ 85042.

## Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I founded Theseus, LLC, which provides extensive consulting on public utility regulation issues in Arizona. I have worked with the U.S. Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency, and public utility commissioners across the United States. I have represented and lobbied for major electric and water/wastewater utilities in regulatory and legislative arenas. I have also provided regulatory guidance to Arizona's largest electric utility companies, the state's largest gas utility, and Arizona's fastest-growing water and wastewater utility. I was the advisor to the Chairman of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission"), Marc Spitzer, for over three years, and I have been an expert witness in numerous public utility regulation hearings. I specialize in utility regulation and advice related to utilities from a business and practical perspective,
Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. I am providing this direct testimony on behalf of Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp., Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp., Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes Water) Corp., and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.
A. I have a Master of Business Administration in International Business from Thunderbird at ASU and a Bachelor of Science in Business Management from the University of Phoenix. I served in the Army National Guard, leaving the service as a Captain in the Military Police Corps. After that, I served as the policy advisor in the office of the Chairman of the ACC from 2001 to 2004. During this role, the ACC implemented Section 271 of the 1996 Telecommunications Act deregulating the telecommunications utility sector during that period and managed the unwinding of

Arizona's aborted electric competition effort, the California Electric Crisis, and the adjudication of hundreds of regulatory matters.

I have passed the FINRA SIE exam and will have completed my NASAA Series 65 in the next few weeks. I have also worked with four Wall Street hedge funds, analyzing regulatory climates and risks across the U.S.

Finally, I have authored the following publications in the previous ten years:

- Consolidation and Uncertainty, by Matthew Rowell and Paul Walker, 2021
- The Costs of Inconsistency, Paul Walker, May 2021
- The Evolution of Cost of Capital in Ratemaking, Mathew Rowell and Paul Walker, March 29, 2021
- Concerns with RUCO's Dividend Position, Paul Walker and Matthew Rowell, Sept. 2019
- Regulatory Climate Change, Paul Walker and Matthew Rowell, May 1, 2019
- The Role of Adjustor Mechanisms, Paul Walker, November 22, 2019
- Consolidating an Industry, Patrick Quinn and Paul Walker, 2014


## Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION ("COMMISSION")?

A. Yes. I have appeared before the Commission numerous times on policy changes involving issues including the System Improvement Benefits ("SIB") and Consolidations and Acquisition incentives, post-test year plant, adjustor mechanisms, utility transactions, system expansions, and rate consolidation. I have also authored and co-authored several white papers on utility regulation issues and have made numerous public presentations before the Commission on these and related topics affecting utilities in Arizona.

I also provided written testimony for Liberty Bella Vista and Liberty Rio Rico in their 2015 rate cases, Docket Nos. W-02465A-15-00367, W-02465A-15-0370, WS-02676A-15-0368, relating to challenges in Arizona's regulatory climate and corporate cost allocations.
Q. AND WHAT EXPERIENCE DO YOU HAVE WITH THE COMMISSION'S POLICIES ON WATER COMPANY ACQUISITIONS AND CONSOLIDATION?
A. In the early 2010s, I formed and led the water industry trade group "Arizonans for Responsible Water Policy" ("Responsible Water"). As the head of that group, I led the effort to create the SIB mechanism in Arizona, working with the water companies to develop a comprehensive approach that included polling, white papers, lobbying, testifying, and building a consensus that led to the adoption of the SIB.

A few years later, as the head of Responsible Water, we used the same comprehensive approach to encouraging the Commission to adopt robust policies to consolidate and strengthen Arizona's water and wastewater sector. I drafted and filed the acquisition and consolidation policies that the Commission largely adopted in Decision No. 75626. ${ }^{1}$

## Q. WHAT ISSUES WILL YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE ADDRESS?

A. I will outline Liberty's proposed consolidation of Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp., Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp., Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes Water) Corp. and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. into one company and I will explain the reasons why the Commission should approve Liberty's proposed consolidation of those entities, including consolidation of the rates and tariffs for the Rio Rico, Bella Vista, Cordes Lakes, and Beardsley systems.

This is a topic near and dear to my heart as the highlight, in my mind, of Responsible Water's efforts was the adoption of the Commission's water policies around acquisitions and consolidation. I support the proposed consolidation of Beardsley, Cordes Lakes, Bella Vista, and Rio Rico because doing so is consistent with best industry practices because it supports future investment in all four systems. Consolidated rates and tariffs will position the Consolidated Company to support the Commission's policy

[^17]objectives around consolidating and strengthening the state's water utility sector while avoiding rate shock and system outages, failures, and non-compliance.

In my testimony, I also explain and support Liberty's requests for recognition of acquisition premiums relating to the acquisition of Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Cordes Lakes with the policy and rules adopted by this Commission in Decision No. 75626. Specifically, I support the inclusion of an acquisition premium for two of the four systems that have submitted rate applications, Beardsley Water Company ("Beardsley") and Cordes Lakes Water ("Cordes Lakes"), on the basis that Liberty's acquisition of each utility meets all the relevant criteria for recognition of the acquisition premiums.

## Q. HOW IS THE REMAINDER OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

A. Section II of my testimony addresses the acquisition premium issue. Section III of my testimony addresses the consolidation issue.

## II. ACQUISITION PREMIUM

## Q. WHAT IS AN ACQUISITION PREMIUM?

A. An acquisition premium is a regulatory tool allowing a public utility commission to recognize "goodwill assets" as used and useful service components. A goodwill asset is created when an asset (or company) is purchased at market value, and the market value is greater than the book value. The amount spent for the acquisition covers acquired assets, but, in addition to the physical asset values (cash, AR, facilities, plant), the acquiring company owns the asset of the market value of the acquired firm. In essence, an "acquisition premium" refers to all or a portion of the purchase price in excess of net book value of the utility purchased from the seller that is added to the utility's rate base. Companies have the right to recover that through tax depreciation and in jurisdictions that support financially strong, consolidated utilities. Public utility commissions, particularly Arizona and Pennsylvania, use acquisition premiums to incentivize large, well-capitalized utilities to acquire and rehabilitate small, troubled utilities.
Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE, IN GENERAL TERMS, THE PURPOSE OF THE PREMIUM?
A. To make the acquisition of companies like Beardsley and Cordes Lakes attractive to wellcapitalized system owners and operators, the first issue to be addressed is what price the existing owners will accept to sell their utility. Many factors drive that valuation. Usually, income to the owners is the primary valuation metric for small systems. After arms-length negotiations, a price is agreed upon, and the acquirer pays the market value for the system. However, absent the recognition of the goodwill asset created by the acquisition, the acquiring company cannot directly recover its investment. That is, obviously, a significant disincentive for the acquisition of small, troubled systems, and, recognizing that, the Commission adopted Dec. No. 75626, which lays out the criteria by which acquisition premiums are recognized in rates.

Making matters more difficult financially, many small water companies in Arizona have "negative rate bases," which are, in my experience, always the result of over-reliance on Advances-in-Aid of Construction ("AIAC") and Contributions-in-aid of Construction (" CIAC") and a "just-in-time" approach to system modernization and capital investment. Just-in-time systems replace equipment after it breaks. And, being a small business, cash flow is perpetually a challenge, so they get emergency loans, often from the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority of Arizona ("WIFA"), and the Commission provides a rate surcharge to cover the loan. That system is self-reinforcing. Once a utility gets into AIAC and CIAC as the primary means of financing, that will be the "rest of the story": this financing pattern will reoccur. And while size increases, the system increases, like we have seen with other Arizona utilities, and companies on the AIAC/CIAC train eventually fail. It's just economics: Assets matter. This case involves two such companies, Beardsley Water and Cordes Lakes, and my testimony will demonstrate that Liberty should have 100 percent of their acquisition premiums recognized as the goodwill assets they are and given a 20-year depreciation schedule, and recognition in rates.

As I discuss later in my testimony, and as is discussed at length in the testimonies of Joshua Reiff and Terry Gilbertson, these two systems needed significant investment that would not have been practically possible under previous ownership. This creates risks
and uncertainties for an acquirer. So, the Commission must allow for and recognize necessary acquisition premiums to incentivize technically and financially capable investors to meet operational and funding needs. The increase costs to customers is nonetheless in their interest because the access to capital at competitive rates that comes with new ownership is critical to providing safe, reliable service.

## Q. HOW DOES LIBERTY PROPOSE TO COLLECT THAT AMOUNT?

A. In the stand-alone filings for Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Beardsley, the respective acquisition premium is included as an adjustment to their individual rate base amortized over 20 years, and collected via base rates from the respective company's customers.

The same approach has been utilized for the proposed consolidation: Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)'s rate base includes adjustments for the acquisition premiums of Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Beardly and amortized over 20 years. Liberty proposes to collect the acquisition premium via base rates from the consolidated company's customers.

## Q. IS IT REASONABLE TO ASK CUSTOMERS TO PAY A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF ACQUIRING AND IMPROVING SERVICE TERRITORIES OF NONVIABLE UTILITIES?

A. Yes. Although Liberty is sensitive to ask any customer to bear the burden of a rate increase, in this instance, the socialization of the acquisition premium across all four is a reasonable outcome in this situation. There are only 1,609 connections in Cordes Lakes. Requiring those customers to bear the costs themselves would cause the average $3 / 4$ inch metered residential customer using an average of 3,420 gallons in Cordes Lakes to move from a monthly bill of $\$ 30.06$ to $\$ 100.75$ monthly, an increase of $\$ 70.68$ or $235.11 \% .^{2}$ So, from the simple perspective of protecting customers, rate consolidation must be considered.

[^18]But, beyond that, the Commission has been aware of the need to strengthen Arizona's dispersed, financially challenged water and wastewater sector for over 25 years. In 2016, the Commission took concrete steps to begin that process, and the results have been highly positive. As I will demonstrate in this testimony, and as Joshua Reiff and Terry Gilbertson demonstrate in their operations testimonies, these acquisitions have dramatically improved both the acquired systems' operational and financial viability.

The systems being asked to participate in sharing those costs are themselves systems comprised of utilities that were once non-viable and were consolidated together, over and over, into larger, more resilient systems. Simply put, this case may well be the first one in which the Commission begins to see the long-term benefits of its approach to consolidation through acquisition premiums and rate consolidation that distributes significant investments over a larger group of customers.

## Q. COULDN'T THE COMMISSION DISALLOW RECOVERY OF THE PREMIUMS AND IN SO DOING, AVOID THE RATE IMPACTS?

A. No. I don't believe a basis exists to disallow the recovery of the premium because, as I will explain, each acquisition meets the Commission's policy standards as outlined in Decision No. 75626. So, for the Commission to reverse course on this critical regulatory policy, doing so would set a very problematic precedent. The Commission would be changing its policy on consolidation with no warning at all. And, as the Commission is aware, that would have a very negative impact on the state's regulatory climate.

## Q. HOW WOULD DENYING THE PREMIUMS IMPACT THE REGULATORY CLIMATE?

A. In March 2011, Value Line published "What Determines a state's regulatory climate, and what should investors do about it?"

The article stated:
"What factors affect a regulatory climate? We examine the outcomes of recent rate cases. We consider regulatory consistency. We look at historical
practices... Does a state allow tracking mechanisms for expenses? '". [Emphasis added]

Two of ValueLine's four criteria are consistency and historical practices. In this testimony, I will rely on those factors when I compare Liberty's proposals with those recognized by the Commission in other cases. But then again, that's just ValueLine; what do other nationally recognized companies think about the regulatory climate?

S\&P published "Assessing U.S. Utility Regulatory Climates" in May 2016, in which it laid out the following: [Emphasis added throughout]
"One significant aspect of regulatory risk that influences credit quality is the regulatory environment in the jurisdictions where a utility operates."
" $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{\& P}]$ emphasize the principle of consistency when weighing regulatory stability. We also incorporate the degree to which the regulatory framework either explicitly or implicitly considers credit quality in its design."
"Major or frequent changes to the regulatory model invariably raise risk due to the possibility of future changes. Steady application of transparent, comprehensible policies and practices lowers risk."
"The less-supportive jurisdictions are those that frequently alter the basic regulatory approach."
"Risk is lower when the rules are more transparent and when they take into account utilities' financial integrity... We see less support when any of these credit factors are absent, or if the regulator's record on following precedent is poor."
"We examine 'regulatory lag' along with the record or earned returns to assess timeliness. Credit-supportive jurisdictions typically have a track record of little regulatory lag."
"In addition to the regulator's efficiency in completing rate cases, we consider the obsolescence of the costs on which the rates are based, the timing of interim rates, and other practices (such as allowing rates to change in a future
period based on inflation automatically that affect a utility's ability to earn its authorized return."
"We view [a jurisdiction] as most risky when full recovery occurs only after a utility's assets become operational."

## "Cash takes precedence in credit analysis."

So, from a regulatory climate perspective, the disallowance of these premiums would trigger half of ValueLine's criteria and six of S\&P's nine criteria for assessing regulatory climate. Setting that aside, the Commission's water policy itself would be thrown into question. The Commission stated the outlook for water utilities quite clearly and correctly in Dec. No. 75626:
"Simply put, Arizona faces a sobering water future: "The end of the 'cheap water' era....[and the beginning] of a world in which water is more scarce, more valuable, and more expensive. $\left[[F N]^{3}\right.$ CAP shortages will entail increased reliance on local water supplies, undoubtedly affecting the aquifers many small, rural water companies rely upon to sustain the communities they serve. The cost curve to operate a water system will bend significantly upward as wells will need to be drilled deeper and pumps will need to be larger and more powerful. Looming infrastructure investments to combat drier conditions and new environmental regulations, replace crumbling pipes, and upgrade the capacity of systems to reflect population growth will require highly sophisticated managerial, fiscal, and technical prowess. This paradigm shift will cause many small water companies to become troubled and many troubled small water companies to fail. "

Not only are there compelling factual bases to recognize the premiums and consolidate the rates of these companies, but ValueLine, S\&P, and Decision No. 75626 provide equally compelling policy and regulatory climate reasons to remain consistent and transparent on

[^19]this policy and, therefore, to recognize the premiums and consolidate the rates of these companies.

## Q. DO THE ACQUISITION PREMIUMS FOR BEARDSLEY WATER COMPANY

## AND CORDES LAKES WATER MEET THE COMMISSION'S STATED CONDITIONS FOR THE ALLOWANCE OF ACQUISITION PREMIUMS?

A. Yes, there is no question about this issue. Both acquisitions were in the public interest. ${ }^{4}$ Both acquisitions were of non-viable utilities, both systems have received post-acquisition investments in plant that are multiples of what their existing rate bases were, both systems have seen significant post-acquisition improvements within a reasonable period, and under Liberty's consolidation proposal, no customer will see an unreasonable increase to rates. This table provides a quick reference, although I will explain the issues in detail throughout my testimony:

## TABLE ONE: ACQUISITION PREMIUM ISSUES OVERVIEW

## Cordes Lakes <br> Beardsley Water

Rate Base at acquisition:\$271,429
Post-acquisition improvements: \$5,692,237
See Direct Testimony of Joshua Reiff for details, but in summary: Well installation, water main replacements, well and booster pumps, failed electrical equipment, service line replacements, water meters, backup generators at wells and booster stations, and much more.

Rate Base at acquisition: $(\$ 12,054)$ Post-acquisition improvements: \$3,389,989
See Direct Testimony of Terry Gilbertson for details, but in summary: 500 meters, two new utility vehicles, physical site security, numerous well pumps, well improvements, electrical systems, SCADA, and more.
Dec. No. 75626, "Policy
Regarding Direct Incentives
for Acquisitions," Pages 18 through 20
Public Interest
Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Lines 1 thru 4

No Impairment to Acquirer
Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Lines 5 thru 11

Had significant reliability, safety, and adequacy failures, leading to water shortages

## Cordes Lakes

Liberty conducted both acquisitions. There has not been, nor will there be, any impairment of Liberty, and Liberty maintains the managerial, technical, and financial capabilities to operate and improve each system.

[^20]Class D or E Systems Class D Class D

Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Line 12

It was not viable.
Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Lines 12 thru 13

Operationally, Financially non-viable. Water shortages in summer caused by system failure and lack of investment
Violations of Statutory or Regulatory Standards Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Lines 13 thru 14

Failure to comply with
Commission or ADEQ Order
Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, lines 15 thru 16
Did the acquirer and the seller have affiliated interests?
Dec. No. 75262, Page 19,

## Line 17

No Unreasonable Increase to Rates because of Acquisition
Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Lines 18, and 19
Fair and Reasonable Price, Arms-Length Negotiations
Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Lines 20, and 21
Premium Associated with Improvements within Reasonable Period
Dec. No. 75262, Page 19,
Line 26 thru Page 20, Line 2
Premium Reviewed and
Approved in a Rate Case
Dec. No. 75626, Page 20,

## Line 3

## Q. WHICH OF THOSE CRITERIA ARE CLEARLY MET?

A. These acquisition clearly meet the "no impairment to Acquirer," Class D or E, no affiliated interests, fair and reasonable price with arms-length negotiations and review of the

[^21]acquisition premium in a rate case conditions. Each of those items are summarized as follows:

> No Impairment to Acquirer (Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Lines 5 through 11). True.

Class D or E Systems (Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Line 12).

## True, both were Class D.

Did the acquirer and the seller have affiliated interests? (Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Line 17).

No affiliated interests.
Fair and Reasonable Price, Arms-Length Negotiations (Dec. No. 75262, Page 19, Lines 20, and 21).

## True.

Premium Reviewed and Approved in a Rate Case (Dec. No. 75626, Page 20, Line 3).

## True, in this case.

## Q. THEN, WHAT CRITERIA REMAIN TO BE PROVEN IN THIS CASE?

A. Bearing in mind that the Commission itself made clear that not every criterion had to be met, the only remaining criteria are whether Beardsley and Cordes Lakes were viable or non-viable utilities when they were acquired. On that issue, the record is clear that Cordes Lakes and Beardsley were non-viable.
Q. WERE THE ACQUIRED UTILITIES VIABLE PER DEC. NO. 75262, PAGE 19, LINES 12 THROUGH 13?
A. Neither company was viable. In 2017, Cordes Lakes ran out of water. Their engineering consultant, Ray Jones, explained: "The main cause is simply the record heat we're having right now. The customer demand in the system has simply exceeded the capacity of the wells to produce water." ${ }^{7}$ The Commission partnered with Yavapai County Emergency Management to implement water-hauling; customers were asked to reduce consumption inside the home and eliminate outdoor watering.

[^22]The same situation occurred at Cordes Lakes, though to a lesser extent the prior year. ${ }^{8}$ Notably, the record heat that caused the failures has been exceeded in 2021 and 2023. ${ }^{9}$ Cordes Lakes failed to meet regulatory standards and arguably could not make reasonable investments in its system. The fact that Cordes Lakes had supply problems in back-to-back years indicates that the prior owners could not foresee or finance the improvements needed.

## Q. AND WHAT ABOUT BEARDSLEY WATER?

A. In 2020, before the Liberty acquisition, Beardsley Water's balance sheet showed the following: ${ }^{10}$

- Total Capital: $\$ 23,119$ against $\$ 343,718$ of Current Liabilities and $\$ 8,159,970$ of Deferred Credits (also a liability).
- Producing a Total Capital to Current Liabilities Ratio of 0.07.
- It had a Total Capital to Total Liabilities ratio of 0.003 - literally $3 / 10^{\text {th }}$ of $1 \%$.

I could go on because the situation gets infinitely worse if one compares Beardsley's Total Capital to its Total Liabilities, but one gets the point. Beardsley was extraordinarily financially unstable and non-viable.

## Q. WHAT DO THOSE RATIOS TELL US ABOUT THE FINANCIAL VIABILITY OF BEARDSLEY WATER?

A. Before Liberty Utilities took ownership, I would describe the financial status of Beardsley Water as catastrophically wrong. When a firm's total capital is less than 7\% of its current liabilities, it has virtually no liquidity. Current liabilities are obligations that are typically due within one year. If a firm's total assets are only $7 \%$ of its short-term obligations, it is

[^23]in extreme financial peril. Imagine if you owed $\$ 343,718$ in payments this year, and your total capital available was $\$ 23,119$. You'd be in a panic, and justifiably so.

Making matters even worse for Beardsley Water, it had a negative rate base and over $\$ 3$ million in accounts payable against Total Capital of only $\$ 23,119$. So, it could not finance its way out of the situation. Making matters even worse, Beardsley Water company was experiencing and expecting high customer growth.

## Q. WHAT DOES BEARDSLEY'S FINANCIAL SITUATION LOOK LIKE AFTER THE LIBERTY ACQUISITION?

A. In 2022, after only a short period under Liberty ownership, Beardsley's balance sheet shows the following:

- Total Capital: \$3,557,668 against \$3,018,769 of Current Liabilities and \$8,228,026 of Deferred Credits (also a liability.)
- A Total Capital to Current Liabilities Ratio of 1.18 instead of 0.07 .
- It now has a Total Capital to Total Liabilities Ratio of $43 \%$.

It's still not ideal, but that's been driven by the fact that the prior owners financed the company almost exclusively with AIAC and CIAC, and Liberty will have to amortize those accounts while injecting equity and long-term debt at the same time.

But let's be clear: going from a $0.3 \%$ to a $43 \%$ ratio in two years is an improvement of 143.3 times the original numbers. It's easy to get confused here; I am not saying Liberty improved Beardsley's finances by $1433.3 \%$ - that would have been excellent. I am saying that Liberty improved Beardsley's finances 143.3 times or $14,333 \%$. In only two years. The turnaround is remarkable. Beardsley now has a Total Capital to capital-to-current liabilities ratio of nearly $120 \%$ instead of $7 \%$. Liberty has improved Beardsley Water's Total Capital to Total Liabilities ratio by $14,333 \%$. Today, financially and operationally, Beardsley Water is stable, and now has a rate base.
Q. DOES CORDES LAKES OR BEARDSLEY HAVE ANY VIOLATIONS OF STATUTORY OR REGULATORY STANDARDS AS PER DEC. NO. 75262, PAGE 19, LINES 13 THROUGH 14?
A. To the best of my knowledge, other than Cordes Lakes system failure due to heat, drought, and under-investment, neither company had an unreasonable amount of violations. The prior owners of each system, in my opinion, were doing the best they could with the resources they had, but growth, finances, heat, drought, and under-investment come with consequences, even for those who put forth their best efforts. That, again, illustrates the importance of the Commission approving the requested acquisition premiums consistent with its acquisition policy for small utilities.
Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY INSTANCES INVOLVING CORDES LAKES OR BEARDSLEY's FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COMMISSION OR ADEQ ORDER AS PER DEC. NO. 75262, PAGE 19, LINES 15 THROUGH $16 ?$
A. I am not aware of any ADEQ violation or non-compliance matters for either utility..
Q. WILL CORDES LAKES OR BEARDSLEY RESULT IN AN UNREASONABLE INCREASE TO RATES BECAUSE OF ACQUISITION AS PER DEC. NO. 75262, PAGE 19, LINES 18 AND 19?
A. Rates will not increase "unreasonably" due to the acquisitions. The main driver of this rate increase is the necessary rate base investments by Liberty:

## TABLE TWO: COMPONENTS OF RATE INCREASE

|  | Cordes <br> Lakes | Beardsley | Bella Vista | Rio Rico <br> Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Last GRC <br> Auth Rate <br> Base | $\$ 271,429$ | $(\$ 12,054)$ | $\$ 11,633,206$ | $\$ 10,454,760$ |
| Projected <br> Rate Base | $\$ 7,514,781$ | $\$ 6,530,039$ | $\$ 15,750,144$ | $\$ 19,028,481$ |
| Rate Base <br> Investment <br> in this Case | $\$ 7,243,352$ | $\$ 6,242,090$ | $\$ 4,116,938$ | $\$ 8,573,721$ |

Our Projected Rate base totals minus the Last GRC Auth Rate Base

## Total RB Investment

$$
\mathbf{\$ 2 9 , 8 4 0 , 5 8 1}
$$

Amount invested since each system's last rate case

| Acquisition <br> Premium | $\$ 948,302$ | $\$ 3,085,187$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Total AP \$4,033,489

| Rate Base |
| :---: | :--- |
| v. AP Ratio |$\quad 7.39$

The rate base is by far the primary factor. Recall that Liberty proposes to amortize the AP over 20 years, similar to other large assets.
Q. CAN YOU DISCUSS WHETHER CORDES LAKES AND BEARDSLEY HAVE EXPERIENCED IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN REASONABLE PERIOD AS PER DEC. NO. 75262, PAGE 19, LINE 26 THROUGH PAGE 20, LINE 2 JUSTIFYING AN ACQUISITION PREMIUM?
A. One of the critical tests for allowing an acquisition premium, as laid out in the Commission's Water Policy, Dec. No. 75626, is the demonstration of improvements to the system within a reasonable time. ${ }^{11}$ And another is that rates do not increase unreasonably due to the acquisition. ${ }^{12}$

Liberty's post-acquisition investment into Cordes Lakes is $\$ 7,243,352$, seeking an AP of $\$ 951,803$. That produces an Asset-to-AP ratio of 7.61. Liberty's post-acquisition investment into Beardsley Water is $\$ 6,242,090$, seeking an AP of $\$ 3,085,187$. That produces an Asset-to-AP ratio of 2.02. The combined investment in those systems, postacquisition, is $\$ 13,485,442$, seeking a combined AP of $\$ 4,036,990$. That produces a combined Asset-to-AP ratio of 3.
Q. HOW DO THOSE ACQUISITION PREMIUMS AND RATE BASE INVESTMENTS COMPARE TO OTHER ACQUISITIONS THAT THE
COMMISSION HAS APPROVED AND RECOGNIZED WITH ACQUISITION
PREMIUMS?
A. Let's compare Liberty's efforts with those of Global Water Resources, another utility that is actively consolidating small and troubled water and wastewater utilities in Arizona.

[^24]In Dec. No. 78644, the Commission found that Global Water's acquisitions of Red Rock and Turner Ranches were in the public interest and recognized a $20 \%$ premium to their rate bases because the Commission deemed both systems viable. Because the Commission's policy requires demonstrated improvements, let's look at Global Water's investment into assets for the systems and the APs that Global Water received for those investments. Global Water acquired Red Rock in October $2018{ }^{13}$ and filed the 2018 annual report for Red Rock showing total fixed assets of $\$ 5,465,828$. Global Water filed a rate increase using a 2020 test year, and the 2020 annual report for Red Rock showed total fixed assets of $\$ 5,675,759$.

## Net fixed asset increase Red Rock

\$5,675,759 - \$5,465,828 = \$209,931
$\underline{\mathbf{2 0 \%}}$ premium to rate base in Dec. No. 78644 at Pages 76, Line 11 through Page 77,

## line 22

\$609,593
$\$ 209,931$ of asset investment to gain a $\$ 609,693$ AP produces an Asset-to-AP ratio of 0.34.

Global Water also was awarded an AP for its acquisition of Turner Ranches, which Global Water acquired in May $2018{ }^{14}$ and filed the 2018 annual report for Turner Ranches showing total fixed assets of $\$ 1,119,386$ ( $\$ 56,821$ higher than at the start of 2018 , so, let's assume all $\$ 56,821$ came from Global Water in the second half of the year to make this slightly fairer and we will use the beginning of 2018 total fixed asset value, which was $\$ 1,062,565$.

Global Water filed a rate increase using a 2020 test year, and the 2020 annual report for Turner Ranches showed total fixed assets of $\$ 1,389,905$.

## Net fixed asset increase Turner Ranches

$$
\$ 1,389,905-\$ 1,062,565=\$ 327,340
$$

[^25]$\underline{\mathbf{2 0 \%}}$ premium to rate base in Dec. No. 78644 at Pages 76, Line 11 through Page 77, line 22
\$309,731
$\mathbf{\$ 3 2 7 , 3 4 0}$ of asset investment to gain a $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 9 , 7 3 1} \mathbf{A P}$ produces an Asset-to-AP ratio of 1.06.

There are a couple of distinctions to be made here; first, neither of the Global Wateracquired systems were non-viable, while in this case, there's no question that both Cordes Lakes and Beardsely were non-viable prior to the acquisition: Cordes Lakes ran out of water in the summer of 2017, and Beardsley's extreme reliance on AIAC had already been recognized as a problem by the Commission in Dec. No. 77695:
"We also note that Beardsley's large cash flow is caused by the depreciation expense on AIAC. It appears that most of the Company's plant advances (in the amount of $\$ 7,168,980$ ) occurred in 2017 and that refunds of those advances are minimal at this time. However, we find that refunds (equal to 10 percent of revenues generated) should grow significantly in the future and will result in reduced cash flow for the Company." 15

The Commission then ordered Beardsley to file another rate case within three years "due to the increased change in customer counts... and the Company's continued growth."16 Both Liberty's acquisitions were of non-viable companies, and both of Global Water's were of viable companies.

Second, I am not suggesting that the Commission was in error for granting APs to Global Water for those systems, nor that Global Water received excessive AP recognition given its improvements to those systems.

But what is astoundingly clear is that Liberty's Asset-to-AP ratios are 8.32 for Cordes Lakes and 2.08 for Beardsley. Those ratios compare exceedingly well versus the 0.34 and 1.06 ratios behind the Commission's decision to recognize APs for Global Water.

[^26]The increase arising from recognition of the premiums is well within the Commission's prior analysis.
Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THE ACQUISTION OF CORDES LAKES AND BEARDSLEY WERE IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AS PER DEC. NO. 75262, PAGE 19, LINES 1 THROUGH 4?
A. The acquisitions were in the public interest because both companies were non-viable, Class D utilities. Cordes Lakes had violated regulatory standards due to system failures and water shortages. Beardsley Water's over-reliance on AIAC had led to Commission concerns and catastrophically bad financials. Cordes Lakes water system is now safe, adequate, and reliable. Beardsley Water's system is stronger and more resilient operationally and financially. The acquisition premiums are associated with remarkable improvements within reasonable periods, and under our rate consolidation proposals, there will be no unreasonable rate increase because of the acquisitions.

## III. CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN LIBERTY'S -CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL.
A. Liberty proposes to merge and consolidate Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley, and Liberty Cordes Lakes into Liberty Rio Rico, including (1) the transfer of all useful and necessary assets of Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley, and Liberty Cordes Lakes, including their certificates of convenience and necessity, to Liberty Rio Rico as requested in the Companies' applications filed in this docket and (2) approval by the Commission of one tariff of rates and charges for all customers of Bella Vista, Rio Rico, Beardsley and Cordes Lakes under Liberty Rio Rico as the consolidated entity (from now on referring to the consolidated entity as" Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)." Under this proposal, Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Cordes Lakes, and Liberty Bela Vista would be merged into Liberty Rio Rico, meaning that all of Beardsley's, Cordes Lakes' and Bella Vista's assets would
be owned by Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) and all the Beardsley, Cordes Lakes, and Bella Vista customers would become customers of Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). ${ }^{17}$

## Q. WHY IS LIBERTY SEEKING CONSOLIDATION?

A. In Decision No. 75626, the Commission recognized the challenges and struggles of Arizona's private water utility industry. In that decision, the Commission adopted a policy encouraging the consolidation of small systems through larger, more extensive system purchases. The consolidation of Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Bella Vista, and Liberty Cordes Lakes into Liberty Rio Rico makes sense for the same reasons.

Liberty currently has seven (7) regulated water and wastewater utilities in Arizona. Operating and managing those utilities separately for rate-making purposes is not optimal, resulting in added rate-making and related costs. In turn, consolidating these entities into Liberty Rio Rico will reduce the regulatory costs and burdens for all stakeholders, including the companies, customers, the Commission, and RUCO.

Further, Liberty always intended to consolidate all its Arizona utilities into a single entity for ratemaking purposes. In Dec. No. 68826, the Commission granted Algonquin Water Resources of America, Inc. n/k/a Liberty Utilities (Sub) Corp. an acquisition premium to consolidate the McLain Systems. The McLain systems were in bankruptcy and were "in serious disrepair" under interim management after an Order to Show Cause hearing. They were under a moratorium on hook-ups, and the acting manager was operating under emergency rates. ${ }^{18}$

The Commission consolidated Algonquin's Northern and Southern Sunrise water companies with the bankrupt and failing McLain systems. Subsequently, the Northern and Southern Sunrise system was consolidated with Bella Vista Water in Decision No. 72251. Today, Liberty proposes to continue consolidation for Rio Rico, Bella Vista, Cordes Lakes and Beardsley.

[^27]Liberty continued its gradual move towards statewide consolidation by seeking Commission approval to merge Liberty Utilities (Entrada Del Oro Sewer) Corp. into Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp. in Docket No. SW-04316A-21-0325, et.al. The Commission approved that consolidation in Decision No. 78871.

In all those cases, as in all cases involving consolidation, the Commission had to consider whether to have more customers share in smaller rate increases or let each system's ratepayers stand alone. Wisely, the Commission chose consolidation time after time.

In turn, the proposed consolidation of Bella Vista, Beardsley, and Cordes Lakes into Rio Rico, is the next natural step towards statewide consolidation for rate-making purposes. If consolidation of those entities is approved by the Commission here, Liberty would have four regulated utilities in Arizona, providing a springboard for consolidation of those entities into a single entity at some point in the future. ${ }^{\text {i19 }}$

## Q. IN WHAT OTHER WAYS IS LIBERTY'S PROPOSAL, IN THIS CASE, LIKE PRIOR COMMISSION DECISIONS REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?

A. As the Commission stated in Decision No. 78439, which granted consolidation for EPCOR systems:
"The proponents of consolidation focus on what service is provided. Those opposed focus on how the service is provided... However, since that time, the courts have issued opinions giving guidance on the factors that should be considered... These factors include whether customers receive the exact same service, customer service, use of the same billing system, the same operations teams, and the costs for operations, maintenance, and similar administrative tasks." ${ }^{20}$

[^28]Q. AND ARE THOSE FACTORS PRESENT WITH LIBERTY, IN THE SAME WAY THOSE FACTORS WERE PRESENT FOR EPCOR IN THAT DECISION?
A. They are, and I do not doubt that Staff will find that Liberty, like EPCOR, "has centralized operations and administrative activities, obtains capital and debt financing centrally, and has maintenance personnel who work in multiple districts." ${ }^{21}$ Consolidation makes sense for Bella Vista, Beardsley, Cordes Lakes and Rio Rico for the exact same reasons here.
Q. WHAT IF THE SYSTEMS ARE ALREADY COMMONLY OWNED? ISN'T THAT MORE THAN ENOUGH FOR THE BENEFITS TO ACCRUE FOR CUSTOMERS?
A. When consolidation proposals are offered, critics of consolidation often times rely on the fact that one company already owns the systems (i.e., they aren't being acquired from others), in turn claiming that consolidation isn't necessary because de facto consolidation has already occurred. This critique misses the point entirely. Under the stand-alone approach, significant investments on a system-by-system basis mean that sometimes a system's rates are stable without substantial investments. At the same time, during other times, they see significant increases - driven by many factors, including:

- Unprecedented drought.
- Unprecedented heat.
- Unprecedented low levels in Colorado River reservoirs.
- Necessary capital investments.

In reality, however, as the Commission recognized in Decision No. 78439, all customers avoid worst-case rate scenarios under consolidation. And that matters - because it would be foolish to forget for one second that those unprecedented factors seem to keep lingering, decade after decade.
Q. AS SOMEONE DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN WORKING WITH THE COMMISSIONERS TO DEVELOP THE WATER POLICIES, IN THE SIMPLEST TERMS POSSIBLE, WHY SHOULD THE COMMISSION

[^29]
## CONSOLIDATE THIS SECTOR AGGRESSIVELY?

A. In my view, the Commission should avoid any decision or action that would reduce the opportunity to have large companies "step in" to acquire, repair, resolve, and restore service to small systems because, as the maps of Arizona CCNs show - many small systems lie far from large companies (and from other small systems.)

The reality is that the current location of small systems is not the result of any regional or statewide planning. Small utilities' locations and ownership situations make strict adherence to a same-basin, same-AMA, or same-watershed policy counterproductive. Instead, the overall public benefits of a consolidation proposal should be considered the paramount issue in each case. And consolidation should be recognized as perhaps the only true solution to the problems - both operationally and regulatory-- faced by small stand-alone water companies throughout the state of Arizona.

And the reality in this case is that customers that were once helped will now help others. Liberty has invested over $\$ 31$ million into these systems. The newly acquired Beardsley and Cordes Lakes systems have seen extraordinary improvements in their financial and operational capacities.

The impacts under full consolidation are well within the limits of rate gradualism increases, and consolidation makes perfect sense for these four companies.

The Beardsley system is continuing to see high levels of growth, and it makes sense for the Commission to establish consolidated rates now so that when new homeowners move into that area - they are increasing the benefits of consolidation for all customers.

## Q. WHAT IMPACT WILL RATE CONSOLIDATION HAVE ON CUSTOMERS OF THE FOUR SERVICE TERRITORIES?

A. The required increase in the revenues the Company will collect from Cordes Lakes customers will be much lower. In contrast, the increase in revenue needed for customers from the other three territories will be higher.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.

A. From the analysis of the revenue requirement for each of the four service territories and the combined revenue requirement per Schedule A-1 as identified in the testimony of Thomas J. Bourassa ("TB"). Specifically, Schedule A-1 presents the total revenue requirement of the four water utilities territories is approximately $\$ 18.3$ million, while combined Test Year ("TY") revenues were $\$ 12.7$ million. ${ }^{22}$ Thus, a total revenue increase of $\$ 5.6$ million, or $44 \%$, is required. However, increased distribution is very uneven. Rates for Beardsley, Bella Vista, and Rio Rico customers would increase by an average of $42 \%$, while rates for Cordes Lakes customers would need to increase by $210.5 \%$. See Table 1 for additional details.

TABLE FOUR: TY REVENUES AND STANDALONE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

|  | Cordes Lakes | Beardsley | Bella Vista | Rio Rico | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY Revenues | \$649,791 | \$1,986,923 | \$5,887,369 | \$4,189,038 | \$12,713,121 |
| Revenue requirement | \$2,017,408 | \$2,678,213 | \$7,636,311 | \$5,894,800 | \$18,290,019 |
| Required Increase | \$1,367,617 | \$691,290 | \$1,748,942 | \$1,705,763 | \$5,576,898 |
| Increase \% | 210.5\% | 34.8\% | 29.7\% | 40.7\% | 43.9\% |

## Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE WHY THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR CORDES

 LAKES INCREASES BY SO MUCH MORE THAN THE OTHER AREAS?A. As mentioned above, Cordes Lakes ran into water shortages in 2016, and then much worse problems occurred in 2017. The entire system lacked resiliency and capacity, which required the following investments, as per the Direct Testimony of Joshua D. Reiff in this case:

- Security fencing at well-site properties,
- Installation of Well 7,
- Replacement of leaking or broken water mains,
- Replacement of a well pump at Well 4,
- Replacement of various booster pumps,

[^30]- Replacement of failed electronic equipment
- Installation of variable frequency drive ("VFD") units on various well and booster station sites,
- Replacement of failed isolation valves throughout the distribution system,
- Replacement of leaking black poly service lines with new copper service lines,
- Replacement of failed water meters,
- Purchase of the office building in Cordes Lakes.
- The post-test year plant being requested for recovery in this rate case of $\$ 1.5$ million includes Safety improvements to the office building,
- Backup generators at three wells and two booster stations,
- ERT installations and reading collection equipment for AMR implementation,
- One service truck,
- 24 valve and pipe replacements to improve resiliency,
- Continual service connection repairs.
Q. AS NECESSARY AS THOSE INVESTMENTS WERE, THEY LEAD TO AN OVERALL 210.5\% RATE INCREASE. IS THE MAGNITUDE OF THE INCREASE PROBLEMATIC?
A. I believe a 210.5 percent rate increase would be incredibly hard for the people in Cordes Lakes. The requirement for rate continuity is undermined; rate shock is present in that situation. And, as someone who has spent 24 years trying to help small water companies, I believe that even if there were no other bases to rationalize the consolidation of rates or benefits that would come from doing so, even if Dec. No. 75626 did not exist, the need to mitigate the rate shock that the Cordes Lakes customers would otherwise experience is sufficient justification to consolidate the rates.
Q. HOW WOULD THE CONSOLIDATION AFFECT THE AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER BILL FROM EACH SERVICE AREA?
A. Using data from the Revenue Requirements Direct Testimony of Thomas Bourassa, Table 5 shows a comparison of the proposed average residential customer bill on a stand-alone
and consolidated basis using the meter size with largest residential customers for each applicant.

TABLE FIVE: CONSOLIDATED AND STANDALONE AVG. RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER BILLS

| Utility* | Meter Size | Avg. Use <br> (gallons) | Stand-Alone: <br> Proposed Bill | Consolidated: <br> Proposed Bill | Change <br> (\$) | Change <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RR | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | 6,070 | $\$ 50.84$ | $\$ 47.66$ | $(\$ 3.18)$ | $-6 \%$ |
| BV | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | 5,274 | $\$ 36.90$ | $\$ 43.44$ | $\$ 6.54$ | $15 \%$ |
| CL | $3 / 4$ | 3,420 | $\$ 100.70$ | $\$ 47.02$ | $(\$ 53.68)$ | $-53 \%$ |
| BW | $3 / 4$ | 5,256 | $\$ 69.18$ | $\$ 55.48$ | $(\$ 13.70)$ | $-20 \%$ |
| RR-S | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ | - | $\$ 66.37$ | $\$ 66.37$ | $\$ 0.00$ | - |

The proposed monthly bill for a $3 / 4$-inch metered residential customer, the largest customer class, using an average of 3,420 gallons, in Liberty Cordes Lakes is reduced by approximately $53 \%$ under the proposed consolidation than they would on a stand-alone basis. Similarly, under the consolidation scenario, the monthly customer bill for the largest residential customer class for Liberty Beardsley (3/4 inch meter) and Liberty Rio Rico Water ( $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ inch meter) will reduce by approximately $20 \%$ and $6 \%$, respectively. The average residential customers in Liberty Bella Vista, using a $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ inch meter and average usage of 5,274 gallons will pay approximately $15 \%$ or $\$ 6.54$ per month more under the consolidation scenario.
Q. DO THESE VALUES INCLUDE THE ACQUISITION PREMIUM YOU DESCRIBED EARLIER IN YOUR TESTIMONY?
A. They do.
Q. IS THIS TO SAY THAT ONLY CUSTOMERS IN BELLA VISTA WOULD PAY SIGNIFICANTLY MORE DUE TO CONSOLIDATING THE RATES?
A. One way to summarize the data presented in Table 5 is to say that rates for average residential customers in Liberty Bella Vista will increase by an additional $15 \%$ or $\$ 6.54$ per month because of the rate consolidation. In contrast, average residential customers in Liberty Cordes Lakes would pay considerably less than they would otherwise. Average
residential customers in Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Rio Rico will also pay less than they would otherwise.

## Q. IS THIS A REASONABLE OUTCOME?

A. Absolutely. As explained earlier, Bella Vista is an entity built up through acquisitions of small, viable, and non-viable systems. Many customers in the systems comprising Bella Vista were once the beneficiaries of consolidation. All the customers are served by a strong, well-capitalized, professional utility company - with rates consolidated, each system's chance of facing a rate shock scenario (whether driven by the EPA, heat, or drought) is dramatically reduced.
Q. CAN YOU THINK OF REASONS TO JUSTIFY THE COMMISSION'S RULING

## AGAINST ACQUISITION PREMIUMS THAT LEAD TO THE CONSOLIDATION OF ARIZONA'S WATER SECTOR?

A. I would urge the Commission to carefully evaluate rate consolidation if it were clear that the types of customers were utterly different. In my testimony on RUCO's behalf in the EPCOR case and the white paper "Consolidation \& Uncertainty," I pointed to the dramatic differences between household incomes in Paradise Valley versus Kingman and South Tucson. If consolidation were to make the people in Kingman or South Tucson pay acquisition premiums to benefit the customers of Paradise Valley, I would oppose it. If the consolidation involved no financial or operational improvements, I would oppose it. If the consolidation resulted in unaffordable rates, I would oppose it unless it was necessary to provide baseline levels of safe, adequate, and reliable service.

## Q. AND HOW DO THOSE FACTORS LOOK IN THIS CASE?

A. None of them are present in this case. In this case, each factor weighs heavily in favor of full consolidation: The customers are similarly situated economically, and each utility has seen dramatic financial and operational improvements. The rates under consolidation are affordable for all customers under full consolidation.
Q. ARE THERE ANY POTENTIAL NEGATIVES FROM RATE CONSOLIDATION IN CIRCUMSTANCES LIKE THE ONE THE COMPANY SEEKS TO NAVIGATE?
A. Yes, it is essential not to create an unnecessary or unfair subsidy between customer groups. The customers should be receiving, in the Commission's own words: "same service, customer service, use of the same billing system, the same operations teams, and the costs for operations, maintenance, and similar administrative tasks." ${ }^{23}$

There is no question about any of those factors in this case. The only other element the Commission has considered is whether the customers are economically similarly situated. Here are the average household incomes, property values, and household income to property value ratios for each of the utilities service areas:

TABLE SIX: ECONOMIC COMPARISONS OF SYSTEM CUSTOMERS
Median Houschold Income
Median Property Value HHI/Property Value Ratio Median HHI: \$54,563
Median Property Value: $\$ 161,600$
HHI/Property Ratio: 2.96
Median HHI: \$64,154
Median Property Value: $\$ 189,941$
HHI/Property Ratio: 2.96
Median HHI: \$44,175
Median Property Value: $\$ 141,600$
HHI/Property Ratio: 3.17
Median HHI: \$70,691
Median Property Value: \$346,729
HHI/Property Ratio: 4.90

We can see in Table 6 that Rio Rico and Bella Vista are incredibly similar. While there is a Median HHI difference of almost $\$ 10,000$, when we look at their property values, they have the same $\mathrm{HHI} /$ Property Value ratio of 2.96 .

[^31]Property value is a reliable proxy for household wealth. When we look at Cordes Lakes, we see the lowest HHI, and property values yield a slightly higher HHI/Property Value ratio of 3.17.

When we look at Beardsley's HHI and property values, we see that although they have significantly higher HHI , they have much higher mortgages. Hence, their $\mathrm{HHI} /$ Property Value ratio is higher than their rural counterparts, at 4.90.

Those facts do not really matter because we are not asking lower-income households to shoulder costs for higher systems. Every group will benefit from consolidation - and as the Commission recognized with Beardsley, their AIAC overreliance combined with the growth in their service areas made that system non-viable. As the growth enters that system, we should make sure those new homeowners are grouped with other, similar customers so that as growth and the resulting rate base impacts continue, the costs are minimized to everyone over time by making the rates more gradual through rate consolidation.

## Q. GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF CONSOLIDATING UTILITY RATES ACROSS MULTIPLE SERVICE AREAS?

A. There are at least four potential benefits that I believe are relevant to this proceeding:

First, rate consolidation can help mitigate rate shock when a utility needs to make significant system investments.

Second, rate consolidation can support the financial integrity of the utility.
Third, rate consolidation can help facilitate future investments.
Fourth, a simpler rate structure is more transparent and reduces regulatory burdens and lowers regulatory costs.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE FIRST BENEFIT, THE POTENTIAL TO MITIGATE RATE SHOCK.

A. I discussed this issue at length above, explaining that consolidating rates would mitigate an overall rate increase of nearly $210.5 \%$ for Cordes Lakes customers. This amount in one rate adjustment will be very disruptive to customers. When rate consolidation is used, the
impacts of significant investments are shared across a much larger set of customers - that does not mean that subsidies are occurring - in this case, the Commission can see that former beneficiaries of rate consolidation are, this time, contributing to the costs faced by other challenged systems. This ebb and flow is what avoids the question of impermissible subsidies.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SECOND BENEFIT, WHETHER RATE

 CONSOLIDATION SUPPORTS THE UTILITY'S FINANCIAL INTEGRITY.A. The Commission must consider the impact on the revenue stability of the utility, not simply because of Hope, Bluefield, and state laws and court orders, but because the customers of any essential good or service rely on the provider for their daily life. There aren't replacement goods available for water and wastewater services. As I detailed earlier in this testimony, the financial integrity of Beardsley Water was a significant concern for the Commission, and its financials were alarming to me. Liberty has turned that company around in a remarkable manner. Cordes Lakes was hauling water and shutting its system off for four hours a day in the middle of a record-breaking summer (2020).

If the Commission strictly adhered to the cost-causation principle, Beardsley would remain financially incapable, and Cordes Lakes customers would see their rates raised to crippling levels. Neither outcome benefits anyone - not the customers, the Commission, or the Company. Under rate consolidation, customers are shielded from rate shock, the Commission can reduce the odds of another water company failure, and the Company can recover its costs in a stable and timely manner.
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE THIRD POTENTIAL BENEFIT FROM RATE CONSOLIDATION, A UTILITY'S ENHANCED ABILITY TO FINANCE SYSTEM INVESTMENTS.
A. The ability to attract capital for needed investment is directly and inescapably linked to revenue - and the terms upon which capital is provided are based now and inescapably on revenue stability.

Thus, if your credit history is terrible, you make banks think the revenue stability of lending money to you so that you can buy a car is low - and thus, your interest rate is higher. The same thing applies to all capital decisions.


The Environmental Finance Center at the University of North Carolina identified revenue stability as one of the key financial indicators for water systems, writing:
"Essentially, the question of revenue stability is simple, at least at first glance: Are the rates that you have set generating sufficient revenue for your utility to recover your costs (both operating and maintenance costs, and longer-term capital costs), not only on a day-to-day basis but also under situations of uncertainty or adversity, such as a drought, a disruption to source water supplies (e.g., from an environmental pollution accident), etc.?"24

The reality of small, undercapitalized utilities is the primary driver for the consolidation of Arizona's water sector. To the point made by the UNC Finance Center above, Arizona faces uncertainty and adversity from the ongoing drought and increased heat. Like those involved in this proceeding, small water companies are particularly exposed to those challenges: Cordes Lakes' history demonstrates the potential results of drought and heat. Beardsley Water's history reflects the impact of over-reliance on developer funding through Advances In Aid of Construction.

[^32]In this case, not only is Liberty consolidating these systems, but we are consolidating them with systems that were once undercapitalized, challenged, and in need of significant investment. And that's the entire purpose, in my mind, of consolidating Arizona's small water sector.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE FOURTH BENEFIT, ENHANCED TRANSPARENCY AND THE REDUCTION OF REGULATORY BURDENS.

A. This benefits primarily the Commission and the Company, but in so doing, it reduces costs at the margin for customers. Having multiple rate designs and tariffs requires redundant administrative costs. Rate consolidation decreases the financial impacts of large investments in a single system - rate cases cost companies a lot of time and resources. Yes, rate case expense is there, but in my experience, rate case expense doesn't come close to showing the impact of a rate case on the Company's management. Key leaders are always involved in rate cases - taking time away from core business tasks. By consolidating these companies into a larger whole, Liberty and the Commission are reducing the overall number of rate cases that must be processed, reducing the rate case impacts on management (rather than regular, small rate cases for many different utilities, Liberty can combine systems into one overall rate case.

That approach also significantly increases the transparency for the Commission. The Commission has all the books and records all at once and can more clearly see how cost allocations, revenue streams, and capital expenditures are occurring across multiple utilities rather than seeing only one piece at a time.

## Q. IS THIS A SIGNIFICANT BENEFIT TO CUSTOMERS?

A. It is a clear benefit. It allows the company to spend more time, resources, and capital on running and improving the core business instead of managing and overseeing multiple rate cases. It reduces the rate case expense because witnesses can testify regarding many entities simultaneously. However, the main benefit is increased resiliency from financial and operational perspectives.
Q. YOU IDENTIFIED THE POTENTIAL FOR AN IMPERMISSIBLE SUBSIDY AND A POTENTIAL DISBENEFIT FROM RATE CONSOLIDATION; HOW DOES THAT CONCERN APPLY TO THIS PROCEEDING?
A. Primarily, this is about customers in Bella Vista, who will pay more if the Commission approves the Company's proposal to consolidate rates. The Commission must determine whether the extra burden on those customers is more than offset by mitigating the rate shock that would otherwise occur to Cordes Lakes customers and the other benefits to customers in all four service territories. The Commission must consider whether the customers in Bella Vista are economically similar to the customers that, this time, receive the benefits of consolidation. As shown in this testimony, they are.

## Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS.

A. Water utilities are always reluctant to increase customer costs. Still, under these circumstances, I believe the benefits more than satisfy the Commission's consolidation criteria and the recognition of acquisition premiums for non-viable companies. Comparing Liberty's investments post-acquisition against the asset investments and improvements made, particularly compared with prior Commission decisions for similarly situated companies like Global Water, shows that Liberty didn't buy these companies to get a premium. Liberty bought these companies to fix them, to make them financially and operationally viable for today's customers, and for the challenges of increased heat, drought, and growth. I also would add that consolidation works both ways. Although Bella Vista customers may pay more now, those same customers will benefit from a shared rate base for Bella Vista plant investments in the future. I think it's fair to say that consolidation will even out and benefit all customers equally over time.

Consolidation of these rates is consistent with previous precedent in Arizona and the Commission's stated policy objectives, and to find otherwise would do significant damage to the Commission's efforts to strengthen and consolidate the water sector and would raise alarm bells, again, with everyone focused on Arizona's regulatory climate.

## EXHIBIT PW-DT1

## azcentral.

## BREAKING NEWS

# Heat wave causes water shortage in Cordes Lakes; delivery shut nightly 

Kelsey Mo<br>The Republic | azcentral.com

Published 10:44 p.m. MT June 23, 2017
Residents in Cordes Lakes are experiencing a water shortage as a result of the extreme heat.

In response, officials temporarily are shutting off water for four hours each night.
According to Yavapai County Emergency Management, water in wells has not been able to keep up with demand in the area because of high temperatures gripping the state this week.

About 1,350 homes have been impacted as a result. Cordes Lakes is located north of Black Canyon City, near the junction of Interstate 17 and State Route 69.
"The main cause of this is simply the record heat that we're having right now. The customer demand in the system has simply exceeded the capacity of the wells to produce water," said Ray Jones, an engineering consultant at Aricor Water Solutions, one of Cordes Lakes Water Company's contractors,

All of the water provided comes from groundwater wells.
According to a statement from Yavapai County Emergency Management, the water pumps that deliver water from the wells to homes are temporarily being shut down between 11 p.m. and $3 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to allow the wells to replenish overnight.
"Water conservation by customers, water hauling and nightly planned system-wide shutdowns has stabilized the Cordes Lakes water system and allowed for an increase in storage levels from 36 percent of capacity to 58 percent of capacity," the statement said.

The company is asking customers to limit water use inside the home and eliminate lawn watering outside. In addition, the company is also hauling in water from Prescott Valley to put into the water system, stabilizing the water levels in the wells to an extent.

Cordes Lakes is currently under a Stage 3 Water Curtailment and Jones said that he anticipates it will last for another week at least.
"Once we get some lower temperatures, particularly when the monsoon rains would come, the problem will very quickly subside at that point," Jones said.

Last summer, the company did ask customers to lower water consumption, but did not have to cut off water at night. To prevent another situation like this from happening again, Jones said the company is building another well in the area that should be completed by late 2017, early 2018.
"We're in the process of preparing to file with the Corporation Commission for the authorization to go ahead and proceed with the construction of the well," Jones said.

Jones said there are water bottles being distributed at the Mayer Fire Station for residents in need of relief.

He said residents largely have been cooperative with the situation.
Earlier this week, the White Mountain Apache Tribe in eastern Arizona declared a water emergency in Cibecue after pumps that deliver water from a storage tower to the community failed. The Salvation Army, among others, worked Friday to deliver cases of bottled water to the community.

## READ MORE:

White Mountain Apaches declare water emergency
Phoenix weather: Not much relief at night
Extreme Phoenix heat: Is there an upside?
Extreme heat shatters power records in Arizona
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I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
A. My name is Jill Schwartz. My business address is 602 South Joplin Avenue, Joplin, Missouri, 64802.

## Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. I am testifying on behalf of Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Bella Vista"), Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp. ("Rio Rico"), Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp. ("Beardsley"), Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes Water) Corp. ("Cordes Lakes") (collectively referred to sometimes herein as "Applicants").

## Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am employed by Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("LUSC") as the Senior Director of Regulatory Policy and Strategy. LUSC is a direct subsidiary of Liberty Utilities Co. ("LUCo") and is a subsidiary of Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. ("Liberty Canada" or "LUCC"), which is a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Algonquin Power \& Utilities Corp. ("APUC"). As the Senior Director of Regulatory Policy and Strategy, I lead the Corporate Regulatory team and am responsible for development of the regulatory strategy and evidentiary support for the corporate shared services costs charged to the operating utilities (like Applicants) in accordance with the APUC Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM"). In addition, the Corporate Regulatory team provides support for local and regional regulatory teams for rate cases and other regulatory matters.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.
A. In 2001, I completed my Bachelor of Science in Accounting from the John E. Simon School of Business at Maryville University in St. Louis, Missouri. From May 2001 to February 2015, I was employed by The Boeing Company in a variety of accounting capacities, ensuring compliance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation Mandatory Disclosure rule and developing and delivering labor compliance training for all Boeing employees. I joined Liberty Utilities in February 2015 as the Manager of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for

Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. In February 2017, I was promoted to Senior Manager of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for Liberty Utilities Central Region, where I was responsible for the regulatory matters involving the electric, natural gas and water utilities in Missouri, Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas and Oklahoma. In August 2019, I transitioned to the Corporate Regulatory department, where I provided support for the cost allocation manual and corporate costs to other Liberty Utilities operating utilities across the United States and Canada. In December 2020, I was promoted to Director Regulatory Shared Services.
Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION OR ANY OTHER REGULATORY AGENCY?
A. Yes. I provided pre-filed and oral testimony before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") in the Applicant's sister companies' recent rate cases ${ }^{1}$. I have also testified before public utility commissions in Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Missouri, and New York, as well as the New Brunswick Energy and Utilities Board in Canada.

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of this testimony is to provide support for the Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM"), corporate shared services costs allocated to the Applicants, as well as the indirect overhead ("INDOH") derived from the corporate shared services costs and recorded in Applicants' rate base.
II. THE APUC/LIBERTY SHARED SERVICES AND COST ALLOCATION MODEL

## A. Corporate Structure

Q. WHO IS THE IMMEDIATE CORPORATE PARENT OF APPLICANTS?
A. The immediate parent company for Bella Vista, Rio Rico, Beardsley and Cordes Lakes is Liberty Utilities (Sub) Corp. ("Liberty Sub Corp"). Liberty Sub Corp is the direct shareholder of seven regulated water and/or sewer utilities in Arizona, including the four

[^33]Applicants. ${ }^{2}$ Liberty Sub Corp is also the owner of three regulated water and wastewater utilities and one unregulated water utility in Texas $^{3}$, a regulated water and wastewater utility in Missouri and an unregulated water and wastewater utility in Illinois. ${ }^{4}$

## Q. WHERE DOES LIBERTY SUB CORP FIT INTO THE OVERALL

 APUC/LIBERTY CORPORATE STRUCTURE?A. Liberty Sub Corp is a direct subsidiary of LUCo and is essentially the intermediary parent company between LUCo and the eleven individual operating utilities in Arizona and Texas. As such, Liberty Sub Corp also functions as a local shared services company with shared assets and costs recorded on its books.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE APUC AND ITS MAJOR SUBSIDIARIES?

A. APUC is a publicly traded utility holding company that serves as the overall corporate parent of a widely diversified portfolio of utility assets. APUC is traded on the New York ("NYSE") and Toronto ("TSX") stock exchanges. APUC has two major operating units in North America - its regulated utilities ("Liberty Utilities") and its renewable power generation facilities ("Liberty Power"). Liberty Utilities owns and operates regulated water, wastewater, natural gas and electric utilities in thirteen states and one Canadian province. Liberty Utilities is divided into three operating regions (East, Central and West). Liberty Power is an unregulated entity that owns and/or provides renewable power generation from numerous facilities located throughout the United States and Canada. In addition to APUC's two major operating units in North America, APUC also owns a water and wastewater utility in Chile and an electric utility in Bermuda.

## Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THE APUC/LIBERTY CORPORATE STRUCTURE IS UNNECESSARILY COMPLICATED?

[^34]A. No. The fact that APUC owns and operates so many individually regulated utilities across the U.S. and Canada, and now also Chile and Bermuda, as well as its power generation facilities across North America, is naturally going to lead to a certain level of organizational complexity. Ultimately, only a few corporate entities are involved in providing shared services and it is my goal in this rate case to show that our cost allocation process is understandable, rational, beneficial, consistent and readily subject to verification during regulatory inspections and audits. Therefore, a sophisticated corporate structure should not interfere with the setting of rates that provide for recovery of the Applicants' reasonable and necessary costs of service.
Q. HOW ARE ALL OF APUC'S REGULATED AND UNREGULATED ENTITIES MANAGED AND OPERATED?
A. APUC's operating units are managed and operated with shared corporate and business support services, combined with decentralized local management and operational control of day-to-day utility operations. The result is that each regulated utility (run by local management and operators) benefits from access to a wide variety of corporate and business support services and essential access to capital for infrastructure investment, all at a reasonable cost. This business model provides substantial benefits to our regulated utilities and their customers through shared corporate services while leaving local management with control over operations. Our approach to conducting business has direct influence on all business activities and serves to guide the actions of the organization in carrying out a customer-centric approach. But this local approach could not work without our shared corporate services.

## B. Introduction to Shared Services

## Q. WHAT ARE "SHARED SERVICES"?

A. In the broadest terms, shared services are corporate administrative, financial and accounting, human resources and other business support services provided to and paid for by more than one entity or division within an entity.

## Q. ARE SHARED SERVICES MODELS LIKE THIS COMMON IN THE UTILITY INDUSTRY?

A. Yes, based on my knowledge and experience, it is correct to say that use of service companies and shared services models is common in the utility industry, as well as in business generally. Through shared services models, a broad array of corporate and business support services are seamlessly provided to multiple entities across the entire organization. Such a structure is not only to be expected in any large commercial organization like ours, but absolutely necessary to achieve economies of scale and improve the quality of products and services.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN?

A. For Liberty Utilities, shared services allow the regulated utilities access to a greater range of business support at a lower cost than most utilities could obtain in providing similar services and incurring those costs separately. For example, treasury, information technology, insurance, and risk management are provided centrally, which provides the benefits that naturally flow from the reliance on service groups with broad experience and facilitates the standardization of these activities. In other words, the APUC/Liberty service providers were designed and exist to provide support to the operating entities.
Q. ARE ALL SHARED SERVICES PROVIDED BY AFFILIATED ENTITIES WITHIN THE APUC CORPORATE ORGANIZATION?
A. Yes. As I discussed above, APUC-affiliated companies own and operate a diverse portfolio of regulated and unregulated utility assets. Finding an unaffiliated group or groups capable of replicating the depth, experience and scope of the corporate support and services provided within the APUC/Liberty family of companies would be a daunting, if not impossible, task, one which would then have to be repeated for each region, state or general location where there are operations. That is why enterprises like APUC build their own corporate support centers that are tailor-made to meet their unique and ever-changing needs regardless of size or geography. The benefits of this type of shared services model to the customers are through economies of scale and empowerment of local operations with access
to in-house experts providing shared services across the full spectrum of functionality, including finance, accounting, treasury, procurement, insurance, audit, risk management, IT, capital planning and other similar functions as part of utility operations. This structure provides local operations the opportunity to realize economies of scale and other knowledge-based efficiencies through shared corporate support services, without impairing the quality of those services or relationships with customers. For example, treasury, information technology, insurance, and risk management services are provided centrally. When structured and carried out correctly, providing these selected services centrally enhances the local presence our customers prefer. This is why I can confidently testify that we would not be able to have the superior locally focused operations at economically viable rates without the APUC/Liberty shared services model.

## Q. CAN YOU EXPAND ON YOUR DISCUSSION OF THE SUPPORT SERVICES PROVIDED BY THESE AFFILIATED ENTITIES?

A. Yes. Starting at the top, APUC provides overall strategic management, corporate governance, financial management, and administrative and support services to all of its subsidiaries. In addition, as a publicly traded holding company, APUC also maintains access to the capital markets through the issuance of long-term debt and equity, as well as access to short-term credit facilities. Such access to capital is of substantial benefit to regulated utilities that often need large sums for infrastructure investment. Below APUC, LUCC is generally the legal entity employing personnel physically located in Canada and providing various corporate and business support services including executive, regulatory strategy, energy procurement, operations, utility planning, administration, and customer experience. Other administrative and support services are provided by LUCC employees through the Liberty Algonquin Business Services ("LABS") business unit to Liberty Power and Liberty Utilities. LABS includes the following departments: information technology, human resources, training, environment, health, safety and security, procurement, executive and strategic management, technical services,
risk management, financial reporting, planning and administration, treasury, internal audit, external communications, legal, and compliance.

Finally, LUSC is the legal entity employing most of Liberty's U.S.-based employees who provide support to the regulated utilities. LUSC employees generally can be placed into four categories: (1) utility dedicated employees, (2) employees who provide shared services to Liberty Power and Liberty Utilities, (3) employees who provide corporate support to all Liberty Utilities' operating utilities, and (4) regional employees who provide shared services to support the utilities within one of the operating regions (East, Central or West). Under LUSC, administration of payroll costs are streamlined and shared across APUC's U.S.-based utility companies.

## Q. ARE THERE ADDITIONAL SERVICES PROVIDED ON A REGIONAL BASIS?

A. Yes, as I testified above, the various operating utilities are organized under a regional structure. This regional organization provides a more effective management and reporting hierarchy by allowing groups of state utilities to report to regional managers for specific functions and offers several additional benefits. To start, it allows the state presidents to focus on overall utility operations, state utility commission processes, customer satisfaction and community relations rather than managing individuals with a wide range of functional responsibilities. This organizational structure also allows for a sharing of expertise among regulated utilities across several states and provides for common support functions that would be too cumbersome to provide at a national corporate level and too costly to support at an individual state/utility level. The regional structure also provides for a manageable span of control for the number of individuals reporting to a single manager.

## Q. DOES LIBERTY SUB CORP ALSO PROVIDE SHARED SERVICES?

A. No personnel are employed by Liberty Sub Corp. But there are employees of LUSC that are dedicated to provide services for the regulated water and wastewater utilities in Arizona and Texas that are owned by Liberty Sub Corp. Those particular employees assign and charge time and costs to Liberty Sub Corp departments that are allocated to the regulated utilities in Arizona and Texas. For example, Engineering and Operations department
employees are employed by LUSC, but are assigned to Liberty Sub Corp in the human resources information system. Their costs are recorded on Liberty Sub Corp.'s books in their respective departments and may be directly billed to the appropriate utilities (like Bella Vista, Rio Rico, Beardsley, or Cordes Lakes) or allocated based on the local four-factor methodology to all of the Arizona and/or Texas utilities.

## Q. HOW ARE THE COSTS OF ALL THESE SHARED SERVICES ALLOCATED

 BETWEEN ALL THE BENEFITTING ENTITIES?A. Costs are pooled and allocated in accordance with the APUC CAM which I will explain in greater detail in the next subsection of my testimony.

## C. Cost Allocation and the CAM

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CAM.

A. The CAM is a written manual intended to govern affiliate transactions and cost allocations within the APUC organization. The CAM describes the services provided by APUC, LUCC, LUCo and LUSC and sets forth the methods used to apportion the costs for those services among the benefitting entities. Costs allocated include both direct charges to specific entities and the allocation of indirect costs for services that benefit more than one entity within the organization. The CAM is based on the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissions ("NARUC") Guidelines for Cost Allocations and Affiliate Transactions. The NARUC Guidelines are attached as Appendix 1 to the CAM. The CAM is attached to my testimony as Exhibit JS-DT1. The fundamental premise of the CAM is to direct charge costs to the greatest possible extent and to use rational, consistent and verifiable processes, procedures and methodologies to determine, define, and assign indirect common costs to all benefitting entities. The CAM is also designed to prevent regulated utilities from subsidizing unregulated operations.

## Q. HOW IS THE CAM "BASED" ON THE NARUC GUIDELINES?

A. NARUC has recommended specific guidelines regarding transactions between affiliates.

The following NARUC principles are embodied in the CAM:

1. To the maximum extent practicable, costs should be directly assigned
(NARUC Guidelines at 2, § B.1).
2. The general method for charging indirect costs should be on a fully allocated cost basis (NARUC Guidelines at 2, § B.2).
3. To the extent possible, all direct and allocated costs should be traceable on the books of the applicable regulated utility to the applicable Uniform System of Accounts and documentation should be available to the appropriate regulatory authority upon request (NARUC Guidelines at 2, § B.3).
4. Allocation methodologies should prevent subsidization and ensure equitable cost sharing among regulated and unregulated affiliates (NARUC Guidelines at 2-3, § B.4).
5. All costs should be classified as regulated, non-regulated, or common to both (NARUC Guidelines at 3, § B.5).
6. The primary cost driver of common costs should be identified and used to allocate the cost between regulated and non-regulated affiliates (NARUC Guidelines at 3, § B.6).
7. The indirect costs of each business unit, including the allocated costs of shared services, should be spread using relevant cost allocators (NARUC Guidelines at 3, § B.7).

## Q. CAN YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE CAM IS USED TO ASSIGN AND ALLOCATE COSTS TO REGULATED UTILITIES LIKE APPLICANTS?

A. Yes, under the CAM, a utility incurs costs in two ways: (1) Assigned/Direct costs-costs incurred for the exclusive benefit of one or more other companies, and which are directly charged to the company or companies that specifically benefited; and (2) Allocated/Indirect costs-costs incurred that benefit multiple companies, but cannot be directly identified and assigned. The CAM sets forth the methodology and logical allocation factors that establish a reasonable link between the cost causer(s) and cost recovery.

## Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE EXAMPLES?

A. Yes. First, costs that are incurred by each of the Applicants as part of providing utility services in their service areas in Arizona are direct costs, and thus are neither assigned nor allocated under the CAM. Second, costs that are incurred by APUC, LUCC, LUCo or LUSC for the exclusive benefit of any utility's operations are directly assigned to that utility in accordance with the CAM. Third, costs that are incurred by APUC, LUCC, LUCo or LUSC that benefit multiple companies within the APUC corporate family are allocated using defined allocation methodologies described in the CAM. Fourth, regional costs that benefit the entities within the West Region are either directly assigned to the utility within the region or allocated using the Regional Four-Factor Methodology. Finally, Liberty Sub Corp. incurs costs for the benefit of the Arizona and Texas utilities that are allocated based on a local four-factor methodology.

## Q. WHEN WAS THE CAM MOST RECENTLY UPDATED AND WHAT WERE THE SIGNIFICANT CHANGES?

A. The CAM was last updated in January 2017. With this update, the Utility Four-Factor weightings were updated and the regional operating structure and allocation methodology was established.

## Q. HAS THE CAM BEEN INDEPENDENTLY REVIEWED?

A. Yes. In April 2021, LUCC engaged PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") to assess the processes for capturing, assigning and allocating holding/service company costs incurred as described in the CAM and to assess the CAM's compliance with guidance provided by NARUC and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). PwC also assessed whether the allocations described in the CAM are based on cost-causative factors (e.g., direct charging, indirect attribution) or a multi-factor general allocator that are designed to prevent cross-subsidization (e.g., regulated versus unregulated affiliates, regulated electric versus regulated gas versus regulated water or wastewater, United States versus Canada). In addition, PwC reviewed the cost allocation workbooks to determine if the costs were actually allocated in accordance with the process stated in the CAM.

In July 2021, PwC issued their report, which is attached as Exhibit JS-DT2. PwC concluded that the methodologies for capturing and allocating parent and shared services costs to affiliates are reasonable, supportable and consistent with NARUC and FERC guidance, and that the results of the transaction testing found that the mechanics of the allocation process are working as designed. ${ }^{5}$

## D. INDOH

## Q. WHAT IS INDOH?

A. Indirect overhead or "INDOH" refers to the portion of administration and general ("A\&G") costs that support capital projects and, in turn, are capitalized.

## Q. DOES THE CAM ADDRESS INDOH?

A. No. The purpose of the CAM is to describe the shared services provided and charged by APUC, LUCC and LUSC to the affiliates and subsidiaries within the APUC/Liberty family of businesses. Although the CAM defines and describes how the shared services costs (which are recorded as A\&G costs) are assigned or allocated to the regulated utilities like the Applicants, the CAM does not define or describe the process for capitalizing a portion of the A\&G costs that are indirectly incurred for the benefit of capital or construction projects.

## Q. WHY ARE SUCH COSTS NOT CAPITALIZED DIRECTLY WITH ASSOCIATED CAPITAL PROJECTS?

A. Shared services departments perform many tasks that are essential to support capital and construction projects. To the extent that a task can be directly identified to a capital or construction project, it should be directly charged to the capital work order in accordance with the CAM. However, there are many shared services that are indirectly performed in support of capital projects and recorded as A\&G costs. NARUC recognizes the importance of including an appropriate portion of the A\&G costs as indirect overhead on construction projects in order to recognize the total cost of a construction project. ${ }^{6}$

[^35]Q. BUT AREN'T ALL OF THE ALLOCATED CORPORATE COSTS ALREADY INCLUDED IN THE APPLICANTS' REVENUE REQUIREMENTS AS A\&G EXPENSES?
A. No. Directly assigned and indirectly allocated shared services costs are initially recorded as A\&G expenses on Liberty Sub Corp's books. Then the West Region accounting team applies the effective capitalization rate to the A\&G costs to determine the INDOH amount. The INDOH is then credited out of the A\&G expenses and apportioned, as a debit amount, to the open Arizona and Texas utilities' capital projects.
Q. HOW IS INDOH INCORPORATED INTO APPLICANTS' RATES?
A. When the construction projects are completed and placed into service, the INDOH is capitalized as part of the asset on the utility's books and included as part of rate base. ${ }^{7}$

## Q. DOES NARUC SUPPORT CAPITALIZATION OF INDOH?

A. Yes. According to NARUC USOA Account Instruction 20(A) -

All overhead construction costs, such as engineering, supervision, general office salaries and expenses, construction engineering and supervision by others than the accounting utility, legal expenses, insurance, injuries and damages, relief and pensions, taxes and allowances for funds used during construction shall be charged to particular jobs or units on the basis of the amounts of such overheads reasonably applicable thereto, so that each job or unit shall bear its equitable proportion of such costs and that the entire costs of the unit, both direct and overhead, shall be deducted from the plant accounts at the time the property is retired.

Instruction 20(B) further provides -
As far as practicable, the determination of payroll charges includible in construction overheads shall be based on time card distribution

[^36]thereof. Where this procedure is impractical, special studies shall be made periodically of the time of supervisory employees devoted to construction activities so that only such overhead costs as have a definite relation to construction shall be capitalized. The addition to direct construction costs of arbitrary percentages or amounts to cover assumed overhead costs is not permitted.

## Q. HAS LIBERTY UTILITIES FOLLOWED NARUC'S INSTRUCTIONS?

A. In my opinion, yes. As previously discussed in my testimony, whenever possible shared services costs are directly charged to capital projects and capitalized as a direct cost of the project. Because it is not always practical for shared services employees to directly charge to capital projects that their activities support, Liberty Utilities has used special studies to determine an appropriate capitalization rate for the shared services performed in support of capital projects. Liberty Utilities periodically reviews and refreshes these studies to ensure the capitalization rate applied to the A\&G costs is updated as the capital investment program changes over time. The most recently updated capitalization rate was determined in 2021, resulting in a rate very close to the capitalization rate supported by the 2018 study.

## Q. WHAT CAPITALIZATION RATE IS USED AND HOW WAS THE RATE DETERMINED?

A. During the test year, a capitalization rate of 32.08 percent was used for the derivation of INDOH applied to the Applicants' capital projects. The capitalization rate was determined from a study conducted by PA Consulting in 2018 and 2019 and is provided as Exhibit JSDT3. In November 2020, LUCC engaged PwC to develop and conduct a new time study to determine the percentage of time spent by shared services employees in support of capital projects.

## Q. WHAT WAS THE RESULT OF THE PWC STUDY?

A. In July 2021, PwC completed its time study of the West Region shared services employees and determined that a capitalization rate of 32.43 percent is reasonable and supportable.

## E. Prior Regulatory Treatment of Shared Services Costs and CAM

Q. HAS THE APUC/LIBERTY SHARED SERVICES MODEL AND CAM BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COMMISSION?
A. Yes. Although I have only participated in two prior rate cases in Arizona on behalf of Liberty Utilities (the most recent Liberty Black Mountain and Liberty Gold Canyon rate cases), I understand there have already been more than 10 previous rate cases in Arizona involving allocated costs from affiliated transactions. I have attached a list of these cases to my direct testimony as Exhibit JS-DT4.
Q. DO ANY OF THE PRIOR CASES INVOLVE SIMILAR CAM AND SHARED SERVICES COSTS AS THE APPLICANTS NOW SEEK TO RECOVER IN THIS RATE CASE?
A. Generally, yes. While the CAM has been updated a few times and we are continuing to make refinements and improvements in the allocation process and methodologies, the general CAM approach has remained consistent for Liberty Utilities' regulated operations in the U.S. for many years.
Q. BESIDES ARIZONA, HAS THE CAM BEEN REVIEWED BY OTHER PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSIONS?
A. Yes. Liberty currently operates in 13 states across the U.S. and one Canadian province. The APUC/Liberty CAM and corporate shared services model has been reviewed by regulators as part of rate proceedings in our various jurisdictions.
Q. HAVE THE CORPORATE SHARED SERVICES COSTS BEEN APPROVED FOR THE APPLICANTS' SISTER UTILITIES?
A. Yes. There have been no material disallowances of corporate shared services costs in rate cases across Liberty's footprint related to the shared services model and allocation methodologies. Our regulators have consistently recognized the need for and benefits of Liberty's shared services model.

## F. Applicants' Shared Services Costs

Q. WHAT AMOUNT OF CORPORATE SHARED SERVICES COSTS WERE ALLOCATED TO APPLICANTS DURING THE TEST YEAR?
A. During the test year, Liberty Sub Corp received approximately $\$ 6,020,000$ of indirect allocations from APUC, LUCC and LUSC shared services allocated and billed during the test year in accordance with the methodologies defined in the CAM. After costs were allocated to Liberty Sub Corp, those costs were further allocated to the operating utilities in Arizona and Texas. Of the $\$ 6,020,000$ allocated from APUC, LUCC and LUSC, Liberty Cordes Lakes received approximately $\$ 146,000$, Liberty Beardsley received approximately \$207,000, Liberty Rio Rico received approximately \$697,000 and Liberty Bella Vista received approximately $\$ 749,000$. In total Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) received approximately $\$ 1,799,000$.
Q. ARE SHARED SERVICES PROVIDED TO APPLICANTS AT THE FULLY DISTRIBUTED COST OF PROVIDING THOSE SERVICES?
A. Yes. Costs are assigned either through a direct or allocated approach. Costs that cannot be directly assigned or indirectly allocated (e.g., general and administrative) must be included in the fully distributed cost calculation through a general allocation. Therefore, we go through this analysis of the shared services costs on a regular basis.
Q. DO ANY OF THE AFFILIATES PROFIT FROM THE SERVICES PROVIDED TO APPLICANTS?
A. No. All charges reflect the actual cost of providing that service or product. Affiliates are not charging or seeking a profit margin or any other form of affiliated profit.
Q. IS IT POSSIBLE THAT APPLICANTS COULD POTENTIALLY BE SUBSIDIZING NON-REGULATED AFFILIATES?
A. No. The CAM is designed to limit cross subsidizations in this manner. Additionally, as previously mentioned, our corporate services are provided at cost, which is determined by prevailing wages/benefits and actual incurred expenses.
Q. IF THE PROPOSED CONSOLIDATION OF THE APPLICANTS IS APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION, WOULD ANY OF YOUR TESTIMONY APPLY DIFFERENTLY TO THE SURVIVING ENTITY?
A. No, there will just be one entity with roughly the same pro rata share currently applicable to four entities.
Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANTS?
A. Yes.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this manual is to provide a detailed explanation of services provided by Algonquin Power \& Utilities Corp ("APUC") and its affiliates to other entities within the APUC family of businesses and to describe the Direct Charge ${ }^{1}$ and Indirect Charge ${ }^{2}$ Methodologies used for those services. The following organization chart identifies, at a high level, the corporate structure of APUC.

Figure 1: Simplified APUC Corporate Structure


This Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM") has been completed in accordance and conformance with the NARUC Guidelines for Cost Allocations and Affiliate Transactions ("NARUC Guidelines"). More specifically, the founding principles of this Cost Allocation Manual are to a) directly charge as much as possible to the entity that procures any specific service, and b)

[^37]I Power \& Utilities Corp
to ensure that unauthorized subsidization of unregulated activities by regulated activities, and vice versa, does not occur. For ease of reference, the NARUC Guidelines are attached as Appendix 1.

Costs allocated can take the form of: direct labor, direct material, direct purchased services and indirect charges (as described in Tables 1, 4 a and 4 b in this CAM). These costs are charged by the providing party to the receiving part at fully distributed costs.

## 2. THE APUC CORPORATE STRUCTURE

APUC owns a widely diversified portfolio of independent power production facilities and regulated utilities ${ }^{4}$ consisting of water distribution, wastewater treatment, electric and gas distribution utilities. While power production facilities are located in both Canada and the United States, regulated distribution utility operations are located in the United States. ${ }^{5}$ APUC is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange and the Toronto Stock Exchange ${ }^{6}$. APUC's structure as a publicly traded holding company provides substantial benefits to its regulated utilities through access to capital markets.

APUC is the ultimate corporate parent that provides financial and strategic management, corporate governance, and oversight of administrative and support services to Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. ("LUC") and its subsidiaries as well as to Algonquin Power Co. ("APCo") d/b/a Liberty Power and its subsidiaries. The services provided by APUC are necessary for all affiliates, including LUC and the regulated utility subsidiaries of Liberty Utilities Co. (referred to as "Liberty Utilities"), to have access to capital markets for capital projects and operations. These services are expensed at APUC and are performed for the benefit of Liberty Power and Liberty Utilities and their respective businesses.

APUC and its affiliates benefit from APUC's expertise and access to the capital markets through the use of certain shared services, which maximizes economies of scale and minimizes redundancy. In short, it provides for maximum expertise at lower costs. Further,

[^38]the use of shared expertise allows each of the entities to receive a benefit it may not be able to achieve on a stand-alone basis such as strategic management advice and access to capital at more competitive rates.

## 3. SCOPE OF SERVICES FROM APUC AND HOW THOSE COSTS ARE DISTRIBUTED

This section provides an overview of the services provided from APUC, and method used to distribute the associated costs for these services throughout the organization.

### 3.1 Services and Cost Allocation from APUC to Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power

### 3.1.1 Description of APUC Services and Costs

APUC provides benefits to its subsidiaries by providing financing, financial control, legal, executive and strategic management and related services. APUC charges labor rates for these shared services at cost, which is the dollar hourly rate per employee as recorded in APUC's payroll systems, grossed up for burdens such as payroll taxes, health benefits, retirement plans, other insurance provided to employees, and other employee benefits. These labor costs are charged directly to the entity incurring these costs based on timesheets to the extent possible. If labor is for the benefit of all subsidiaries then the allocation methodologies used for indirect costs are applied. See Appendix 2 for a more detailed discussion of the costs incurred by APUC.

APUC also charges non-labor services which includes Financing Services. Financing Services means the selling of units to public investors in order to generate the funding and capital necessary (be it short term or long term funding, including equity and debt) for the entire organization, including subsidiaries of Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power, as well as providing legal services and other associated costs in connection with the issuance of debt and equity.

In connection with the provision of Financing Services, APUC incurs the following types of costs: (i) strategic management costs (board of director, third-party legal services, accounting services, tax planning and filings, insurance, and required auditing); (ii) capital access costs (communications, investor relations, trustee fees, escrow and transfer agent fees); (iii) financial control costs (audit and tax expenses); and (iv) other administrative costs (examples: rent, depreciation, general office costs).

The capital raised by APUC is used by Liberty Utilities (and its regulated subsidiaries) and Liberty Power for current and future capital investments. The services provided by APUC are critical and necessary to Liberty Utilities and its regulated subsidiaries and Liberty Power because without those services they would not have a readily available source of capital funding. Further, relatively small utilities may have difficulty attracting capital on a standalone basis.

Indirect costs from APUC, excluding corporate capital, are pooled and allocated to LUC (and subsequently, to LUC's subsidiaries) and Liberty Power using the method summarized in Table 1. Each corporate cost type, or function, has been reviewed to properly identify the factors driving those costs. Each function or cost type is typically driven by more than one factor and each has been assigned an appropriate weighting. Table 1 includes a brief commentary on the rationale for each cost driver and weighting, along with examples for each cost type.

The services provided by APUC optimize the performance of the utilities, keeping rates low for customers while ensuring access to capital is available. If the utilities did not have access to the services provided by APUC, they would be forced to incur associated costs for financing, capital investment, audits, taxes and other similar services on a stand-alone basis, which would substantially increase such costs. Simply put, without incurring these costs, APUC would not be able to invest capital in its subsidiaries, including the regulated utilities.

Table 1: Summary of Corporate Allocation Method of APUC Indirect Costs

| Type of Cost | Allocation Methodology |  | Rationale | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal Costs | Net Plant <br> Number of <br> Employees <br> O\&M | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | This function is driven by factors which include Net Plant, as typically the higher the value of plant, the more legal work it attracts; similarly, a greater number of | Employee labor and related administration and programs; Third party legal services |

II Power \& Utilities Corp.

|  |  |  | employees are typically more indicative of larger facilities that require greater levels of attention; and O\&M costs tend to be a third factor indicative of size and legal complexity. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Services | Revenue <br> O\&M <br> Net Plant | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | This function is driven by a variety of factors that influence the size and relative tax complexity, including Revenues, O\&M and Net Plant. Tax activity can be driven by each of these factors. | Employee labor and related administration and programs, including Third party tax advice and services |
| Audit | Revenue <br> O\&M <br> Net Plant | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | This function is driven by a variety of factors that influence the size and complexity of Audit, including Revenues, O\&M and Net Plant. Audit activity can be driven by each of these factors. | Employee labor and related administration and programs, including third party accounting and audit services |
| Investor Relations | Revenue <br> O\&M <br> Net Plant | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and | Employee labor and related administration and programs, |


|  |  |  | scope of each affiliate - Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | including third party Investor day communications and materials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Director Fees and Insurance | Revenue O\&M Net Plant | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and scope of each affiliate - Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | Board of Director fees, insurance and administration |
| Licenses, Fees and Permits | Revenue O\&M Net Plant | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and scope of each affiliate - Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | Third party costs |
| Escrow and Transfer Agent Fees | Revenue O\&M Net Plant | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and scope of each affiliate - Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | Third party costs |
| Other <br> Professional <br> Services | Revenue <br> O\&M <br> Net Plant | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \\ & 33.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and scope of each affiliate - Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | Third party costs |


| Other <br> Administration <br> Costs | Oakville Employees <br> $50 \%$ <br> Total Employees <br> $50 \%$ | This function is <br> driven by factors <br> which are indicative <br> of number of <br> employees. | Office <br> administration <br> costs. Employee <br> labor and <br> related <br> administration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Executive and <br> Strategic <br> Management | Revenue  <br> O\&M  <br> Net Plant $33.3 \%$ $33.3 \%$ | This function is <br> driven by factors <br> ahich reflect the | Employee labor <br> and related <br> administration <br> that is not |
| relative size and |  |  |  |
| scope of each |  |  |  |
| affiliate - Revenues, |  |  |  |
| directly |  |  |  |
| attributable to |  |  |  |
| Net Plant and |  |  |  |
| O\&M costs. |  |  |  |$\quad$| any entity |
| :--- |

Notwithstanding the above, if a charge is related either solely to the regulated utility business or to the power generation business Liberty Power, then all of those costs will be direct charged, or assigned, to the business segment for which they are incurred. If a cost can be directly attributable to a specific entity, it will be directly charged to that entity.

In the event that organizational realignments occur, resulting in certain other services or costs to come from APUC, any allocations (if any) will be done as per the "Executive and Strategic Management" line in Table 1 above until the CAM is updated.

### 3.1.2 Description of the APUC Cost Flows

Please refer to Figure 2 for a diagram of the various flows of costs from APUC.

Figure 2: Illustration of APUC Corporate Cost Distributions

(a) Costs that are directly assignable to unregulated companies.
(b) Costs that are directly assignable to one regulated company, or that benefit all regulated operations.
(c) Costs that benefit both unregulated and regulated operations.

As illustrated in Figure 2 and as described above, APUC incurs three types of costs that are passed on to its direct and indirect subsidiaries. The first type is APUC's costs that directly benefit a particular specific unregulated company, which are directly assigned to that unregulated company (i.e., Liberty Power or one of its subsidiaries). The second type is APUC's costs that directly benefit a particular regulated company, which are directly assigned to that regulated company ${ }^{\top}$. The third type are APUC's remaining costs that benefit the entire

[^39]enterprise (both regulated and unregulated), which are allocated between regulated and unregulated company groups pursuant to CAM Table 1. Information within Table 1 includes: (a) each type of cost incurred by APUC that is to be allocated between regulated and unregulated parts of the business; (b) the factors used to allocate each type of cost between regulated and unregulated activity; (c) the rationale for selecting the factors that are used for allocation; and (d) examples of the specific allocated costs. The costs allocated to the regulated companies as a group are then reallocated to individual utility companies using the Utility Four-Factor allocation methodology set forth in CAM Table 2 (described below), resulting in utility-specific allocated charges from APUC.

For an example of how an APUC invoice would be assigned or allocated, please see Appendix 3.

Certain costs, which are incurred for the benefit of APUC's businesses, are not allocated to any utility subsidiary. These costs include certain corporate travel and certain overheads.

## 4. SCOPE OF SERVICES PROVIDED BY LUC AND HOW COSTS ARE DISTRIBUTED

This section provides an overview of the services and the cost methodology for LUC.

### 4.1 Overview of LUC Services and Costs

Various services and methods of cost distribution arise from LUC and can be categorized as those provided: (a) specifically to regulated utilities, (b) specifically to Liberty Power, or (c) to the entire organization (under the business unit of Liberty Algonquin Business Services ("LABS")). Figure 3 identifies the flow of costs from dedicated utility support and dedicated Liberty Power staff within LUC. Figure 4 identifies the flow of costs from the shared business and corporate services staff and functions ("LABS") within LUC. Both Figures 3 and 4 are depicted below in this section.

As illustrated in Figure 3, LUC incurs three types of costs. The first type is an LUC cost that directly benefits a particular Liberty Utilities affiliate (i.e., regulated company), which is directly assigned to that regulated company. The second type is an LUC cost that benefits all of the Liberty Utilities regulated companies, and which is allocated using the Utility FourFactor Methodology described in CAM Table 2. The third type is a cost that only benefits and is directly charged to Liberty Power. All three of these cost types are described in section 4.2 below.

As illustrated in Figure 4, shared services costs arising from LUC are those from shared services ${ }^{8}$ that benefit both the regulated group of companies and the unregulated group of companies within the APUC family; which are allocated between the two groups pursuant to the methodology described in section 4.3 and as set forth in CAM Table 4.

Figure 3: Illustration of LUC Corporate Cost Distributions


Notes:
(a) Costs that are directly assignable to unregulated companies
(b) Costs that are directly assignable to one or more specific regulated companies.
(c) Costs that benefit all regulated operations.

[^40]Figure 4: Illustration of LUC Shared Services Cost Distributions


Notes:
(a) Costs that are directly assignable to unregulated companies.
(b) Costs that are directly assignable to one or more regulated companies.
(c) Costs that benefit both unregulated and regulated operations.

### 4.2 LUC Services and Costs Provided to Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power

### 4.2.1 Services to Liberty Utilities

LUC provides services to Liberty Utilities such as: executive, regulatory strategy, energy procurement, operations, utility planning, administration, and customer experience.

LUC will assign costs that can be directly attributable to a specific utility. These include direct labor and direct non-labor costs. However, because the indirect LUC costs cannot be directly attributed to an individual utility, LUC allocates its indirect labor and indirect nonlabor costs, including capital costs, to its regulated utilities using a Utility Four-Factor Methodology ${ }^{9}$. LUC uses the Utility Four-Factor Methodology to allocate costs incurred for the benefit of all of its regulated assets ("System-Wide Costs") to all of its utilities.

The Utility Four-Factor Methodology allocates costs by relative size and scope of the utilities. The methodology used by LUC involves four allocating factors, or drivers: (1) Utility Net Plant; (2) Total Customers; (3) Non-Labor Expenses; and (4) Labor Expenses, with each factor assigned an equal weight, as shown in Table 2 below.

## Table 2: Utility Four-Factor Methodology Factors and Weightings

| Factor | Weight |
| :--- | ---: |
| Customer Count | $40 \%$ |
| Utility Net Plant | $20 \%$ |
| Non-Labor Expenses | $20 \%$ |
| Labor Expenses | $20 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

LUC uses the Utility Four-Factor Methodology to allocate to its regulated utilities the systemwide indirect labor and indirect non-labor costs within LUC (from its utility-dedicated staff, and from the shared services functions within LUC).

Table 3 provides a simplified hypothetical example to demonstrate how the Utility FourFactor Methodology would be calculated based on ownership of only two hypothetical utilities.

[^41]Table 3: Utility Four-Factor Methodology Example

| Factor | Utility 1 | Utility 2 | Total All <br> Utilities | Utility 1 \% <br> of Total | Factor <br> Weight | Utility 1 <br> Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility Net <br> Plant (\$) | 727 | 371 | 1098 | $66 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Customer <br> Count (\#) | 6000 | 2000 | 8000 | $75 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Labor <br> Expenses (\$) | 57 | 32 | 89 | $64 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Non-Labor <br> Expenses (\$) | 108 | 41 | 149 | $72 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Total Allocation |  |  |  |  |  | $71 \%$ |

As can be seen from these hypothetical numbers in Table 3, Utility 1 would be allocated 71\% of the total indirect costs incurred by LUC, based on its relative size and application of the Utility Four-Factor Methodology. Utility 2 would be allocated the remaining 29\%. LUC has developed and utilized this methodology to better allocate costs, recognizing that larger utilities require more time and management attention and incur greater costs than smaller ones.

On occasion there may be costs which are incurred for the benefit of two or more utilities, but not all of the utilities. These costs are directly assigned to utilities as per the vendor invoice, or, if the invoice doesn't specify a share for each utility, the Utility Four-Factor Methodology is used. In this situation, the weighting is determined by only including the utilities that benefited from the service and excluding the utilities that did not receive the service. For an example of how an LUC invoice would be assigned or allocated, please see Appendix 4.

### 4.2.2 LUC Services to Liberty Power.

A sub-set of LUC employees provide dedicated services to Liberty Power such as: executive, energy services, asset management, business development, and operations. All costs (labor and non-labor) incurred for these services will be directly charged to Liberty Power (no
indirect costs are allocated from this group). Labor costs are tracked through timesheets and directly charged to Liberty Power.

### 4.3 Shared Services from LUC

The last type of costs arising from LUC are those from shared services ${ }^{10}$ that benefit both the regulated group of subsidiary companies owned by Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power.

Consistent with the organization practices described earlier, shared services and costs (within $\mathrm{LUC}^{11}$ ) are assigned when they are directly attributable to a specific affiliate company (such as a specific distribution utility) or business unit ${ }^{12}$ (such as Liberty Utilities or Liberty Power). Labor charges for LUC shared services staff are assigned using timesheets that depict the amount of time that is to be direct charged to either Liberty Utilities or Liberty Power (or a specific subsidiary within Liberty Utilities. or Liberty Power).

Please refer to Figure 4 above for a diagram of the various flows of costs that may arise from the shared services staff and functions within $\mathrm{LUC}^{13}$.

Indirect costs for services from the shared services functions that cannot be directly assigned are allocated between the regulated and unregulated business units, Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power, pursuant to the methodology set forth in CAM Tables $4 a$ and $4 b$. Similar to Table 1, Tables 4a and 4b include: (a) each type of cost incurred by shared services functions within LUC that is to be allocated between regulated and unregulated parts of the business; (b) the factors used to allocate each type of cost between regulated and unregulated activity; (c) the rationale for selecting the factors that are used for allocation; and (d) examples of the specific allocated costs. The costs allocated to the regulated companies as a group are then reallocated to individual companies using the Utility Four-Factor Methodology set forth in CAM Table 2, resulting in utility-specific allocated charges from LUC.

[^42]For an example of how an invoice or cost within LUC's shared services (LABS) would be assigned or allocated, please see Appendix 5.

### 4.3.1 Business Services and Corporate Services

LUC shared services that would be provided to the entire company, i.e., Liberty Power and Liberty Utilities, are internally referenced under two names - Business Services and Corporate Services. The services and functions within each category are shown in the tables below ${ }^{14}$. Indirect costs from Business Services and Corporate Services are allocated using the following methodology shown in Tables 4a and 4b, respectively, which are designed to closely align the costs with the driver of the activity.

## Table 4a: Summary of Corporate Allocation Method of LUC ${ }^{15}$ Business Services Indirect Costs

| Type of Cost | Allocation <br> Methodology | Rationale | Examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Information <br> Technology | Number of <br> Employees <br> $90 \%$ <br> O\&M <br> $10 \%$ | IT function is <br> driven by factors <br> which include <br> number of <br> employees and <br> O\&M. The larger <br> the number of <br> employees, the <br> more support, <br> software and IT <br> infrastructure is <br> required. | Enterprise wide <br> support, <br> architecture, etc. <br> Third party fees |
| Human Resources | Number of <br> Employees <br> $100 \%$ | HR function is <br> driven by number <br> of employees. A <br> greater number of <br> employees requires | HR policies, <br> payroll <br> processing, <br> benefits, |
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|  |  | additional HR <br> support | employee <br> surveys |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Training | Number of <br> Employees <br> $100 \%$ | Training is directly <br> proportional to the <br> number of <br> employees per <br> function | Courses, <br> lectures, in <br> house training <br> sessions by <br> third party <br> providers |
| Facilities and <br> Building Rent | Oakville Employees <br> $100 \%$ | Office space <br> occupied by <br> employees <br> accurately reflects <br> space requirements <br> of each subsidiary | Corporate <br> office building |
| Environment, <br> Health, Safety and <br> Security | Number of <br> Employees <br> $100 \%$ | EHSS training, etc. <br> is directly <br> proportional to the <br> number of <br> employees per <br> function | Enterprise wide <br> programs, <br> employee labor <br> and related <br> administration |
| Procurement | O\&M <br> $50 \%$ <br> Capital <br> Expenditures $50 \%$ | Procurement <br> function is based <br> on typical <br> proportion of <br> expenditures | Enterprise wide <br> support and <br> related <br> administration |
| Executive and <br> Strategic <br> Management | Revenue <br> $33.3 \%$ <br> O\&M <br> $33.3 \%$ <br> Net Plant <br> $33.3 \%$ | Triven by factors <br> which reflect the <br> relative size and <br> scope of each <br> affiliate - <br> Revenues, Net <br> Plant and O\&M <br> costs. | Employee labor <br> and related <br> administration <br> that is not <br> directly <br> attributable to <br> any entity |
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| Technical Services | Net Plant 33.3\% <br> Revenue 33.3\% <br> O\&M <br> $33.3 \%$ | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and scope of each affiliate-Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | Employee labor and related administration that is not directly attributable to any entity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility Planning | Net Plant 33.3\% <br> Revenue <br> 33.3\% <br> O\&M <br> $33.3 \%$ | This function is driven by factors which reflect the scope of each affiliate <br> Management Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | Employee labor and related administration that is not directly attributable to any entity |

Table 4b: Summary of Corporate Allocation Method of LUC ${ }^{16}$ Corporate Services Indirect Costs

| Risk Management | Net Plant | This function is | Employee labor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $33.3 \%$ | driven by factors | and related |
| Revenue | which reflect the | administration, |  |
|  | $33.3 \%$ | relative size and |  |
| complexity of Risk | Software |  |  |
| platform, fees |  |  |  |
|  | $33.3 \%$ | Management - <br> and <br> Revenues, Net <br> Plant and O\&M <br> costs. | administration |
|  |  |  |  |

[^43]| Financial Reporting, Planning and Administration | Revenue $33.3 \%$ O\&M $33.3 \%$ Net Plant $33.3 \%$ | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and complexity of Financial Reporting and Admin. <br> Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | Employee labor and related administration and third party fees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treasury | Capital <br> Expenditures <br> 25\% <br> O\&M <br> 50\% <br> Net Plant <br> 25\% | Treasury activity is typically guided by the amount of necessary capex/plant for each utility, and operating costs/cash flow | Third party financing, employee labor and related administration and programs |
| Internal Audit | Net Plant 25\% <br> O\&M <br> 75\% | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and complexity of Internal audit activity. Larger Plant and operating costs of a given facility drive more activity from IA. | Third party fees, employee labor and related administration and programs |
| External Communications | Total Employees 100\% | Communications cost is directly proportional to the number of employees | Enterprise wide support and related administration |
| Legal Costs | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Net Plant } \\ 33.3 \% \end{array}$ | This function is driven by factors | Employee labor and related |


|  | Number of Employees 33.3\% <br> O\&M <br> $33.3 \%$ | which include Net Plant, as typically the higher the value of plant, the more legal work it attracts; similarly, a greater number of employees are typically more indicative of larger facilities that require greater levels of attention; and O\&M costs tend to be a third factor indicative of size and legal complexity. | administration and programs, including third party legal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance | Revenue <br> 33.3\% <br> O\&M <br> 33.3\% <br> Net Plant <br> 33.3\% | This function is driven by factors which reflect the relative size and scope of each affiliate - <br> Revenues, Net Plant and O\&M costs. | Employee labor and related administration that is not directly attributable to any entity |

## 5. LIBERTY UTILITIES SERVICE CORP.

This section provides an overview of some of the services (as outlined in Table 5) and the cost methodology for Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("LUSC").

Most U.S.-based utility employees are employed by LUSC and are dedicated to serve particular utilities. All employees' labor costs, such as salaries, and associated labor costs, such as benefits, insurance etc. are to be paid by LUSC and direct charged to the company to which the employee is dedicated and performs work. Services provided by employees within LUSC
to each regulated utility shall be distributed on a time sheet basis to the extent possible. In infrequent instances where time sheeting may not be possible, the allocation factors shown in Tables 4 a and 4 b are to be used, as will be explained below.

### 5.1 Shared Services from LUSC

LUSC employs some individuals who provide shared services (listed in Table 5 below). Costs distributed by LUSC will include those from shared services employees: (a) where the function benefits both Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power businesses and (b) where the function benefits some or all of the regulated utilities within Liberty Utilities (e.g., energy procurement services).

Consistent with the organizational shared services practices described earlier, shared services and costs (within LUSC) are assigned when they are directly attributable to a specific affiliate company (such as a specific distribution utility, for example) or business unit (such as Liberty Utilities or Liberty Power). Labor charges for LUSC shared services staff are assigned using timesheets that depict the amount of time that is to be direct charged to either Liberty Utilities or Liberty Power (or a specific subsidiary within Liberty Utilities or Liberty Power).

The type of U.S. shared services that benefits both Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power businesses is referred to as LABS U.S. The LABS U.S. indirect costs for services from the shared services staff and functions within LUSC that cannot be directly assigned are allocated between the regulated and unregulated business units, Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power, and are distributed in the same manner per CAM Tables 4 a and 4 b described for shared services staff and functions within LUC. Consistent with the practices within LUC, the costs allocated from LUSC to the regulated companies as a group (i.e. to Liberty Utilities) are then reallocated to individual utility companies within the Liberty Utilities structure using the Utility Four-Factor Methodology set forth in CAM Table 2, resulting in utility-specific allocated charges from LUSC.

The indirect costs from the U.S. shared services that only benefit the regulated utilities are distributed using the Utility Four-Factor Methodology set forth in CAM Table 2, resulting in utility-specific allocated charges from LUSC.

Figure 5 below depicts the various flows of costs from LUCS.

Table 5 - List of Shared Services provided by Liberty Utilities Service Corp.

| Customer Care and Billing |
| :--- |
| IT/Tech Support |
| Human Resources |
| Gas Control |
| Legal |
| Compliance |
| Regulatory \& Government Relations |
| Environmental, Health, Safety and Security |
| Procurement |
| Operations |
| Engineering; Dispatch and Control |
| Outage Management |
| GIS/Mapping |
| Vegetation Management |
| Energy Procurement |
| Accounting and Finance |
| Managerial |
| Utility Planning |
| Customer Communication |

Figure 5: Illustration of LUSC Cost Distributions


Notes:
(a) Costs that are directly assignable to unregulated companies.
(b) Costs that are directly assignable to regulated companies.
(c) Costs that benefit both unregulated and regulated operations.

Liberty Power

The allocation methodology may be adjusted based on the number of participating utilities. For example, Customer Service representatives who serve only the New Hampshire utilities will only have their indirect costs allocated, if any, to the two utilities within New Hampshire. Labor costs associated with energy procurement are directly billed to the utilities requiring energy procurement services using timesheets.

## 6. COST DISTRIBUTION AT THE REGIONAL OR STATE UTILITY LEVEL

Within the Liberty Utilities organization, the organizational structure and reporting relationships may evolve as the organization grows and develops. Costs and services provided to the regional or state utility level from other corporate entities are directly assigned to the extent possible and distributed over the utilities within the state or region for which they are provided. Any services and costs which cannot be directly assigned will be allocated to the utilities within the region or state using the Regional Four-Factor Methodology $(25 \%$ weighting for the factors of: customer count, utility net plan, non-labor expenses, and labor expenses), unless another method of allocation is legally required.

In addition, each of the regulated entities will distribute costs amongst their affiliated entities in accordance with applicable laws/rules and affiliated service agreements. These cost allocation methods are consistent with the principles of this CAM.

## 7. CORPORATE CAPITAL

APUC or LUC will make capital investments such as corporate headquarters, IT systems, etc. that benefit the various operating businesses. The costs of these investments may be distributed monthly in the form of an intercompany operating expense charge, that captures the depreciation expense and cost of capital associated with the particular assets, or an alternate method of capital allocation based on the particular needs of the project. All costs associated to service the investment will be allocated to Liberty Power and Liberty Utilities, if applicable, typically based on the allocation method from which the capital investment is made. For example, if the capital investment is made in Human Resources then the allocation methodology used for Human Resources to allocate non-capital indirect costs as shown in Table 4 a will be used to allocate the charge associated with the corporate capital expenditures, including the cost of capital, depreciation, and all other associated costs. From time to time, the distribution of costs associated with a corporate capital investment may use an alternate
method. Any corporate capital charges allocated or assigned to LUC are then reallocated to individual Liberty Utilities distribution utilities, or a sub-set of one or multiple distribution utilities, using the Utility Four-Factor Methodology set forth in CAM Table 2.

## 8. CAM TEAM AND TRAINING

The oversight of the CAM is the responsibility of the corporate Regulatory Department. Any updates or revisions are coordinated and completed by this Department. A CAM Team will be created consisting of trained employees to oversee the operations and management of the CAM principles throughout the organization.

The CAM, and any support material, is available to all employees via the Company intranet. Employee training on the CAM will be provided via the Company's Learning Management System.

## 9. AUDIT, RECORD KEEPING \& AFFILIATE TRANSACTION RULES

Records of each company will be maintained such that all affiliate transactions are auditable. The records will document the cost of transactions, the methods used to distribute the costs, and descriptions of the services provided. The records will be retained for a minimum of three years or as required by law or regulation. The regulator will have access to records, consistent with applicable laws, regarding transactions between the regulated utility and its affiliates. All companies subject to affiliate transaction rules, whether state or federal, will comply with such requirements.

## 10. UPDATING ALLOCATIONS

Allocation percentages ${ }^{17}$ are updated annually. These annual updates to the allocation percentages are based on the most recent audited financial statements and other actual, yearend information. The updated percentages come into effect each April $1^{\text {st }}$ and are valid through to the following March 31st. The Utility Four-Factor Methodology allocation percentages are also updated as an entity is either acquired or sold.
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## 11. APPENDICES

## appendix 1 - NARUC GUIDELINES FOR COST ALLOCATIONS

## Guidelines for Cost Allocations and Affiliate Transactions:

The following Guidelines for Cost Allocations and Affiliate Transactions (Guidelines) are intended to provide guidance to jurisdictional regulatory authorities and regulated utilities and their affiliates in the development of procedures and recording of transactions for services and products between a regulated entity and affiliates. The prevailing premise of these Guidelines is that allocation methods should not result in subsidization of non-regulated services or products by regulated entities unless authorized by the jurisdictional regulatory authority. These Guidelines are not intended to be rules or regulations prescribing how cost allocations and affiliate transactions are to be handled. They are intended to provide a framework for regulated entities and regulatory authorities in the development of their own policies and procedures for cost allocations and affiliated transactions. Variation in regulatory environment may justify different cost allocation methods than those embodied in the Guidelines.

The Guidelines acknowledge and reference the use of several different practices and methods. It is intended that there be latitude in the application of these guidelines, subject to regulatory oversight. The implementation and compliance with these cost allocations and affiliate transaction guidelines, by regulated utilities under the authority of jurisdictional regulatory commissions, is subject to Federal and state law. Each state or Federal regulatory commission may have unique situations and circumstances that govern affiliate transactions, cost allocations, and/or service or product pricing standards. For example, The Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 requires registered holding company systems to price "at cost" the sale of goods and services and the undertaking of construction contracts between affiliate companies.

The Guidelines were developed by the NARUC Staff Subcommittee on Accounts in compliance with the Resolution passed on March 3, 1998 entitled "Resolution Regarding Cost Allocation for the Energy Industry" which directed the Staff Subcommittee on Accounts together with the Staff Subcommittees on Strategic Issues and Gas to prepare for NARUC's consideration, "Guidelines for Energy Cost Allocations." In addition, input was requested from other industry parties. Various levels of input were obtained in the development of the Guidelines from the Edison Electric Institute, American Gas Association, Securities and Exchange Commission, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Rural Utilities Service
and the National Rural Electric Cooperatives Association as well as staff of various state public utility commissions.

In some instances, non-structural safeguards as contained in these guidelines may not be sufficient to prevent market power problems in strategic markets such as the generation market. Problems arise when a firm has the ability to raise prices above market for a sustained period and/or impede output of a product or service. Such concerns have led some states to develop codes of conduct to govern relationships between the regulated utility and its nonregulated affiliates. Consideration should be given to any "unique" advantages an incumbent utility would have over competitors in an emerging market such as the retail energy market. A code of conduct should be used in conjunction with guidelines on cost allocations and affiliate transactions.

## A. DEFINITIONS

1. Affiliates - companies that are related to each other due to common ownership or control.
2. Attestation Engagement - one in which a certified public accountant who is in the practice of public accounting is contracted to issue a written communication that expresses a conclusion about the reliability of a written assertion that is the responsibility of another party.
3. Cost Allocation Manual (CAM) - an indexed compilation and documentation of a company's cost allocation policies and related procedures.
4. Cost Allocations - the methods or ratios used to apportion costs. A cost allocator can be based on the origin of costs, as in the case of cost drivers; cost-causative linkage of an indirect nature; or one or more overall factors (also known as general allocators).
5. Common Costs - costs associated with services or products that are of joint benefit between regulated and non-regulated business units.
6. Cost Driver - a measurable event or quantity which influences the level of costs incurred and which can be directly traced to the origin of the costs themselves.
7. Direct Costs - costs which can be specifically identified with a particular service or product.
8. Fully Allocated costs - the sum of the direct costs plus an appropriate share of indirect costs.
9. Incremental pricing - pricing services or products on a basis of only the additional costs added by their operations while one or more pre-existing services or products support the fixed costs.
10. Indirect Costs - costs that cannot be identified with a particular service or product. This includes but not limited to overhead costs, administrative and general, and taxes.
11. Non-regulated - that which is not subject to regulation by regulatory authorities.
12. Prevailing Market Pricing - a generally accepted market value that can be substantiated by clearly comparable transactions, auction or appraisal.
13. Regulated - that which is subject to regulation by regulatory authorities.
14. Subsidization - the recovery of costs from one class of customers or business unit that are attributable to another.

## B. COST ALLOCATION PRINCIPLES

The following allocation principles should be used whenever products or services are provided between a regulated utility and its non-regulated affiliate or division.

1. To the maximum extent practicable, in consideration of administrative costs, costs should be collected and classified on a direct basis for each asset, service or product provided.
2. The general method for charging indirect costs should be on a fully allocated cost basis. Under appropriate circumstances, regulatory authorities may consider incremental cost, prevailing market pricing or other methods for allocating costs and pricing transactions among affiliates.
3. To the extent possible, all direct and allocated costs between regulated and non-regulated services and products should be traceable on the books of the applicable regulated utility to the applicable Uniform System of Accounts. Documentation should be made available to the appropriate regulatory authority upon request regarding transactions between the regulated utility and its affiliates.
4. The allocation methods should apply to the regulated entity's affiliates in order to prevent subsidization from, and ensure equitable cost sharing among the regulated entity and its affiliates, and vice versa.
5. All costs should be classified to services or products which, by their very nature, are either regulated, non-regulated, or common to both.
6. The primary cost driver of common costs, or a relevant proxy in the absence of a primary cost driver, should be identified and used to allocate the cost between regulated and nonregulated services or products.
7. The indirect costs of each business unit, including the allocated costs of shared services, should be spread to the services or products to which they relate using relevant cost allocators.

## C. COST ALLOCATION MANUAL (NOT TARIFFED)

Each entity that provides both regulated and non-regulated services or products should maintain a cost allocation manual (CAM) or its equivalent and notify the jurisdictional regulatory authorities of the CAM's existence. The determination of what, if any, information should be held confidential should be based on the statutes and rules of the regulatory agency that requires the information. Any entity required to provide notification of a CAM(s) should make arrangements as necessary and appropriate to ensure competitively sensitive information derived therefrom be kept confidential by the regulator. At a minimum, the CAM should contain the following:

1. An organization chart of the holding company, depicting all affiliates, and regulated entities.
2. A description of all assets, services and products provided to and from the regulated entity and each of its affiliates.
3. A description of all assets, services and products provided by the regulated entity to nonaffiliates.
4. A description of the cost allocators and methods used by the regulated entity and the cost allocators and methods used by its affiliates related to the regulated services and products provided to the regulated entity.

## D. AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS (NOT TARIFFED)

The affiliate transactions pricing guidelines are based on two assumptions. First, affiliate transactions raise the concern of self-dealing where market forces do not necessarily drive prices. Second, utilities have a natural business incentive to shift costs from non-regulated competitive operations to regulated monopoly operations since recovery is more certain with captive ratepayers. Too much flexibility will lead to subsidization. However, if the affiliate transaction pricing guidelines are too rigid, economic transactions may be discouraged.

The objective of the affiliate transactions' guidelines is to lessen the possibility of subsidization in order to protect monopoly ratepayers and to help establish and preserve competition in the electric generation and the electric and gas supply markets. It provides ample flexibility to accommodate exceptions where the outcome is in the best interest of the utility, its ratepayers and competition. As with any transactions, the burden of proof for any exception from
the general rule rests with the proponent of the exception.

1. Generally, the price for services, products and the use of assets provided by a regulated entity to its non-regulated affiliates should be at the higher of fully allocated costs or prevailing market prices. Under appropriate circumstances, prices could be based on incremental cost, or other pricing mechanisms as determined by the regulator.
2. Generally, the price for services, products and the use of assets provided by a non-regulated affiliate to a regulated affiliate should be at the lower of fully allocated cost or prevailing market prices. Under appropriate circumstances, prices could be based on incremental cost, or other pricing mechanisms as determined by the regulator.
3. Generally, transfer of a capital asset from the utility to its non-regulated affiliate should be at the greater of prevailing market price or net book value, except as otherwise required by law or regulation. Generally, transfer of assets from an affiliate to the utility should be at the lower of prevailing market price or net book value, except as otherwise required by law or regulation. To determine prevailing market value, an appraisal should be required at certain value thresholds as determined by regulators.
4. Entities should maintain all information underlying affiliate transactions with the affiliated utility for a minimum of three years, or as required by law or regulation.

## E. AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

1. An audit trail should exist with respect to all transactions between the regulated entity and its affiliates that relate to regulated services and products. The regulator should have complete access to all affiliate records necessary to ensure that cost allocations and affiliate transactions are conducted in accordance with the guidelines. Regulators should have complete access to affiliate records, consistent with state statutes, to ensure that the regulator has access to all relevant information necessary to evaluate whether subsidization exists. The auditors, not the audited utilities, should determine what information is relevant for a particular audit objective. Limitations on access would compromise the audit process and impair audit independence.
2. Each regulated entity's cost allocation documentation should be made available to the company's internal auditors for periodic review of the allocation policy and process and to any jurisdictional regulatory authority when appropriate and upon request.
3. Any jurisdictional regulatory authority may request an independent attestation engagement of the CAM. The cost of any independent attestation engagement associated with the CAM, should be shared between regulated and non-regulated operations consistent with the allocation of similar common costs.
4. Any audit of the CAM should not otherwise limit or restrict the authority of state regulatory authorities to have access to the books and records of and audit the operations of jurisdictional utilities.
5. Any entity required to provide access to its books and records should make arrangements as necessary and appropriate to ensure that competitively sensitive information derived therefrom be kept confidential by the regulator.

## F. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. The regulated entity should report annually the dollar amount of non-tariffed transactions associated with the provision of each service or product and the use or sale of each asset for the following:
a. Those provided to each non-regulated affiliate.
b. Those received from each non-regulated affiliate.
c. Those provided to non-affiliated entities.
2. Any additional information needed to assure compliance with these Guidelines, such as cost of service data necessary to evaluate subsidization issues, should be provided.

Source:
http://www.naruc.org/Publications/Guidelines $\% 20$ for $\% 20$ Cost $^{2} \% 20$ Allocations $\% 20$ and $\% 20$ Affili ate $\% 20$ Transactions.pdf

## APPENDIX 2 - DETAILED EXPLANATION OF APUC COSTS

## 1. APUC Strategic Management Costs

Strategic management decisions are critical for any public utility. The need for strategic management is even more pronounced for APUC as a publicly traded company, which depends on access to capital funding through public sales of units. APUC seeks to hire talented strategic managers that aid in running each facility owned by the company as efficiently and effectively as possible. This ensures the long term health of each utility and ensures that rates are kept as low as possible without compromising the level of service. It also facilitates each regulated utility's access to necessary capital funding at reduced costs. The costs included in Strategic Management Costs fall into the following categories.

## a. Board of Directors

The Board of Directors provides strategic oversight on all company affairs including high level approvals of strategy, operation and maintenance budgets, capital budgets, etc. In addition, the Board of Directors provides corporate governance and ensures that capital and costs are incurred prudently, which ultimately protects ratepayers.

## b. General Legal Services

General legal services involve legal matters not specific to any single facility, including review of audited financial statements, annual information filings, Sedar filings, review of contracts with credit facilities, incorporation, tax issues of a legal nature, market compliance, and other similar legal costs. These legal services are required in order for APUC to provide capital funding to individual utilities, without which the utilities could not provide adequate service. Additionally, the services ensure that APUC's subsidiaries remain compliant in all aspects of operations and prevent those entities from being exposed to unnecessary risks.

## c. Professional Services

Professional Services including strategic plan reviews, capital market advisory services, ERP System maintenance, benefits consulting, and other similar professional services. By providing these services at a parent level, the subsidiaries are able to benefit from economies of scale. Additionally, some of these services improve APUC's access to capital which benefits all of its subsidiaries.

## 2. Access to Capital Markets

One of APUC's primary functions is to ensure its subsidiaries have access to quality capital. APUC is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX"), leading financial markets. In order to allow its subsidiaries to have continued access to those capital markets, APUC incurs the following costs. These services and costs are a prerequisite to the subsidiaries continued access to those capital markets.
a. License and Permit Fees

In connection with APUC's participation in the NYSE and the TSX, APUC incurs certain license and permit fees such as Sedar fees, annual filing fees, licensing fees, etc. These licensing and permit fees are required in order to sell units on the NYSE and the TSX, which in turn provides funding for utility operations.

## b. Escrow Fees

In connection with the payment of dividends to unit holders, APUC incurs escrow fees. Escrow fees are incurred to ensure continued access to capital and ensure continuing and ongoing investments by shareholders. Without such escrow fees, APUC's subsidiaries would not have a readily available source of capital funding.

## c. Unit Holder Communications

Unit holder communication costs are incurred to comply with filing and regulatory requirements of the NYSE and the TSX and meet the expectations of shareholders. These costs include items such as news releases and unit holder conference calls. In the absence of shareholder communication costs, investors would not invest in the units of APUC, and in turn, APUC would not have capital to invest in its subsidiaries. With such communications services, the subsidiaries would not have a readily available source of capital funding.

## 3. APUC Financial Controls

Financial control costs incurred by APUC include costs for audit services and tax services. These costs are necessary to ensure that the subsidiaries are operating in a manner that meets audit standards and regulatory requirements, which have strong financial and operational controls, and financial transactions are recorded accurately and prudently. Without these services, the regulated utilities would not have a readily available source of capital funding.

## a. Audit Fees

Audits are done on a yearly basis and reviews are performed quarterly on all facilities owned by APUC on an aggregate level. These corporate parent level audits reduce the cost of the stand-alone audits significantly for utilities which must perform its own separate audits. Where stand-alone audits are not required, ratepayers receive benefits of additional financial rigor, as well as access to capital, and financial soundness checks by third parties. Finally, during rate cases, the existence of audits provides staff and intervenors additional reliance on the company records, thus reducing overall rate case costs. The aggregate audit is necessary for the regulated utilities to have continued access to capital markets and unit holders.
b. Tax Services

Taxes are paid on behalf of the regulated utilities at the parent level as part of a consolidated United States tax return. Tax services such as planning and filing are provided by third parties. Filing tax returns on a consolidated basis benefits each regulated utility by reducing the costs that otherwise would be incurred by such utility in filing its own separate tax return.

## 4. APUC Administrative Costs

Finally, administrative costs incurred by APUC, in some cases via other corporate entities, such as rent, depreciation of office furniture, depreciation of computers, and general office costs are required to house all the services mentioned above. Without these administrative costs, the employees throughout the APUC organization could not perform their work and provide the necessary services to the regulated utilities. These administrative costs also include training for corporate employees.

## APPENDIX 3-LIFE OF AN APUC INVOICE

A schematic is provided below showing the trail of an invoice received by APUC for services to be charged to its subsidiaries. The schematic is intended to visually explain the distribution of charges from APUC to Liberty Power and Liberty Utilities companies.


## APPENDIX 4-LIFE OF A LIBERTY UTILITIES INVOICE

A schematic is provided below showing the trail of an invoice received by Liberty Utilities (LUC) for services to be charged to its utility subsidiaries ${ }^{18}$. The schematic is intended to visually explain the distribution of charges from LUC to Liberty Utilities companies.
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## appendix 5 - LIfe of a Shared services invoice

A schematic is provided below showing the trail of an invoice for shared services provided within Liberty Utilities or LUSC for services to be charged to affiliates and subsidiaries. The schematic is intended to visually explain the distribution of charges from shared services to Liberty Power and Liberty Utilities companies.


## APPENDIX 6 - COMPOSITE ILLUSTRATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL COST DISTRIBUTION



Notes:
(a) Costs that are directly assignable to unregulated companies.
(b) Costs that are directly assignable to regulated companies.
(c) Costs that benefit both unregulated and regulated operations
(d) Costs that benefit all regulated operations.

## APPENDIX 7 - GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Algonquin Power \& Utilities Corp. ("APUC")- is a publicly traded company and the ultimate corporate parent of Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power subsidiaries. It provides financial and strategic management, corporate governance, and oversight of administrative and support services to all its subsidiaries.

Algonquin Power Co. ("Liberty Power")- is a subsidiary of APUC whose primary business is in energy generation through renewal (solar and wind) sources and thermal generating facilities.

Cost Allocation Manual (CAM) - a document that explains how service company costs are assigned to affiliate companies and explains the nature of the services to be provided between affiliates.

Direct Costs- (sometimes referred to as assigned costs)- costs incurred by one company for the exclusive benefit of, or specifically identified with, one or more other companies, and which are directly charged (or assigned) to the company or companies that specifically benefited.

Fully Distributed Cost (FDC) - means a methodology that examines all costs of an enterprise in relation to all the goods and services that are produced. FDC requires recognition of all costs incurred directly or indirectly used to produce a good or service. Costs are assigned either through a direct or allocated approach. Costs that cannot be directly assigned or indirectly allocated (e.g. general and administrative) must also be included in the FDC calculation through a general allocation.

Indirect Costs- costs that cannot be identified with a particular service or product. This includes but not limited to overhead costs, administrative, general, and taxes.

Liberty Utilities Co.- is a subsidiary of APUC and the direct or indirect owner of regulated utilities.

Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. ("LUC") - is a subsidiary of APUC and employs Canadianbased employees.

Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("LUSC")-is a subsidiary of APUC and employs U.S.-based distribution utility employees and those U.S. based employees providing shared services.

Page 41 of 43

Liberty Algonquin Business Services ("LABS")- is a business unit with staff employed within LUC and LUSC. These employees provide shared services to both the utility and non-utility businesses within APUC.

NARUC - National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners.
Service Agreement - a written agreement specifying the terms and conditions upon which services are provided to and from affiliated entities.
Utility Four-Factor - is an allocation methodology used to allocate indirect costs to regulated utilities based on the following factors: Utility Net Plant, Customer Count, Non-Labor expenses, and labor expenses.

## APPENDIX 8 - VERSION LOG

1. Base Year- January 12014
2. V2014, July 1, 2015
3. V2017, January 12017 (Includes April 2017 Updates)

## EXHIBIT JS-DT2

# Assessment of cost allocation manual 

Algonquin Power and Utilities Corporation

pWc

July 16, 2021

Ms. Jill Schwartz
Director, Regulatory Shared Services
602 S Joplin Avenue
Joplin, Missouri 64818
Dear Ms. Schwartz:
Thank you for the opportunity to work with you and your team on this project to review the cost allocation manual and allocation process.

We have completed our interviews and meetings with your management team and have prepared this report to summarize observations arising from our meetings.

Please find enclosed our report assessing Algonquin Power and Utilities Corporation's methods for accumulating and allocating holding/service company costs.

Please do not hesitate to contact me ((802) 730-3364) or Alan Felsenthal ((312) 405-9581) should you have any questions or comments on this report.

Very truly yours,


Sean P. Riley Partner

Alan D. Felsenthal
Managing Director
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## Scope of the report

At the request of AlgonquinPower and Utilities Corporation ("APUC"), we have prepared this report to assess the processes used to capture and allocate holding/service company costs to its regulated and unregulated affiliates.

APUC's processes are included in their Cost Allocation Manual, V2017 Effective: January 1st, 2017 ("CAM").
Our process for completing this assessment included the following procedures:

1. Interviewing various APUC management representatives to obtain an understanding of the various activities performed, including the methodology utilized for excluding certain costs from allocation (primarily business development/acquisition activities) and the method of charging/allocating holding/service company costs to the individual affiliates.
2. Comparing the Company's allocation methodology to allocationmethodologies ofother United States utility holding/service companies as reported in their annual reportto the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") on Form 60.
3. Reviewing documents and other available support issued by the various regulatory jurisdictions (Canadaand United States) relating to allocated costs and recovery of such costs in the ratemaking process.
4. Testing a sample of transactions to determine that the allocation methodology set forth in the CAM was operating as described.

This report includes:
5. A description of the current process used to capture, assign and allocate APUC costs affiliates.
6. An assessment of the current process compared to the guidance provided by National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") and FERC.
7. An assessment as to whether the processes for allocating holding/service company costs as described in the CAM are being followed.

## Limitations \& assumptions

Our work was performed on the basis that information provided to us was accurate and complete. Additionally, our engagement cannot be relied upon to disclose errors, irregularities, or illegal acts, including fraud that may exist.

Our Services were performed, and this Deliverable was prepared for the sole use and benefit of, and pursuant to a client relationship exclusively with, Liberty Utilities ("the Company"). PwC is providing no opinion, attestation or other form of assurance and disclaims any contractual or other responsibility to others based on their access to or use of the Deliverable. Accordingly, the information in this Deliverable may not be relied upon by anyone other than Client.

## Qualifications of PwC

PricewaterhouseCoopers, which was formed in 1998 from a merger between Price Waterhouse and Coopers \& Lybrand, has a long history in client services that dates back to the nineteenth century. Both firms originated in London during the mid-1800s. Today, we serve 26 industries, including the Power \& Utilities industry. Our industry-focused services in the fields of assurance, tax, human resources, transactions, performance improvement, information technology and crisis management have helped resolve complex clientand stakeholder issues worldwide. We also bring our knowledge and talent to help educational institutions, the federal government, non-profits, and international relief agencies to address their unique business issues.

Our U.S. firm, comprised of over 55,000 professionals, is organized around three core lines of service:
Assurance and Audit: Providing innovative, high quality, independent, and cost-effective services related to an organizations' financial control, regulatory reporting, shareholdervalue and technologyneeds;

Tax: Providing a wide range of innovative specialists' resources in three main areas: tax structuring, tax compliance and human resources; and

Advisory: Providing advice and assistance related to transactions, performance improvement, and crisis management based on long-term quality relationships with clients.

As a global network of firms, we share common standards, values, and policies, applying the same processes, systems, and approaches around the world.

## PwC's power \& utilities practice:

Nationally and globally, we are a leading provider of services in the utility industry. Our philosophy in serving the utility industry is to employ dedicated resourceswho focus on utility industry clients. This integrated practice demonstrates our commitment to the convergence of the utility industry and enables us to provide worldwide access to information through a variety of local resources. Our depth of resources and range of experience is enhanced by our strong base of utility clients. In the United States, we are the public accountants or consultants for more than 400 clients in the electric, gas, water, and renewable (clean)energy sectors.

Our power and utilities practice provides professional services to companies of many sizes, across many segments of the industry. We serve the needs of utility clients by employing more than 4,500 dedicated resources around the world. This provides our teams with an understanding of regulated and unregulated utility operations and services.

Our U.S. practice consists of more than 1,400 professionals serving clients in the electric, gas, water, and renewable energy sectors, including a dedicated utilities team within our National Office.

## Complex accounting and regulatory support practice:

Within our Power and Utilities industry team, we have a highly specialized group, the ComplexAccounting and Regulatory Solutions practice (CARS). Our CARS practice is dedicated to helping regulated companies in the energy and utilities industries manage their regulatory risk and solve complexaccounting problems. Our seasoned team has deep experience working with regulated entities. The individuals in our CARS practice have many years of experience serving rate regulated entities (electric utilities, gas utilities, water utilities).

## Executive summary

We were engaged to assess the company's process for capturing, assigning and allocating holding/service company costs incurred as described in the CAM as well as assess the CAM's compliance with guidance provided by the NARUC and the FERC. Our assessmentaddressed whether the allocations described in the CAM are based on cost-causative factors (direct charging, indirect attribution) or a multi-factor general allocator that are designed to prevent cross- subsidization(regulated versus unregulated affiliates, regulated electric versus regulated gas versus regulated water, United States versusCanada). In addition, we reviewed management's cost allocation workbooks to determine if the costs were allocated in accordance with the process stated in the CAM.

Based on completing these procedures and analyses, we determined the methodologyfor capturing holding/service company costs and allocating such costs to the Company's affiliates is reasonable, supportable and consistent with guidance promulgated by NARUC and FERC. The results of transaction testing found that the mechanics of the allocation process are working as designed.

## Procedures and observations

## Background

Algonquin Power and Utilities Corporation ("APUC") is the ultimate parent holding company with both regulatedandnonregulated entities. APUC is further organized into Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corporation ("LUC") and Liberty Utilities Service Corporation ("LUSC"). The primary distinction between LUC and LUSC is the geographicallocation of the related employees. Specifically, employees reporting to LUC are located in Canada and LUSC employees reside in the United States of America. The employee's location does not drive function and as such, these indirect costs are pooled for allocation to relevant entities. Both LUC and LUSC are further supported by a shared service company, Liberty AlgonquinBusiness Services ("LABS").

As recommended by NARUC and FERC guidance, holding/service company costs are first directly charged to individual regulated or unregulated affiliates when an activity can be identified as relating to a specific affiliate or group of affiliates. Such direct-charged costs are removed from the indirect allocation pool. ${ }^{11}$

The majority of the remaining costs are allocated in two tiers. The first allocation is performed to divide the costs between regulated and nonregulated entities. This is performed based on the nature of the cost and allocated by cost-causative drivers or the modified Massachusetts method (weighting of several factors described in more detail in the Allocation Factors section). The second allocation is performed to further allocate the regulated costs among the regulated entities. At this point, these regulated costs are accumulated into one cost pool and allocated based on a modified Massachusetts Method general allocator as described in more detail withinthe Allocation Factors section. Note that both LUC and LUSC services are specific to regulated entities only so their costs are allocated through the general allocator only. Refer to the simplified corporate structure and visual allocation mapping below:


[^46]Additionally, to provide background on each of the service companies, refer to the breakout of the fiscal 2020 direct charges and indirect charges as shown in the table below:

| Company | Direct - <br> regulated | Direct - <br> unregulated | Indirect - <br> regulated | Indirect - <br> unregulated | Total costs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| APUC | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 18,049,595$ | $\$ 5,532,927$ | $\$ 23,582,521$ |
| LUC | $\$ 9,417,230$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 5,766,158$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 15,183,388$ |
| LUSC $^{2}$ | $\$ 16,461,390$ | $\$ 192,333$ | $\$ 23,730,840$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 40,384,563$ |
| LABS $^{3}$ | $\$ 56,303,561$ | $\$ 5,007,501$ | $\$ 22,707,695$ | $\$ 4,394,112$ | $\$ 88,412,869$ |
| Total | $\$ 82,182,181$ | $\$ 5,199,834$ | $\$ 70,254,288$ | $\mathbf{9 , 9 2 7 , 0 3 9}$ | $\$ \mathbf{1 6 7 , 5 6 3 , 3 4 1}$ |
| \% of Total <br> Costs | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

As noted above, costs are directly and indirectly charged at each company level to both the regulated business and unregulated business. In total, 52\% of 2020 holding/service company costs were direct charged and $48 \%$ of 2020 allocable costs were indirectly charged. Of the $\$ 87,382,014$ of direct charged costs, $94 \%$ were directly charged to the regulated business. Of the $\$ 80,181,327$ of indirect costs, $88 \%$ were allocated to the regulated affiliates. Further, of the indirect shared services provided for the enterprise (APUC \& LABS), $80 \%$ is allocated to the regulated utilities. LUC and LUSC do not allocate indirect costs to LP.

Management reviews the CAM on at least an annual basis to identify any needed updates. If there are changes in the business structure or other material events that impact allocation of costs, management will consider if updates to the CAM or the underlying allocation structure are necessary more frequently.

## Reasonableness of cost pool to allocate

To assess the reasonableness of the cost pool to allocate, we performed various procedures to determine peer comparability and the necessity and benefit of such costs to the entity receiving such allocation.

## Peer Comparability

We performed a preliminary analysis over peer comparability to assess how APUC, LUC and LUSC compare to other affiliate companies in terms of their percentage of direct vs. indirect billing of holding company/service company costs. Refer to Exhibit 1 for detailed analysis. We conducted interviews with holding/service company representatives to understand how both labor and non-labor costs are billed. Through these discussions, we understand that labor costs are recorded through employees charging their time. Employees are instructed to charge time to specific time codes set up for projects or entities. They understand that only time that cannot be directly billed is recorded to the general charge-code. Employees' time is also then subject to review by their supervisor who further assesses the appropriateness of the time charged. Non-labor costs are directed to the main billing contact who is responsible for assessing the charge for applicability to specific entities' or for general allocation. Again, the billing contact is instructed to direct charge where applicable.

As shown in the previous table, the three business units comprising the consolidated holding/service company allocation pool (APUC, LUC, LUSC) direct charged approximately $52 \%$ of the holding/service company pool in fiscal year 2020. To focus on the shared service companies, we also considered the percentage of direct cost charging from LUC and LUSC, calculating that over $60 \%$ of costs are direct charged. We observe that this is comparable with other U.S. companies as further discussed below. The percentage of direct charging varies each year depending on the specific activities

[^47]performed for/requested by the affiliates.
Peer data for fiscal year 2020 was not yet available. However, we were able to review the FERC Form 60's filed with the FERC for fiscal year 2019 (the most recent year that a full population is available as of the date of this report). The FERC Form 60 is the "Annual Report of Centralized Service Companies" required to be filed by all centralized utility service companies in the U.S. (that have not been granted a waiver), and although we recognize that APUC is not a service company, the distinction between holding company and service company activities is typically not significant and the FERC Form 60 data is the most widely representative data available to provide a sample of allocation methodologies that have been adopted across U.S. utilities. Each FERC Form 60 is required to include a schedule, "Schedule XVII - Analysis of Billing - Associate Companies," reporting direct billed and indirect billed costs. Through this analysis we determined that the mean of the percentage of direct cost charges as a percentage of total cost is $63 \%$ and the median is $67 \%$. In fiscal year 2019, LUC and LUSC reported percentages greater than these amounts at $72 \%$ and $91 \%$, respectively, suggesting a more comprehensive process for direct cost charging.

APUC's percentage was $25 \%$, which is below the mean and median, but consistent with our understanding of the cost pool at the APUC level as it is the holding company and not a shared service company. Given the three companies consolidate into APUC, we also performed a calculation combining the three APUC business units and calculated direct billings of $81 \%$, which is also higher than both the mean and median of other FERC Form 60 filers in 2019, suggesting more cost causative direct billing and smaller cost pools from which to indirectly allocate.

## Necessity and Benefit

To elaborate on the Background section above, APUC is the ultimate corporate parent that provides financial and strategic management, corporate governance, and oversight of administrative and support services. The activities in this cost pool are a necessary part of being a publicly traded business, and are designed to complement, rather than duplicate, costs incurred at the subsidiaries. We noted in a review of the fiscal year 2019 reports of 44 utility service companies and past communications by the FERC and the NARUC that it is a common and widely accepted practice for North American utilities to allocate costs to regulated and non-regulated subsidiaries that are of a "corporate overhead" nature. Such costs include, but are not limited to, executive management, investor relations, internal audit and legal. In reviewing the CAM against the NARUC guidelines, we observed the nature of costs in the allocated pools follow this guidance.

LUC and LUSC also provide services to Liberty Utilities. As noted previously, both LUC and LUSC are supported by a centralized shared service company known as LABS that also provides business and corporate support services to the Company and its affiliates. It should be noted that LUC and LUSC only differ in their employee's geography with LUC employees residing in Canada and LUSC employees residing in the United States. Cost pools at LUC, LUSC and LABS relate to the following areas: information technology, human resources, training, facilities and building rent, environment, health, safety and security, procurement, executive and strategic management, technical services, utility planning as well as corporate services including: risk management, financial reporting, planning and administration, treasury, internal audit, external communications, legal costs and compliance.

Whether the costs are incurred by a service company or holding company does not affect the NARUC or FERC allocation guidance and, as a result, the approaches to identify allocable cost pools used by utility service companies such as LUC, LUSC and LABS are generally valid for APUC as well.

In understanding the types of costs included in each cost pool, we then considered the following qualitative and quantitative factors in assessing the reasonableness of the costs that are allocated to its subsidiaries:

1. Are the activities performed necessary for the Company's subsidiaries, and do they provide demonstrated benefits?
2. Are the costs duplicative in nature?
3. Are the costs similar in nature to costs that other utility holding companies have successfully recovered through rate cases in the U.S. and Canada?

To assess these questions, we conducted interviews with certain employees with knowledge of cost types making up each pool. We used a risk-based approach to determine which departments to interview, focusing primarily on the cost pools with larger balances. For those pools where interviews were not performed, we subjected such cost pools to our selection testing of source documents as well as comparative procedures against other companies filing FERC Form 60s. We also obtained the detailed listing of costs included within each company cost pool (APUC, LUC and, LUSC) and scanned the expenses making up those balances against the descriptions included within the CAM. Our primary observation is historically allocated costs are costs required to satisfy responsibilities to customers, shareholders, and regulators, and to enable effective corporate oversight.

For a selection of individual costs within each of the companies' pools, we requested the underlying source documents to review the related invoice(s) and/or calculation spreadsheet to further validate the appropriateness of its inclusion in the cost pool for allocation as well as the appropriate cost-type coding to the extent it is allocated by cost-type. Through these procedures, it was observed that the cost pools are reasonable and consistent with other U.S. companies.

In addition to assessing the costs included in the cost pool, our interviews with members of management also suggest that the Company has appropriately identified specific costs to exclude from the allocable cost pool (e.g., business development costs, retirement costs, meals and entertainment, foreign exchange gains and losses, and donations). Through interviews as well as review of the monthly allocations, we also noted that these costs are either processed through the allocation or removed from the pool prior to allocation to prevent the likelihood of subsidization by certain entities. During the fiscal year 2020, approximately $\$ 58 \mathrm{M}$ in costs were originally included within the cost pool for allocation, as they were not direct charged, and subsequently excluded and removed from the cost pool prior to allocation.

Beyond the cost pool exclusions, there is another process by which affiliates may challenge a charge that does not seem to directly benefit the entity. Two examples of this would be if a Canadian entity erroneously received a United States regulatory fee or if a gas company received an electric charge in error. In both cases, the receiving entity may challenge that billing to ensure necessity and benefit of costs allocated. In those instances, management has noted that these costs have historically been removed from those entities suggesting effective internal controls for identification and resolution of costs billed inappropriately.

A necessity and benefit analysis is summarized within Exhibit 2. In analyzing the cost pools that APUC, LUC and LUSC and its subsidiaries have historically allocated to its subsidiaries, we considered information obtained through interviews with management, review of internal records, and review of published data relating to other utility service/holding companies.

## Role Clarity

APUC's services allow for access to the capital markets and provide for maximum expertise at lower costs. If the utilities did not have access to the services provided by APUC, LUC and LUSC they would be forced to incur associated costs for financing, capital investment, audits, taxes and other similar services on a stand-alone basis, which would substantially increase such costs. One overriding rationale supporting a service/holding company concept is the scope and scale; that is, rather than each affiliate having a certain individual or group provide services to the individual entity, a service/holding company can provide such services to a number of affiliates with the individual receiving an allocated portion of the service/holding company cost. Costs that may appear to overlap across APUC, LUC, LUSC and the local entity were further reviewed with findings summarized within Exhibit 4. Functions included within this analysis were reviewed based on higher cost balances and discussed with management to assess overlap and functionality. The costs included in the exhibit represent the largest balances with the potential for duplication. While, finance, legal and human resources are cost types for which services are both allocated and performed directly at the local entity, we did not identify any instances of redundancy through this exercise.

## Allocation methodology

In addition to assessing the cost pool, we also reviewed the associated allocation factors as well as reperformed management allocation calculation to verify its compliance with the CAM.

## Allocation factors

In past decisions and written communications, the regulators in the Company's jurisdictions have expressed the view that direct charging of service/holding company costs to specific entities, where supportable, is preferred. After direct charging, utility service/holding companies should first allocate costs by cost drivers with a cost-causative linkage to the respective cost pool where possible, and finally allocate the remainder of costs using a general factor. The percentage of direct charging will vary from year to year depending on the nature and size of projects and responses to requests from affiliates. As previously stated, the combined APUC, LUC and LUSC directly charged more than half of the holding/service company costs in fiscal year 2020 (more than $60 \%$ by the LUC and LUSC service companies) and, in 2019 (where peer information is available) at a higher level than its peers in fiscal year 2019. NARUC's cost allocation principles state that the general method for charging indirect costs should be on a fully allocated cost basis.

## APUC

When APUC cannot identify indirect cost drivers for any of its functional areas, a "relevant proxy" as a general allocator for corporate overhead type costs is used. A general allocator is an acceptable approach under NARUC and FERC in order to fully distribute the costs in the cost pools. APUC's costs are organized into cost pools and are weighted through two levels of multi-factored allocations to ensure allocations across entities is appropriate.

Services at APUC are provided to both regulated and non-regulated companies. To first divide between the two, APUC allocates by cost-type and a related cost causative driver or a general allocator to avoid subsidization between regulated and non-regulated companies. The regulated cost pool is then subject to a four-factor general allocator, allocating costs based on a weighting of $40 \%$ customer count, $20 \%$ utility net plant, $20 \%$ non-labor expenses, and $20 \%$ labor expenses. This weighting has been determined by management to be most appropriate as to avoid vertically integrated utilities, owning their own generation facilities, from receiving exorbitant allocation. As such, the higher weighting on customer count results in a more equitable and representative distribution of the shared services costs.

## LUC and LUSC

At LUC, indirect costs are allocated directly through the general allocator as costs are incurred in support of all regulated entities. At LUSC, costs are recorded based on the various region/group (East, Central, West, Libcorp, and LABS) and then subject to the four-factor methodology. Costs within the East, Central, and West regions are allocated only to the specific utilities within those regions. For example, in the East region costs are only allocated to Granite State, EnergyNorth, Georgia, New England Gas, New Brunswick Gas, St. Lawrence Gas, and Tinker Transmission. Costs within Libcorp are allocated to all utilities following the four-factor methodology with a nuance for energy procurement related costs. Any Libcorp costs related to Energy Procurement are not allocated to water companies. Costs within LABS are first allocated between regulated and nonregulated entities by cost pool percentage as shown in Exhibit 2, and then to the local utilities using the four-factor method.

Although FERC and U.S. state regulators do not have a specific set of rules on the development of a general allocation factor, they have been clear that they prefer a general allocator that incorporates the weighting of multiple factors. Additionally, Canadian regulators appear to also prefer a general allocator that weights multiple factors. This approach recognizes that there is not one perfect allocator and using a combination of factors reduces the subjectivity of using one individual measure as the basis for allocation. The Massachusetts method (or modified Massachusetts method) is the most widely used method of allocating corporate general costs that cannot be assigned a specific cost driver, and it has been widely accepted by the FERC, U.S. state and Canadian regulators. The original Massachusetts method involved the equal weighting of three factors: plant, revenues, and labor. The modified Massachusetts method includes variations of approach (e.g., gross margin as a substitute for revenue, O\&M expense as a substitute for labor, etc.). In any event, a general allocation factor that includes some indicator of operations (expense) and capital investment (assets) is often accepted.

We also examined whether the costs are similar in nature to costs that other utility holding companies and/or service companies have historically allocated to their subsidiaries, see Exhibit 3. To aid in this analysis, we reviewed the fiscal year 2019 FERC Form 60s as noted above. Each FERC Form 60 is required to include a schedule, "Schedule XXI Methods of Allocation," that specifies all functions for which the service company is allocating costs, and a description of the method of allocation (we discuss methods of allocation later in this report). We analyzed the allocation factors within the FERC Form 60s of APUC's peers based on the "comparator group" reported within the 2020 and 2019 Management Information Circular posted on the Company's website. Through this exercise, we compared both the general allocator as well as cost causative factors by cost pools used by APUC allocators that have been accepted by the FERC and the New Brunswick Energy and Utilities Board, noting that the Company's allocation methodology of utilizing a general allocator is consistent with its peers and there were no cost pools identified that would suggest the Company is an outlier.

Given the costs subject to this pool do not have an obvious cost-causative driver to allocate, this weighting is considered appropriate because, as stated above, to not weigh any one factor more than another. From analysis of the FERC Form 60 s filed in 2019, we further verified that the use of a general allocator is common among the Company's peers.

## Mathematical accuracy

We obtained the monthly allocation files for each month during the fiscal year 2020 for each company (APUC, LUC, LUSC and LABS) and reviewed the files for consistency in calculations. Further, we selected two months at random to perform a detailed recalculation from the cost pool detail through the relevant allocations down to the final entity. Through these procedures, for the two-months subject to testing, we determined the costs are being allocated in accordance with the company's CAM. Refer to the illustrative example below for further detail on the procedures performed.

## Illustrative example - Cost allocator

Cost allocation factors are updated annually, and periodically throughout the year when changes to the business occur. The cost allocator calculation is completed for all four business units (APUC, LABS, LUC, and LUSC) within a single manual spreadsheet. During 2020, cost allocation factors were updated in April, June, and November. As such, in accordance with audit testing methodology for attribute testing, we determined it appropriate to test two months (April and November) of allocators and complete the procedures for all business units. Refer to the screenshot below for PwC's testing over the APUC cost allocator for April:

| APUC <br> April 2020 - March 2021 Allocation as at April xx, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Employees |  | O\&M |  | Revenue |  | Net Plant |  | Oakville Employees |  |
|  | Headcount | \% | USD | \% | USD | \% | USD | \% | Headcount | \% |
| LP (APCO) | 176 | 7\% | 75,209 | 15\% | 240,692 | 20\% | 2,444,382 | 34\% | 90 | 29\% |
| LU | 2,266 | 93\% | 412,456 | 85\% | 980,770 | 80\% | 4,754,373 | 66\% | 219 | 71\% |
| Total | 2,442 | 100\% | 487,665 | 100\% | 1,221,462 | 100\% | 7,198,755 | 100\% | 309 | 100\% |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Legal Costs |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Tax Services |  |  |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Audit |  |  |  | 33.333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Investor Relations |  |  |  | 33.333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Director Fee \& Insurance |  |  |  | 33.333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Licenses, Fees, and Permits |  |  |  | 33.333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Escrow \& transfer Agent Fees |  |  |  | 33.333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Other Professional Services |  |  |  | 33.333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Office Administration Costs |  | 50.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.00\% |
| Travel-CAM category is Other Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional Services. |  |  |  | 33.333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| Executive Salaries and Strategic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management |  |  |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  | 33-333\% |  |  |
| 2020 P | ages |  |  |  |  | 19 Perce | ages |  |  | $\underset{\text { LU }}{\text { Comparison }}$ |
| Summary | APCO | LU | Total |  | Summary | APCO | LU | Total |  |  |
| Tax Services | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Tax Services | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |
| Audit | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Audit | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |
| Investor Relations | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Investor Relations | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |
| Director Fee \& Insurance | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Director Fee \& Insurance | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |
| Licenses, Fees, and Permits | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Licenses \& Fees | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |
| Escrow \& transfer Agent Fees | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Escrow transfer Agent | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |
| Other Professional Services | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Other Professional | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |
| Office Administration Costs | 18.2\% | 81.8\% | 100.00\% |  | Office Administration | 17.4\% | 82.6\% | 100.00\% |  | -0.8\% |
| Travel-CAM category Professional Services. | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Travel | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |
| Executive and Strategic Management | 23.0\% | 77.0\% | 100.00\% |  | Executive Salaries | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.00\% |  | -1.5\% |

As noted in the screenshot above, first we traced and agreed all inputs to the allocations to the original source data. Second, we recalculated the allocation percentage between the regulated and non- regulated business based on the initial inputs. Third, we traced and agreed the cost pool allocation to the CAM guidance. Finally, we recalculated the distinct cost pool allocator by applying the three-factor method as described in the methodology. We completed the same tie out and recalculation procedures on the cost allocator calculations for LABS, LUC, and LUSC, determining that the allocation factors are mathematically accurate.

## Illustrative example - Cost pool calculation

Each month the cost allocators, calculated above, are applied to their cost pools to appropriately calculate their allocations. To determine if costs are allocated in accordance with the CAM, we recalculated the allocation of costs to all entities for two months. Refer to the screenshot below for our recalculation over APUC cost pool calculations and final allocation:


As noted in the screenshot above, first we traced and agreed the cost pool bucketing to the source files. To assess the cost pool bucketing, we inspected 64 selections across the four business units and confirmed the cost pool was appropriate and that the expenditure was necessary and beneficial to each business unit for which it was ultimately allocated. Second, we traced and agreed the regulated vs. unregulated allocation percentages to the cost allocator described above. Third, we recalculated the dollar amount allocated to regulated vs. unregulated by applying the percentage to the cost pool buckets. Fourth, we traced and agreed the utility specific allocation to the four-factor methodology within the CAM. Finally, we recalculated the dollar amount allocated to each utility by applying the appropriate four factor allocation to each regulated cost pool bucket. We completed the same tie out and recalculation procedures on the cost pool calculations for LABS, LUC, and LUSC, determining that costs are allocated in accordance with the CAM.

## Exhibit 1 - FERC Form 60 analysis - Direct charging percentages

## Schedule XVII - Analysis of billing - Associate companies (Account 457) ${ }^{4}$

| Company | Direct charges | Indirect charges | Total | \% Direct |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Allegheny Energy Service Corporation | $(3,478,868)$ | 0 | $(3,478,868)$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| ATC Management Inc. | $114,256,597$ | 0 | $114,256,597$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Avangrid Service Company | $215,445,184$ | 0 | $215,445,184$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Columbia Pipeline Group Service Company | $12,927,828$ | 0 | $12,927,828$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| National Grid Engineering \& Survey, Inc. | $62,724,377$ | 111,955 | $62,836,332$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| National Grid USA Service Company Inc. | $2,679,362,707$ | $39,549,266$ | $2,718,911,973$ | $99 \%$ |  |
| Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. | $538,316,287$ | $11,945,559$ | $550,261,846$ | $98 \%$ |  |
| Entergy Operations, Inc. | $592,825,726$ | $14,157,781$ | $606,983,507$ | $98 \%$ |  |
| Entergy Enterprises, Inc. | $137,526,565$ | $5,436,297$ | $142,962,862$ | $96 \%$ |  |
| Entergy Services, LLC | $1,493,373,708$ | $82,312,730$ | $1,575,686,438$ | $95 \%$ |  |
| Liberty Utilities Service Corp | $185,577,826$ | $19,236,654$ | $204,814,480$ | $91 \%$ |  |
| Ameren Services Company | $438,304,912$ | $66,277,755$ | $504,582,667$ | $87 \%$ |  |
| Southern Company Services, Inc. | $1,641,635,744$ | $266,203,999$ | $1,907,839,743$ | $86 \%$ |  |
| SouthernNuclear Operating Company, Inc. | $872,884,799$ | $150,090,430$ | $1,022,975,229$ | $85 \%$ |  |
| American Electric Power Service | $1,395,321,358$ | $263,838,026$ | $1,659,159,384$ | $84 \%$ |  |
| Corporation |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPL EU Services Corporation | $118,378,939$ | $36,711,919$ | $155,090,858$ | $76 \%$ |  |
| Dominion Energy Southeast Services, Inc. | $321,131,139$ | $118,588,606$ | $439,719,745$ | $73 \%$ |  |
| Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. | $\mathbf{6 2 , 4 8 9 , 1 7 5}$ | $23,992,759$ | $86,481,934$ | $72 \%$ |  |
| AlliantEnergy Corporate Services, Inc. | $219,475,201$ | $98,350,743$ | $317,825,944$ | $69 \%$ |  |
| Duke Energy Business Services, LLC | $2,492,153,525$ | $1,242,623,655$ | $3,734,777,180$ | $67 \%$ |  |
| AES U.S. Services, LLC | $82,321,803$ | $41,619,490$ | $123,941,293$ | $66 \%$ |  |
| CenterPoint Energy Service Company, LLC | $423,258,832$ | $216,453,598$ | $639,712,430$ | $66 \%$ |  |
| Dominion Energy Services, Inc. | $518,940,004$ | $326,727,735$ | $845,667,739$ | $61 \%$ |  |

[^48]| Company | Direct charges | Indirect charges | Total | \% Direct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GridLiance Management, LLC | 12,085,046 | 9,419,192 | 21,504,238 | 56\% |
| Xcel Energy Services Inc. | 754,303,916 | 619,273,619 | 1,373,577,535 | 55\% |
| Eversource Energy Service Company | 417,811,235 | 464,890,694 | 882,701,929 | 47\% |
| Unitil Service Corporation | 28,680,426 | 33,453,185 | 62,133,611 | 46\% |
| Exelon Business Services Company, LLC | 840,951,644 | 1,063,651,695 | 1,904,603,339 | 44\% |
| NiSource Corporate Services Company | 198,658,714 | 265,830,801 | 464,489,515 | 43\% |
| PPL Services Corporation | 51,763,704 | 89,118,515 | 140,882,219 | 37\% |
| FirstEnergy Service Company | 318,454,007 | 591,305,053 | 909,759,060 | 35\% |
| WEC Business Services LLC | 186,529,804 | 464,906,991 | 651,436,795 | 29\% |
| PHI Service Company | 105,727,868 | 289,546,938 | 395,274,806 | 27\% |
| LG\&E and KU Services Company | 91,447,624 | 252,903,989 | 344,351,613 | 27\% |
| TECO Services, Inc. | 22,150,108 | 65,564,577 | 87,714,685 | 25\% |
| Algonquin Power \& Utilities Corp. | 4,774,034 | 14,491,067 | 19,265,101 | 25\% |
| Sempra North American Infrastructure, LLC | 29,809,865 | 92,018,710 | 121,828,575 | 24\% |
| PNMR Services Company | 32,978,879 | 102,332,822 | 135,311,701 | 24\% |
| Black Hills Service Company, LLC | 69,693,105 | 275,855,498 | 345,548,603 | 20\% |
| Sempra Services Corporation | 0 | 5,737,848 | 5,737,848 | 0\% |
| Grand Total | 17,780,973,347 | 7,724,530,151 | 25,505,503,498 | 70\% |
| Mean |  |  |  | 63\% |
| Median |  |  |  | 67\% |
| APUC Consolidated | 252,841,035 | 57,720,480 | 310,561,515 | 81\% |

## Exhibit 2 - Necessity and benefits analysis of company costs

| Necessity attributes: |  | Benefit attributes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Corporate governance |  | 1. Reduce risk or avoid risk |  |  |
| 2. Regulatory mandate |  | 2. Increase employee productivity |  |  |
| 3. Legal compliance |  | 3. Provide management information |  |  |
| 4. Management oversight |  | 4. Enhance corporate performance |  |  |
| 5. Corporate Operational execution |  | 5. Increase reliability |  |  |
| 6. Strategic planning |  |  |  |  |
| Business Unit | Cost type | Are the activities performed necessary for theenterprise? | Do the activities provide demonstrated benefits? | Allocation methodology |
|  | Legal Costs ${ }^{5}$ | 1, 2, 3 | 1 | Net Plant 33.3\% <br> Number of Employees 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% |
| APUC | Tax Services | 3 | 1 | Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| APUC | Audit | 2, 3 | 1,5 | Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| APUC | Investor Relations | 1,6 | 1,5 | Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| APUC | Director Fees and Insurance | 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 | 1,4,5 | Revenue 33.3\% <br> O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| APUC | Licenses, Fees and Permits | 2, 3, 5 | 1,5 | Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| APUC | Escrow and Transfer Agent Fees | 3,5 | 1,5 | Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% |

[^49]| Business Unit | Cost type | Are the activities performed necessary for theenterprise? | Do the activities provide demonstrated benefits? | Allocation methodology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Net Plant 33.3\% |
| APUC | Other Professional Services | 5,6 | 4 | Revenue 33.3\% <br> O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| APUC | Other Administration Costs | 5 | 2 | Oakville Employees 50\% <br> Total Employees 50\% |
| APUC | Executiveand Strategic Management | 5,6 | 1,4 | Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| LABS | Information Technology | 5 | $2,3,4,5$ | Number of Employees 90\% O\&M 10\% |
| LABS | Human Resources ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | 1, 3, 4, 5 | Number of Employees 100\% |
| LABS | Training | 1,2,3,5 | 1, 2, 4, 5 | Number of Employees 100\% |
| LABS | Facilities and Building Rent | 5 | 4 | Oakville Employees 100\% |
| LABS | Environment, Health, Safety and Security | 2, 3, 5 | 1,5 | Number of Employees 100\% |
| LABS | Procurement | 2,5 | 1,4, 5 | O\&M 50\% <br> Capital Expenditures 50\% |
| LABS | Executiveand Strategic Management | 5,6 | 1,4 | Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| LABS | Technical Services | 5 | 4 | Net Plant 33.3\% Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% |
| LABS | Utility Planning | 2, 5 | 1,4, 5 | Net Plant 33.3\% Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% |
| LABS | Risk Management | 5 | 1,5 | Net Plant 33.3\% Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% |
| LABS | Financial Reporting, Planning and Administration ${ }^{5}$ | 2, 3, 5, 6 | 1, 3, 4 | Revenue 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| LABS | Treasury ${ }^{5}$ | 3, 5, 6 | 1, 3, 4 | Capital Expenditures $25 \%$ O\&M 50\% <br> Net Plant 25\% |


| Business Unit | Cost type | Are the activities performed necessary for theenterprise? | Do the activities provide demonstrated benefits? | Allocation methodology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LABS | Internal Audit | 2, 3, 4 | 1,3,5 | Net Plant 25\% O\&M 75\% |
| LABS | External Communications |  |  | Total Employees 100\% |
| LABS | Legal Costs ${ }^{5}$ | 3 | 1,5 | Net Plant 33.3\% Number of Employees 33.3\% O\&M 33.3\% |
| LABS | Compliance | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 1,3,5 | Revenue 33.3\% <br> O\&M 33.3\% <br> Net Plant 33.3\% |
| LUSC/LUC | Customer Care and Billing | 5 | 4,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | IT/Tech Support | 5 | 2, 3, 4, 5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Human Resources ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | 1, 3, 4, 5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Gas Control | 2, 5 | 1,3,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Legal ${ }^{5}$ | 1, 2, 3 | 1 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Compliance | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 1,3,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Regulatory \& Government Relations | 1,2,3 | 1,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Environmental, Health, Safety andSecurity | 2, 3, 5 | 1,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Procurement | 2,5 | 1,4,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Operations | 5 | 2, 4, 5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Engineering; Dispatch and Control | 5 | 2, 4, 5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Outage Management | 5 | 1,2, 4, 5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | GIS/Mapping | 5 | 3,4,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Vegetation Management | 5 | 1,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Energy Procurement | 2, 5 | 1,4,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Accounting and Finance ${ }^{5}$ | 2, 3, 5, 6 | 1, 3, 4 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Managerial | 1,5,6 | 1,4,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Utility Planning | 2,5 | 1,4,5 | Four Factor Allocator |
| LUSC/LUC | Customer Communication | 5 | 1,5 | Four Factor Allocator |

## Exhibit 3 - FERC form 60 analysis - General allocator methodology

## Schedule XXI - Methods of allocation ${ }^{6}$

|  | Algonquin power \& utilities Corp. | Liberty utilities (Canada) Corp. | Alliant energy corporate services, Inc. | CenterPoint energy service company, LLC | PNMR services company | Black hills corporation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 Factor | Utilities ( $40 \%$ customer count, $20 \%$ utility net plant, 20\% non-labor exp, 20\% labor exp) | Utilities (40\% customer count, 20\% utility net plant, 20\% non-labor exp, 20\% laborexp) |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Factor | Legal Costs (33\% <br> Plant, 33\% \# of employees, 33\% OM) <br> Tax Services (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) <br> Audit (33\% Rev, 33\% <br> OM, 33\% Plant) <br> InvestorRelations(33\% <br> Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% <br> Plant) Director Fees and Insurance (33\% <br> Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% <br> Plant) Escrow and transfer Agent Fees (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) Other Professional Services (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) Executive and Strategic Management (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) | Executive and Strategic Management (33\%Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) Technical Services (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) Utility Planning (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) Risk Management (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) Financial Reporting, Planning, and Administration (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) Treasury ( $25 \%$ capex, 50\% OM, 25\% Plant) Legal Costs (33\% \# of employees, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) Compliance (33\% Rev, 33\% OM, 33\% Plant) | Legal costs (33\% \# of employees, $33 \%$ total assets, $33 \%$ op. revs) Taxes (33\% \# of employees, $33 \%$ total assets, $33 \%$ op. revs) Benefits (33\% \# of employees, $33 \%$ total assets, $33 \%$ op. revs) Planning (33\% \# of employees, $33 \%$ total assets, $33 \%$ op. revs) Materials management (materials, supplies, and services) | Asset Ratio Corporate Governance Costs (40\% assets, 40\% gross margin, 20\% head count) | Utility Shared Services (Massachusetts methods) | Blended ratio (33\% gross margin, 33\% asset cost, 33\%, payroll) |

${ }^{6}$ Source: "Schedule XXI - Methods of Allocation" per the FERC Form 60's filed with the FERC for fiscal year 2019

|  | Algonquin power \& utilities Corp. | Liberty utilities (Canada) Corp. | Alliant energy corporate services, Inc. | CenterPoint energy service company, LLC | PNMR services company | Black hills corporation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Factor |  | IT (90\% \# of employees, 10\% OM) Procurement (50\% OM, 50\% capex) Internal Audit (25\% net plant, $75 \%$ OM) | Engineering and Construction (utility type and function) | Operating <br> Expense ratio | Facilities and Building (Sq. footage and occupancy) |  |
| 1 Factor | Other Admin Costs (\# of employees) | Human Resources (\# of employees) Training (\# of employees) Facilities and Building Rent (\# of employees) Environment, Health, Safety, and Security (\# of employees) External Communications (\# of employees) | IT (\# of employees) Transportation (\# of employees) Human Resources (\# of employees) Facilities and Building (\# of employees) Power planning (volumes) Electric production admin (volumes) Electric and gas delivery admin (\# of customers) Environmental affairs (volumes) Customer billing/payment processing (\# of bills) Customer Service, Customer Assistance and Customer Relations (\# of customers) Public and Community Affairs (\# of employees or customers) Rates (\# of customers) Electric System Maintenance (miles of distribution lines) Investor Relations (total assets) Insurance and Risk <br> Management (Total assets) Internal audit (Op. Revs) Real Estate and Right of way (gross plant) Fuel (volumes) Gas Acquisition and dispatch (volumes) Accounting (Op. Revs) Other Admin (Op. Revs) Finance (Op. Revs) | Head Count Ratio w/retirees Head Count Ratio w/o retirees Head Count Ratio w/retirees and inactive employees Union Head Count Ratio Wellness Head Count Ratio Direct Labor Ratio Client Unit Usage Ratio Sq. Footage Ratio Cross-Charges | IT (\# of employee's) <br> Financial <br> Systems (volume of transactions) <br> A/P Admin and <br> Maintenance <br> (volume of <br> transactions) <br> Depreciation, <br> Asset <br> Retirement, <br> clearing <br> completed <br> construction <br> projects to plant, <br> fixed asset <br> software <br> maintenance <br> (depreciable <br> assets) Work <br> management <br> system <br> (transaction <br> count) Benefits (\# <br> of employee's) <br> Ethics (\# of <br> employee's) <br> Governance (\# of <br> employee's) <br> Payroll (\# of <br> employee's) <br> People Services <br> (\# of employee's) <br> Communications <br> (\# of employee's) |  |

## Exhibit 4 - Delineation of roles and responsibilities

This exhibit shows our analysis of costs that may appear to overlap across APUC, LUC, LUSC and the local entity. As shown below, we did not identify any instances of redundancy through this exercise.

| Cost pool | Shared service role (costs allocated from APUC, LUC, <br> LUSC or LABS) | Local utility role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Finance | The Finance/Treasury organization ensures that regulated <br> utilities meet audit standards and regulatory requirements, <br> have strong financial and operational controls, and are <br> recording financial transactions accurately and prudently. | Finance focuses on specific <br> entity performance and reports <br> to the centralized finance <br> group. |
| They receive inputs from the utilities to consolidate and <br> manage intercompany billings. Finance/Treasury also <br> coordinates financing for capital projects for the regulated |  |  |
| utilities along with capital planning and related services. |  |  |
| Legal services oversees all general legal matters <br> pertaining to all entities. These legal services include <br> review of audited financial statements, annual information <br> filings, Sedarfilings, review of contracts, incorporation, tax <br> issues of a legal nature, marketcompliance, and otherlegal <br> issues. | Legal departments at the local <br> utility level focus on specific <br> rate cases or items relevant to <br> the entity's jurisdictions. |  |
| Human Resources | The Human Resources functions include the <br> management and oversight of training and development <br> ofemployees, ensuringemployees are provided healthy <br> and safe work environments, and receive competitive <br> salaries and benefits. | Human resource functions at <br> the utility level are focused on <br> activities such as hiring and <br> employee- related matters <br> specific to that entity. |
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Corporate services play an important role in a utility's capital program. The following administrative activities, among others, are all essential elements of a successful capital program.

- Attending a capital budget meeting or preparing a capital budget.
- Preparing financial statements for capital expenditures.
- Ordering materials for capital projects.
- Accounting duties performed on capital projects.
- Customer communications for capital projects.
- Attending capital project requirement, resource and vendor meetings.
- Preparing a business case for capital projects.

Further, active involvement in the capital program by executive management to provide leadership and oversight are also important elements of a successful capital program.
Fully accounting for the corporate services aspects of a capital project is important in that the complete cost of a project provides important information to all involved in the process. Further, including appropriate amounts of administrative and support services costs (referred to as indirect overheads in this report) as a component of the cost of long-lived utility plant assets contributes to intergenerational equity among customers.
Organizationally, employees providing administrative and support services to the regulated utilities of Liberty Utilities Co. ("Liberty Utilities") are primarily located in three business units: Algonquin Power \& Utilities Corp. ("APUC"), Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. ("LUC" or the "Company"), and Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("LUSC"). APUC and LUC employees are located in Oakville, Ontario and depending on the nature of the function, provide shared services to both Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power or individually to either Liberty Utilities or Liberty Power. Virtually all US-based employees of Liberty Utilities are LUSC employees. ${ }^{1}$ LUSC shared services employees are organized similar to the Canadian employees in that some employees support both Liberty Utilities and Liberty Power while others support only Liberty Utilities. Among the employees supporting only Liberty Utilities, some are regional employees supporting multiple regulated utilities located in either the East, Central or West Regions. LUSC "non-shared" employees are dedicated to specific utilities.
PA Consulting Group (PA) was retained by the Company to provide support for Liberty Utilities 2018 \& 2019 INDOH rates and the Arizona Black Mountain rate case filing, including services required to complete the time studies required to satisfy the Company's commitment in Arizona Corporation Commission Decision No. 75809, Section 3.5, dated November 21, 2016 ("Decision"). This report documents the results of the required time study.

[^50]
## 2019 INDIRECT OVERHEAD TIME STUDY APPROACH AND RESULTS

## OVERVIEW

PA Consulting Group (PA) was retained by the Company to provide support for Liberty Utilities 2018 \& 2019 INDOH rates and the Arizona Black Mountain rate case filing, including services required to complete the time studies required to satisfy the Company's commitment in Arizona Corporation Commission Decision No. 75809, Section 3.5, dated November 21, 2016. This report documents the results of the required time study.

Industry practices to account for indirect capital overheads, typically referred to as "capitalized A\&G", are guided by FERC and NARUC regulatory accounting standards. In our opinion, an approach which assesses a cost's eligibility to be capitalized based on whether that A\&G work and/or cost would be eliminated over time if the construction program were eliminated is consistent with both the NARUC USoA and common industry practices. This is the approach taken by the Company in completing its 2018 and 2019 Indirect Overhead Study.

The 2018 and 2019 Indirect Overhead Study was based on a survey of all cost center managers to identify the percentage of time cost center employees spend supporting capital projects. These survey results formed the basis of the calculation of the combined indirect overhead rate for APUC/LUC. The indirect overhead rate is used by Liberty Utilities' regulated utilities operating in the United States to apportion allocations from APUC and LUC to specific capital projects.
The purpose of this time study is to satisfy the requirements of the abovementioned Arizona Commission decision. This study is not intended to replace the 2018 and 2019 Indirect Overhead Study. In our opinion, the results of this time study confirm the results of the 2018 and 2019 study.

Both FERC and NARUC provide guidance to U.S. regulated utilities related to the capitalization of the costs of services provided in support of capital activities as shown in the table below.

## Source

Utility Plant Instruction No. 3 included in the FERC Uniform System of Accounts (Gas \& Electric)

Utility Plant Instruction No. 4 included in the FERC Uniform System of Accounts (Gas \& Electric)

## Guidance

(12) General administration capitalized includes the portion of the pay and expenses of the general officers and administrative and general expenses applicable to construction work.
A. All overhead construction costs, such as engineering, supervision, general office salaries and expenses, construction engineering and supervision by others than the accounting utility, law expenses, insurance, injuries and damages, relief and pensions, taxes and interest, shall be charged to particular jobs or units on the basis of the amounts of such overheads reasonably applicable thereto, to the end that each job or unit shall bear its equitable proportion of such costs and that the entire cost of the

|  | unit, both direct and overhead, shall be deducted from the plant accounts at the time the property is retired. <br> B. As far as practicable, the determination of pay roll charges includible in construction overheads shall be based on time card distributions thereof. Where this procedure is impractical, special studies shall be made periodically of the time of supervisory employees devoted to construction activities to the end that only such overhead costs as have a definite relation to construction shall be capitalized. The addition to direct construction costs of arbitrary percentages or amounts to cover assumed overhead costs is not permitted. <br> C. For major utilities, the records supporting the entries for overhead construction costs shall be so kept as to show the total amount of each overhead for each year, the nature and amount of each overhead expenditure charged to each construction work order and to each electric plant account, and the bases of distribution of such costs. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Interpretation No. 59 of the NARUC USoA ${ }^{2}$ (Gas \& Electric) | In general, it is believed that the incremental cost basis is the preferred method of determining amounts of administrative and general expenses which should be capitalized. Under this method only the costs specifically incurred for construction costs which would not be incurred if construction were not undertaken - are chargeable to construction. The use of this plan will avoid the effect of showing greater net income merely because of increased construction work. Where the incremental cost basis is not employed, general and administrative expenses can properly be distributed to construction only if studies are made to determine the amounts thereof which relate to construction activities. In the case of compensation for personal services, such studies should be based upon time records or periodic surveys of the activities of employees. Where daily time reports are not in effect, periodic studies should be made at least once a year and more frequently if construction activities fluctuate considerably. Such studies should show each employee's activities and the proportion of his time which is includible in construction account. Where the expenditures relate to other than compensation for personal services, it must be shown (1) that the expenditure has a relationship to construction activities and (2) that a reasonable basis has been evolved for determining the amount of proportion properly capitalizable. In no event is it permissable to assign to construction a proportion or percentage of a particular class of expenditures without first having established the relationship of the expenditures in question to construction work. <br> The records supporting allocations of administrative and general expenses to construction should; therefore, show (1) the relationship of the particular function to construction activities, (2) the proportion of each employee's time or each particular expenditure allocable to construction, and (3) the method of determining (2), that is time studies, daily time reports, etc. |
| Uniform System of Accounts for Class A Water and Wastewater Utilities (NARUC, 1996) | 19. Utility Plant - Components of Construction Cost <br> (12) "General administration capitalized" includes the portion of the pay and expenses of the general officers and administrative and general expenses applicable to construction work. <br> 20. Utility Plant - Overhead Construction Costs <br> A. All overhead construction costs, such as engineering, supervision, general office salaries and expenses, construction engineering and supervision by others than the accounting utility, legal expenses, insurance, injuries and damages, relief and |

[^51]|  | pensions, taxes and allowance for funds used during construction, shall be charged <br> to particular jobs or units on the basis of the amounts of such overheads <br> reasonably applicable thereto, so that each job or unit shall bear its equitable <br> proportion of such costs and that the entire costs of the unit, both direct and <br> overhead, shall be deducted from the plant accounts at the time the property is <br> retired. |
| :--- | :--- |
| B. As far as practicable, the determination of payroll charges includible in <br> construction overheads shall be based on time card distributions thereof. Where <br> this procedure is impractical, special studies shall be made periodically of the time <br> of supervisory employees devoted to construction activities so that only such <br> overhead costs as have a definite relation to construction shall be capitalized. The <br> addition to direct construction costs of arbitrary percentages or amounts to cover <br> assumed overhead costs is not permitted. |  |
| C. The records supporting the entries for overhead construction costs shall be so <br> kept as to show the total amount of each overhead for each year, the nature and <br> amount of each overhead expenditure charged to each construction work order <br> and to each utility plant account, and the basis of distribution of such costs. |  |

## APPROACH

To complete the four-week indirect overhead capitalization time study for APUC/LUC, $\mathrm{PA}^{3}$ completed the following tasks.

- Identified those functional areas within APUC/LU whose employees could most likely meaningfully complete a study to identify the time spent supporting the capital program of the North American regulated utilities.
- Identified a meaning number of employees to include in the time study from those functional areas identified. Approximately $20 \%$ of all employees in the functional areas identified were included in the time study.
- Developed a time reporting template specifically for purposes of this study which met the requirements described on the Decision.
- Trained employees participating in the study prior to commencement of the study and provided support throughout the study period.
- Reviewed results for reasonableness and consistency with the study instructions.
- Summarized study results.


## RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

For the individuals participating in the four-week time study, the average percentage of time spent supporting the capital programs of the North American regulated utilities was $34.5 \%$. This compares to the $32.55 \%$ and $32.08 \%$ for the 2018 and 2019 studies, respectively. At the individual employee level, time study results differed somewhat - both higher and lower - from the survey completed in 2018. In our opinion, this is a reasonable and expected outcome as job duties and responsibilities evolve over time and as estimates of time spent supporting capital program activity on an annual basis are re-assessed.
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## Arizona Rate Cases Involving Allocated Costs from Affiliated Transactions

1. Liberty Utilities (Black Mountain Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-02361A-05-0657, Decision No. 69164 (December 5, 2006) Pre-CAM
2. Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-02519A-06-0015, Decision No. 69664 (June 28, 2007) Pre-CAM
3. Liberty Utilities (Black Mountain Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-02361A-08-0609, Decision No. 71865 (September 1, 2010) Pre-CAM
4. Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water \& Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-01428A-090103, et al., Decision No. 72026 (December 10, 2010) SETTLED
5. Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp., Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257, Decision No. 72059 (January 6, 2011) SETTLED
6. Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp., Docket No. W-02465A-09-0411, et al., Decision No. 72251 (April 7, 2011) SETTLED
7. Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp., Docket No. WS-02676A-12-0196, Decision No. 73996 (July 30, 2013) SETTLED
8. Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water \& Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-01428A-130042, Decision No. 74437 (April 18, 2014) SETTLED
9. Liberty Utilities (Black Mountain Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-02361A-15-0206, et al., Decision No. 75510 (April 22, 2016) SETTLED
10. Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp., Docket No. W-02465A-15-0367, et al., Decision No. 75809 (November 21, 2016) SETTLED
11. Liberty Utilities (Entrada Del Oro Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-04316A-16-0085, et al., Decision No. 76019 (March 22, 2017) SETTLED
12. Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water \& Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-01428A-170058, et al., Decision No. 76799 (August 15, 2018) SETTLED
13. Liberty Utilities (Black Mountain Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-02361A-19-0139, Decision No. 78017 (May 18, 2021) SETTLED
14. Liberty Utilities (Entrada del Oro Sewer) Corp. and (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp., Docket No. SW-04316A-21-0325, et al., Decision No. 78871 (March 16, 2023) SETTLED
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## I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY <br> Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Adolfo James Garcia. My business address is 4055 Campus Dr. Sierra Vista, Az. 85635.
Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
A. I am employed by Liberty Utilities Service Corp. as the Operations Manager responsible for the operations of Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp ("Liberty Bella Vista").
Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. I am providing this direct testimony on behalf of Liberty Bella Vista.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.
A. I have over 20 years of experience in the water and wastewater industry. I began working for Liberty in 2011 as an Operator and was promoted to Operations Manager in 2013. Prior to that, I was employed at Fort Huachuca as the Operations Manager and was responsible for overseeing the water and wastewater plants. I have an Associate's Degree in General Studies.

## Q. DO YOU HOLD ANY CERTIFICATIONS?

A. Yes. I currently hold the following certifications in the State of Arizona: Grade III - Water Distribution, Grade II - Water Treatment, Grade II - Wastewater Collections and a Grade I - Wastewater Treatment.
Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION OR ANY OTHER REGULATORY AGENCY?
A. No, this will be my first time testifying.
Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of Liberty Bella Vista's current operations and the capital investments that have been undertaken to ensure continued safe and reliable service for customers since the last rate case filed in 2015 with a test year of

## II. DESCRIPTION OF LIBERTY BELLA VISTA PLANT AND OPERATIONS

## A. General Description of System

## Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF LIBERTY BELLA VISTA.

A. As of April 30, 2023, Liberty Bella Vista provides water service to 9,799 connections. There are 8,534 residential connections, 1,055 commercial connections, 208 fire protection connections, and 2 bulk water connections. Liberty Bella Vista's service territory is located in Cochise County with 31.8 square miles of service area.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ASSETS USED IN OPERATING THE LIBERTY BELLA VISTA WATER SYSTEM.
A. The system has 44 wells capable of producing 10.3 million gallons a day ("mgd") and 44 reservoirs providing 8.1 million gallons ("mg") of storage.
Q. WHAT IS LIBERTY BELLA VISTA'S COMPLIANCE STATUS?
A. Liberty Bella Vista is in compliance and good standing according to the rules and regulations of ADEQ, Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR") and the Commission based on the most current information available.

## B. Plant Improvements Since Last Rate Case

Q. HAS LIBERTY BELLA VISTA MADE ANY SIGNIFICANT UPGRADES OR IMPROVEMENTS SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE FILED IN $2015 ?$
A. Yes, since the last rate case, Liberty Bella Vista has invested $\$ 14,904,594$ in capital improvements and upgrades to the water system. I address the Company's capital projects below and Lauren Preston's testimony provides a discussion in support of the Customer First investment allocated to Liberty Bella Vista. Exhibit AG-DT1 summarizes the investments made by Liberty Bella Vista since its last rate case and categorized by account.
Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE CAPITAL INVESTMENTS MADE BY LIBERTY BELLA VISTA SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE?
A. Yes. Since the last rate case, Liberty Bella Vista's capital improvements have included main line repairs, hydrant replacements, valve replacements, service line replacements, pressure
reducing valve installations, storage tank replacement, deep well pumping equipment replacement, Master Control Unit ("MCU") panel replacement, upgrades to include Variable Frequency Drives ("VFD") installation, replacement of aging meters with Automated Meter Reading ("AMR") type meters, supervisory control and data acquisition ("SCADA") installation at various locations, and building security enhancements. The post test year plant being requested for recovery in this rate case is $\$ 2.3$ million, which includes Liberty's Cyber Security Program, the Horseshoe Tank Replacement, two service vehicles, backup generators at Miracle Valley and Coronado, meter replacements, hydrants, service and mainline repairs and pumping equipment.

## Q. WAS THE AMOUNT OF CAPITAL INVESTMENT NECESSARY FOR LIBERTY BELLA VISTA?

A. Yes. The improvements to the Liberty Bella Vista system were for necessary upgrades, refurbishment and replacement of pumping equipment, replacement of storage tanks, valve replacements, installation of pressure reducing valves to accommodate connection of pressure zones and improvements to the SCADA system.

- Storage Tanks - Failing storage tanks have been replaced at Stump Canyon and Horseshoe pumping stations.
- Pumping Equipment-Failed deep well pumps and motors were replaced at various locations across the system, including Well 19 pumps, which were replaced and upgraded with VFD.
- Communications Equipment - Liberty Bella Vista has upgraded the SCADA system operations, thereby improving operational efficiency, allowing for alarm notifications, improved monitoring of the system and mitigating downtime for emergencies. These upgrades included onsite chlorine analyzers. Additionally, all meters were replaced using AMR type meters.
- Other Tangible Plant - Liberty Bella Vista replaced failed and/or aging hydrants, main valves and service lines. Pressure reducing valves were installed to accommodate connection of pressure zones through the system. Unsafe switch gear
and MCU panels were replaced at various locations. Solar panels were installed at the main office 4055 Campus Dr. and at Well 18. Additionally, pursuant to Decision No. $77741{ }^{1}$, Bella Vista purchased the assets of Sulger Water Company ("Sulger") ${ }^{2}$ in December 2020.
Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
A. Yes.
${ }^{1}$ Docket Numbers W-02465A-20-0029, W-02355A-20-0029.
${ }^{2}$ At the time of acquisition, Sulger provided water utility service to approximately 25 residential customers in Cochise County, Arizona, approximately 10 miles north of Sierra Vista along State Route 90.


## EXHIBIT AG-DT1
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I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
A. Lauren A. Preston. My business address is 15 Buttrick Rd., Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053.

## Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am employed by Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("LUSC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Liberty Utilities Co. ("Liberty"), as the Vice President of Customer Care.
Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU SUBMITTING THIS TESTIMONY?
A. I am testifying on behalf of Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes Water) Corp. ("Cordes Lakes"), Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty Bella Vista"), Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water and Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Rio Rico") and Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp. ("Liberty Beardsley") (collectively referred to sometimes herein as "Applicants").
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.
A. I have 34 years of experience in the public utilities field. My range of experience includes the traditional aspects of customer care, such as meter reading, call centers, collections, billing, third party supplier support, and marketing and communications and includes serving customers in electric, water, and natural gas utilities. I also have experience as an internal auditor and a project leader for large scale programs. I hold a bachelor's degree in management from the University of Massachusetts and a Master of Business Administration from Boston College. I have also served in leadership capacities for the American Gas Association and Southern Gas Association.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS VICE PRESIDENT OF CUSTOMER CARE.
A. I am responsible for managing and overseeing the customer care services for all of Liberty's regulated utilities. My duties include setting strategy and policy for delivery of customer care activities for Liberty's electric, natural gas, water, and wastewater customers across
thirteen states ${ }^{1}$ in the United States and one Canadian province. ${ }^{2}$ My Customer Care team is responsible for customer billing, customer contact via call centers and walk-in centers, collections, communications, and social media support. In some service territories, my team-the Customer Care team-is also responsible for meter data collection. Customer Care also serves a substantial role in implementing innovative technologies serving customers and complying with regulatory requirements related to customer care and billing.

## Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION OR ANY OTHER REGULATORY AGENCY?

A. Yes. I have testified before the public utility commissions in Massachusetts, Maryland, and the District of Columbia in positions I held prior to joining Liberty.

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe Liberty's recent implementation of a modern technology platform, Customer First, that replaced a legacy customer information system and several other technology systems. My testimony also describes proposals for some new programs Liberty believes will improve customer care.

## Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY REQUIRED SCHEDULES OR EXHIBITS?

A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit LP-DT-1: Customer Assistance Tariff.

## II. DESCRIPTION OF CUSTOMER FIRST

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT CUSTOMER FIRST IS.

A. Customer First is an enterprise-wide project that includes changes to technology and systems, and associated employee training. As a comprehensive project, Customer First serves to install an enterprise-wide solution to replace and improve legacy computer systems. These include systems related to customer information, finance and accounting, network operations, procurement, accounts payable, employee time, and payroll services.

[^53]The Customer First project provides employees with the tools to deliver the experience that customers demand and deserve. Specifically, Customer First is a multi-year, multi-project, transformational journey to create greater consistency around the Company's operations, customer service, and financial functions in a way that will create an industry-leading customer experience.
Q. ARE THE APPLICANTS THE ONLY LIBERTY AFFILIATES THAT WILL IMPLEMENT CUSTOMER FIRST?
A. No. The program is being implemented on a centralized basis. Project elements and their costs will be allocated to the various entities ultimately owned by Algonquin Power \& Utilities Corporation ("APUC"), the ultimate parent company of Applicants here. Later in my testimony, I discuss further the decision to implement Customer First on a centralized basis.
Q. CAN YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PRIMARY ELEMENTS OF THE CUSTOMER FIRST PROGRAM?
A. Yes. There are six major components, which are summarized in Figure 1. Most of my testimony focuses on the customer-facing components.

Figure 1. Customer First Program

## Q. IS CUSTOMER FIRST A REPLACEMENT OF OBSOLETE TECHNOLOGY OR UPGRADES TO NEWER TECHNOLOGIES?

A. Both. Across the enterprise, Customer First will replace obsolete systems that were becoming increasingly difficult to maintain. In addition to mitigating the operational risks that come with reliance on antiquated systems, Customer First also offers enhancements that will help modernize distribution grids, provide better access to data for customers, and improve the efficiencies with which the APUC utilities plan and operate their systems. Further gains in both areas are created by the simultaneous investment in our employees with corresponding training and work process designed to make the work we perform for our customers and communities better.
Q. HOW DID IT COME TO PASS THAT THE APUC UTILITIES RELY ON SO MANY DIFFERENT, DISPARATE SYSTEMS?
A. That current state is largely attributable to the manner in which the organization has grown since entering the regulated utility space. APUC acquired its first regulated utility in Arizona in 2001 by purchasing a wastewater utility that serves approximately 2,000 customers. Since then, through a series of acquisitions, APUC has grown its utility business significantly. Today, utilities owned by APUC provide regulated electric, natural gas, water, and wastewater utility services to roughly one million customers of its 30 regulated utilities, which operate in 13 states, one Canadian province, Bermuda, and Chile. Many of the information systems utilized by these utilities when acquired were developed at a time when business requirements were different than they are today. In 2017, APUC began evaluating its systems and business processes, many of which were obsolete, lack capability of support and required significant manual work, which further promoted the need for a multi-functional platform. After re-evaluating its customer, business, and security requirements with the technology and processes, and considering a range of alternatives, APUC determined that an investment in Customer First would remedy the gaps associated with its existing individual systems, including sustaining the legacy systems, developing localized solutions, and developing an enterprise solution.
Q. WHY DID APUC CHOOSE TO IMPLEMENT AN ENTERPRISE SOLUTION, RATHER THAN MAKING SYSTEM INVESTMENTS ON A UTILITY-BYUTILITY BASIS?
A. APUC chose an enterprise solution because the business needs across all the subsidiaries have a consistent set of baseline needs. Making investments on a utility-by-utility basis would likely have required a more complex project and procurement/development strategy and in doing so increased the risk of system design decisions becoming disparate across the organization. Choosing one set of systems which meets the majority of baseline needs to implement and then configure those to meet the particular differences of each subsidiary
creates efficiencies across multiple dimensions. Customer First is an enterprise solution that includes system-wide investments, upgrades, improvements, and changes to business processes across the enterprise. Customer First addresses critical needs across the enterprise by (1) leveraging the capabilities and experience of the organization; (2) upgrading or replacing key systems that have become generally obsolete and costly to maintain; (3) harnessing and creating large, scalable networks and resources which are accessible and allow for efficiencies; and (4) reducing potential security risks.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS CUSTOMERS WILL RECEIVE WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CUSTOMER FIRST.

A. One of the most impactful customer-facing benefits from implementing Customer First is the opportunity to replace the Company's existing customer information system ("CIS") and billing systems, which were not capable of providing the kinds of services customers want now and in the future. The Company's CIS and billing systems were increasingly obsolete and had not had a substantial upgrade in more than 10 years. Adapting a system of that age to provide more flexibility in the types of services customers expect from a utility today and in years to come would be complicated and expensive. With Customer First and related interfaces, the Applicants can offer several new and improved services and share in the development and maintenance efforts of these services across the enterprise. For example, Customer First has allowed the Applicants to redesign customers' bills making it easier to read and understand the cost of the services provided. The Applicants also can now offer a digital connection that allows customers to track the status of work orders. The Applicants can expand payment options to customers, including online payment, autopayment, payments at terminals in walk-in centers, and refresh how payments are made by phone via the Interactive Voice Response ("IVR") system. The system allows for digital channels for customer contact, self-service enablement, supports demand response programs, and has the flexibility necessary for innovative rate design. The user interface enables customers to set up an account profile, monitor their usage, view bills, make
payments, see a map of planned outages, and receive alerts. Further, an omnichannel survey platform to collect Voice of Customer ("VoC") feedback enables Liberty to understand how we are serving our customers and what our customers want from their utility provider. These advances coupled with an advanced survey and feedback system allow us to gather information on how our customers feel about our service and to use their insight to make improvements.

Customer First will improve how the Applicants engage with customers, manage their assets, operate the system, and plan utility operations. This will allow for long-run efficiencies through integrated software applications that standardize, streamline, and integrate business processes across finance, human resources, procurement, distribution, and other departments. For example, Customer First includes the implementation of PowerPlan, a software solution that specifically addresses the unique asset management requirements of utilities, enables functionality for specialized utility accounting practices, and leverages existing data to support the automation of key activities while meeting regulatory and jurisdictional requirements. Other tools such as Workforce Software will streamline the processing of payroll and reduce compliance risks, and a financial planning and business intelligence platform will allow for collaboration across multiple business units.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW CUSTOMER FIRST INCORPORATES CUSTOMER NEEDS AND EXPECTATIONS THROUGHOUT ITS DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION.

A. APUC selected SAP's industry-leading enterprise resource planning ("ERP") software system used by large companies including utilities all over the world. The process used to select SAP was based on a comprehensive assessment of customer and employee needs against the capabilities of the software. To implement SAP, APUC hired industry experts in deploying SAP and paired them with teams of experienced company employees to adapt the system to fit local preferences and requirements. As the design, configuration, testing,
and implementation of the system is worked through, decisions on how this would work will be incorporated into research on customer and industry practices, regulatory requirements, and procedures to help streamline work for our employees and make information more accessible for our customers. This design included how information is delivered to our customers in a manner that helps them understand and manage their energy usage. The system was also built with the capacity to adapt to innovative programs and technologies as those become available to our customers.
Q. WHEN WAS CUSTOMER FIRST PLACED IN SERVICE FOR THE APPLICANTS?
A. Customer First was placed in service on April 30, 2023.
Q. PLEASE OUTLINE THE CAPITAL INVESTMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THE CUSTOMER FIRST PROJECT.
A. The Applicants' allocation of the total APUC capital investment for Customer First is estimated to be $\$ 7.15$ million as reflected in Table 1 below. However, after the project is fully deployed across the enterprise in 2024, the allocated share of the total capital investment to the Applicants will be trued-up based on the actual costs incurred by APUC.

Table 1 Customer First Capital

| Applicants |  | Capital Allocated |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Liberty Cordes Lakes | $\$$ | 579,865 |
| Liberty Beardsley | $\$$ | 822,795 |
| Liberty Bella Vista | $\$$ | $2,975,922$ |
| Liberty Rio Rico -Water | $\$$ | $2,116,826$ |
| Liberty Rio Rico -Wastewater | $\$$ | 654,620 |
| Total | $\$$ | $7,150,029$ |

Q. IN ADDITION TO THE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES, ARE THERE RECURRING ANNUAL OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE ("O\&M") COSTS RELATED TO THE CUSTOMER FIRST PROJECT?
A. Yes. All systems require ongoing support, maintenance, and upgrades to keep them performing at optimal levels. APUC's Customer First investment is no exception. On an annual basis, the Applicants will receive their allocated share of operating and maintenance (O\&M) expenses related to Customer First which will include, but is not limited to, annual support fees, software maintenance, hosting, and managed services. The estimated annual O\&M costs are included as proforma adjustments to the test year expenses for each of the Applicants as shown below and as discussed in Manasa Rao's testimony. There will be continued ongoing costs during the project's 20 year planned life.

Table 2 Customer First O\&M Adjustments

| Applicants | O\&M Adjustment |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Liberty Cordes Lakes | $\$$ | 22,787 |
| Liberty Beardsley | $\$$ | 64,820 |
| Liberty Bella Vista | $\$$ | 128,743 |
| Liberty Rio Rico - Water | $\$$ | 89,256 |
| Liberty Rio Rico - Wastewater | $\$$ | 27,918 |
| Total |  | $\$$ |

## III. FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED CUSTOMER FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.
A. The Company is proposing a new Customer Assistance Tariff ("CAT") for all of the Applicants. The CAT includes Low-Income, Deployed Services Member, and Disabled Veteran programs. These programs are intended to alleviate financial hardships customers may be experiencing paying their utility bills and are consistent with CATs approved for other Liberty utilities and other Arizona utilities. Liberty Bella Vista Water and Liberty Rio Rico currently have the Alternative Rate Water and Wastewater (ARWW) program that is limited to just customers that meet the low-income qualification criteria whereas the CAT is more expansive with the additional program qualification criteria. The Applicants are proposing to replace the ARRW program with CAT, where applicable.
Q. HOW WILL THE CAT BE IMPLEMENTED?
A. Customers will be eligible to apply for relief on a first come, first served basis with a limit of customers, as stated in the Tariffs, to participate in CAT programs. Customers submit applications and Liberty Utilities Customer Care who will then determine eligibility. Liberty Utilities will file an annual report detailing the number of participants from the previous calendar year, the total amount of credits provided by the program and the total of any program administrative costs.
Q. HOW ARE THE COSTS OF IMPLEMENTING THE CAT PROGRAMS RECOVERED, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED LOST REVENUE?
A. Through the establishment of a monthly CAT surcharge on all non-participating customers. Liberty would account for direct costs associated with the programs separately from other operating costs. The monthly surcharge would be calculated each year based on the active number of customer connections as of December 31 of the prior year. Additionally, the Applicants are proposing to file an annual notice of the surcharge along with a report on the CAT with the Commission on or before January 31 and for the surcharge to be implemented in February of each year with the recovery period ending in January of the following year. This process is in alignment with the CAT programs already approved by the Commission for Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp in Decision No. 78871.

## Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREFILLED DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. Yes.

## EXHIBIT LP-DT1

# Applies to all service areas <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## Applicability

Applicable to residential water service for domestic use rendered to individuals who meet all the program qualifications and special conditions of this rate schedule.

## Programs

This Customer Assistance Tariff (CAT) contains the following programs: (1) Low-Income Program; (2) Deployed Services Member Program; and (3) Disabled Veteran Program. Collectively, these three programs are referred to as the "Customer Assistance Programs".

## Territory

Within all customer service areas served by Liberty Utilities (BELLA VISTA Water) Corp. ("Liberty" or "Company").

## Rates

Fifteen percent (15\%) discount applied to the regular filed tariff.

## Program Qualifications

1. The Liberty bill must be in your name and the address must be your primary residence.
2. You may not be claimed as a dependent on another person's tax return.
3. You must reapply each time you move residences.
4. You must renew your application once every year, or sooner, if requested.
5. You must notify Liberty within thirty (30) days if you become ineligible for the CAT.

# Applies to all service areas <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## Special Conditions

1. Application: An application on a form authorized by the Commission is required for each request for service under this schedule. A customer must reapply every year or sooner, if requested.
2. Commencement of Rate: Eligible customers whose applications have been approved shall be billed on this schedule commencing with the next regularly scheduled billing period that follows receipt of application by Liberty.
3. Verification: Information provided by the applicant is subject to verification by Liberty. Refusal or failure of a customer to provide documentation of eligibility acceptable to Liberty, upon request by Liberty, shall result in removal from this rate schedule.
4. Notice from Customer: It is the customer's responsibility to notify Liberty if there is a change of eligibility status.
5. Rebilling: Customers may be re-billed retroactively for periods of ineligibility under the applicable rate schedule.
6. Participation Limit: The CAT (for all three programs included) is limited to 2400 customers of the Company. Applications will be reviewed and approved on a first come, first served basis. Applicants will be placed on a waiting list if the participation limit has been met.
7. Qualification: A customer that qualifies for more than one program will only receive benefits from one program per year. CAT benefits will not be combined or accumulated.

## Applies to all service areas <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION

## LOW INCOME PROGRAM

To qualify for the low income program, the total gross annual income of all persons living in your household cannot exceed the income levels below:

## Effective xxxx xx, $\mathbf{x x x}$

| No. of Person <br> in Household | Total Gross <br> Annual Income* |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | \$XXXXX |
| 2 | \$XXXXX |
| 3 | \$XXXXX |
| 4 | \$XXXXX |
| 5 | \$XXXXX |
| 6 | \$XXXXX |

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX
*Qualifying annual incomes are set at 150 percent of the 202X federal poverty levels.
Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:

Wages or salaries
Interest or dividends from:
Savings account, stocks or bonds
Unemployment benefits
TANF (AFDC)
Pensions
Gifts
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Social Security, SSI, SSP } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Rental or royalty income } \\
\text { Scholarships, grants, or other }\end{array}
$$ <br>
Profit from self-employment <br>

aid \& IRS form Schedule C, Line\end{array}\right\}\)| used for living expenses | 29) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Disability payments | Worker's Compensation |
| Food Stamps | Child Support |
| Insurance settlements | Spousal Support |

## Applies to all service areas <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION

## DEPLOYED SERVICES MEMBER PROGRAM

This program allows the Company to provide a $15 \%$ discount to deployed service members of the United States Military. The Company will provide the credit on the deployed service member's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

The Company will provide the credit on the deployed service member's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

1. Deployment is not a "permanent change of station." Permanent change of station requires a service member to permanently change his or her place of residence, paid for by the applicable military branch. A service member's decision to keep a secondary residence in Arizona would be discretionary and would not qualify for this credit.
2. Deployed member does not have family living in the premises. Short term deployments, where a spouse and/or dependents remain in the United States would not qualify, as the service member would receive separate compensation from the military to cover domestic expenses while deployed.
3. The deployed service member is an active member of the military (e.g., Air Force, Army, Coast Guard, Marines, and Navy), as defined by 10 U.S.C. § 101(a)(4), and includes any member of the Reserves or National Guard called to active duty.

## Administration

1. Participation shall be determined on a first come, first served basis.
2. Each service member's eligibility must be verified based on written orders from the service member's command.
3. Continued eligibility will be determined periodically through a recertification process.
4. The Company is permitted to seek Commission approval to change participant limits based on level of participation.
5. Qualifying annual incomes are set at 200 percent of the 202X federal poverty levels.

# Applies to all service areas <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## DEPLOYED SERVICES MEMBER PROGRAM

| Effective xxxx xx, $\mathbf{x x x x}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| No. of Person <br> in Household | Total Gross <br> Annual Income* |
|  |  |
| 2 | \$XXXXX |
| 3 | \$XXXXX |
| 4 | \$XXXXX |
| 5 | \$XXXXX |
| 6 | \$XXXXX |
| \$XXXXX |  |

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX

Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:

Wages or salaries
Interest or dividends from: Savings account, stocks or bonds
Unemployment benefits
TANF (AFDC)
Pensions
Gifts

Social Security, SSI, SSP Rental or royalty income
Scholarships, grants, or other aid
used for living expenses
Disability payments
Food Stamps
Insurance settlements

Profit from self-employment
(IRS form Schedule C, Line
29)

Worker's Compensation
Child Support
Spousal Support

# Applies to all service areas <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## DISABLED MILITARY VETERAN PROGRAM

This program allows the Company to provide a $15 \%$ discount to disabled military veterans of the United States Military. The Company will provide the credit on the disabled military veteran's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

The Company will provide the credit on the disabled military veteran's bill provided that the following criteria are met:

1. Disabled military veteran was honorably discharged from the armed forces.
2. Disabled military veteran must have a permanent disability rating related to their military duty service.
3. The disabled military veteran must have been an active member of the military (e.g., Air Force, Army, Coast Guard, Marines, and Navy), as defined by 10 U.S.C. § 101(a)(4), and includes any member of the Reserves or National Guard called to active duty.

## Administration

1. Participation shall be determined on a first come, first served basis.
2. Each service member's eligibility must be verified based on documentation demonstrating a medical discharge or other written documentation from the United States Department of Defense or Department of Veteran Affairs.
3. Continued eligibility will be determined periodically through a recertification process.
4. The Company is permitted to seek Commission approval to change participant limits based on level of participation.
5. Qualifying annual incomes are set at 200 percent of the 202 X federal poverty levels

# Applies to all service areas <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

DISABLED MILITARY VETERAN PROGRAM

## Effective $\mathbf{x x x x} \mathbf{x x}$, $\mathbf{x x x}$

No. of Person
in Household
1
2
3
4
5
6

Total Gross
Annual Income*
\$XXXXX
\$XXXXX
\$XXXXX
\$XXXXX
\$XXXXX
\$XXXXX

For each additional person residing in the household, add \$XXXXX

Acceptance into the program is subject to verification of income source.
For the purpose of the program the "gross household income" means all money and non-cash benefits, available for living expenses, from all sources, both taxable and non-taxable, before deductions for all people who live in your home. This includes, but is not limited to:

| Wages or salaries | Social Security, SSI, SSP | Rental or royalty income <br> Interest or dividends from: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scholarships, grants, or other <br> Profit from self-employment <br> aid | (IRS form Schedule C, Line |  |
| Savings account, stocks or | used for living expenses | 29) |
| bonds | Disability payments | Worker's Compensation |
| Unemployment benefits | Food Stamps | Child Support |
| TANF (AFDC) | Insurance settlements | Spousal Support |
| Pensions |  |  |
| Gifts |  |  |

# Applies to all service areas <br> CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF DOMESTIC SERVICE - SINGLE FAMILY ACCOMMODATION 

## RECOVERY OF COST OF CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE TARIFF AND CUSTOMER SURCHARGES

The Company shall recover the CAT costs from a monthly CAT surcharge on all residential and non-residential water customers who are not participating in the CAT. Liberty is entitled to seek recovery of direct costs (i.e., those costs directly associated with the programs, which costs would not be incurred in the absence of the programs). The Company shall account for those direct costs separately from other operating costs.

Liberty shall be entitled to implement a CAT surcharge on non-participating residential and nonresidential water as follows.

- For customers participating in the CAT, the Company shall maintain a balancing account detailing the beginning and ending balance of the cumulative unrecovered program costs each month.
- Liberty's authorized rate of return shall be applied monthly to the average of the beginning balances of the cumulative unrecovered program costs for water service and included in the beginning balances for the following month.
- Using the balancing account, Liberty shall calculate the monthly surcharge for each customer as follows:
(Ending Balance for Low-Income Tariff Balancing Account including amortized carrying costs during recovery period/Number of active non-participating water connections at year end)/ 12
- The ending balance in the balancing account shall equal the beginning balances plus discounts allowed on bills for the twelve month tracking period, plus direct program costs incurred in the twelve month period plus the return less surcharge fees billed in the twelve month tracking period.
- Liberty shall implement a monthly surcharge for the CAT for each twelve month period of the CAT. The Company shall calculate the monthly surcharge each year based on the active number of customer connections as of December 31 of the prior year. The Company shall file notice of the surcharge, along with a report on the CAT, with the Arizona Corporation Commission on or before January 31 and the surcharge shall be implemented on customer bills in February of each year with the recovery period ending in January of the following year.
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## I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
A. My name is Thomas J. Bourassa. My business address is 139 W. Wood Drive, Phoenix, Arizona 85029.

## Q. WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSION AND BACKGROUND?

A. I am a Certified Public Accountant and am self-employed, providing consulting services to utility companies as well as general accounting services. I have a B.S. in Chemistry and Accounting from Northern Arizona University (1980) and an M.B.A. with an emphasis in Finance from the University of Phoenix (1991).
Q. COULD YOU BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR PRIOR WORK AND REGULATORY EXPERIENCE?
A. Yes. Prior to becoming a private consultant, I was employed by High-Tech Institute, Inc., and served as controller and chief financial officer. Prior to working for High-Tech Institute, I worked as a division controller for the Apollo Group, Inc. Before joining the Apollo Group, I was employed at Kozoman \& Kermode, CPAs. In that position, I prepared compilations and other write-up work for water and wastewater utilities, as well as tax returns.

In my private practice, I have prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of several water and wastewater utility rate applications before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission").
Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. I am testifying in this proceeding on behalf of the applicant, Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty Bella Vista" or the "Company"). Liberty Bella Vista is seeking a determination of its fair value rate base and the setting of rates and charges for water on that finding.
II. OVERVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR RATE RELIEF
Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
A. I will testify in support of the Company's proposed adjustments to its rates and charges for
water and wastewater utility service. I am sponsoring the direct schedules, which are filed concurrently herewith in support of the Company's application. I was responsible for the preparation of these schedules based on my investigation and review of Liberty Bella Vista's relevant books and records.

For convenience, the two portions of my direct testimony, each with the relevant schedules attached, are being filed separately in this case. In this volume of my direct testimony, I address the rate bases, income statements (revenue and operating expenses), required increases in revenue, and rate designs and proposed rates and charges for service for the Company's water service. Schedules A through C, E-F and H are attached to this portion of my direct testimony. The Company has also prepared cost of service study (G schedules).

In the second volume of my direct testimony is where I address the D schedules to which are attached herein. Liberty Bella Vista is requesting a return on common equity of 10.95 percent and a weighted average cost of debt of 6.60 percent. As shown on Schedule D-1, the Company's capital structure for ratemaking purposes consists of 54 percent equity and 46 percent debt. The weighted cost of capital is 8.95 percent.

## Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION.

A. The Company is seeking a revenue increase of 29.71 percent. The test year used by Liberty Bella Vista is the 12 -month period ending April 30, 2023. The Company is requesting an 8.95 percent return on its fair value rate base ("FVRB"). The Company has also proposed certain pro forma adjustments to reflect known and measurable changes to rate base, expenses. These pro forma adjustments are consistent with normal ratemaking and are contemplated by the Commission's rules and regulations governing rate applications. See R14-2-103. These adjustments are necessary to obtain a normal or realistic relationship between revenues, expenses and rate base on a going-forward basis.

The Company's fair value rate base for Liberty Bella Vista is $\$ 15,750,144$. The increase in revenues to provide for recovery of operating expenses and an 8.95 percent return on rate base is approximately $\$ 1,748,942$, an increase of approximately 29.71 percent
over the adjusted and annualized test year revenues.

## Q. WHY IS THE COMPANY FILING FOR NEW RATE AT THIS TIME?

A. Liberty Bella Vista is no longer earning a return on the fair value of its water plant devoted to service. While Liberty Bella Vista has added approximately $\$ 16.8$ million of new plant investments necessary to serve water customers since the last rate case which used a test year ended December 31, 2014 (Decision 75809, November 21, 2016), rate base has increased by nearly $\$ 3.5$ million.

The primary drivers of the rate increase Liberty Bella Vista requests for water service are an increase in rate base as well as an increase in operating expenses since the prior rate case. Notably, with respect to rate base, the Company is seeking approximately $\$ 2.3$ million of post-test year plant. With respect to operating expenses, some of the operating expenses that have increased significantly since the last rate case are depreciation expense, property tax expense, and purchased power. Revenues have not kept pace with the increase in expenses. Due to the increased rate base and operating expenses, the Company's current rate of return, based on the adjusted test year results, is only 0.76 percent.

## III. SUMMARY OF "A", "E" AND "F" SCHEDULES

Q. DESCRIBE THE SCHEDULES LABELED AS "A", "E", AND "F".
A. I will describe each of the schedules individually, starting with the "A" Schedules. First is Schedule A-1, which is a summary of the rate base, adjusted operating income, current rate of return, required operating income, operating income deficiency, and the increase in gross revenue. Revenues at present and proposed rates and customer classifications are also shown on this schedule.

## Q. DESCRIBE THE OTHER "A" SCHEDULES.

A. Schedule A-2 is a summary of results of operations for the test year, prior two years, and a projected year at present rates and proposed rates. Schedule A-3 contains the Company's capital structure for the test year and the two prior years. Schedule A-4 contains the plant construction, and plant in service for the test year and prior two years. The projected plant
additions are also shown on this schedule. Schedule A-5 is the summary of Liberty Bella Vista's statement of cash flow for the prior two years, the test year at present rates, and a projected year at present and proposed rates.

## Q. DESCRIBE THE "E" SCHEDULES.

A. The "E" Schedules are based on Liberty Bella Vista's actual operating results, as reported in annual reports filed with the Commission. Schedule E-1 contains the comparative balance sheets for the years 2021, 2022, and 2023, ending on April 30. Schedule E-2, page 1, contains the income statement for the years 2021, 2022, and 2023, ending on April 30. Schedule E-3 contains a statement of changes in the Company's financial position for the test year and the two prior years. Schedule E-4 provides the changes in stockholder's equity for the test year and the two prior years. Schedule E-5 contains Liberty Bella Vista's plant in service at the end of the test year, and one year prior to the end of the test year and the associated change in plant additions, reclassifications or retirement for the test year. Schedule E-6, which provides department financial results, has been omitted as Liberty Bella Vista does not have departments. Schedule E-7 contains operating statistics for the years 2021, 2022, and 2023, ending on April 30. Schedule E-8 contains the taxes charged to operations for the years 2021, 2022, and 2023, ending on April 30.

The notes to the financial statements and the financial assumptions used in preparing the rate filing schedules are shown on Schedules E-9 and F-4, respectively, in accordance with the Commission's standard filing requirements. Audited financial statements have not been prepared for Liberty Bella Vista.

## Q. DESCRIBE THE "F" SCHEDULES.

A. Schedule F-1 contains the results of operations at the present rates (actual and adjusted), and at proposed rates. Schedule F-2 contains the summary of changes in financial position (cash flow), and a projected year at present and proposed rates. Schedule F-3 shows the Company's projected construction requirements for 2024, 2025, and 2026. Schedule F-4 contains the assumptions used in developing the adjustments and projections contained in the rate filing.
IV. SUMMARY OF RATE BASE ("B" SCHEDULES)
Q. EXPLAIN THE RATE BASE SCHEDULES, WHICH ARE LABELED AS THE "B" SCHEDULES.
A. I will start with Schedule B-5, which is the working capital allowance. The cash working capital allowance is based upon a lead lag study which determines the revenue and expense lags which are then applied (on a weighted basis) to the Company's proposed operating expenses.
Q. DID YOU PREPARE SCHEDULES B-3 AND B-4?
A. No, I did not prepare these schedules because the original cost rate base ("OCRB") is requested to be used as its fair value rate base ("FVRB"). Thus, these schedules are unnecessary.

## Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED SCHEDULES SHOWING ADJUSTMENTS TO OCRB?

A. Yes. Schedule B-2, page 2 shows adjustments to OCRB proposed by the Company. Schedule B-2, pages 3 through 8, contain the supporting information. There are seven adjustments shown on Schedule B-2, page 2, one of which is the adjustment for cash working capital (B-2 adjustment number 7) discussed above.

## Q. DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENT NUMBER 1.

A. Adjustment number 1, as shown on Schedule B-2, page 2, adjusts plant-in-service ("PIS"). There are four PIS adjustments included in Adjustment 1. These are shown on Schedule B2, page 3 , and are labeled as adjustments " $A$ ", " $B$ ", and "C".

Adjustment 1-A of B-2 adjustment number 1 reflects corporate plant allocated to Liberty Bella Vista. The details of the allocation are shown on Schedule B-2, page 3.1.

Adjustment 1-B of B-2 adjustment number 1 reflects the Liberty Bella Vista's proposed post-test year plant ("PTYP"). PTYP reflects plant revenue neutral plant necessary to serve the year-end number of customers and is expected to be placed into service within 12 months of the end of the test-year. The details of the allocation are shown on Schedule B-2, page 3.2.

Adjustment C of B-2 adjustment number 1 adjusts PIS to reflect the reconciliation of the Company's PIS detail to the amount recorded at the end of the test year as reflected on the E-1 schedule. The details of the allocation are shown on Schedule B-2, page 3.3. Reconstruction of the PIS balance is found on Schedule B-2, page 3.4 through 3.13.

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF ADJUSTMENT NUMBER 2 ON SCHEDULE B-2

 REGARDING ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION?A. Adjustment 2 on Schedule B-2, page 2, adjusts accumulated depreciation ("A/D"). There are three adjustments to A/D. These adjustments are shown on Schedule B-2, page 4, and are labeled as adjustments "A", "B", and "C".

Adjustment 2-A of B-2 adjustment number 2 reflects the corporate plant A/D allocated Liberty Bella Vista. The details of the allocation are shown on Schedule B-2, page 4.1.

Adjustment 2-B of B-2 adjustment number 2 reflects $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ associated with the Company's proposed PTYP. A/D on PTYP reflects a half-year of depreciation in accordance with the depreciation computation using half-year convention of depreciation on new plant added to PIS in a year. The details of the allocation are shown on Schedule B-2, page 4.2.

Adjustment 2-C of B-2 adjustment number 2 adjusts A/D to reflect the reconciliation of the Company's PIS detail to A/D amount recorded at the end of the test year as reflected on the E-1 schedule. The details of the allocation are shown on Schedule B-2, page 4.3. Reconstruction of the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ balance is found on Schedule B-2, page 3.4 through 3.14.
Q. DO THE PLANT IN SERVICE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION BALANCES SHOWN ON SCHEDULE B-2 REFLECT THE LAST COMMISSION RATE ORDER FOR LIBERTY BELLA VISTA?
A. Yes. Reconstruction of the PIS balance started with the PIS balance approved by the Commission in Decision 75809 (November 21, 2016). Reconciliation to the starting balances for PIS and accumulated depreciation are shown on Schedule B-2, page 3.4. Plant additions and retirements have been added to and deducted from total plant shown on

Schedule B-2, pages 3.4 to 3.13. These schedules also show the details for the accumulated depreciation, from the end of the last test year through the end of the current test year, using the half-year convention for depreciation. A vintage-year procedure was used to reconstruct the PIS and A/D balances.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENT NUMBER 3 ON SCHEDULE B-2.

A. Adjustment number 3 adjusts Contributions-in-aid of Construction ("CIAC") and Accumulated Amortization ("A.A.") to reflect reconciled balances of CIAC and A.A. as shown on Schedule B-2, page 5. Details of AIAC activity since the prior test year are shown on Schedule B-2, pages 5.1 to 5.3.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENT NUMBER 4 ON SCHEDULE B-2.

A. Adjustment number 4 adjusts Advances-in-aid of Construction ("AIAC") to reflect reconciled balance of AIAC as shown on Schedule B-2, page 6. Details of AIAC activity since the prior test year are shown on Schedule B-2, pages 6.1 to 6.3 .
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENT NUMBER 5 ON SCHEDULE B-2.
A. Adjustment number 5 reflects the adjustment to accumulated deferred income taxes ("ADIT") (for ratemaking purposes) based upon the timing differences between book and tax depreciation through April 30, 2023. The ADIT computation considers the Company's proposed adjustments to PIS, A/D, AIAC, and CIAC.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENT NUMBER 6 ON SCHEDULE B-2.

A. Adjustment number 6 reflects the adjustment to excess accumulated deferred income taxes ("EADIT") based upon the difference in accumulated deferred income taxes at the end of 2017 using the effective income tax rates enacted in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 ("TCJA") and the effective income tax rates in effect prior to the TCJA.
Q. HOW WAS THE PROPOSED "FAIR VALUE" RATE BASE SHOWN ON SCHEDULE A-1 DETERMINED?
A. The FVRB shown on Schedule A-1 is based on OCRB.
V. SUMMARY OF INCOME STATEMENT ("C" SCHEDULES)
Q. EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENTS YOU ARE PROPOSING TO THE INCOME STATEMENT AS SHOWN ON SCHEDULES C-1 AND C-2.
A. The following is a summary of adjustments shown Schedule C-1, page 2.1 and 2.2:

Adjustment 1 annualizes depreciation expense. The proposed depreciation rate for each component of utility plant is shown on Schedule C-2, page 2. The depreciation rates approved in Liberty Bella Vista's last rate case were account-specific rates. Continuation with account specific depreciation rates is proposed.

Adjustment 2 increases the property taxes based on proposed revenues. The details of the computation are shown on Schedule C-2, page 3.

Adjustment 3 reflects an adjustment to remove test-year rate case expense. See Schedule C-2, page 4. The Company proposes recovery of rate case expense incurred for this case via a separate surcharge. The Company estimates rate case expense for Liberty Bella Vista to be $\$ 311,241$. The Company proposes that rate case expense be recovered over three years. Based upon these proposals and the year-end number of customers, the Company estimates a monthly rate case expense surcharge of $\$ 0.82$.
Q. HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT THIS THE AMOUNT OF RATE CASE EXPENSE?
A. Based on my experience with rate cases before the Commission, and that of the Company's counsel. Given Liberty Bella Vista's size and the anticipated nature, length and complexity of the proceedings, as well as the fact that Liberty Bella Vista's case is being filed concurrently with Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water), Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes Water) Corp, and Liberty Utilities (Bearsley Water) Corp., I estimate total rate case expense expected to be incurred for all the rate cases filed concurrently to be $\$ 750,000$.
Q. HOW DID YOU ALLOCATE THE $\$ 750,000$ OF RATE CASE EXPENSE?
A. Rate case expense is allocated using a four-factor allocation method.
Q. WHY IS APPROVAL OF A RATE CASE EXPENSE SURCHARGE REQUESTED IN THIS CASE?
A. I believe this methodology is fair to both customers and the utility because it avoids potential over or under recovery of rate case expense that can happen when rate case expense is treated as a "normalized" expense. Rate case expense is not a normal, regular expense. It is incurred for a limited purpose, outside the test year, and may bear little resemblance to other cases where the expense is incurred. Additionally, the utility pays rate case costs in advance and when treated as a typical expense, any unrecovered rate case expense is forfeited if the utility gets new rates before the amortization period has run. Alternatively, if the utility stays out longer than the amortization period, the utility over recovers. A surcharge avoids both possible outcomes because the utility will be allowed to collect the surcharge until it recovers the authorized level of rate case expense and then the surcharge ceases to be charged. In other words, using a rate case expense surcharge, the Company will recover the amount authorized; no more and no less.

## Q. WHAT HAPPENS IF THE NEXT RATE CASE IS COMPLETED BEFORE THE COMPANY COMPLETES ITS RECOVERY OF THE COST OF THIS CASE UNDER THE RATE CASE EXPENSE SURCHARGE?

A. A rate case expense surcharge can always be a line item on the customer bill and can include amounts to be recovered from different rate cases. The amount can be adjusted as needed, up or down. This also has the benefit of making the cost of ratemaking transparent to all stakeholders and another reason that in my experienced professional opinion, rate case expense surcharges should be used in most, if not all, rate cases.
Q. PLEASE CONTINUE WITH YOUR DISCUSSION OF THE INCOME STATEMENT ADJUSTMENTS?
A. Adjustment 4 annualizes revenues to the year-end number of customers. The annualization is based on the number of customers at the end of the test year, compared to the actual number of customers during each month of the test year. Average revenues by month were computed for the test year. The average revenues were then multiplied by the increase (or decrease) in the number of customers for each month of the test year. Adjustment number 4 also increases purchased power expense and chemicals expense based upon the expected
additional gallons to be sold from the revenue annualization. Miscellaneous expense (postage) is also increased to reflect additional billings from the revenue annualization.

Adjustment 5 increases revenues to reflect the elimination of the tax reform credit based upon federal income tax change in 2017 in the TCJA, discussed previously, and implemented in 2018 (Decision No. 76801 dated August 15, 2018). See Schedule C-2, page 6.

Adjustment 6 adjusts revenues to correct estimated revenue accruals booked at the end of the test year. This adjustment is necessary to reconcile the actual customer billings and revenues for the test year. See Schedule C-2, page 7.

Adjustment 7 adjusted bad debt expense based upon a normalization of bad debt expense using a 3-year historical average rate. See Schedule C-2, page 8.

Adjustment 8 increases contract service - management for additional operating costs expected from the Customer First software (enterprise resource system) implementation. See Schedule C-2, page 9. The Customer First software and implementation is discussed in more detail by Ms. Preston.

Adjustment 9 increases contract service - management for additional operating costs expected from the Cyber Security program implementation. See Schedule C-2, page 10.

Adjustment 10 increases water conservation expense for additional costs of this program which are required to meet regulatory requirements. See Schedule C-2, page 11.

Adjustment 11 reduces several operating expenses which reflect either the nonrecoverability of an expense, the miscoding of expense, or the duplication of expense. Operating expenses that are adjusted include purchased power, contractual services - legal, contractual services - other, equipment rental and miscellaneous expense. See Schedule C2 , page 12 .

Adjustment 12 increases contractual services - management for expected increase in allocated labor costs from salary and wages implemented after the end of the test year. See Schedule C-2, page 13.

Adjustment number 13 synchronizes interest expense with rate base. See Schedule C-2, page 14. The synchronized interest expense is reflected as a deduction in the computation of the income tax allowance.

Finally, Adjustment 14 adjusts income taxes to a level based upon the Company's adjusted test year revenues and expenses. See Schedule C-2, page 15.

## Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER REVENUE AND/OR EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS?

A. No.

## VI. SUMMARY OF COST OF SERVICE STUDY ("G" SCHEDULES)

## A. Overview of Cost of Service Study ("COSS")

## Q. WHAT EXACTLY IS A COST OF SERVICE STUDY?

A. A cost of service study is an analysis to determine the adequacy of revenues by each customer classification to support the revenue requirements including costs and return of and on investments under both existing and proposed rates. The study begins with an allocation of utility plant and expenses into cost and asset functions, which are then allocated to customer classifications. The study attempts to trace the costs associated with meeting the customers' service requirements. Ideally, the revenues received from each customer class should equal the cost of providing service to that customer class. The cost to provide service includes the operating and maintenance expenses and the capital costs. Operating and maintenance expenses include the costs of operating the system and the costs of maintaining system facilities and equipment. Capital costs include investment-related cash requirements such as debt service, contributions to debt service reserves, and capital requirements not financed by debt. Capital costs also include depreciation expense and either a return on rate base (for-profit utilities) or an operating margin as well as incomes taxes and other taxes, if applicable.

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF A COST OF SERVICE STUDY?

A. Typically, the purpose of preparing a cost of service study is to offer guidance in setting rates to be charged for utility service. Again, the basic premise in establishing rates for the various classes of customers that are both adequate and equitable is that rates should reflect
the cost of providing utility service. Cost-based rates can also be used to send an appropriate price signal to customers because the amount paid for service approximates the cost to provide the service. In other words, subsidies between customers are minimized.

There are many factors at play when rates are set that can result in rates that are not adequate and/or equitable between the various classes of customers. Non-economic factors may be at play when rates are set. For example, the regulatory body may favor subsidizing one class of customers by shifting costs to other classes of customers or shifting revenues within one class of customers to subsidize members within that class. Lifeline or discounted rates, which are sometimes used to assist low-income customers in areas with high utility costs, are prime examples of subsidization of a class of customers by other customers. If possible, lifeline rates (low-income rates or customer assistance rates) should not apply to an entire customer class. If lifeline rates are needed, they should be offered only to customers meeting some income test. Another example is the goal of keeping the rate design simple and easier to understand. There may also be goals on promoting conservation (in the case of water utilities) or other social or economic goals. Thus, public policy may have a significant impact on rate design. In the end, though, the goal in setting new rates remains that the utility be able to recover its revenue requirement.

## Q. WHAT METHOD OF COST ALLOCATION WAS USED IN YOUR COSS IN THIS CASE?

A. The Commodity Demand Method which is described in AWWA Manual M1, "Principles of Water Rates, Fees and Charges", Seventh Edition published in 2017 and prior additions of the manual was used in this case. It is the method prescribed by Schedule G of the Commission filing requirements. The commodity demand method allocates each item of the cost of providing water service to the several cost functions - commodity, and demand, which is further separated into customer, meter and services functions. These functional costs are then allocated to the several customer classifications served by the system.

## Q. HOW IS THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY ORGANIZED?

A. The COSS used the test year revenue requirements developed in Schedules A through F and H. Costs were allocated to each of the cost functions described earlier and then to the customer classifications.

The cost of service study contains schedules G-1 through G-7. The standard filing requirements call for Schedules G-1 through G-7 and these schedules are included with my testimony.

G Schedules with higher numbers (i.e., 5, 6 and 7) contain the allocation factors and actual allocations to functions. These functions are then carried forward to the summary G schedules 1, 2, 3 and 4, which allocate expenses and plant (by function) to classes of customers. I will start my analysis using Schedule G-7 and end with Schedules G-2 and G1.

## Q. WHAT IS A "FUNCTION"?

A. Functions refer to the plant and the expenses needed to provide the basic utility service. For example, for water, the functions associated with supply, treatment, and delivery water are typically commodity, demand, and customer (and/or services). For wastewater, the functions associated with collection, treatment, and disposal of wastewater are typically commodity, demand, and customer (and/or services).

Commodity refers to the volume of the commodity sold. The commodity function is used to derive the commodity rate, or the rate charged per unit of measurement, gallons. Demand refers to how the system is sized to meet customer demand. Hence, the system is built to be able to provide the utility service (the commodity), as well as the demand placed on the system when peak demand occurs. The customer (and/or service) function can also be used to develop the monthly minimum rates charged to each class of customer. Demand and customer functions refer to the transmission/collection and treatment of water/wastewater. The costs associated with demand, and customer functions are incurred whether the customer uses 0 gallons of water or 50,000 gallons of water, or, in case of wastewater service, generates 0 gallons for wastewater flows or 50,000 gallons of wastewater flows.
Q. AFTER COSTS ARE ALLOCATED TO FUNCTIONS, HOW ARE EXPENSES AND ASSETS THEN ALLOCATED TO THE INDIVIDUAL CLASSES OF CUSTOMERS?
A. After the expenses and assets are allocated to the commodity, demand, and customer functions, the values for the functions are then allocated to various customer classes. Customer classes are typically broken down into residential, commercial, industrial, irrigation, and public authority.

## B. Explanation of Cost of Service Study Schedules

Q. BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE G SCHEDULES.
A. The G schedules are summarized as follows:

Schedule G-1 is the cost of service summary showing the results by customer class at present rates.

Schedule G-2 is the cost of service summary showing the results by customer class at proposed rates.

Schedule G-3 shows the rate base allocation details by customer class.
Schedule G-4a shows the revenues and expense allocation by customer class at present revenues.

Schedule G-4b shows the revenues and expense allocations by customer class at proposed revenues.

Schedule G-5 shows the functionalization of rate base into the functions commodity, demand, customer accounts, customer meters, and customer services.

Schedule G-6a shows the functionalization of expenses into the functions commodity, demand, customer accounts, customer meters, and customer services, at present revenues.

Schedule G-6b shows the functionalization of expenses into the functions commodity, demand, customer accounts, customer meters, and customer services at proposed revenues.

Schedule G-7a shows the development of the allocation factors by function.

Schedule G-7b shows the development of the allocation factors by customer class.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE AND EXPLAIN IN MORE DETAIL THE SCHEDULES THAT COMPRISE THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY, NOTING HOW THE VARIOUS FUNCTIONS WERE DEVELOPED AS YOU DO.

A. The allocations for the development of the class allocation factors are shown on Schedule G-7b, pages 1 and 2. Allocation factors for expenses were determined by examining the causal relationships of each expense to the various functions, which may include an examination of the recorded amounts during the test year and the use of professional judgment.

The operation and maintenance expense allocation to functions (commodity, demand, customer accounts, meters and services) are shown on Schedule G-6a, page 1 (adjusted test year at present rates) and Schedule G-6b, page 2 (adjusted test year at proposed rates).

The depreciation expense allocations are shown on Schedule G-6a, pages 3 and 4 (adjusted test year at present rates) and Schedule 6 b pages 3 and 4, which apply the function allocation factors shown on Schedule G-7b, page 1 and 2 (adjusted test year at proposed rates). Depreciation expense was computed using the Company's proposed depreciation rates in this rate case.

On Schedule G-5, pages 1 and 2, net plant and other rate base items are allocated to each customer class using the function allocation factors set forth in Schedule G-7a, pages 1 and 2.

Schedule G-4 allocates the commodity, demand, and customer expenses developed on Schedule G-6a and Schedule G-6b to customer classes using the allocation factors developed on Schedule G-7b, pages 1 and 2 Schedule G-4a shows the allocated costs at present rates. Schedule G-4b shows the allocated costs at proposed rates.

Schedule G-3 allocates the rate bases for commodity, demand, and customer functions to the customer classes.

Schedules G-1 and G-2 derive the return on rate base by customer classes at present and proposed rates, respectively. The returns on rate base are computed by dividing the operating income for the customer class by the rate base for that customer class.

## C. Cost of Service Study Results

Q. WHAT ARE THE RETURNS FOR THE CUSTOMER CLASSES AT PRESENT RATES AND PROPOSED RATES?
A. As shown on schedules G-1 and G-2, the returns vary between the customer classes at the present and proposed rates. Table 1 below summarizes the returns.

Table 1
COSS Returns

| Customer Class | Rate of Return <br> Under Current <br> Rates | Rate of Return <br> Under Proposed <br> Rates |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| RESIDENTIAL | $-2.57 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ |  |
| COMMERCIAL | $7.93 \%$ | $17.88 \%$ |  |
| IRRIGATION | $-24.45 \%$ | $-23.89 \%$ |  |
| STANDPIPE/CONSTR. | $42.38 \%$ | $47.93 \%$ |  |
| PRIVATE FIRE | $18.01 \%$ | $32.08 \%$ |  |

## Q. WHAT IS THE INDICATED COSS DISTRIBUTION OF REVENUES BY CLASS

 AND THE REVENUE DISTRIBUTION AS A RESULT OF THE PROPOSED RATES?A. Table 2 below presents the distribution of revenues by class suggested by the COSS and the revenue distribution at the proposed rates.

Table 2
Comparison of Revenue Distributions

| Customer Class | Indicated <br> COSS <br> Revenues | \% of COSS <br> Revenues | Revenues as <br> Proposed | \% of <br> Proposed <br> Revenues |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RESIDENTIAL | $\$ 5,073,157$ | $66.43 \%$ | $\$ 4,511,646$ | $59.08 \%$ |
| COMMERCIAL | $2,411.930$ | $31.59 \%$ | $3,031,083$ | $39.69 \%$ |
| IRRIGATION | 104,054 | $1.36 \%$ | 9,650 | $0.13 \%$ |
| STANDPIPE/CONSTR. | 21,779 | $0.29 \%$ | 47,368 | $0.62 \%$ |
| PRIVATE FIRE | 25,391 | $0.33 \%$ | 36,564 | $0.48 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 7,636,311$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 7,636,311$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Q. DOES THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN TABLES 1-4 INDICATE THAT THE PROPOSED RATE DESIGNS DO NOT PRODUCE THE RECOVERY OF REVENUES EXACTLY AS SUGGESTED BY THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY? PLEASE COMMENT.
A. No. As already suggested, while the cost of service study is a useful tool in designing rates, other considerations are factored into the design of rates, as I discussed previously.

## VII. RATE DESIGN ("H" SCHEDULES)

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE H SCHDULES.
A. Schedule H-1 shows a summary of revenues at present and proposed rates by meter size and customer class. Schedule H-2, pages 1 and 2, shows the present and proposed customer bills at the average monthly and median monthly water usages, respectively. Schedule H3 shows the present and proposed rates. Schedule H-4 shows the bill comparisons at present and proposed rates at various usage levels for all meter sizes and customer classifications. Schedule H-5 shows the test year bill counts.
Q. WHAT ARE LIBERTY BELLA VISTA'S PRESENT RATES FOR WATER SERVICE?
A. The present rates for all customer classes are set forth on Schedule H-3, pages 1 through 2.
Q. WHAT ARE THE PROPOSED RATES FOR WATER SERVICE FOR LIBERTY BELLA VISTA?
A. The proposed rates for all customer classes are set forth on Schedule H-3, pages 1 through 3.

## Q. ARE YOU PROPOSING CHANGES TO THE BASIC RATE DESIGN?

A. Yes. The Company's current rate design is a conservation oriented design with an inclining 3-tier rate design for the $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$-inch and $3 / 4$ inch metered residential customers and an inclining 2 -tier rate design the $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ inch and $3 / 4$ inch metered commercial customers and the 1 inch and larger metered customers (all classes, except standpipe). The Company proposes a conservation-oriented inclining 3-tier rate design for the $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$-inch and 3/4-
inch metered customers (all classes, except standpipe) and an inclining 2-tier rate design for the 1 inch and larger metered customers (all classes, except standpipe).

With respect to the break-over points, the Company proposes the 1 inch and larger meter breakover points be scaled using the AWWA flow factors applied the second tier break-over points of the $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ inch and $3 / 4$ inch meter sizes. See H-3, pages 1 through 3 for the changes.
Q. WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED RATES ON AN AVERAGE 5/8 X 3/4 INCH METERED RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER?
A. The present monthly bill for a $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$-inch metered residential customer, the largest customer class, using an average of 5,274 gallons is $\$ 27.87$. The proposed monthly bill for a $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$-inch metered residential customer using an average of 5,274 gallons is $\$ 36.24$, an increase of $\$ 8.37$ or $30.04 \%$ over the present bill.
Q. ARE THERE ANY PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES FOR THE WATER SERVICE OF LIBERTY BELLA VISTA?
A. Yes. Liberty Bella Vista is proposing increases to the establishment, NSF check charge, meter re-read charge, and after-hours service call charge. See Schedule H-3, page 4. There are no other proposed changes.
Q. ARE THERE ANY PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE METER AND SERVICE LINE INSTALLATION CHARGES?
A. No. See Schedule H-3, page 5.
Q. ARE THERE ANY PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE OFF-SITE FACILITIES HOOK-UP FEES?
A. No. See Schedule H-3, page 6.
Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
A. Yes.
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| Line No. |  | Prior Years Ended |  |  |  |  | Test <br> Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & 4 / 30 / 2024 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Description: |  | 4/30/2021 |  | 4/30/2022 |  | 4/30/2023 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Short-Term Debt |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Long-Term Debt |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7,066,160 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Total Debt | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 7,066,160 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Preferred Stock |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Common Equity |  | 9,476,741 |  | 10,084,136 |  | 10,177,273 |  | 8,295,058 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Total Capital \& Debt | \$ | 9,476,741 | \$ | 10,084,136 | \$ | 10,177,273 | \$ | 15,361,218 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Capitalization Ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Long-Term Debt |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 46.00\% |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Total Debt |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 46.00\% |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Preferred Stock |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Common Equity |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 54.00\% |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Total Capital |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Weighted Cost of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Senior Capital |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 3.04\% |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | E-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | D-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. } \\ \text { Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 } \\ \text { Construction Expenditures } \\ \text { and Gross Utility Plant in Service }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Exhibit } \\ \text { Schedule A-4 }\end{array} & \\ & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Page 1 }\end{array} \\ & & & \text { Witness: Bourassa }\end{array}\right]$

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Construction Expenditures
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|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Summary Statements of Cash Flows |  |  |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule A-5 <br> Page 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ess: Bourassa |  |  |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | Prior |  | Prior |  | Test |  | Projected Year |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | Year |  | Year |  |  | Year | Present |  | Proposed |  |
| 3 |  |  | Ended |  | Ended |  | Ended |  | Rates |  | Rates |
| 4 |  |  | 4/30/2021 |  | 4/30/2022 |  | 4/30/2023 |  | 4/30/2024 |  | 4/30/2024 |
| 5 | Cash Flows from Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Net Income | \$ | 1,064,622 | \$ | 607,395 | \$ | 93,137 | \$ | $(354,574)$ | \$ | 935,935 |
| 7 | Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Depreciation and Amortization |  | 1,212,273 |  | 1,268,040 |  | 1,320,038 |  | 1,518,431 |  | 1,518,431 |
| 10 | Other -Adjustments |  | $(23,422)$ |  | $(148,717)$ |  | $(140,103)$ |  | 303,783 |  | 303,783 |
| 11 | Changes in Certain Assets and Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| 12 | Accounts Receivable |  | $(127,316)$ |  | $(42,275)$ |  | 18,403 |  | - |  | - |
| 13 | Unbilled Revenues |  | $(54,853)$ |  | (108) |  | $(59,565)$ |  | - |  | - |
| 14 | Materials and Supplies Inventory |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 15 | Prepaid Expenses |  | 4,211 |  | 3,601 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 16 | Deferred Charges |  | 342,588 |  | 237,552 |  | 97,545 |  | - |  | - |
| 17 | Notes Receivable |  | $(1,146,638)$ |  | $(1,959,177)$ |  | 1,853,017 |  | - |  | - |
| 18 | Accounts Payable |  | $(1,978)$ |  | 805 |  | 6,794 |  | - |  | - |
| 19 | Intercompany payable |  | (59) |  | $(13,072)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 20 | Customer Meter Deposits |  | 22,405 |  | 34,998 |  | 27,314 |  | - |  | - |
| 21 | Taxes Payable |  | 31,510 |  | $(33,984)$ |  | $(68,363)$ |  | - |  | - |
| 22 | Other assets and liabilities |  | $(158,513)$ |  | $(27,623)$ |  | 203,925 |  | $(996,451)$ |  | $(996,451)$ |
| 23 | Rounding |  | 2 |  | (3) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 24 | Net Cash Flow provided by Operating Activities | \$ | 1,164,832 | \$ | $(72,568)$ | \$ | 3,352,142 | \$ | 471,189 | \$ | 1,761,699 |
| 25 | Cash Flow From Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Capital Expenditures |  | $(1,287,735)$ |  | $(1,251,573)$ |  | $(1,922,669)$ |  | $(6,683,190)$ |  | $(6,683,190)$ |
| 27 | Plant Held for Future Use |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Changes in debt reserve fund |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Net Cash Flows from Investing Activities | \$ | $(1,287,735)$ | \$ | (1,251,573) | \$ | (1,922,669) | \$ | (6,683,190) | \$ | (6,683,190) |
| 30 | Cash Flow From Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Change in Restricted Cash |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 32 | Proceeds from Long-Term Debt |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 33 | Net receipt of contributions in aid of construction |  | 1,340,531 |  | 312,361 |  | 6,615 |  | 1,110,756 |  | 1,110,756 |
| 34 | Net receipts of advances in aid of construction |  | $(1,292,605)$ |  | $(309,490)$ |  | - |  | 9,778 |  | 9,778 |
| 35 | Net Proceeds of of Long-Term Debt |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7,066,160 |  | 11,832,914 |
| 36 | Dividends Paid |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(580,000)$ |  | $(580,000)$ |
| 37 | Net Distributions to Rebanace Capital Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,120,649)$ |  | $(5,887,403)$ |
| 38 | Deferred Financing Costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 39 | Paid in Capital |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 40 | Net Cash Flows Provided by Financing Activities | \$ | 47,926 | \$ | 2,871 | \$ | 6,615 | \$ | 6,486,046 | \$ | 6,486,046 |
| 41 | Increase(decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | $(74,977)$ |  | $(1,321,270)$ |  | 1,436,088 |  | 274,045 |  | 1,564,555 |
| 42 | Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year |  | (626) |  | $(75,603)$ |  | $(1,396,873)$ |  | 39,214 |  | 39,214 |
| 43 | Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ | $(75,603)$ | \$ | $(1,396,873)$ | \$ | 39,214 | \$ | 313,260 | \$ | 1,603,769 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | F-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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```
Line
No.
Gross Utility
Plant in Service
Less:
Accumulated
Depreciation
Net Utility Plant
    in Service
Less:
Advances in Aid of
Construction
Contributions in Aid of
    Construction (CIAC)
Accumulated Amort of CIAC
Customer Meter Deposits
Customer Security Deposits
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes
Accumulated Deferred Income Tax Credits
Excess Accum. Def. Inc. Tax (EADIT)
Plus:
Deferred Regulatory Assets
Prepayments
Prepayments
Total
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:
B-2, pages 3-8
E-1
```

Allowance for Cash Working Capital $\quad-\quad-\quad 170$

|  |  |  | Plant-in-Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. |  |  | Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| 2 - - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Acct. |  | Actual Orginal |  |  | Allocated |  |  | Adjustments |  | Adjusted |  |
| 4 |  |  |  | Corporate |  | PTY <br> Plant | to Reconcile <br> Plant to Reconstruction |  |  | Original |
| 5 | No. | Description |  |  | Cost |  |  |  |  | Plant |  |  | Cost |
| 6 | 106 | Construction Completed - Not Classified | \$ | 945,351 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(945,351)$ | \$ |  |
| 7 | 301 | Organization Cost |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 8 | 302 | Franchise Cost |  | 171,487 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 171,487 |
| 9 | 303 | Land and Land Rights |  | 695,704 |  |  |  | - |  | (0) |  | 695,704 |
| 10 | 304 | Structures and Improvements |  | 4,226,055 |  |  |  | - |  | 27,303 |  | 4,253,358 |
| 11 | 305 | Collecting and Impounding Res. |  | 46,813 |  |  |  | - |  | 0 |  | 46,813 |
| 12 | 306 | Lake River and Other Intakes |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 13 | 307 | Wells and Springs |  | 1,775,474 |  |  |  | - |  | (512) |  | 1,774,962 |
| 14 | 308 | Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels |  | -- |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 15 | 309 | Supply Mains |  | 421,528 |  |  |  | - |  | 1 |  | 421,529 |
| 16 | 310 | Power Generation Equipment |  | 198,083 |  |  |  | 132,051 |  | - |  | 330,135 |
| 17 | 311 | Electric Pumping Equipment |  | 4,963,611 |  |  |  | 431,316 |  | 153,812 |  | 5,548,739 |
| 18 | 320 | Water Treatment Equipment |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 19 | 320.1 | Water Treatment Plant |  | 89,474 |  |  |  | - |  | (221) |  | 89,253 |
| 20 | 320.2 | Chemical Solution Feeders |  | 157,898 |  |  |  | - |  | 7,827 |  | 165,725 |
| 21 | 330 | Dist. Reservoirs \& Standpipe |  | 4,401 |  |  |  | - |  | (1) |  | 4,400 |
| 22 | 330.1 | Storage tanks |  | 3,058,411 |  |  |  | 371,780 |  | 204,211 |  | 3,634,403 |
| 23 | 330.2 | Pressure Tanks |  | 523,660 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 523,660 |
| 24 | 331 | Trans. and Dist. Mains |  | 18,816,797 |  |  |  | 350,368 |  | 183,496 |  | 19,350,661 |
| 25 | 333 | Services |  | 3,561,035 |  |  |  | 229,225 |  | 255,738 |  | 4,045,997 |
| 26 | 334 | Meters |  | 3,339,237 |  |  |  | 350,000 |  | 104,274 |  | 3,793,511 |
| 27 | 335 | Hydrants |  | 1,623,588 |  |  |  | 100,261 |  | 30,522 |  | 1,754,371 |
| 28 | 336 | Backflow Prevention Devices |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 29 | 339 | Other Plant and Misc. Equip. |  | 191,417 |  |  |  | - |  | $(2,136)$ |  | 189,281 |
| 30 | 340 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  | 184,374 |  |  |  | - |  | 905 |  | 185,279 |
| 31 | 340.1 | Computers and Software |  | 446,795 |  |  |  | - |  | 12,387 |  | 459,182 |
| 32 | 341 | Transportation Equipment |  | 491,724 |  |  |  | 201,886 |  | $(1,058)$ |  | 692,552 |
| 33 | 342 | Stores Equipment |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 34 | 343 | Tools and Work Equipment |  | 510,602 |  |  |  | 48,433 |  | 45,799 |  | 604,834 |
| 35 | 344 | Laboratory Equipment |  | 3,285 |  |  |  | - |  | (0) |  | 3,285 |
| 36 | 345 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 101,698 |  |  |  | - |  | (347) |  | 101,350 |
| 37 | 346 | Communications Equipment |  | 1,158,286 |  |  |  | - |  | (0) |  | 1,158,286 |
| 38 | 347 | Miscellaneous Equipment |  | 112,389 |  |  |  | - |  | (0) |  | 112,389 |
| 39 | 347.1 | Miscellaneous Equipment - CNG |  | 456,709 |  |  |  | - |  | 0 |  | 456,709 |
| 40 | 348 | Other Tangible Plant |  | 264,358 |  |  |  | - |  | (0) |  | 264,358 |
| 41 |  | SUBTOTAL | \$ | 48,540,244 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,215,320 | \$ | 76,647 | \$ | 50,832,211 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 903 | Land and Land Rights |  |  | \$ | 12,972 | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | 12,972 |
| 44 | 904 | Structures and Improvments |  |  |  | 428,987 |  | - |  |  |  | 428,987 |
| 45 | 940 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  |  |  | 58,688 |  | - |  |  |  | 58,688 |
| 46 | 940.1 | Computers and Software |  |  |  | 59,700 |  | 100,704 |  |  |  | 160,404 |
| 47 | 940.2 | Customer First |  |  |  | 2,812,488 |  | - |  |  |  | 2,812,488 |
| 48 | 955 | Power Generation |  |  |  | 315 |  | - |  |  |  | 315 |
| 49 | 995 | Power Operated Equipment |  |  |  | 52,123 |  | - |  |  |  | 52,123 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 51 |  | TOTALS | \$ | 48,540,244 | \$ | 3,425,272 | \$ | 2,316,024 | \$ | 76,647 | \$ | 54,358,188 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5354 | Plant-in-Service per Books |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 48,540,244 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | Increase (decrease) in Plant-in-Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 5,817,944 |
| 5657 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adjustment to Plant-in-Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 5,817,944 |
| 556 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SUPPO | RTING SCHEDULES |  |  |  |  |  |  | RECAP SCHEDULES: |  |  |  |
|  | B-2, pag | es 3.1 to 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | B-2 |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.<br>Test Year Ended April 30, 2023<br>Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments<br>Adjustment Number 1 - A

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 3.1
Witness: Bourassa

Line
Corporate Plant

|  |  |  | [1] | [2] | [3] |  | $=[1] \times[2] \times[3]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acct. |  |  | Original | Utilities | Water |  | Original |
| No. | Description |  | Cost | Factor | Factor |  | Cost |
| 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | 1,364,008 | 6.6567\% | 10.7479\% | \$ | 9,759 |
| 904 | Structures and Improvements |  | 11,557,420 | 6.6567\% | 10.7479\% |  | 82,688 |
| LU Sub- | Corp. Plant (8020) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | 58,167 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% | \$ | 3,213 |
| 904 | Structures and Improvements |  | 6,269,845 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% |  | 346,299 |
| 940 | Office Furniture and Equipment |  | 1,062,563 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% |  | 58,688 |
| 940.1 | Computers and Software |  | 555,453 | 100.00\% | 10.7479\% |  | 59,700 |
| 940.2 | Customer First |  | 22,609,111 | 100.00\% | 12.4396\% |  | 2,812,488 |
| 955 | Power Generation |  | 5,710 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% |  | 315 |
| 995 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 943,696 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% |  | 52,123 |
| TOTALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | 1,422,174 |  |  | \$ | 12,972 |
| 904 | Structures and Improvements |  | 17,827,266 |  |  |  | 428,987 |
| 940 | Office Furniture and Equipment |  | 1,062,563 |  |  |  | 58,688 |
| 940.1 | Computers and Software |  | 555,453 |  |  |  | 59,700 |
| 940.2 | Customer First |  | 22,609,111 |  |  |  | 2,812,488 |
| 955 | Power Generation |  | 5,710 |  |  |  | 315 |
| 995 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 943,696 |  |  |  | 52,123 |
|  | Total | \$ | 44,425,973 |  |  | \$ | 3,425,272 |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments
Adjustment Number 1 - B

| No. | Post-Test Year Plant |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 ) |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Acct. |  |  | Original |
| 5 | No. | Description |  | Cost |
| 6 | 106 | Construction Completed - Not Classified |  | - - |
| 7 | 301 | Organization Cost |  |  |
| 8 | 302 | Franchise Cost |  |  |
| 9 | 303 | Land and Land Rights |  |  |
| 10 | 304 | Structures and Improvements |  | - |
| 11 | 305 | Collecting and Impounding Res. |  | - |
| 12 | 306 | Lake River and Other Intakes |  | - |
| 13 | 307 | Wells and Springs |  |  |
| 14 | 308 | Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels |  | - |
| 15 | 309 | Supply Mains |  | - |
| 16 | 310 | Power Generation Equipment |  | 132,051 |
| 17 | 311 | Electric Pumping Equipment |  | 431,316 |
| 18 | 320 | Water Treatment Equipment |  | - |
| 19 | 320.1 | Water Treatment Plant |  |  |
| 20 | 320.2 | Chemical Solution Feeders |  |  |
| 21 | 330 | Dist. Reservoirs \& Standpipe |  | - |
| 22 | 330.1 | Storage tanks |  | 371,780 |
| 23 | 330.2 | Pressure Tanks |  | - |
| 24 | 331 | Trans. and Dist. Mains |  | 350,368 |
| 25 | 333 | Services |  | 229,225 |
| 26 | 334 | Meters |  | 350,000 |
| 27 | 335 | Hydrants |  | 100,261 |
| 28 | 336 | Backflow Prevention Devices |  | - |
| 29 | 339 | Other Plant and Misc. Equip. |  | - |
| 30 | 340 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  |  |
| 31 | 340.1 | Computers and Software |  | - |
| 32 | 341 | Transportation Equipment |  | 201,886 |
| 33 | 342 | Stores Equipment |  | - |
| 34 | 343 | Tools and Work Equipment |  | 48,433 |
| 35 | 344 | Laboratory Equipment |  | - |
| 36 | 345 | Power Operated Equipment |  | - |
| 37 | 346 | Communications Equipment |  | - |
| 38 | 347 | Miscellaneous Equipment |  | - |
| 39 | 347.1 | Miscellaneous Equipment CNG |  | - |
| 40 | 348 | Other Tangible Plant |  | - |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  | Subtotal | \$ | 2,215,320 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | - |
| 45 | 904 | Structures and Improvments |  | - |
| 46 | 940 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  | - |
| 47 | 940.1 | Computers and Software |  | 100,704 |
| 48 | 940.2 | Customer First |  | - |
| 49 | 955 | Power Generation |  | - |
| 50 | 995 | Power Operated Equipment |  | - |
| 51 |  | Subtotal | \$ | 100,704 |
| 52 ( |  |  |  |  |
| 53 |  | Total | \$ | 2,316,024 |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | SUPPO | RTING SCHEDULE | RECAP SCHEDULES: |  |
| 56 | Work pap | apers | B-2, page 3 |  |
| 57 | Testimo |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments
Adjustment Number 1 - C

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 3.3
Witness: Bourassa

Line

Reconciliation of Plant to Plant Reconstruction


B-2, pages 3.1 through 3.2
$B-2$, page 3

7 B-2, pages 3.4 through 3.14

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Adjustment Number 2

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 4
Witness: Bourassa

Accumulated Depreciation


## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Adjustment Number 2 - A

Exhibit Schedule B-2
Page 4.1
Witness: Bourassa

Line

| Corporate Plant A/D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [1] |  | [2] | [3] | $[4]=[1] \times[2] \times[3]$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Liberty | BV |  | cated |
| Acct. |  |  | Original | Utilities | Water |  | ininal |
| No. | Description |  | Cost | Factor | Factor |  | A/D |
| 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | - | 6.6567\% | 10.7479\% | \$ | - |
| 904 | Structures and Improvements |  | 769,338 | 6.6567\% | 10.7479\% |  | 5,504 |
| LU Sub-Corp. Plant (8020) A/D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | - | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% | \$ | - |
| 904 | Structures and Improvements |  | 382,268 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% |  | 21,114 |
| 940 | Office Furniture and Equipment |  | 129,934 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% |  | 7,177 |
| 940.1 | Computers and Software |  | 111,091 | 100.00\% | 10.7479\% |  | 11,940 |
| 940.2 | Customer First |  | 251,922 | 100.00\% | 12.4396\% |  | 31,338 |
| 955 | Power Generation |  | 523 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% |  | 29 |
| 995 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 86,505 | 51.39\% | 10.7479\% |  | 4,778 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 904 | Structures and Improvements |  | 1,151,606 |  |  |  | 26,618 |
| 940 | Office Furniture and Equipment |  | 129,934 |  |  |  | 7,177 |
| 940.1 | Computers and Software |  | 111,091 |  |  |  | 11,940 |
| 940.2 | Customer First |  | 251,922 |  |  |  | 31,338 |
| 955 | Power Generation |  | 523 |  |  |  | 29 |
| 995 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 86,505 |  |  |  | 4,778 |
|  |  | \$ | 1,731,582 |  |  | \$ | 81,879 |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Adjustment Number 2 - B

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 4.2
Witness: Bourassa

| Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | PTY PLant A/D |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Acct. |  | Depr | PTYPlant |  |  |
| 5 | No. | Description | Rate |  | Depreciation |  |
| 6 | 301 | Organization Cost | 0.00\% | - | \$ | - |
| 7 | 302 | Franchise Cost | 0.00\% | - |  | - |
| 8 | 303 | Land and Land Rights | 0.00\% | - |  | - |
| 9 | 304 | Structures and Improvements | 3.33\% | - |  | - |
| 10 | 305 | Collecting and Impounding Res. | 2.50\% | - |  | - |
| 11 | 306 | Lake River and Other Intakes | 2.50\% | - |  | - |
| 12 | 307 | Wells and Springs | 3.33\% | - |  | - |
| 13 | 308 | Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels | 6.67\% | - |  | - |
| 14 | 309 | Supply Mains | 2.00\% | - |  | - |
| 15 | 310 | Power Generation Equipment | 5.00\% | - |  | - |
| 16 | 311 | Electric Pumping Equipment | 12.50\% | 132,051 |  | 8,253 |
| 17 | 320 | Water Treatment Equipment | 3.33\% | 431,316 |  | 7,181 |
| 18 | 320.1 | Water Treatment Plant | 3.33\% | - |  | - |
| 19 | 320.2 | Chemical Solution Feeders | 20.00\% | - |  | - |
| 20 | 330 | Dist. Reservoirs \& Standpipe | 2.22\% | - |  | - |
| 21 | 330.1 | Storage tanks | 2.22\% | - |  | - |
| 22 | 330.2 | Pressure Tanks | 5.00\% | 371,780 |  | 9,295 |
| 23 | 331 | Trans. and Dist. Mains | 2.00\% | - |  | - |
| 24 | 333 | Services | 3.33\% | 350,368 |  | 5,834 |
| 25 | 334 | Meters | 8.33\% | 229,225 |  | 9,547 |
| 26 | 335 | Hydrants | 2.00\% | 350,000 |  | 3,500 |
| 27 | 336 | Backflow Prevention Devices | 6.67\% | 100,261 |  | 3,344 |
| 28 | 339 | Other Plant and Misc. Equip. | 6.67\% | - |  | - |
| 29 | 340 | Office Furniture and Fixtures | 6.67\% | - |  | - |
| 30 | 340.1 | Computers and Software | 20.00\% | - |  | - |
| 31 | 341 | Transportation Equipment | 20.00\% | - |  | - |
| 32 | 342 | Stores Equipment | 4.00\% | 201,886 |  | 4,038 |
| 33 | 343 | Tools and Work Equipment | 5.00\% | - |  | - |
| 34 | 344 | Laboratory Equipment | 10.00\% | 48,433 |  | 2,422 |
| 35 | 345 | Power Operated Equipment | 5.00\% | - |  | - |
| 36 | 346 | Communications Equipment | 10.00\% | - |  | - |
| 37 | 347 | Miscellaneous Equipment | 10.00\% | - |  | - |
| 38 | 347.1 | Miscellaneous Equipment CNG | 3.33\% | - |  | - |
| 40 ( 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | Subtotal |  | \$ 2,215,320 | \$ | 53,413 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 903 | Land and Land Rights | 0.00\% | \$ | \$ | - |
| 44 | 904 | Structures and Improvments | 0.00\% | - |  | - |
| 45 | 940 | Office Furniture and Fixtures | 0.00\% | - |  | - |
| 46 | 940.1 | Computers and Software | 20.00\% | 100,704 |  | 10,070 |
| 47 | 940.2 | Customer First | 0.00\% | - |  | - |
| 48 | 955 | Power Generation | 0.00\% | - |  | - |
| 49 | 995 | Power Operated Equipment | 0.00\% | - |  | - |
| 50 | Subtotal |  |  | \$ 100,704 | \$ | 10,070 |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | Total |  |  | \$ 2,316,024 | \$ | 63,483 |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | SUPPORTING SCHEDULE |  |  | RECAP SCHEDULES: |  |  |
| 57 | Work papers |  |  | B-2, page 4 |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Adjustment Number 2 - C

## Exhibit

Schedule B-2
Page 4.3
Witness: Bourassa

Reconciliation of A/D to A/D Reconstruction

| Acct. No. | Description | Orginal Cost |  | B-2 <br> Adjustments |  | Adjusted Orginal Cost |  | PlantPerReconstruction |  | Difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | Accumulated Depr. Unclassified | \$ | 996,725 | \$ | - | \$ | 996,725 | \$ | - | \$ | $(996,725)$ |
| 301 | Organization Cost |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 302 | Franchise Cost |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 303 | Land and Land Rights |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 304 | Structures and Improvements |  | 1,641,919 |  | - |  | 1,641,919 |  | 1,923,589 |  | 281,670 |
| 305 | Collecting and Impounding Res. |  | 19,017 |  | - |  | 19,017 |  | 18,537 |  | (480) |
| 306 | Lake River and Other Intakes |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 307 | Wells and Springs |  | 1,168,113 |  | - |  | 1,168,113 |  | 1,276,291 |  | 108,178 |
| 308 | Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 309 | Supply Mains |  | 69,529 |  | - |  | 69,529 |  | 75,087 |  | 5,558 |
| 310 | Power Generation Equipment |  | 82,535 |  | - |  | 82,535 |  | 81,404 |  | $(1,131)$ |
| 311 | Electric Pumping Equipment |  | 3,911,642 |  | 8,253 |  | 3,919,895 |  | 4,050,896 |  | 131,001 |
| 320 | Water Treatment Equipment |  | - |  | 7,181 |  | 7,181 |  | - |  | $(7,181)$ |
| 320.1 | Water Treatment Plant |  | 3,527 |  | - |  | 3,527 |  | 40,259 |  | 36,732 |
| 320.2 | Chemical Solution Feeders |  | 129,620 |  | - |  | 129,620 |  | 92,959 |  | $(36,660)$ |
| 330 | Dist. Reservoirs \& Standpipe |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 374 |  | 374 |
| 330.1 | Storage tanks |  | 1,547,119 |  | - |  | 1,547,119 |  | 1,516,992 |  | $(30,127)$ |
| 330.2 | Pressure Tanks |  | 375,924 |  | 9,295 |  | 385,219 |  | 417,519 |  | 32,300 |
| 331 | Trans. and Dist. Mains |  | 8,102,061 |  | - |  | 8,102,061 |  | 8,029,218 |  | $(72,843)$ |
| 333 | Services |  | 1,195,053 |  | 5,834 |  | 1,200,887 |  | 1,627,156 |  | 426,270 |
| 334 | Meters |  | 2,008,781 |  | 9,547 |  | 2,018,329 |  | 2,060,800 |  | 42,471 |
| 335 | Hydrants |  | 635,764 |  | 3,500 |  | 639,264 |  | 637,105 |  | $(2,159)$ |
| 336 | Backflow Prevention Devices |  | - |  | 3,344 |  | 3,344 |  | - |  | $(3,344)$ |
| 339 | Other Plant and Misc. Equip. |  | 157,547 |  | - |  | 157,547 |  | 161,118 |  | 3,571 |
| 340 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  | 165,744 |  | - |  | 165,744 |  | 158,013 |  | $(7,731)$ |
| 340.1 | Computers and Software |  | 477,358 |  | - |  | 477,358 |  | 416,079 |  | $(61,279)$ |
| 341 | Transportation Equipment |  | 508,819 |  | - |  | 508,819 |  | 510,855 |  | 2,036 |
| 342 | Stores Equipment |  | - |  | 4,038 |  | 4,038 |  | - |  | $(4,038)$ |
| 343 | Tools and Work Equipment |  | 206,880 |  | - |  | 206,880 |  | 186,361 |  | $(20,519)$ |
| 344 | Laboratory Equipment |  | 2,818 |  | 2,422 |  | 5,239 |  | 2,900 |  | $(2,339)$ |
| 345 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 53,681 |  | - |  | 53,681 |  | 57,390 |  | 3,709 |
| 346 | Communications Equipment |  | 887,451 |  | - |  | 887,451 |  | 915,427 |  | 27,976 |
| 347 | Miscellaneous Equipment |  | 108,398 |  | - |  | 108,398 |  | 112,162 |  | 3,764 |
| 347.2 | Miscellaneous Equipment - CNG |  | 111,528 |  | - |  | 111,528 |  | 119,082 |  | 7,553 |
| 348 | Other Tangible Plant |  | 246,195 |  | - |  | 246,195 |  | 251,608 |  | 5,413 |
|  | TOTALS | \$ | 24,813,749 | \$ | 53,413 | \$ | 24,867,162 | \$ | 24,739,181 | \$ | $(127,981)$ |

SUPPORTING SCHEDULE
RECAP SCHEDULES:
B-2, pages 4.1
$B-2$, page 4
B-2, pages 3.4 through 3.14

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments <br> Adjustment 3

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 5
Witness: Bourassa

Contributions-in-Aid of Construction (CIAC) and Accumulated Amortization

Line
No.


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
est Year Ended April 30, 2023
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments
Contributions-in-aid of Construction and Amortization

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 5.1
Witness: Bourassa

Line

CIAC
Details:
Bella Vista
Northern Sunrise
Southern Sunrise Rate Case Adj - AIAC erro Rate Case Adj - AIAC erro CIAC in-progress (HUF)
Total

Amortization Decision
Amortization Rate
Amortization
Accumulated Amortization
Net CIAC

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Decision } \\ \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2014 \end{gathered}$ | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Additions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Additions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1,080,442 | 131,708 | 1,212,150 | 3,568,772 | 4,780,922 | 1,554,422 | 6,335,345 |
|  | 131,708 |  | 3,568,772 |  | 1,554,422 |  |
|  | \$ 131,708 |  | \$ 3,568,772 |  | \$ 1,554,422 |  |
| 337,990 |  | 3.48\% |  | 3.45\% |  | 3.54\% |
|  |  | 42,141 |  | 164,966 |  | 224,569 |
|  |  | 380,131 |  | 545,097 |  | 769,666 |
| 742,452 | 131,708 | 832,019 | 3,568,772 | 4,235,825 | 1,554,422 | 5,565,678 |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments
Contributions-in-aid of Construction and Amortization

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 5.2
Witness: Bourassa
$\stackrel{5}{\bar{\circ}}$

CIAC
Details:
Bella Vist
Northern Sunrise
Southern Sunrise
Rate Case Adj - AIAC error Rate Case Adj - AIAC erro CIAC in-progress (HUF)
Total

Amortization Decision
Amortization Rate
Amortization
Accumulated Amortization
Net CIAC


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments
Contributions-in-aid of Construction and Amortization

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 5.1
Witness: Bourassa

Line
$\frac{\mathrm{No}}{} \mathrm{H}$
2
3
4
4
5
6

## CIAC

Details:
Bella Vista
Northern Sunrise
Southern Sunrise
Rate Case Adj - AIAC error
Rate Case Adj - AIAC error CIAC in-progress (HUF)
Total

Amortization Decision
Amortization Rate
Amortization
Accumulated Amortization
Net CIAC

| 2022 |  | 2023 |  | PTY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2022 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Additions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 4 / 30 / 2023 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Additions | PTY |
|  | 8,930,091 | - | 8,930,091 | 1,110,757 | 10,040,848 |
| \$ |  | \$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr}  & 1,110,757 \\ \hline \$ 1,110,757 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 3.59\% |  | 1.17\% |  | 3.59\% |
|  | 320,956 |  | 104,284 |  | 360,878 |
|  | 1,367,502 |  | 1,471,787 |  | 1,832,664 |
|  | 7,562,589 | - | 7,458,304 | 1,110,757 | 8,208,183 |



Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Advances-in-Aid of Construction (AIAC)
Schedule B-2
Page 6.1
Witness: Bourassa

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Decision (1) } \\ \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2014 \end{gathered}$ | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Activity | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2015 \end{gathered}$ | Activity | Balance $12 / 31 / 2016$ | Activity | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2017 \end{gathered}$ | Activity | Balance $12 / 31 / 2018$ |
| 8,595,621 | - | 8,571,636 | - | 7,944,783 | - | 6,814,236 | - | 6,837,293 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 78,793 \\ (102,778) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,039,571 \\ (97,653) \\ (3,568,772) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 460,790 \\ (36,913) \\ (1,554,422) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 58,855 \\ (35,799) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 8,595,621 | $(23,985)$ | 8,571,636 | $(626,854)$ | 7,944,783 | (1,130,546) | 6,814,236 | 23,056 | 6,837,293 |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Advances-in-Aid of Construction (AIAC)

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 6.2
Witness: Bourassa

| 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Activity | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2020 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Activity | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2021 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Activity | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balance } \\ 12 / 31 / 2022 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| - | 5,997,258 | - | 4,635,508 | - | 4,358,382 | - | 4,342,794 |
| $\begin{gathered} 134,814 \\ (32,994) \\ (941,855) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (21,220) \\ (1,340,531) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 52,363 \\ (17,129) \\ (312,361) \end{gathered}$ |  | $(15,587)$ |  |
| $(840,034)$ | 5,997,258 | (1,361,751) | 4,635,508 | (277,126) | 4,358,382 | $(15,587)$ | 4,342,794 |

Exhibit
Page 6.3
Witness: Bourassa

| Line |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{\text { No. }}{1}$ |  |
| 2 |  |
| 3 |  |
| 4 |  |
| 5 | AIAC |
| 6 | Details |
| 7 |  |
| 8 | Additions |
| 9 | Refunds |
| 10 | AIAC to CIAC |
| 11 | Expired AIAC |
| 12 | RC True Up |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | Total AIAC |


| 2023 |  | 2024 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance |  | Balance |  |
| Additions | $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 2 0 2 3}$ | Additions | 4/30/2024 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $4,342,794$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



Footnotes - See page 7.1

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Adjustment 5

## Per adjusted book balances, land not included and corporate plant not included

IS per Schedule B-2, page 2
Land and Land Rights
Organizational and Franchise
Historical AFUDC Equity
$(695,704)$

Tota
Computation of Net Tax Value April 30, 2023
Tax Basis
Adjusted Cost at December 31, 2022 per federal and state tax depr. report
Reconciling Items not on tax report:
Land on Tax and not on included in adjusted plant balance
Plant adds from 1/1/2023 to 4/30/2023
PTY Plant
Acct 105/106-2022 Plant not Included on Tax as of 2022
Net Unadjusted Cost tax Basis at April 30,2023

## Reductions

Adjusted A/D at December 31, 2022 per federal and state tax depr. repo
Depreciation from1/1/2023 to 4/30/2023 on 2022 and prior plant
Depreciation from1/1/2023 to 4/30/2023 on 2023 plant
Plant Depreciation

Net Reductions through April 30, 2023
Net tax value of plant-in-service at April 30, 2023
${ }^{3}$ CIAC (including impact of change to probability of realization) Gross CIAC per adjusted book balances
IIAC reductions/addtions
A.A per adjusted book balances

Net CIAC before unrealized AIAC
Unrealized AIAC Componen
AIAC per adjusted book bant
Adjusted Net AIAC (see footnote 5 below)
Unrealized AIAC Component \% (1-Realized AIAC Component)

## Total realizable CIAC

${ }^{4}$ AIAC (including impact of change in probability of realization) AIAC per adjusted book balances
Less: Unrealized AIAC (from Note 3, above)
Subtotal
Meter and Service Line Installation Charges per adjusted book balances Total realizable AIAC

Exhibit
Schedule B-2
Page 7.1
Witness: Bourassa

| FEDERAL |  |  | STATE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24,575,149$$\begin{aligned} & 2,215,320 \\ & 1,030,501 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $32,521,962$-$2,215,320$$1,030,501$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ 35,767,783 |
|  |  | 27,820,970 |  |  |  |
| \$ $(17,358,092)$ $(225,997)$ $(66,410)$ |  |  | \$ $(20,922,033)$ <br> $(316,671)$ <br> $(66,410)$ |  |  |
|  |  | $(17,650,499)$ |  |  | (21,305,113) |
|  |  | 10,170,472 |  |  | 14,462,670 |

\$ $(1,832,664)$
$\$ 10,040,848$
$(1,832,664)$
8,208,183
\$ 4,342,794

| $70.0 \%$ |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ \quad 3,039,956$ |
| $\$$ | $11,248,139$ |

\$ 4,342,794
$\$ \quad(3,039,956)$
\$ 1,302,838
${ }^{5}$ See work papers
Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Exhibit Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Schedule B-2
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments Page 8Adjustment 6Witness: Bourassa
Excess Accumulated Deferred Income Tax EADIT
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\qquad \begin{array}{c}\text { Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. } \\
\text { Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 } \\
\text { Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments } \\
\text { Adjustment 6 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Exhibit } \\
\text { Schedule B-2 }\end{array}
$$ <br>
Page 8 <br>

Excess Accumulated Deferred Income Tax EADIT\end{array}\right]\)| Witness: Bourassa |
| :--- |

OPERATING EXPENSES
Salaries and Wages
Purchased Water
Purchased Power
Chemicals
Fuel for Power Production
Materials and Supplies
Contractual Services - Engineering
Contractual Services - Accounting
Contractual Services - Legal
Contractual Services - Management
Contractual Services - Testing
Contractual Services - Other
Rental of Building/Real Property
Rental of Equipmen
Transportation
Insurance - Vehicle
Insurance - General Liability
Advertising Expense
Water Conservation Expemse
Miscellaneous
Customer Deposit Interest
TAXES
General Taxes-Property ${ }^{1}$
General Taxes-Other
Income Tax ${ }^{1}$
OTHER
TOTAL

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended December 31, 2014 Cash Working Capital

Exhibit
Schedule B-5
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa
\$

| Cash |
| :---: |
| Working |
| Capital |
| Required |
| Col. B * Col. F |

(G)

| Revenue | Expense | Net |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lag (Lead) | Lag (Lead) | Lag (Lead) |
| Days | $\underline{\text { Days }}$ | Days Col. C-Col. D |


| Lead/Lag |
| :---: |
| Factor |
| Col. E/365 |

(F)

| 51.76 | 0.14180368 | $\$$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(7.55)$ | $(0.02068947)$ | - |
| 36.78 | 0.10076259 | $(24)$ |
| 52.45 | 0.14369409 | 4,800 |
| 51.76 | 0.14180368 | - |
| 11.73 | 0.03213245 | 1,999 |
| 14.54 | 0.03983108 | - |
| 14.54 | 0.03983108 | 1,722 |
| $(15.27)$ | $(0.04184015)$ | $(166)$ |
| 16.76 | 0.04591327 | 63,190 |
| 22.38 | 0.06131053 | 1,506 |
| 15.42 | 0.04224204 | 60,686 |
| 16.76 | 0.04591327 | 760 |
| 47.68 | 0.13062560 | 433 |
| $(3.94)$ | $(0.01079906)$ | $(1,164)$ |
| 234.26 | 0.64180368 | - |
| 234.26 | 0.64180368 | 56,883 |
| 26.11 | 0.07152971 | 480 |
| 47.98 | 0.13144752 | 9,497 |
| 18.53 | 0.05076259 | 7,501 |
| $(132.60)$ | $(0.36329221)$ | $(5,350)$ |


| $(162.20)$ | $(0.44438353)$ | $\$$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51.76 | 0.14180368 | $(106,184)$ |
| 14.76 | 0.04043382 | - |
|  | 12,466 |  |

12,466
Proforma
Test Year
Amount ${ }^{1}$
(B)
(C)
(D)
(E)

| 238,947 | 51.76 | 213.96 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

37.00
51.76
(0.44438353)
0.04043382
${ }^{1}$ At proposed rates.
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES
Work papers

## SCHEDULE C

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Income Statement

Exhibit
Schedule C-1
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa


| Test Year |
| :---: |
| Book |
| Results |

## Revenues

Water Revenues
Other Water Revenues
Total Operating Revenues
Operating Expenses
Salaries and Wages
Purchased Water
Purchased Power
Chemicals
Fuel for Power Production Materials and Supplies
Contractual Services - Engineering Contractual Services - Accounting
Contractual Services - Legal
Contractual Services - Management
Contractual Services - Testing
Contractual Services - Other
Rental of Building/Real Property
Rental of Equipment
Transportation Expense
Insurance - Vehicle
Insurance - General Liability
Advertising Expense
Reg. Commission Exp. - Rate Case
Reg. Commission Exp. - Other
Water Conservation Expemse
Bad Debt Expense
Miscellaneous
Depreciation \& Amortization
Taxes Other Than Income
Property Taxes
Income Tax
Customer Deposit Interest
Total Operating Expenses
Operating Income
Other Income (Expense)
Interest and Dividend Income
AFUDC Income
Miscellaneous Non-Utility Expenses
Interest Expense
Total Other Income (Expense) Net Profit (Loss)

| Adjustment |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule C-1 <br> Page 1 <br> Witness: Bourassa |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Test Year Adjusted Results |  | Proposed Rate Increase |  | Adjusted with Rate Increase |
| \$ | 287,688 | \$ | 5,797,712 | \$ | 1,748,942 | \$ | 7,546,654 |
|  | - |  | 89,657 |  |  |  | 89,657 |
| \$ | 287,688 | \$ | 5,887,369 | \$ | 1,748,942 | \$ | 7,636,311 |
|  | - | \$ | - |  | - | \$ | - |
|  | - |  | 1,154 |  | - |  | 1,154 |
|  | 1,933 |  | 682,796 |  | - |  | 682,796 |
|  | (21) |  | 33,369 |  | - |  | 33,369 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 62,220 |  | - |  | 62,220 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 43,224 |  | - |  | 43,224 |
|  | $(18,164)$ |  | 3,977 |  | - |  | 3,977 |
|  | 240,725 |  | 1,376,292 |  | - |  | 1,376,292 |
|  | - |  | 24,566 |  | - |  | 24,566 |
|  | $(9,681)$ |  | 1,436,620 |  | - |  | 1,436,620 |
|  | - |  | 16,551 |  | - |  | 16,551 |
|  | - |  | 3,313 |  | - |  | 3,313 |
|  | - |  | 107,791 |  | - |  | 107,791 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 88,630 |  | - |  | 88,630 |
|  | - |  | 6,705 |  | - |  | 6,705 |
|  | $(3,048)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 24,941 |  | 72,250 |  | - |  | 72,250 |
|  | 23,572 |  | 31,581 |  | 9,382 |  | 40,963 |
|  | 158 |  | 147,915 |  | - |  | 147,915 |
|  | 198,394 |  | 1,518,431 |  | - |  | 1,518,431 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | $(68,569)$ |  | 217,111 |  | 21,836 |  | 238,947 |
|  | $(133,014)$ |  | $(118,912)$ |  | 427,215 |  | 308,303 |
|  | - |  | 12,813 |  | - |  | 12,813 |
| \$ | 257,225 | \$ | 5,768,398 | \$ | 458,433 | \$ | 6,226,830 |
| \$ | 30,464 | \$ | 118,971 | \$ | 1,290,509 | \$ | 1,409,480 |
|  | - |  | 11,658 |  |  |  | 11,658 |
|  | - |  | 38,702 |  |  |  | 38,702 |
|  | - |  | $(45,731)$ |  |  |  | $(45,731)$ |
|  | $(478,174)$ |  | $(478,174)$ |  |  |  | $(478,174)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| \$ | $(478,174)$ | \$ | $(473,545)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(473,545)$ |
| \$ | $(447,711)$ | \$ | $(354,574)$ | \$ | 1,290,509 | \$ | 935,935 |

## SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:

C-1, page 2
E-2

RECAP SCHEDULES: A-1

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp

 dba Liberty Utilities Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Income StatementExhibit
Schedule C-1
Page 2.1
Witness: Bourassa

## Line

Water Revenues
Other Water Revenues

Revenues

## Total Operating Revenues

## Operating Expenses

Salaries and Wages
Purchased Water
Purchased Power
Chemicals
Fuel for Power Production
Materials and Supplies
Contractual Services - Engineering
Contractual Services - Accounting
Contractual Services - Legal
Contractual Services - Management
Contractual Services - Testing
Contractual Services - Other
Rental of Building/Real Property
Rental of Equipment
Transportation Expense
Insurance - Vehicle
Insurance-General Liability
Advertising Expense
Reg. Commission Exp. - Rate Case
Reg. Commission Exp. - Other
Water Conservation Expense
Bad Debt Expense
Miscellaneous
Depreciation \& Amortization
Taxes Other Than Income
Property Taxes
Income Tax
Customer Deposit Interest

## Total Operating Expenses

 Operating IncomeOther Income (Expense)
Interest and Dividend Income
AFUDC Income
Miscellaneous Non-Utility Expenses
Interest Expense

## Total Other Income (Expense) Net Profit (Loss)


\$ 1,15 680,86

62,220
43,224
43,224
135,567
24,566
1,446,301
16,551
3,313
107,791
88,630
6,705
$3,048 \quad(3,048)$

47,309
8,010 23,572
47,757
147,757

198,394
285,680 $(68,569)$
14,102 12,813

| $\$$ | $5,511,173$ | $\$$ | 198,394 | $\$$ | $(68,569)$ | $\$$ | $(3,048)$ | $\$$ | 2,429 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 88,508 | $\$$ | $(198,394)$ | $\$$ | 68,569 | $\$$ | 3,048 | $\$$ | 14,487 | $\$$ | 214,773 | $\$$ | 56,000 | $\$$ |

11,658
38,702
$(45,731)$

| $\$$ | 4,629 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: } \\
& \hline \text { C-2 } \\
& \text { E-2 }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

 dba Liberty UtilitiesTest Year Ended April 30, 2023 Income Statement

Exhibit
Schedule C-1
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Witness: Bourassa

## Line

## Revenues

Water Revenues
Other Water Revenues

## Total Operating Revenues

## Operating Expenses

Salaries and Wages
Purchased Water
Purchased Power
Chemicals
Fuel for Power Production
Materials and Supplies
Contractual Services - Engineering
Contractual Services - Accounting
Contractual Services - Legal
Contractual Services - Management
Contractual Services - Testing
Contractual Services - Other
Rental of Building/Real Property
Rental of Equipment
Transportation Expense
Insurance - Vehicle
Insurance-General Liability
Advertising Expense
Reg. Commission Exp. - Rate Case
Reg. Commission Exp. - Other
Water Conservation Expense
Bad Debt Expense
Miscellaneous
Depreciation \& Amortization
Taxes Other Than Income
Property Taxes
Income Tax
Customer Deposit Interest

## Total Operating Expenses

## Operating Income

Other Income (Expense)
Interest and Dividend Income
AFUDC Income
Miscellaneous Non-Utility Expenses
Interest Expense

## Total Other Income (Expense)

 Net Profit (Loss)

RECAP SCHEDULES:
C-1, page 1

|  |  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses |  |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule C-2 <br> Page 1 <br> Witness: Bourassa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. |  | 1 | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | 4 | 5 | $\underline{6}$ | Subtotal |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | Tax Reform | Revenue |  |
| 2 |  |  | Property | Rate | Revenue | Credit | Accrual |  |
| 3 |  | Depreciation | Taxes | Case Expense | Annualization | Reversal | Correction |  |
| 4 | Revenues | - | - | - | 16,916 | 214,773 | 56,000 | 287,688 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Expenses | 198,394 | $(68,569)$ | $(3,048)$ | 2,429 | - | - | 129,205 |
| 7 - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Income | $(198,394)$ | 68,569 | 3,048 | 14,487 | 214,773 | 56,000 | 158,483 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 13 | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Income / |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 15 | Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Net Income | $(198,394)$ | 68,569 | 3,048 | 14,487 | 214,773 | 56,000 | 158,483 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  | Adjustme | nts to Revenues and | Expenses |  |  |  |
| 21 |  | 7 | 8 | $\underline{9}$ | 10 | 11 | 12 | Subtotal |
| 22 |  | Bad | Customer First | Cyber Security |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | Debt | Operating | Operating | Conservation | Other | Labor |  |
| 24 |  | Expense | Expense | Expense | Programs | Expense | Adjustment |  |
| 25 | Revenues | - | - | - | - | - | - | 287,688 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Expenses | 23,572 | 128,743 | 37,625 | 24,941 | $(28,205)$ | 74,358 | 390,238 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Income | $(23,572)$ | $(128,743)$ | $(37,625)$ | $(24,941)$ | 28,205 | $(74,358)$ | $(102,550)$ |
| 31 ( 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Expense | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 34 | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | Income / |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 36 | Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | Net Income | $(23,572)$ | $(128,743)$ | $(37,625)$ | $(24,941)$ | 28,205 | $(74,358)$ | $(102,550)$ |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  | Total |
| 43 |  | Intentionally | Intentionally |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  | Left | Left | Interest | Income |  |  |  |
| 45 |  | Blank | Blank | Synch. | Taxes |  |  |  |
| 46 | Revenues | - - | - | - | - |  |  | 287,688 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Expenses | - | - | - | $(133,014)$ |  |  | 257,225 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | Income | - | - | - | 133,014 | - | - | 30,464 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | Expense |  |  | $(478,174)$ |  |  |  | $(478,174)$ |
| 55 | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Income / |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 57 | Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | Net Income | - | - | $(478,174)$ | 133,014 | - | - | $(447,711)$ |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses
Adjustment Number 1

Exhibit
Schedule C-2
Page 2
Witness: Bourassa

## Depreciation Expense

| Line No. | Acct. No. | Description |  | Adjusted <br> Original Cost |  | Non-Depr. and Fully Depr. Plant |  | Depreciable Original Cost | $\frac{\text { Proposed }}{\text { Rates }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reciation } \\ & \text { xpense } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 106 | Construction Completed Not Classified | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | - | 0.00\% | \$ |  |
| 2 | 301 | Organization Cost |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 2 | 302 | Franchise Cost |  | 171,487 |  | $(171,487)$ |  | - | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 3 | 303 | Land and Land Rights |  | 695,704 |  | $(695,704)$ |  | - | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 4 | 304 | Structures and Improvements |  | 4,253,358 |  |  |  | 4,253,358 | 3.33\% |  | 141,637 |
| 5 | 305 | Collecting and Impounding Res. |  | 46,813 |  |  |  | 46,813 | 2.50\% |  | 1,170 |
| 6 | 306 | Lake River and Other Intakes |  | - |  |  |  | - | 2.50\% |  | - |
| 7 | 307 | Wells and Springs |  | 1,774,962 |  |  |  | 1,774,962 | 3.33\% |  | 59,106 |
| 8 | 308 | Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels |  | - |  |  |  | - | 6.67\% |  | - |
| 9 | 309 | Supply Mains |  | 421,529 |  |  |  | 421,529 | 2.00\% |  | 8,431 |
| 10 | 310 | Power Generation Equipment |  | 330,135 |  |  |  | 330,135 | 5.00\% |  | 16,507 |
| 11 | 311 | Electric Pumping Equipment |  | 5,548,739 |  | $(2,871,970)$ |  | 2,676,769 | 12.50\% |  | 334,596 |
| 12 | 320 | Water Treatment Equipment |  | - |  |  |  | - | 3.33\% |  | - |
| 13 | 320.1 | Water Treatment Plant |  | 89,253 |  |  |  | 89,253 | 3.33\% |  | 2,972 |
| 14 | 320.2 | Chemical Solution Feeders |  | 165,725 |  | $(51,307)$ |  | 114,418 | 20.00\% |  | 22,884 |
| 15 | 330 | Dist. Reservoirs \& Standpipe |  | 4,400 |  |  |  | 4,400 | 2.22\% |  | 98 |
| 16 | 330.1 | Storage tanks |  | 3,634,403 |  |  |  | 3,634,403 | 2.22\% |  | 80,684 |
| 17 | 330.2 | Pressure Tanks |  | 523,660 |  |  |  | 523,660 | 5.00\% |  | 26,183 |
| 18 | 331 | Trans. and Dist. Mains |  | 19,350,661 |  |  |  | 19,350,661 | 2.00\% |  | 387,013 |
| 19 | 333 | Services |  | 4,045,997 |  |  |  | 4,045,997 | 3.33\% |  | 134,732 |
| 20 | 334 | Meters |  | 3,793,511 |  | $(910,301)$ |  | 2,883,210 | 8.33\% |  | 240,171 |
| 21 | 335 | Hydrants |  | 1,754,371 |  |  |  | 1,754,371 | 2.00\% |  | 35,087 |
| 22 | 336 | Backflow Prevention Devices |  | - |  |  |  | - | 6.67\% |  | - |
| 23 | 339 | Other Plant and Misc. Equip. |  | 189,281 |  |  |  | 189,281 | 6.67\% |  | 12,625 |
| 24 | 340 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  | 185,279 |  | $(135,155)$ |  | 50,123 | 6.67\% |  | 3,343 |
| 25 | 340.1 | Computers and Software |  | 459,182 |  | $(198,008)$ |  | 261,174 | 20.00\% |  | 52,235 |
| 26 | 341 | Transportation Equipment |  | 692,552 |  | $(490,666)$ |  | 201,886 | 20.00\% |  | 40,377 |
| 27 | 342 | Stores Equipment |  | - |  |  |  | - | 4.00\% |  | - |
| 28 | 343 | Tools and Work Equipment |  | 604,834 |  | $(9,411)$ |  | 595,422 | 5.00\% |  | 29,771 |
| 29 | 344 | Laboratory Equipment |  | 3,285 |  |  |  | 3,285 | 10.00\% |  | 329 |
| 30 | 345 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 101,350 |  |  |  | 101,350 | 5.00\% |  | 5,068 |
| 31 | 346 | Communications Equipment |  | 1,158,286 |  | $(409,895)$ |  | 748,390 | 10.00\% |  | 74,839 |
| 32 | 347 | Miscellaneous Equipment |  | 112,389 |  | $(111,235)$ |  | 1,154 | 10.00\% |  | 115 |
| 33 | 347 | Miscellaneous Equipment -CNG |  | 456,709 |  |  |  | 456,709 | 3.33\% |  | 15,208 |
| 34 | 348 | Other Tangible Plant |  | 264,358 |  | $(155,378)$ |  | 108,980 | 10.00\% |  | 10,898 |
| 35 |  | SUBTOTAL | \$ | 50,832,211 | \$ | \$ (6,210,518) | \$ | 44,621,693 |  | \$ | 1,736,079 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | 12,972 | \$ | \$ $(12,972)$ | \$ | - | 0.00\% | \$ | - |
| 39 | 904 | Structures and Improvments |  | 428,987 |  |  |  | 428,987 | 2.56\% |  | 10,982 |
| 40 | 940 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  | 58,688 |  |  |  | 58,688 | 6.67\% |  | 3,914 |
| 41 | 940.1 | Computers and Software |  | 160,404 |  |  |  | 160,404 | 20.00\% |  | 32,081 |
| 42 | 940.2 | Customer First |  | 2,812,488 |  |  |  | 2,812,488 | 5.00\% |  | 140,624 |
| 43 | 955 | Power Generation |  | 315 |  |  |  | 315 | 5.00\% |  | 16 |
| 44 | 995 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 52,123 |  |  |  | 52,123 | 5.00\% |  | 2,606 |
| 45 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 46 |  | TOTALS | \$ | 54,358,188 |  | \$ (6,223,490) | \$ | 48,134,698 |  | \$ | 1,926,302 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gross | Amort. Rate |  |  |
| 49 | Less: Am | mortization of Contributions |  |  |  |  | \$ | 10,040,848 | 3.8907\% | \$ | $(390,655)$ |
| 50 |  | ortization of CIAC Gross-up |  |  |  |  |  | - | 3.8907\% |  | - |
| 51 |  | ortization of EADIT |  |  |  |  |  | 375,888 | 4.5800\% |  | $(17,216)$ |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(407,871)$ |
| 54 | Total De | preciation Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,518,431 |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Adjusted Test Year Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,320,038 |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | Increase (decrease) in Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 198,394 |
| 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | Adjustment to Revenues and/or Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 198,394 |

[^54]B-2, page 3

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses
Adjustment Number 2

## Property Taxes

| Line No. | DESCRIPTION | Test Year as adjusted |  | Company Recommended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Company Adjusted Test Year Revenues | \$ | 5,887,369 | \$ | 5,887,369 |
| 2 | Weight Factor |  | 2 |  |  |
| 3 | Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2) |  | 11,774,738 |  | 11,774,738 |
| 4 | Company Recommended Revenue |  | 5,887,369 |  | 7,636,311 |
| 5 | Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5) |  | 17,662,107 |  | 19,411,049 |
| 6 | Number of Years |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| 7 | Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6) |  | 5,887,369 |  | 6,470,350 |
| 8 | Department of Revenue Mutilplier |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 9 | Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8) |  | 11,774,738 |  | 12,940,699 |
| 10 | Plus: 10\% of CWIP (intentionally excluded) |  | - |  | - |
| 11 | Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles |  | 181,697 |  | 181,697 |
| 12 | Full Cash Value (Line $9+$ Line 10 - Line 11) |  | 11,593,041 |  | 12,759,002 |
| 13 | Assessment Ratio |  | 17.0\% |  | 17.0\% |
| 14 | Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13) |  | 1,970,817 |  | 2,169,030 |
| 15 | Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR |  | 11.0163\% |  | 11.0163\% |
| 16 | Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15) | \$ | 217,111 | \$ | 238,947 |
| 17 | Tax on Parcels |  | - |  | - |
| 18 | Total Property Taxes (Line 16 + Line 17) | \$ | 217,111 |  |  |
| 19 | Test Year Property Taxes | \$ | 285,680 |  |  |
| 20 | Adjustment to Test Year Property Taxes (Line 18 - Line 19) | \$ | $\underline{(68,569)}$ |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Property Tax on Company Recommended Revenue (Line 16 + Line 17) |  |  | \$ | 238,947 |
| 23 | Company Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 18) |  |  | \$ | 217,111 |
| 24 | Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement |  |  | \$ | 21,836 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 24) |  |  | \$ | 21,836 |
| 27 | Increase in Revenue Requirement |  |  | \$ | 1,748,942 |
| 28 | Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 26 / Line 27) |  |  |  | 1.24851\% |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ExhibitTest Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses
Adjustment Number 3
Rate Case Expense\$
Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense ..... \$ ..... $(3,048)$$(3,048)$
Estimated Rate Case Expense ..... \$ 311,241
Estimated Amortization Period in Years ..... 3
Annual Rate Case Expense ..... \$ ..... 103,747
YE number of customers (excluding private fire) ..... 10,549
Monthly Surcharge ..... \$ ..... 0.82
Schedule C-2
Page 4
Witness: Bourassa
Line
No.12 Remove TY Rate Case expense3
Proposed Rate Case Expense Surcharge
Reference
Testimony

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses
Adjustment Number 4

Exhibit
Schedule C-2
Page 5
Witness: Bourassa
Revenue Annualization
LineNo.
Revenue Annualization ..... \$ 16,916
Total Revenue from AnnualizationPurchased Power Expense
680,863Gallons Sold During Test Year (in 1,000s) 1,056,432Cost per 1,000 gallons\$ 0.6445
Additional Gallons Sold from Annualization (in 1,000s) ..... 3,359
Increase (decrease) in Purchased Power

| $\$ \quad 2,165$ |
| :--- | :--- |

TY Chemicals Expense ..... 33,390
Gallons Sold During Test Year (in 1,000s) ..... 1,056,432
Cost per 1,000 gallons ..... \$ ..... 0.0316
Additional Gallons Sold from Annualization (in 1,000s) ..... 3,359
Increase (decrease) in Chemicals Expense
Additional billings from annualization ..... 239
Postage rate ..... \$ ..... 0.66

Increase (decrease) in Miscellaneous Expense ..... | $\$ \quad 158$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense ..... 14,487
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES
Work papersH-1

# Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Exhibit <br> <br> Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses 

 <br> <br> Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses}

## Tax Reform Credit

LineNo.

Reverse Tax Reform Credit \$ 214,773

Adjustment to Revenues
\$ 214,773

# Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 6 <br> Exhibit Schedule C-2 Page 7 Witness: Bourassa <br> <br> Revenue Accrual Correction 

 <br> <br> Revenue Accrual Correction}

Adjustment to Revenues

Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense
\$

| $\$ \quad 56,000$ |
| :--- |

Reference
Testimony
H-1

Line

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses
Adjustment Number 7

Exhibit

## Schedule C-2

Page 8
Witness: Bourassa

## Bad Debt Expense


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ExhibitTest Year Ended April 30, 2023
Corporate Customer First Operating Expense
Line
No.

## Line

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Exhibit
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses
Schedule C-2
Page 10
Adjustment Number 9
Witness: Bourassa

| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule C-2 |
| Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses | Page 10 |
| Adjustment Number 9 | Witness: Bourassa |Cyber Security Operating Expense

Cyber Security Operating Expense
Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Exhibit Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Schedule C-2
Page 11
Adjustment Number 10
Witness: Bourassa
Conservation Programs

## Line

No.

Corporate Allocation Adjustment

Increase (decrease) in Water Conservation Expense

Reference

## Testimony

 Work Papers| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule C-2 |
| Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses | Page 12 |
| Adjustment Number 11 | Witness: Bourassa |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment Number 11

Exhibit Page 12 Witness: Bourassa

## Other Expense Adjustments

LineNo.2
3 Increase (decrease) in Purchased Power ..... \$(231)
Increase (decrease) in Chemicals ..... (128)
Increase (decrease) in Materials \& Supplies
Increase (decrease) in Contractual Services - Legal$(18,164)$
Increase (decrease) in Contractual Services - Other ..... $(9,681)$
Increase (decrease) in Equipment Rental
Increase (decrease) in Transportation ExpenseIncrease (decrease) in Advertising ExpenseIncrease (decrease) in Miscellaneous ExpenseTotal\$ $\quad(28,205)$
Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense ..... \$ ..... $(28,205)$
Corporate Allocation Adjustment

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses
Adjustment Number 12

## Labor Expense Adjustments

## Line

No.
e
Corporate Allocation Adjustment

Increase (decrease) in Contractual Services - Management 74,358
Increase (decrease) in Contractual Services - Other

Total

Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense $\quad \$ 44,358$

Exhibit Schedule C-2
Page 13
Witness: Bourassa

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 13

## Exhibit

Schedule C-2
Page 14
Witness: Bourassa

## Interest Synchronization

## Line

No.

Fair Value Rate Base \$ 15,750,144
Weighted Cost of Debt $\quad 3.04 \%$ Interest Expense \$ \$ 478,174

Test Year Interest Expense $\qquad$
Increase (decrease) in Interest Expense 478,174

Adjustment to Revenue and/or Expense
$\$(478,174)$

Weighted Cost of Debt Computation

|  |  |  | Weighted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Cost | Cost |
| Debt | 46.00\% | 6.60\% | 3.04\% |
| Equity | 54.00\% | 10.95\% | 5.91\% |
| Total | 100.00\% |  | 8.95\% |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Adjustment to Revenues and/or Expenses <br> Adjustment Number 14 | Exhibit <br> Schedule C-2 <br> Page 15 <br> Witness: Bourassa |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year <br> t Rates |  | ear d Rates |
| Computed Income Tax | \$ | $(118,912)$ | \$ | 308,303 |
| Test Year Income tax Expense |  | - |  | $(118,912)$ |
| Adjustment to Income Tax Expense | \$ | $(118,912)$ | \$ | 427,215 |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023

## Computation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor

| Line |  | Percentage of Incremental Gross |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Description | Revenues |
| 1 | Combined Federal and State Effective Income Tax Rate | 24.871\% |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 | Uncollectible Factor | 0.403\% |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 | Property Tax Factor | 0.938\% |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 | Total Tax Percentage | 26.212\% |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 | Operating Income \% = 100\% - Tax Percentage | 73.788\% |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 | 1 = Gross Revenue Conversion Factor |  |
| 16 | Operating Income \% | 1.3552 |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |
| 27 | SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: | RECAP SCHEDULES: |
| 28 | $\mathrm{C}-3$, page 2 | A-1 |

## Exhibit

 Schedule C-3 Page 1 Witness: BourassaDescription
Combined Federal and State Effective Income Tax Rate

Uncollectible Factor 0.403\%
Property Tax Factor 0.938\%

Total Tax Percentage $\quad 26.212 \%$
Operating Income $\%=100 \%$ - Tax Percentage

RECAP SCHEDULES:
C-3, page 2

## GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

| Line |  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | [E] | [F] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Description |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Revenue | 100.0000\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) | 0.4030\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Revenues (L1-L2) | 99.5970\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) | 25.8090\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Subtotal (L3-L4) | 73.7880\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5) | 1.355234 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calculation of Uncollectible Factor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Unity | 100.0000\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (L17) | 24.8710\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7-L8) | 75.1290\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Uncollectible Rate | 0.5364\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10) |  | 0.4030\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) | 100.0000\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Arizona State Income Tax Rate | 4.9000\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Federal Taxable Income (L12-L13) | 95.1000\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (L55 Col F) | 21.0000\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 $\times$ L15) | 19.9710\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16) |  | 24.8710\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Unity | 100.0000\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) | 24.8710\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) | 75.1290\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Property Tax Factor | 1.2485\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) |  | 0.9380\% |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22) |  |  | 25.8090\% |  |  |  |

```
Required Operating Income (from A-1)
AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (from A-1)
Required Increase in Operating Income (L24-L25)
Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52)
Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52)
Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27-L28)
Recommended Revenue Requirement
Uncollectible Rate (Line 10)
Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25)
Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense
Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp
Property Tax with Recommended Revenue
Property Tax on Test Year Revenue
Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)
Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)
```


## Calculation of Income Tax.

39 Revenue
0 Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes
Synchronized Interest (L54)
Arizona Taxable Income (L39-L40-L41)
3 Arizona State Effective Income Tax Rate
44 Arizona Income Tax (L42 x L43)
5 Federal Taxable Income (L42- L44)
Federal Income Tax Rate
47 Federal Income Tax (L45xL46)
Federal Income Tax (L45xL46)
Combined Federal and State Income Tax ( $44+147)$

| \$ | 1,409,480 | \$ | 1,290,509 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 118,971 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 308,303 |  |  |
| \$ | $(118,912)$ |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | 427,215 |


| $\$$ | $7,636,311$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $0.5364 \%$ |  |
|  | 40,963 |  |
| $\$$ | 31,581 |  |

\$ 238,947
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ \quad 217,111 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array}$
\$ 1,748,942

COMBINED Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [D], L47-Col. [A], L47 / [Col. [D], L45 - Col. [A], L45]
WASTEWATER Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [E], L47-Col. [B], L47] / [Col. [E], L45-Col. [B], L45]

| (A) | (B) | (C) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Test Year |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Water |  |
| $\$$ | $5,887,369$ |  | $5,887,369$ |  |
|  | $5,887,310$ |  |  | $5,887,310$ |
|  | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  |
| $\$$ | $(478,115)$ |  | $(478,115)$ |  |
|  | $4.9000 \%$ |  |  | $4.9000 \%$ |
| $\$$ | $(23,428)$ |  | $\$$ | $(23,428)$ |
|  | $(454,687)$ |  | $\$$ | $(454,687)$ |
|  | $21.00 \%$ |  |  | $21.00 \%$ |
|  | $(95,484)$ |  | $\$$ | $(91,484)$ |
| $\$$ | $(118,912)$ |  | $\$$ | $(118,912)$ |



WATER Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [F], L47-Col. [C], L47] / [Col. [F], L45 - Col. [C], L45]

```
Revenue
Uncollecible Factor (Line 11)
Revenues (L1 - L2)
Subtotal (L3-L4)
RevenueConversion Factor(L1/L5)
Unity (
Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (L17)
One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8)
Calculation of Effective Tax Rate
Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income)
Arizona State Income Tax Rate
Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13)
Applicable Federal Iome Tax Rate (L55 Col F)
Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)
Onty (H)
Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17)
One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19)
Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21)
Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)
```

    00.0000\%
    | \$ | 21,836 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | $1,748,942$ |

```
```

Calculation of Interest Synchronization:

```
```

Calculation of Interest Synchronization:
Rate Base
Rate Base
W Weighted Average Cost of Debt
W Weighted Average Cost of Debt
5 4 Synchronized Interest (L59 X L60)

```
```

5 4 Synchronized Interest (L59 X L60)

```
```

|  | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | $15,750,144$ |  |
|  |  | $3.0360 \%$ |
|  | $\$$ | 478,174 |

## SCHEDULE D

| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule D-1 |
| Summary of Cost of Capital | Page 1 |
| Consolidated Capital Structure of Water and Wastewater Division | Witness: Bourassa |


|  |  | Adjusted End of Test Year |  |  |  | Projected Capital Structure |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line No. | Item of Capital | Dollar Amount | Percent of Total | Cost <br> Rate | Weighted Cost | Dollar <br> Amount | Percent of Total | Cost Rate | Weighted Cost |
| 1 | Long-Term Debt | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7,066,160 | 46.00\% | 6.60\% | 3.04\% |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Stockholder's Equity | 10,177,273 | 100.00\% | 10.95\% | 10.95\% | 8,295,058 | 54.00\% | 10.95\% | 5.91\% |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Totals | 10,177,273 | 100.00\% |  | 10.95\% | 15,361,218 | $\underline{ }$ |  | 8.95\% |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: |  |  |  |  | RECAP SCHEDUL |  |  |  |
| 23 | D-3 |  |  |  |  | A-3 |  |  |  |
| 24 | D-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | E-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Testimony |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Cost of Long Term Debt


RECAP SCHEDULES:
D-1

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

 Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Cost of Preferred StockExhibit
Schedule D-3 Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Cost of Preferred Stock | Exhibit <br> Schedule D-3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Page 1 |  |  |

Line
No.

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

 Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Cost of Common Equity
## Exhibit

 Schedule D-4Page 1

Line
The Company is proposing a cost of common equity of ..... 10.95\%
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: RECAP SCHEDULES:D-4. 1 to D-4. 16

## SCHEDULE E

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Comparative Balance Sheets

Exhibit
Schedule E-1
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa


## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Comparative Income Statements

Line

|  |  | Test <br> Year <br> Ended /30/2023 |  | Prior <br> Year <br> Ended $4 / 30 / 2022$ |  | Prior <br> Year <br> Ended <br> 30/2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metered Water Revenue | \$ | 5,510,024 | \$ | 5,711,921 | \$ | 5,994,284 |
| Other Water Revenue |  | 89,657 |  | 74,110 |  | 56,606 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 5,599,680 | \$ | 5,786,032 | \$ | 6,050,889 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and Wages | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Purchased Water |  | 1,154 |  | 1,204 |  | 1,025 |
| Purchased Power |  | 680,863 |  | 588,595 |  | 553,997 |
| Chemicals |  | 33,390 |  | 9,152 |  | 5,718 |
| Fuel for Power Production |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Materials and Supplies |  | 62,220 |  | 33,168 |  | 69,932 |
| Contractual Services - Engineering |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Contractual Services - Accounting |  | 43,224 |  | 28,492 |  | 24,666 |
| Contractual Services - Legal |  | 22,142 |  | 8,594 |  | 9,943 |
| Contractual Services - Management |  | 1,135,567 |  | 1,060,488 |  | 1,052,332 |
| Contractual Services - Testing |  | 24,566 |  | 13,927 |  | 15,756 |
| Contractual Services - Other |  | 1,446,301 |  | 1,298,224 |  | 1,189,765 |
| Rental of Building/Real Property |  | 16,551 |  | 5,429 |  | 34,295 |
| Rental of Equipment |  | 3,313 |  | 6,006 |  | 5,629 |
| Transportation Expense |  | 107,791 |  | 71,080 |  | 55,952 |
| Insurance - Vehicle |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Insurance - General Liability |  | 88,630 |  | 57,782 |  | 38,095 |
| Advertising Expense |  | 6,705 |  | 6,749 |  | 4,043 |
| Reg. Commission Exp. - Rate Case |  | 3,048 |  | 21,341 |  | 36,584 |
| Reg. Commission Exp. - Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Water Conservation Expense |  | 47,309 |  | 12,670 |  | 2,349 |
| Bad Debt Expense |  | 8,010 |  | 39,127 |  | 47,803 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 147,757 |  | 163,992 |  | 114,754 |
| Depreciation \& Amortization |  | 1,320,038 |  | 1,268,040 |  | 1,212,273 |
| Taxes Other Than Income |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Property Taxes |  | 285,680 |  | 240,769 |  | 231,055 |
| Income Tax |  | 14,102 |  | 206,045 |  | 236,620 |
| Customer Deposit Interest |  | 12,813 |  | 10,952 |  | 7,458 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$ | 5,511,173 | \$ | 5,151,826 | \$ | 4,950,045 |
| Operating Income | \$ | 88,508 | \$ | 634,206 | \$ | 1,100,844 |
| Other Income (Expense) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and Dividend Income |  | 11,658 |  | 12 |  | 51 |
| AFUDC Income |  | 38,702 |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous Non-Utility Expenses |  | $(45,731)$ |  | $(26,822)$ |  | $(36,273)$ |
| Interest Expense |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Other Income (Expense) | \$ | 4,629 | \$ | $(26,811)$ | \$ | $(36,223)$ |
| Net Profit (Loss) | \$ | 93,137 | \$ | 607,395 | \$ | 1,064,622 |
| SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: |  |  | $\frac{\text { RECAP SCHEDULES: }}{\text { A-2 }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Comparative Statements of Cash Flows

Exhibit
Schedule E-3
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

Line
$\frac{\text { No. }}{1}$


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Statement of Changes in Stockholder's Equity

Exhibit
Schedule E-4
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

Line
No.

5 Addnl Paid In Capital Adjustment
Distributions
Rounding
Net Income
Balance, April 30, 2021
Addnl Paid In Capital Adjustment
Distributions
Rounding
Net Income
Balance, April 30, 2022
Addnl Paid In Capital Adjustment Distributions
Rounding
Net Income
Balance, April 30, 2023
\$ 1,898,028 \$ 8,279,245 \$ 10,177,272

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Detail of Plant in Service

Exhibit
Schedule E-5
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Operating Statistics

Exhibit
Schedule E-7
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

|  | Test <br> Year <br> Ended | Prior <br> Year <br> Ended <br> $4 / 30 / 2023$ | Prior <br> Year <br> Ended |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WATER STATISTICS: |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Taxes Charged to Operations

Exhibit
Schedule E-8
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

Line
No.
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 40

|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Taxes Charged to Operations |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule E-8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Page 1 |  |  |  |
| Witness: Bourass |  |  |  |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule E-9 |
| Notes To Financial Statements | Page 1 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |

Line No.

The Company does not conduct independent audits, reviews and/or compilations. Accordingly, there are no notes which are typically associated with these financial statements. Management makes the following notations to the finanical statements contained herein:

Significant Accounting Policies - The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the accounting records of the are are maintained in accordance with the uniform system of accounts as prescribed by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (USOA 1996). Significant accounting policies are as follows:

Utility Plant - Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation provided on a straight-line basis.

Depreciation rates for asset classes of utility property, plant and equipment are established by the Commission. The cost of additions, including betterments and replacements of units of utility fixed assets are charged to utility property, plant and equipment. When units of utility property are replaced, renewed or retired, their cost plus removal or disposal costs, less salvage proceeds, is charged to accumulated depreciation.

Revenue Recognition - Revenues are recognized on the accrual method. Under this method, revenue is recognized when earned rather than when collected, and expenses are recognized when incurred rathet than when paid.

Contributions in Aid of Construction - Contributions in aid of construction (CIAC) are nonrefundable contributions by developers and customers for plant expansion. In addition, this amount includes the remaining balance, if any, of advances in aid of construction at the end of the repayment period. The contributions in aid of construction are being amortized at a rate equal to the rate allowed for depreciation, as a reduction of depreciation expense

Advances in Aid of Construction - Customer advances for construction are subject to refund in accordance with agreements approved by the Arizona Corporation Commission. Agreements provide for refunds which are typically equal to 10 percent of annual water revenue generated from the expansion. The repayments are for a maximum agreed upon period or until repaid in full. Any balance remaining at the end of the agreed-upon period for repayment becomes a contribution in aid of construction.

## SCHEDULE F

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Projected Income Statements - Present \& Proposed Rates

Exhibit
Schedule F-1
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

| Line No. |  | Test Year <br> Actual <br> Results |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { At Present } \\ \text { Rates } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 4 / 30 / 2024 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | At Proposed Rates Year Ended 4/30/2024 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Water Revenues | \$ | 5,510,024 | \$ | 5,797,712 | \$ | 7,546,654 |
| 3 | Other Water Revenues |  | 89,657 |  | 89,657 |  | 89,657 |
| 4 | Total Revenues | \$ | 5,599,680 | \$ | 5,887,369 | \$ | 7,636,311 |
| 5 | Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Salaries and Wages | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 7 | Purchased Water |  | 1,154 |  | 1,154 |  | 1,154 |
| 8 | Purchased Power |  | 680,863 |  | 682,796 |  | 682,796 |
| 9 | Chemicals |  | 33,390 |  | 33,369 |  | 33,369 |
| 10 | Fuel for Power Production |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 11 | Materials and Supplies |  | 62,220 |  | 62,220 |  | 62,220 |
| 12 | Contractual Services - Engineering |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 13 | Contractual Services - Accounting |  | 43,224 |  | 43,224 |  | 43,224 |
| 14 | Contractual Services - Legal |  | 22,142 |  | 3,977 |  | 3,977 |
| 15 | Contractual Services - Management |  | 1,135,567 |  | 1,376,292 |  | 1,376,292 |
| 16 | Contractual Services - Testing |  | 24,566 |  | 24,566 |  | 24,566 |
| 17 | Contractual Services - Other |  | 1,446,301 |  | 1,436,620 |  | 1,436,620 |
| 18 | Rental of Building/Real Property |  | 16,551 |  | 16,551 |  | 16,551 |
| 19 | Rental of Equipment |  | 3,313 |  | 3,313 |  | 3,313 |
| 20 | Transportation Expense |  | 107,791 |  | 107,791 |  | 107,791 |
| 21 | Insurance - Vehicle |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 22 | Insurance - General Liability |  | 88,630 |  | 88,630 |  | 88,630 |
| 23 | Advertising Expense |  | 6,705 |  | 6,705 |  | 6,705 |
| 24 | Reg. Commission Exp. - Rate Case |  | 3,048 |  | - |  | - |
| 25 | Reg. Commission Exp. - Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 26 | Water Conservation Expemse |  | 47,309 |  | 72,250 |  | 72,250 |
| 27 | Bad Debt Expense |  | 8,010 |  | 31,581 |  | 40,963 |
| 28 | Miscellaneous |  | 147,757 |  | 147,915 |  | 147,915 |
| 29 | Depreciation \& Amortization |  | 1,320,038 |  | 1,518,431 |  | 1,518,431 |
| 30 | Taxes Other Than Income |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 31 | Property Taxes |  | 285,680 |  | 217,111 |  | 238,947 |
| 32 | Income Tax |  | 14,102 |  | $(118,912)$ |  | 308,303 |
| 33 | Customer Deposit Interest |  | 12,813 |  | 12,813 |  | 12,813 |
| 34 | Total Operating Expenses | \$ | 5,511,173 | \$ | 5,768,398 | \$ | 6,226,830 |
| 35 | Operating Income | \$ | 88,508 | \$ | 118,971 | \$ | 1,409,480 |
| 36 | Other Income (Expense) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Interest Income |  | 11,658 |  | 11,658 |  | 11,658 |
| 38 | Other income |  | 38,702 |  | 38,702 |  | 38,702 |
| 39 | Interest Expense |  | $(45,731)$ |  | $(45,731)$ |  | $(45,731)$ |
| 40 | Other Expense |  | - |  | $(478,174)$ |  | $(478,174)$ |
| 41 | Gain/Loss Sale of Fixed Assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 42 | Total Other Income (Expense) | \$ | 4,629 | \$ | $(473,545)$ | \$ | $(473,545)$ |
| 43 | Net Profit (Loss) | \$ | 93,137 | \$ | $(354,574)$ | \$ | 935,935 |

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:
C-1

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.<br>Test Year Ended April 30, 2023<br>Projected Statements of Changes in Financial Position Present and Proposed Rates

Exhibit
Schedule F-2
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

## Line

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Test Year } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & 4 / 30 / 2023 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | At Present <br> Rates <br> Year <br> Ended <br> 4/30/2024 |  | At Proposed <br> Rates <br> Year <br> Ended <br> 4/30/2024 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 93,137 | \$ | $(354,574)$ | \$ | 935,935 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and Amortization |  | 1,320,038 |  | 1,518,431 |  | 1,518,431 |
| Depreciation Adjustments |  | $(140,103)$ |  | 303,783 |  | 303,783 |
| Changes in Certain Assets and Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Receivable |  | 18,403 |  |  |  |  |
| Unbilled Revenues |  | $(59,565)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies Inventory |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid Expenses |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Charges |  | 97,545 |  |  |  |  |
| Notes Receivable |  | 1,853,017 |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Payable |  | 6,794 |  |  |  |  |
| Intercompany payable |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Meter Deposits |  | 27,314 |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes Payable |  | $(68,363)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Other assets and liabilities |  | 203,925 |  | $(996,451)$ |  | $(996,451)$ |
| Rounding |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Flow provided by Operating Activities | \$ | 3,352,142 | \$ | 471,189 | \$ | 1,761,699 |
| Cash Flow From Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Expenditures |  | $(1,922,669)$ |  | $(6,683,190)$ |  | $(6,683,190)$ |
| Plant Held for Future Use |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Changes in debt reserve fund |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Flows from Investing Activities | \$ | (1,922,669) | \$ | (6,683,190) | \$ | (6,683,190) |
| Cash Flow From Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in Restricted Cash |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Change in net amounts due to parent and affiliates |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Net Receipt contributions in aid of construction |  | 6,615 |  | 1,110,756 |  | 1,110,756 |
| Net receipts of advances in aid of construction |  | - |  | 9,778 |  | 9,778 |
| Net Proceeds of of Long-Term Debt |  | - |  | 7,066,160 |  | 11,832,914 |
| Dividends Paid |  | - |  | $(580,000)$ |  | $(580,000)$ |
| Net distributions to Rebalance Capital Structure |  |  |  | $(1,120,649)$ |  | $(5,887,403)$ |
| Deferred Financing Costs |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Paid in Capital |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Flows Provided by Financing Activities | \$ | 6,615 | \$ | 6,486,046 | \$ | 6,486,046 |
| Increase(decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | 1,436,088 |  | 274,045 |  | 1,564,555 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year |  | $(1,396,873)$ |  | 39,214 |  | 39,214 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ | 39,214 | \$ | 313,260 | \$ | 1,603,769 |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Projected Construction Requirements

Exhibit
Schedule F-3
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Number | Plant Asset: |  | Test Year |  | $\underline{2024}$ |  | $\underline{2025}$ |  | $\underline{2026}$ |
| 4 | 301 | Organization Cost | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 302 | Franchise Cost |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 303 | Land and Land Rights |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 304 | Structures and Improvements |  | 7,927 |  | 248,066 |  | 62,548 |  | 69,246 |
| 8 | 305 | Collecting and Impounding Res. |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 306 | Lake River and Other Intakes |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 307 | Wells and Springs |  | - |  | 195,031 |  | 49,176 |  | 84,435 |
| 11 | 308 | Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 309 | Supply Mains |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 310 | Power Generation Equipment |  | - |  | 171,080 |  | 43,137 |  | 44,675 |
| 14 | 311 | Electric Pumping Equipment |  | 431,316 |  | 159,960 |  | 40,333 |  | 41,771 |
| 15 | 320 | Water Treatment Equipment |  | - |  | 3,422 |  | 863 |  | 893 |
| 16 | 320.1 | Water Treatment Plant |  | - |  | 5,988 |  | 44,646 |  | 1,564 |
| 17 | 320.2 | Chemical Solution Feeders |  | 9,670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 330 | Dist. Reservoirs \& Standpipe |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 330.1 | Storage tanks |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 312,723 |
| 20 | 330.2 | Pressure Tanks |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 331 | Trans. and Dist. Mains |  | 350,368 |  | 758,201 |  | 52,086 |  | 147,202 |
| 22 | 333 | Services |  | 229,225 |  | 499,554 |  | 106,787 |  | 116,427 |
| 23 | 334 | Meters |  | 151,391 |  | 96,660 |  | 175,350 |  | 337,965 |
| 24 | 335 | Hydrants |  | 100,261 |  | 47,047 |  | 11,863 |  | 12,286 |
| 25 | 336 | Backflow Prevention Devices |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 339 | Other Plant and Misc. Equip. |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 340 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  | 2,636 |  | 5,817 |  | 1,467 |  | 46,462 |
| 28 | 340.1 | Computers and Software |  | - |  | 6,843 |  | 1,725 |  | 46,462 |
| 29 | 341 | Transportation Equipment |  | - |  | - |  | 2,396 |  | 1,861 |
| 30 | 342 | Stores Equipment |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 343 | Tools and Work Equipment |  | 48,433 |  | 69,373 |  | 17,492 |  | 18,116 |
| 32 | 344 | Laboratory Equipment |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 345 | Power Operated Equipment |  | - |  | 42,770 |  | 10,784 |  | 11,169 |
| 34 | 346 | Communications Equipment |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 347 | Miscellaneous Equipment |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 348 | Other Tangible Plant |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Total |  | \$ | 1,331,227 | \$ | 2,309,812 | \$ | 620,652 | \$ | 1,293,256 |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Exhibit
Assumptions Used in Rate Filing
Schedule F-4
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

Line
No.
1 Property Taxes were computed using the method used by the Arizona Department
of Revenue modified for ratemaking.
Projected construction expenditures are shown on Schedule A-4.
Expense adjustments are shown on Schedule C 2 , and are explained in the testimony.
Income taxes were computed using statutory state and federal income tax rates.

## SCHEDULE G

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

est Year Ended 04/30/2023
Cost of Service Summary
At Present Rates

## RATE BASE (a)

Gross Plant in Service
Accumulated Depreciation
Total Jurisdictional

Construction Work in Progress
Working Capital Assets \& Misc. Other
Contributions \& Advances in Aid of Construction
TOTAL RATE BASE [A]
OPERATING REVENUES (c)
Present Rate Schedules(b)
Other Revenues
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES (d)
OPERATING EXPENSES (c)
Operations and Maintenance
Production
Transmission and Distribution
Customer Accounts
Administrative and Genera
Total Operating and Maintenance Expense
Depreciation and Amortization
Taxes Other Than Income
Income Taxes
TOTAL EXPENSES
OPERATING INCOME [A]
RATE OF RETURN
COST OF SERVICE REQUIREMENT SUMMARY
REQUIRED RATE OF RETURN
REQUIRED OPERATING INCOME (L8*L27)
OPERATING INCOME DEFICIENCY/(SURPLUS) (L28-L24)
REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR(d)[A]
REVENUE DEFICIENCY/(SURPLUS) (L29*L30)
RATE SCHEDULE REVENUE REQUIREMENT (L10+L31)
NDICATED \% INCREASE ON PRESENT RATE SCHEDULE (L31/L10)
TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT (L12 + L31)

## Residential

Commercial
Irrigation

| \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54,358,188 \\ 24,831,130 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54,358,188 \\ 24,831,130 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,275,255 \\ 15,958,945 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 18,058,652 \\ 8,403,726 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 747,239 \\ & 348,411 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 172,007 \\ 81,230 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105,035 \\ 38,818 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 29,527,057 | \$ | 29,527,057 | \$ | 19,316,310 | \$ | 9,654,926 | \$ | 398,828 | \$ | 90,777 | \$ | 66,217 |
|  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
|  | $(1,225,936)$ | \$ | $(1,225,936)$ | \$ | $(801,995)$ | \$ | $(400,863)$ | \$ | $(16,559)$ | \$ | $(3,769)$ | \$ | $(2,749)$ |
|  | $(12,550,978)$ | \$ | $(12,550,978)$ | \$ | $(8,210,726)$ | \$ | $(4,103,990)$ | \$ | $(169,528)$ | \$ | $(38,586)$ | \$ | $(28,147)$ |
| \$ | 15,750,144 | \$ | 15,750,144 | \$ | 10,303,589 | \$ | 5,150,072 | \$ | 212,740 | \$ | 48,422 | \$ | 35,321 |
| \$ | 5,797,712 | \$ | 5,797,712 | \$ | 3,413,886 | \$ | 2,305,162 | \$ | 5,433 | \$ | 43,503 | \$ | 29,727 |
|  | 89,657 |  | 89,657 |  | 51,403 |  | 35,713 |  | 2,330 |  | 211 |  |  |
| \$ | 5,887,368 | \$ | 5,887,368 | \$ | 3,465,289 | \$ | 2,340,875 | \$ | 7,764 | \$ | 43,714 | \$ | 29,727 |

## ROPOSED RATE SCHEDULE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

REVENUE DEFICIENCY / (SURPLUS)
\% INCREASE (L36/L10)
PROPOSED RATE SCHEDULE (L10 + L36)
PROPOSED REV. REQUIREMENT (L11 + L38)
\% INCREASE IN TOTAL REVENUES (L36/L12

| \$ | 2,046,043 | \$ | 2,046,043 | \$ | 1,202,010 | \$ | 797,534 | \$ | 40,604 | \$ | 5,895 | \$ | - <br> 876 <br> 9,951 <br> 5,889 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 899,962 |  | 899,962 |  | 582,341 |  | 301,726 |  | 11,902 |  | 3,115 |  |  |
|  | 538,618 |  | 538,618 |  | 479,761 |  | 48,274 |  | 96 |  | 535 |  |  |
|  | 667,146 |  | 667,146 |  | 495,129 |  | 158,712 |  | 5,983 |  | 1,433 |  |  |
| \$ | 4,151,767 | \$ | 4,151,767 | \$ | 2,759,241 | \$ | 1,306,246 | \$ | 58,586 | \$ | 10,979 | \$ | 16,716 |
|  | 1,518,431 |  | 1,518,431 |  | 1,019,427 |  | 471,733 |  | 17,615 |  | 5,242 |  | 4,413 |
|  | 217,111 |  | 217,111 |  | 142,032 |  | 70,992 |  | 2,933 |  | 667 |  | 487 |
|  | $(118,912)$ |  | $(118,912)$ |  | $(191,066)$ |  | 83,454 |  | $(19,357)$ |  | 6,306 |  | 1,751 |
| \$ | 5,768,398 | \$ | 5,768,398 | \$ | 3,729,634 | \$ | 1,932,425 | \$ | 59,777 | \$ | 23,195 | \$ | 23,367 |
| \$ | 118,971 | \$ | 118,971 | \$ | $(264,345)$ | \$ | 408,450 | \$ | $(52,013)$ | \$ | 20,519 | \$ | 6,361 |
|  | 0.76\% |  | 0.76\% |  | -2.57\% |  | 7.93\% |  | -24.45\% |  | 42.38\% |  | 18.01\% |
|  | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |
| \$ | 1,409,480 | \$ | 1,409,480 | \$ | 922,068 | \$ | 460,880 | \$ | 19,038 | \$ | 4,333 | \$ | 3,161 |
| \$ | 1,290,509 | \$ | 1,290,509 | \$ | 1,186,414 | \$ | 52,430 | \$ | 71,051 | \$ | $(16,186)$ | \$ | $(3,200)$ |
|  | 1.3552 |  | 1.3552 |  | 1.3552 |  | 1.3552 |  | 1.3552 |  | 1.3552 |  | 1.3552 |
| \$ | 1,748,942 | \$ | 1,748,942 | \$ | 1,607,868 | \$ | 71,055 | \$ | 96,291 | \$ | $(21,935)$ | \$ | (4,336) |
| \$ | 7,546,654 | \$ | 7,546,654 | \$ | 5,021,754 | \$ | 2,376,217 | \$ | 101,724 | \$ | 21,568 | \$ | 25,391 |
|  | 29.71\% |  | 29.71\% |  | 46.40\% |  | 3.04\% |  | 1240.25\% |  | -50.18\% |  | -14.59\% |
| \$ | 7,636,311 | \$ | 7,636,311 | \$ | 5,073,157 | \$ | 2,411,930 | \$ | 104,054 | \$ | 21,779 | \$ | 25,391 |

OF RETURN ON RATE BASE AT PROPOSED RATES(e)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $1,748,942$ | $\$$ | $1,748,942$ | $\$$ | $1,046,357$ | $\$$ | 690,209 | $\$$ | 1,886 | $\$$ | 3,654 |
|  | $30.17 \%$ | $30.17 \%$ |  | $30.65 \%$ | $29.94 \%$ |  | $34.72 \%$ |  | $8.40 \%$ | 23.836 |  |
| $\$$ | $7,546,654$ | $\$$ | $7,546,654$ | $\$$ | $4,460,243$ | $\$$ | $2,995,371$ | $\$$ | 7,320 | $\$$ | 47,157 |
| $\$$ | $7,636,311$ | $\$$ | $7,636,311$ |  | $4,511,646$ | $3,031,083$ |  | 9,650 |  | 47,368 | 36,564 |
|  | $29.71 \%$ | $29.71 \%$ | $30.20 \%$ | $29.49 \%$ |  | $24.30 \%$ |  | $8.36 \%$ | $23.00 \%$ |  |  |
|  | $8.95 \%$ | $8.95 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ | $17.88 \%$ | $-23.89 \%$ | $47.93 \%$ | $32.08 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

Supporting Schedules
(a) G-3

## Recap Scehdules

(b) $\mathrm{H}-1$
c) G-4a
(d) C-5
e) G-2

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

est Year Ended 04/30/2023
ost of Service Summary
At Proposed Rates

| LINE |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| NO. |  |
| 1 | RATE BASE (a) |
| 2 | Gross Plant in Service |
| 3 | Accumulated Depreciation |
| 4 | Net Plant |
| 5 | Construction Work in Progress |
| 6 | Working Capital Assets \& Misc. Other |
| 7 | Contributions \& Advances in Aid of Construction |
| 8 | TOTAL RATE BASE [A] |
| 9 | OPERATING REVENUES (c) |
| 10 | Proposed Rate Schedules(b) |
| 11 | Other Revenues |
| 12 | TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES [A] |
| 13 | OPERATING EXPENSES (c) |
| 14 | Operations and Maintenance |
| 15 | Production |
| 16 | Transmission and Distribution |
| 17 | Customer Accounts |
| 18 | Administrative and General |
| 19 | Total Operating and Maintenance Expense |
| 20 | Depreciation and Amortization |
| 21 | Taxes Other Than Income |
| 22 | Income Taxes |
| 23 | TOTAL EXPENSES [A] |
| 24 | OPERATING INCOME |
| 25 | RATE OF RETURN AT PROPOSED RATES |
| 26 |  |
| 27 | Supporting Schedules |
| 28 | (a) G-3 |
| 29 | (b) H-1 |
| (c) G-4b |  |
| (d) C-5 |  |
| 30 |  |
| 31 |  |
| 32 |  |
| 33 |  |
| 34 |  |
| 35 |  |
| 36 |  |
| 37 |  |
| 38 |  |
| 39 |  |


|  | Total Company |  | urisdictional Total |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | ndpipe/ <br> struction | Private Fire |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54,358,188 \\ & 24,831,130 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 54,358,188 \\ 24,831,130 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 35,275,255 \\ 15,958,945 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 18,058,652 \\ 8,403,726 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 747,239 \\ & 348,411 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 172,007 \\ 81,230 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105,035 \\ 38,818 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| \$ | $\begin{gathered} 29,527,057 \\ - \\ (1,225,936) \\ (12,550,978) \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 29,527,057 \\ - \\ (1,225,936) \\ (12550,978) \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,316,310 \\ - \\ (801,995) \\ (8,210,726) \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,654,926 \\ - \\ (400,863) \\ (4,103,990) \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 398,828 \\ - \\ (16,559) \\ (169,528) \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 90,777 \\ - \\ (3,769) \\ (38,586) \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 66,217 \\ - \\ (2,749) \\ (28,147) \end{gathered}$ |
| \$ | 15,750,144 | \$ | 15,750,144 |  | 10,303,589 | \$ | 5,150,072 | \$ | 212,740 | \$ | 48,422 | \$ | 35,321 |
| \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,546,654 \\ 89,657 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,546,654 \\ 89,657 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,460,243 \\ 51,403 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,995,371 \\ 35,713 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,320 \\ 2,330 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,157 \\ 211 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $36,564$ |
| \$ | 7,636,311 | \$ | 7,636,311 | \$ | 4,511,646 | \$ | 3,031,083 | \$ | 9,650 | \$ | 47,368 | \$ | 36,564 |
| \$ | 2,046,043 | \$ | 2,046,043 | \$ | 1,202,010 | \$ | 797,534 | \$ | 40,604 | \$ | 5,895 | \$ |  |
|  | 899,962 |  | 899,962 |  | 582,341 |  | 301,726 |  | 11,902 |  | 3,115 |  | 876 |
|  | 547,999 |  | 547,999 |  | 488,118 |  | 49,115 |  | 98 |  | 545 |  | 10,124 |
|  | 667,146 |  | 667,146 |  | 495,129 |  | 158,712 |  | 5,983 |  | 1,433 |  | 5,889 |
| \$ | 4,161,149 | \$ | 4,161,149 | \$ | 2,767,598 | \$ | 1,307,087 | \$ | 58,587 | \$ | 10,988 | \$ | 16,889 |
|  | 1,518,431 |  | 1,518,431 |  | 1,019,427 |  | 471,733 |  | 17,615 |  | 5,242 |  | 4,413 |
|  | 238,947 |  | 238,947 |  | 156,317 |  | 78,132 |  | 3,227 |  | 735 |  | 536 |
|  | 308,303 |  | 308,303 |  | 63,542 |  | 253,131 |  | $(18,961)$ |  | 7,196 |  | 3,396 |
| \$ | 6,226,830 | \$ | 6,226,830 | \$ | 4,006,884 | \$ | 2,110,083 | \$ | 60,468 | \$ | 24,161 | \$ | 25,234 |
| \$ | 1,409,480 | \$ | 1,409,480 | \$ | 504,762 | \$ | 921,001 | \$ | $(50,818)$ | \$ | 23,207 | \$ | 11,330 |
|  | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |  | 4.90\% |  | 17.88\% |  | -23.89\% |  | 47.93\% |  | 32.08\% |


|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.Test Year Ended 04/30/2023Rate Base Allocation to Rate Schedules/Classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Total Company (a) |  | Jurisdictional Total (a) |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ |  | Private Fire |  | Alloc. <br> Factor (b) |
| RATE BASE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GROSS PLANT IN SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source of Supply Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Commodity | \$ | 2,085,730 | \$ | 2,085,730 |  | 1,195,809 | \$ | 830,806 | \$ | 54,215 | \$ | 4,901 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 2 | Demand |  | 5,106,636 |  | 5,106,636 |  | 3,050,208 |  | 1,960,281 |  | 79,551 |  | 16,596 |  | - | CMD |
| 3 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 4 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 5 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 6 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 7 | Total Source of Supply Plant | \$ | 7,192,366 | \$ | 7,192,366 | \$ | 4,246,017 | \$ | 2,791,086 | \$ | 133,766 | \$ | 21,497 | \$ | - |  |
| Pumping Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Commodity | \$ | 1,704,827 | \$ | 1,704,827 | \$ | 977,427 | \$ | 679,081 | \$ | 44,314 | \$ | 4,006 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 9 | Demand |  | 4,174,046 |  | 4,174,046 |  | 2,493,169 |  | 1,602,288 |  | 65,023 |  | 13,565 |  | - | CMD |
| 10 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 11 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 12 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 13 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 14 | Total Pumping Plant | \$ | 5,878,873 | \$ | 5,878,873 | \$ | 3,470,596 | \$ | 2,281,369 | \$ | 109,337 | \$ | 17,571 | \$ | - |  |
| Water Treatment Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Commodity | \$ | 73,942 | \$ | 73,942 | \$ | 42,393 | \$ | 29,453 | \$ | 1,922 | \$ | 174 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 16 | Demand |  | 181,036 |  | 181,036 |  | 108,133 |  | 69,494 |  | 2,820 |  | 588 |  | - | CMD |
| 17 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 18 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 19 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 20 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 21 | Total Water Treatment Plant | \$ | 254,978 | \$ | 254,978 | \$ | 150,526 | \$ | 98,947 | \$ | 4,742 | \$ | 762 | \$ | - |  |
| Transmission and Distribution Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Commodity | \$ | 6,818,622 | \$ | 6,818,622 |  | 3,909,312 | \$ | 2,716,052 | \$ | 177,237 | \$ | 16,021 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 23 | Demand |  | 16,694,502 |  | 16,694,502 |  | 9,971,673 |  | 6,408,506 |  | 260,066 |  | 54,257 |  | - | CMD |
| 24 | Demand - Extra Cap Max Hour |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CMH |
| 25 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 26 | Customer Meters |  | 3,793,511 |  | 3,793,511 |  | 2,674,114 |  | 1,086,105 |  | 1,332 |  | 31,960 |  | - | CM |
| 27 | Customer Services |  | 4,235,278 |  | 4,235,278 |  | 3,427,373 |  | 795,210 |  | 1,411 |  | 11,285 |  | - | CS |
| 28 | Fire Hydrants |  | 1,754,371 |  | 1,754,371 |  | 1,562,665 |  | 157,237 |  | 313 |  | 1,744 |  | 32,412 | CH |
| 29 | Total Transmission and Distribution Plant | \$ | 33,296,284 | \$ | 33,296,284 |  | 21,545,138 | \$ | 11,163,110 | \$ | 440,359 | \$ | 115,266 | \$ | 32,412 |  |
| Gross Plant In Service before Intangible and General Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Commodity | \$ | 10,683,120 | \$ | 10,683,120 |  | 6,124,940 | \$ | 4,255,392 | \$ | 277,688 | \$ | 25,100 | \$ | - |  |
| 31 | Demand |  | 26,156,220 |  | 26,156,220 |  | 15,623,183 |  | 10,040,569 |  | 407,460 |  | 85,007 |  | - |  |
| 32 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 33 | Customer Meters |  | 3,793,511 |  | 3,793,511 |  | 2,674,114 |  | 1,086,105 |  | 1,332 |  | 31,960 |  | - |  |
| 34 | Customer Services |  | 4,235,278 |  | 4,235,278 |  | 3,427,373 |  | 795,210 |  | 1,411 |  | 11,285 |  | - |  |
| 35 | Fire Hydrants |  | 1,754,371 |  | 1,754,371 |  | 1,562,665 |  | 157,237 |  | 313 |  | 1,744 |  | 32,412 |  |
| 36 | Gross Plant In Service | \$ | 46,622,500 | \$ | 46,622,500 |  | 29,412,277 | \$ | 16,334,513 | \$ | 688,204 | \$ | 155,096 | \$ | 32,412 |  |
| General Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Commodity | \$ | 462,661 | \$ | 462,661 | \$ | 265,257 | \$ | 184,291 | \$ | 12,026 | \$ | 1,087 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 38 | Demand |  | 1,132,765 |  | 1,132,765 |  | 676,604 |  | 434,834 |  | 17,646 |  | 3,681 |  | - | CMD |
| 39 | Customer Accounts |  | 2,019,112 |  | 2,019,112 |  | 1,798,477 |  | 180,964 |  | 361 |  | 2,007 |  | 37,303 | CB |
| 40 | Customer Meters |  | 164,288 |  | 164,288 |  | 115,810 |  | 47,037 |  | 58 |  | 1,384 |  | - | CM |
| 41 | Customer Services |  | 183,420 |  | 183,420 |  | 148,432 |  | 34,439 |  | 61 |  | 489 |  | - | CS |
| 42 | Fire Hydrants |  | 75,978 |  | 75,978 |  | 67,675 |  | 6,810 |  | 14 |  | 76 |  | 1,404 | CH |
| 43 | Total General Plant | \$ | 4,038,224 | \$ | 4,038,224 | \$ | 3,072,254 | \$ | 888,374 | \$ | 30,165 | \$ | 8,724 | \$ | 38,707 |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

## Test Year Ended 04/30/2023 <br> Rate Base Allocation to Rate Schedules/Classes



Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Rate Base Allocation to Rate Schedules/Classes


| Source of Supply Plant |
| :--- |
| Commodity |
| Demand |
| Customer Accounts |
| Customer Meters |
| Customer Services |
| Fire Hydrants |
| Total Source of Supply Plant |
| Pumping Plant |
| Commodity |
| Demand |
| Customer Accounts |
| Customer Meters |
| Customer Services |
| Fire Hydrants |
| Total Pumping Plant |
| Water Treatment Plant |
| Commodity |
| Demand |
| Demand - Extra Cap Max Hour |
| Customer Accounts |
| Customer Meters |
| Customer Services |
| Fire Hydrants |
| Total Water Treatment Plant |
| Transmission and Distribution Plant |
| Commodity |
| Demand |
| Customer Accounts |
| Customer Meters |
| Customer Services |
| Fire Hydrants |
| Total Transmission and Distribution Plant |
| General Plant |
| Commodity |
| Demand |
| Customer Accounts |
| Customer Meters |
| Customer Services |
| Fire Hydrants |
| Total General Plant |



| \$ | 38,632 | \$ | 38,632 | \$ | 22,149 | \$ | 15,388 | \$ | 1,004 | \$ | 91 | \$ | - | CBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94,586 |  | 94,586 |  | 56,497 |  | 36,309 |  | 1,473 |  | 307 |  |  | CMD |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | CMH |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | CB |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | CM |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | CS |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | CH |
| \$ | 133,218 | \$ | 133,218 | \$ | 78,646 | \$ | 51,697 | \$ | 2,478 | \$ | 398 | \$ |  |  |


| $\$$ | $2,889,512$ | $\$$ | $2,889,512$ | $\$ 1,656,640$ | $\$ 1,150,975$ | $\$$ | 75,107 | $\$$ | 6,789 | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $7,074,591$ | $7,074,591$ | $4,225,673$ | $2,715,718$ | 110,208 | 22,992 | - | - | CBC |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | CBD |  |  |  |
|  | $2,060,800$ | $2,060,800$ | $1,452,695$ | 590,019 | 723 | 17,362 | - | CM |  |  |
|  | $1,788,275$ | $1,788,275$ | $1,447,150$ | 335,764 | 596 | 4,765 | - | CS |  |  |
| 637,105 | 637,105 | 567,486 | 57,101 | 114 | 633 | 11,770 | CH |  |  |  |


|  | 637,105 |  | 637,105 | 567,486 | 57,101 | 114 |  | 633 | 11,770 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $14,450,282$ | $\$$ | $14,450,282$ | $\$$ | $9,349,645$ | $\$$ | $4,849,577$ | $\$$ | 186,748 | $\$$ |


| \$ | 312,763 | \$ | 312,763 | \$ | 179,316 | \$ | 124,582 | \$ | 8,130 | \$ | 735 | \$ | - | CBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 765,760 |  | 765,760 |  | 457,390 |  | 293,952 |  | 11,929 |  | 2,489 |  | - | CMD |
|  | 1,364,938 |  | 1,364,938 |  | 1,215,787 |  | 122,333 |  | 244 |  | 1,357 |  | 25,217 | CB |
|  | 111,060 |  | 111,060 |  | 78,288 |  | 31,797 |  | 39 |  | 936 |  |  | CM |
|  | 123,994 |  | 123,994 |  | 100,341 |  | 23,281 |  | 41 |  | 330 |  | - | CS |
|  | 51,362 |  | 51,362 |  | 45,749 |  | 4,603 |  | 9 |  | 51 |  | 949 | CH |
| \$ | 2,729,877 | \$ | 2,729,877 | \$ | 2,076,872 | \$ | 600,549 | \$ | 20,392 | \$ | 5,897 | \$ | 26,16 |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

## Test Year Ended 04/30/2023 <br> Rate Base Allocation to Rate Schedules/Classes

| Line No. | Description | Total <br> Company (a) |  | Jurisdictional Total (a) |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/Construction |  | Private Fire |  | Alloc. Factor (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allocated Corporate Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109 | Commodity | \$ | 10,535 | \$ | 10,535 | \$ | 6,040 | \$ | 4,196 | \$ | 274 | \$ | 25 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 110 | Demand |  | 25,793 |  | 25,793 |  | 15,406 |  | 9,901 |  | 402 |  | 84 |  | - | CMD |
| 111 | Customer Accounts |  | 45,975 |  | 45,975 |  | 40,951 |  | 4,121 |  | 8 |  | 46 |  | 849 | CB |
| 112 | Customer Meters |  | 3,741 |  | 3,741 |  | 2,637 |  | 1,071 |  |  |  | 32 |  | - | CM |
| 113 | Customer Services |  | 4,176 |  | 4,176 |  | 3,380 |  | 784 |  | 1 |  | 11 |  | - | CS |
| 114 | Fire Hydrants |  | 1,730 |  | 1,730 |  | 1,541 |  | 155 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 32 | CH |
| 115 | Total Allocated Corporate Plant | \$ | 91,950 | \$ | 91,950 | \$ | 69,955 | \$ | 20,228 | \$ | 687 | \$ | 199 | \$ | 881 |  |
| Retirement Work in Progress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 116 | Commodity | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | CBC |
| 117 | Demand |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CMD |
| 118 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 119 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 120 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 121 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 122 | Total Retirement Work in Progress | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| Advances in Aid of Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 | Commodity | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | CBC |
| 124 | Demand |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CMD |
| 125 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 126 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 127 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 128 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 129 | Total Advances in Aid of Construction | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| Accumulated Depreciation/Amortization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | Commodity | \$ | 5,404,867 | \$ | 5,404,867 | \$ | 3,098,766 | \$ | 2,152,913 | \$ | 140,489 | \$ | 12,699 | \$ | - |  |
| 131 | Demand |  | 13,233,108 |  | 13,233,108 |  | 7,904,172 |  | 5,079,784 |  | 206,145 |  | 43,007 |  | -- |  |
| 132 | Customer Accounts |  | 1,410,913 |  | 1,410,913 |  | 1,256,738 |  | 126,454 |  | 252 |  | 1,402 |  | 26,067 |  |
| 133 | Customer Meters |  | 2,175,601 |  | 2,175,601 |  | 1,533,620 |  | 622,888 |  | 764 |  | 18,329 |  | - |  |
| 134 | Customer Services |  | 1,916,445 |  | 1,916,445 |  | 1,550,871 |  | 359,829 |  | 638 |  | 5,106 |  | - |  |
| 135 | Fire Hydrants |  | 690,197 |  | 690,197 |  | 614,777 |  | 61,859 |  | 123 |  | 686 |  | 12,751 |  |
| 136 | Total Accumulated Depreciation/Amortization (a)(c) | \$ | 24,831,130 | \$ | 24,831,130 |  | 15,958,945 | \$ | 8,403,726 | \$ | 348,411 | \$ | 81,230 | \$ | 38,818 |  |




Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Present Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jurisdictional } \\ \text { Total (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/Construction |  | Private Fire |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alloc. } \\ \text { Code (b) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Direct CBC |
| 1 | Revenue Water Service (c) | \$ | 5,797,712 | \$ | 5,797,712 | \$ | 3,413,886 | \$ | 2,305,162 | \$ | 5,433 | \$ | 43,503 | \$ | 29,727 |  |
| 2 | Other Revenue |  | 89,657 |  | 89,657 |  | 51,403 |  | 35,713 |  | 2,330 |  | 211 |  | - |  |
| 3 | Total Revenue |  | 5,887,368 | \$ | 5,887,368 | \$ | 3,465,289 | \$ | 2,340,875 | \$ | 7,764 | \$ | 43,714 | \$ | 29,727 |  |
| EXPENSES (A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Commodity |  | 534,123 | \$ | 534,123 | \$ | 306,228 | \$ | 212,756 | \$ | 13,884 | \$ | 1,255 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 5 | Demand |  | 636,248 |  | 636,248 |  | 380,032 |  | 244,236 |  | 9,911 |  | 2,068 |  | - | CMD |
| 6 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 7 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 8 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 9 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 10 | Total Operation |  | \$1,170,371 |  | 1,170,371 |  | 686,261 |  | 456,992 |  | 23,795 |  | 3,323 |  | 0 |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Commodity | \$ | 23,466 | \$ | 23,466 | \$ | 13,453 | \$ | 9,347 | \$ | 610 | \$ | 55 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 12 | Demand |  | 11,751 |  | 11,751 |  | 7,019 |  | 4,511 |  | 183 |  | 38 |  | - | CMD |
| 13 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 14 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 15 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 16 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 17 | Total Maintenance | \$ | 35,217 | \$ | 35,217 | \$ | 20,472 | \$ | 13,858 | \$ | 793 | \$ | 93 | \$ | - |  |
| Total Source of Supply Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Commodity | \$ | 557,589 | \$ | 557,589 | \$ | 319,682 | \$ | 222,103 | \$ | 14,493 | \$ | 1,310 | \$ | - |  |
| 19 | Demand |  | 647,999 |  | 647,999 |  | 387,051 |  | 248,747 |  | 10,094 |  | 2,106 |  | - |  |
| 20 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 21 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 22 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 23 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 24 | Total Source of Supply Expenses | \$ | 1,205,587 | \$ | 1,205,587 | \$ | 706,733 | \$ | 470,850 | \$ | 24,588 | \$ | 3,416 | \$ | - |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Present Revenues


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Present Revenue

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jurisdictional } \\ \text { Total (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ Construction |  | Private |  | Alloc. Code (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmission and Distribution Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | Commodity | \$ | 180,477 | \$ | 180,477 | \$ | 103,473 | \$ | 71,889 | \$ | 4,691 | \$ | 424 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 54 | Demand |  | 441,875 |  | 441,875 |  | 263,933 |  | 169,622 |  | 6,884 |  | 1,436 |  | - | CMD |
| 55 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 56 | Customer Meters |  | 100,408 |  | 100,408 |  | 70,779 |  | 28,747 |  | 35 |  | 846 |  | - | CM |
| 57 | Customer Services |  | 112,101 |  | 112,101 |  | 90,717 |  | 21,048 |  | 37 |  | 299 |  | - | CS |
| 58 | Fire Hydrants |  | 46,435 |  | 46,435 |  | 41,361 |  | 4,162 |  | 8 |  | 46 |  | 858 | CH |
| 59 | Total Operation | \$ | 881,296 | \$ | 881,296 | \$ | 570,263 | \$ | 295,468 | \$ | 11,656 | \$ | 3,051 | \$ | 858 |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | Commodity | \$ | 3,823 | \$ | 3,823 | \$ | 2,192 | \$ | 1,523 | \$ | 99 | \$ | 9 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 61 | Demand |  | 9,359 |  | 9,359 |  | 5,590 |  | 3,593 |  | 146 |  | 30 |  | - | CMD |
| 62 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 63 | Customer Meters |  | 2,127 |  | 2,127 |  | 1,499 |  | 609 |  | 1 |  | 18 |  | - | CM |
| 64 | Customer Services |  | 2,374 |  | 2,374 |  | 1,921 |  | 446 |  | 1 |  | 6 |  | - | CS |
| 65 | Fire Hydrants |  | 984 |  | 984 |  | 876 |  | 88 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 18 | CH |
| 66 | Total Maintenance | \$ | 18,666 | \$ | 18,666 | \$ | 12,078 | \$ | 6,258 | \$ | 247 | \$ | 65 | \$ | 18 |  |
| Total Transmission \& Distribution Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Commodity | \$ | 184,300 | \$ | 184,300 | \$ | 105,664 | \$ | 73,412 | \$ | 4,791 | \$ | 433 | \$ | - |  |
| 68 | Demand |  | 451,234 |  | 451,234 |  | 269,523 |  | 173,215 |  | 7,029 |  | 1,466 |  | - |  |
| 69 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 70 | Customer Meters |  | 102,534 |  | 102,534 |  | 72,278 |  | 29,356 |  | 36 |  | 864 |  | - |  |
| 71 | Customer Services |  | 114,475 |  | 114,475 |  | 92,638 |  | 21,494 |  | 38 |  | 305 |  | - |  |
| 72 | Fire Hydrants |  | 47,419 |  | 47,419 |  | 42,237 |  | 4,250 |  | 8 |  | 47 |  | 876 |  |
| 73 | Total Transmission \& Distribution Expenses | \$ | 899,962 | \$ | 899,962 | \$ | 582,341 | \$ | 301,726 | \$ | 11,902 | \$ | 3,115 | \$ | 876 |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Present Revenue

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Jurisdictional Total (a) |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ <br> Construction |  | Private Fire |  | Alloc. Code (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer Accounts Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | Commodity | \$ | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | CBC |
| 75 | Demand |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CMD |
| 76 | Customer Accounts |  | 538,618 |  | 538,618 |  | 479,761 |  | 48,274 |  | 96 |  | 535 |  | 9,951 | CB |
| 77 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 78 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 79 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 80 | Total Customer Accounts | \$ | \$ 538,618 | \$ | 538,618 | \$ | 479,761 | \$ | 48,274 | \$ | 96 | \$ | 535 | \$ | 9,951 |  |
| O\&M w/oA\&G Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | Commodity | \$ | \$ 1,022,574 | \$ | 1,022,574 | \$ | 586,271 | \$ | 407,320 | \$ | 26,580 | \$ | 2,403 | \$ | - |  |
| 82 | Demand |  | 1,659,002 |  | 1,659,002 |  | 990,927 |  | 636,840 |  | 25,844 |  | 5,392 |  | - |  |
| 83 | Customer Accounts |  | 538,618 |  | 538,618 |  | 479,761 |  | 48,274 |  | 96 |  | 535 |  | 9,951 |  |
| 84 | Customer Meters |  | 102,534 |  | 102,534 |  | 72,278 |  | 29,356 |  | 36 |  | 864 |  | - |  |
| 85 | Customer Services |  | 114,475 |  | 114,475 |  | 92,638 |  | 21,494 |  | 38 |  | 305 |  | - |  |
| 86 | Fire Hydrants |  | 47,419 |  | 47,419 |  | 42,237 |  | 4,250 |  | 8 |  | 47 |  | 876 |  |
| 87 | Total O\&M w/oA\&G Expenses | \$ | \$ 3,484,622 | \$ | 3,484,622 | \$ | 2,264,112 | \$ | 1,147,534 | \$ | 52,603 | \$ | 9,546 | \$ | 10,827 |  |
| Administrative and General Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | Commodity |  | \$ 96,778 | \$ | 96,778 | \$ | 55,485 | \$ | 38,549 | \$ | 2,516 | \$ | 227 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 89 | Demand |  | 218,211 |  | 218,211 |  | 130,338 |  | 83,764 |  | 3,399 |  | 709 |  | - | CMD |
| 90 | Customer Accounts |  | 311,434 |  | 311,434 |  | 277,402 |  | 27,912 |  | 56 |  | 310 |  | 5,754 | CB |
| 91 | Customer Meters |  | 15,791 |  | 15,791 |  | 11,131 |  | 4,521 |  | 6 |  | 133 |  | - | CM |
| 92 | Customer Services |  | 17,630 |  | 17,630 |  | 14,267 |  | 3,310 |  | 6 |  | 47 |  | - | CS |
| 93 | Fire Hydrants |  | 7,303 |  | 7,303 |  | 6,505 |  | 655 |  | 1 |  | 7 |  | 135 | CH |
| 94 | Total Administrative and General Expenses | \$ | \$ 667,146 | \$ | 667,146 | \$ | 495,129 | \$ | 158,712 | \$ | 5,983 | \$ | 1,433 | \$ | 5,889 |  |
| Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | Commodity | \$ | \$ 1,119,352 | \$ | 1,119,352 | \$ | 641,757 | \$ | 445,870 | \$ | 29,095 | \$ | 2,630 | \$ | - |  |
| 96 | Demand |  | 1,877,213 |  | 1,877,213 |  | 1,121,264 |  | 720,604 |  | 29,243 |  | 6,101 |  | - |  |
| 97 | Customer Accounts |  | 850,051 |  | 850,051 |  | 757,163 |  | 76,186 |  | 152 |  | 845 |  | 15,705 |  |
| 98 | Customer Meters |  | 118,325 |  | 118,325 |  | 83,410 |  | 33,877 |  | 42 |  | 997 |  | - |  |
| 99 | Customer Services |  | 132,105 |  | 132,105 |  | 106,905 |  | 24,804 |  | 44 |  | 352 |  | - |  |
| 100 | Fire Hydrants |  | 54,722 |  | 54,722 |  | 48,742 |  | 4,904 |  | 10 |  | 54 |  | 1,011 |  |
| 101 | Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses |  | \$ 4,151,767 | \$ | 4,151,767 | \$ | 2,759,241 | \$ | 1,306,246 | \$ | 58,586 | \$ | 10,979 | \$ | 16,716 |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Present Revenue

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jurisdictional } \\ \text { Total (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ Construction |  | Private Fire |  | Alloc. Code (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depreciation \& Amort Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 | Commodity | \$ | 272,159 | \$ | 272,159 | \$ | 156,037 | \$ | 108,409 | \$ | 7,074 | \$ | 639 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 103 | Demand |  | 666,346 |  | 666,346 |  | 398,011 |  | 255,790 |  | 10,380 |  | 2,166 |  | - | CMD |
| 104 | Customer Accounts |  | 211,203 |  | 211,203 |  | 188,125 |  | 18,929 |  | 38 |  | 210 |  | 3,902 | CB |
| 105 | Customer Meters |  | 224,169 |  | 224,169 |  | 158,021 |  | 64,181 |  | 79 |  | 1,889 |  | - | CM |
| 106 | Customer Services |  | 116,866 |  | 116,866 |  | 94,573 |  | 21,943 |  | 39 |  | 311 |  | - | CS |
| 107 | Fire Hydrants |  | 27,687 |  | 27,687 |  | 24,662 |  | 2,481 |  | 5 |  | 28 |  | 512 | CH |
| 108 |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 109 | Total Depreciation \& Amort Expense | \$ | 1,518,431 | \$ | 1,518,431 | \$ | 1,019,427 | \$ | 471,733 | \$ | 17,615 | \$ | 5,242 | \$ | 4,413 |  |
| Taxes Other Than Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | Commodity | \$ | 45,472 | \$ | 45,472 | \$ | 26,070 | \$ | 18,113 | \$ | 1,182 | \$ | 107 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 111 | Demand |  | 111,332 |  | 111,332 |  | 66,499 |  | 42,737 |  | 1,734 |  | 362 |  | - | CMD |
| 112 | Customer Accounts |  | 17,435 |  | 17,435 |  | 15,530 |  | 1,563 |  | 3 |  | 17 |  | 322 | CB |
| 113 | Customer Meters |  | 14,262 |  | 14,262 |  | 10,053 |  | 4,083 |  | 5 |  | 120 |  | - | CM |
| 114 | Customer Services |  | 19,691 |  | 19,691 |  | 15,935 |  | 3,697 |  | 7 |  | 52 |  | - | CS |
| 115 | Fire Hydrants |  | 8,919 |  | 8,919 |  | 7,944 |  | 799 |  | 2 |  | 9 |  | 165 | CH |
| 116 | Total Taxes Other Than Income | \$ | 217,111 | \$ | 217,111 | \$ | 142,032 | \$ | 70,992 | \$ | 2,933 | \$ | 667 | \$ | 487 |  |
| O\&M, Customer, A\&G and Other Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 | Commodity | \$ | 1,436,983 | \$ | 1,436,983 | \$ | 823,864 | \$ | 572,391 | \$ | 37,352 | \$ | 3,376 | \$ | - |  |
| 118 | Demand |  | 2,654,891 |  | 2,654,891 |  | 1,585,774 |  | 1,019,131 |  | 41,358 |  | 8,628 |  | - |  |
| 119 | Customer Accounts |  | 1,078,690 |  | 1,078,690 |  | 960,818 |  | 96,678 |  | 193 |  | 1,072 |  | 19,929 |  |
| 120 | Customer Meters |  | 356,756 |  | 356,756 |  | 251,484 |  | 102,142 |  | 125 |  | 3,006 |  | - |  |
| 121 | Customer Services |  | 268,662 |  | 268,662 |  | 217,413 |  | 50,444 |  | 89 |  | 716 |  | - |  |
| 122 | Fire Hydrants |  | 91,327 |  | 91,327 |  | 81,348 |  | 8,185 |  | 16 |  | 91 |  | 1,687 |  |
| 123 | Total O\&M, Customer, A\&G and Other Taxes | \$ | 5,887,310 | \$ | 5,887,310 | \$ | 3,920,700 | \$ | 1,848,971 | \$ | 79,133 | \$ | 16,889 | \$ | 21,616 |  |
| Labor Allocator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 | Commodity | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | CBC |
| 125 | Demand |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CMD |
| 126 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 127 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 128 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 129 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 130 | Total Labor | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Present Revenue

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jurisdictional } \\ \text { Total (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ <br> Construction |  | Private Fire |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alloc. } \\ \text { Code (b) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 | Income Before Taxes | \$ 59 | \$ | 59 | \$ | $(455,411)$ | \$ | 491,904 | \$ | $(71,369)$ | \$ | 26,825 | \$ | 8,111 |  |
| 132 | State Income Tax | $(23,428)$ |  | $(23,428)$ |  | $(37,643)$ |  | 16,442 |  | $(3,814)$ |  | 1,242 |  | 345 |  |
| 133 | Federal Income Tax | $(95,484)$ |  | $(95,484)$ |  | $(153,423)$ |  | 67,012 |  | $(15,543)$ |  | 5,064 |  | 1,406 |  |
| 134 | Total Income Taxes | \$ $(118,912)$ | \$ | $(118,912)$ | \$ | $(191,066)$ | \$ | 83,454 | \$ | $(19,357)$ | \$ | 6,306 | \$ | 1,751 |  |
| 135 | Net Income After Tax | \$ 118,971 | \$ | 118,971 | \$ | $(264,345)$ | \$ | 408,450 | \$ | $(52,013)$ | \$ | 20,519 | \$ | 6,361 |  |
| 136 | Present Return Rate Of Return | 0.76\% |  | 0.76\% |  | -2.57\% |  | 7.93\% |  | -24.45\% |  | 42.38\% |  | 18.01\% |  |
| 137 | Present Relative Return Rate Of Return | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | (3.40) |  | 10.50 |  | (32.37) |  | 56.10 |  | 23.84 |  |
| State Income Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138 | Income Before Tax | \$ 59 | \$ | 59 | \$ | $(455,411)$ | \$ | 491,904 | \$ | $(71,369)$ | \$ | 26,825 | \$ | 8,111 |  |
| 139 | Less: Interest Expense | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  | 312,817 |  | 156,356 |  | 6,459 |  | 1,470 |  | 1,072 |  |
| 140 | State Taxable Income | \$ $(478,116)$ | \$ | $(478,116)$ | \$ | $(768,228)$ | \$ | 335,547 | \$ | $(77,828)$ | \$ | 25,355 | \$ | 7,039 |  |
| 141 | Pro Forma State Income Tax | \$ $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(37,643)$ | \$ | 16,442 | \$ | $(3,814)$ | \$ | 1,242 | \$ | 345 |  |
| 142 | Amortization of Flow Through Tax | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 143 | Subtotal State Income Tax | \$ $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(37,643)$ | \$ | 16,442 | \$ | $(3,814)$ | \$ | 1,242 | \$ | 345 |  |
| 144 | Deferred State Income Tax | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| 145 | Total State Income Tax | \$ $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(37,643)$ | \$ | 16,442 | \$ | $(3,814)$ | \$ | 1,242 | \$ | 345 |  |
| Federal Income Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 | Income Before Tax | \$ 59 | \$ | 59 | \$ | $(455,411)$ | \$ | 491,904 | \$ | $(71,369)$ | \$ | 26,825 | \$ | 8,111 |  |
| 147 | Less: Interest Expense | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  | 312,817 |  | 156,356 |  | 6,459 |  | 1,470 |  | 1,072 |  |
| 148 | Less: State Income Tax | $(23,428)$ |  | $(23,428)$ |  | $(37,643)$ |  | 16,442 |  | $(3,814)$ |  | 1,242 |  | 345 |  |
| 149 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 150 | Federal Taxable Income | \$ $(454,688)$ | \$ | $(454,688)$ | \$ | $(730,585)$ | \$ | 319,106 | \$ | $(74,015)$ | \$ | 24,112 | \$ | 6,694 |  |
| 151 | Pro Forma Federal Income Tax | \$ $(95,484)$ | \$ | $(95,484)$ | \$ | $(153,423)$ | \$ | 67,012 | \$ | $(15,543)$ | \$ | 5,064 | \$ | 1,406 |  |
| 152 | ITC Amortization | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CRB |
| 153 | Subtotal Federal Income Tax | \$ $(95,484)$ | \$ | $(95,484)$ | \$ | $(153,423)$ | \$ | 67,012 | \$ | $(15,543)$ | \$ | 5,064 | \$ | 1,406 |  |
| 154 | Deferred Federal Income Tax | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 155 | Total Federal Income Tax | $(95,484)$ |  | $(95,484)$ |  | $(153,423)$ |  | 67,012 |  | $(15,543)$ |  | 5,064 |  | 1,406 |  |
| 156 | Total Income Tax | $(118,912)$ |  | $(118,912)$ |  | $(191,066)$ |  | 83,454 |  | $(19,357)$ |  | 6,306 |  | 1,751 |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Present Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \|Jurisdictional|Total (a) |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/Construction |  | Private Fire |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alloc. } \\ \text { Code (b) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRESENT REVENUES TAXES \& ROR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Present Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | Revenues | \$ 5,797,712 | \$ | 5,797,712 | \$ | 3,413,886 | \$ | 2,305,162 | \$ | 5,433 | \$ | 43,503 | \$ | 29,727 |  |
| 158 | Other Revenue | 89,657 |  | 89,657 |  | 51,403 |  | 35,713 |  | 2,330 |  | 211 |  | - |  |
| 159 | Total Present Revenue | \$ 5,887,368 | \$ | 5,887,368 | \$ | 3,465,289 | \$ | 2,340,875 | \$ | 7,764 | \$ | 43,714 | \$ | 29,727 |  |
| 160 | O\&M, Customer, A\&G and Other Taxes | \$ 5,887,310 | \$ | 5,887,310 | \$ | 3,920,700 | , | 1,848,971 | \$ | 79,133 | \$ | 16,889 | \$ | 21,616 |  |
| 161 | Income Before Tax | \$ 59 | \$ | 59 | \$ | $(455,411)$ | \$ | 491,904 | \$ | $(71,369)$ | \$ | 26,825 | \$ | 8,111 |  |
| 162 | Less: Interest Expense | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  | 312,817 |  | 156,356 |  | 6,459 |  | 1,470 |  | 1,072 |  |
| 163 | State Taxable Income | \$ (478,116) | \$ | $(478,116)$ |  | $(768,228)$ | \$ | 335,547 | \$ | $(77,828)$ | \$ | 25,355 | \$ | 7,039 |  |
| 164 | Pro Forma State Income Tax | \$ $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(37,643)$ | \$ | 16,442 | \$ | $(3,814)$ | \$ | 1,242 | \$ | 345 |  |
| 165 | Amortization of Flow Through Tax | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 166 | Subtotal State Income Tax | \$ (23,428) | \$ | $(23,427.66)$ |  | $(37,643.19)$ | \$ | 16,441.82 | \$ | $(3,813.58)$ | \$ | 1,242.38 | \$ | 344.91 |  |
| 167 | Deferred State Income Tax | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| 168 | Total State Income Tax | \$ $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(23,428)$ | \$ | $(37,643)$ | \$ | 16,442 | \$ | $(3,814)$ | \$ | 1,242 | \$ | 345 |  |
| 169 | Income Before Tax | \$ 59 | \$ | 59 | \$ | $(455,411)$ | \$ | 491,904 | \$ | $(71,369)$ | \$ | 26,825 | \$ | 8,111 |  |
| 170 | Less: Interest Expense | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  | 312,817 |  | 156,356 |  | 6,459 |  | 1,470 |  | 1,072 |  |
| 171 | Less: State Income Tax | $(23,428)$ |  | $(23,428)$ |  | $(37,643)$ |  | 16,442 |  | $(3,814)$ |  | 1,242 |  | 345 |  |
| 172 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 173 | Federal Taxable Income | (\$454,688) |  | $(454,688)$ |  | $(730,585)$ |  | 319,106 |  | $(74,015)$ |  | 24,112 |  | 6,694 |  |
| 174 | Pro Forma Federal Income Tax | \$ $(95,484)$ |  | $(95,484)$ |  | $(153,423)$ |  | 67,012 |  | $(15,543)$ |  | 5,064 |  | 1,406 |  |
| 175 | ITC Amortization | - |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | CRB |
| 176 | Subtotal Federal Income Tax | $(\$ 95,484)$ |  | $(95,484)$ |  | $(153,423)$ |  | 67,012 |  | $(15,543)$ |  | 5,064 |  | 1,406 |  |
| 177 | Total Federal Income Tax | $(\$ 95,484)$ |  | $(95,484)$ |  | $(153,423)$ |  | 67,012 |  | $(15,543)$ |  | 5,064 |  | 1,406 |  |
| 178 | Total Income Tax | $(\$ 118,912)$ |  | $(118,912)$ |  | $(191,066)$ |  | 83,454 |  | $(19,357)$ |  | 6,306 |  | 1,751 |  |
| 179 | Income After Tax | \$118,971 |  | \$118,971 |  | (\$264,345) |  | \$408,450 |  | $(\$ 52,013)$ |  | \$20,519 |  | \$6,361 |  |
| Present Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | Return Rate Of Return | 0.76\% |  | 0.76\% |  | -2.57\% |  | 7.93\% |  | -24.45\% |  | 42.38\% |  | 18.01\% |  |
| 181 | Realtive Rate Of Return | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | (3.40) |  | 10.50 |  | (32.37) |  | 56.10 |  | 23.84 |  |
| Supporting Schedules |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (a) C-1, (b) G-7a, (c) H-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Proposed Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Jurisdictional Total (a) |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/Construction |  | Private Fire |  | Allocation <br> Code (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Direct CBC |
| 1 | Revenue Water Service (c) | \$ | 7,546,654 | \$ | 7,546,654 | \$ | 4,460,243 | \$ | 2,995,371 | \$ | 7,320 | \$ | 47,157 | \$ | 36,564 |  |
| 2 | Other Revenue |  | 89,657 |  | 89,657 |  | 51,403 |  | 35,713 |  | 2,330 |  | 211 |  | - |  |
| 3 | Total Revenue | \$ | 7,636,311 | \$ | 7,636,311 | \$ | 4,511,646 | \$ | 3,031,083 | \$ | 9,650 | \$ | 47,368 | \$ | 36,564 |  |
| EXPENSES (A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation Source orsupply Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Commodity | \$ | 534,123 | \$ | 534,123 | \$ | 306,228 | \$ | 212,756 | \$ | 13,884 | \$ | 1,255 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 5 | Demand |  | 636,248 |  | 636,248 |  | 380,032 |  | 244,236 |  | 9,911 |  | 2,068 |  | - | CMD |
| 6 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 7 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 8 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 9 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 10 | Total Operation |  | \$1,170,371 |  | 1,170,371 |  | 686,261 |  | 456,992 |  | 23,795 |  | 3,323 |  | 0 |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Commodity | \$ | 23,466 | \$ | 23,466 | \$ | 13,453 | \$ | 9,347 | \$ | 610 | \$ | 55 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 12 | Demand |  | 11,751 |  | 11,751 |  | 7,019 |  | 4,511 |  | 183 |  | 38 |  | - | CMD |
| 13 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 14 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 15 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 16 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 17 | Total Maintenance | \$ | 35,217 | \$ | 35,217 | \$ | 20,472 | \$ | 13,858 | \$ | 793 | \$ | 93 | \$ | - |  |
| Total Source of Supply Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Commodity | \$ | 557,589 | \$ | 557,589 | \$ | 319,682 | \$ | 222,103 | \$ | 14,493 | \$ | 1,310 | \$ | - |  |
| 19 | Demand |  | 647,999 |  | 647,999 |  | 387,051 |  | 248,747 |  | 10,094 |  | 2,106 |  | - |  |
| 20 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 21 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 22 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 23 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 24 | Total Source of Supply Expenses | \$ | 1,205,587 | \$ | 1,205,587 | \$ | 706,733 | \$ | 470,850 | \$ | 24,588 | \$ | 3,416 | \$ | - |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Proposed Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Descriptio | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jurisdictional } \\ \text { Total (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ Construction |  | Private Fire |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Allocation } \\ \text { Code (b) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Treatment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Commodity | \$ | 262,020 | \$ | 262,020 | \$ | 150,223 | \$ | 104,370 | \$ | 6,811 | \$ | 616 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 26 | Demand |  | 559,770 |  | 559,770 |  | 334,352 |  | 214,878 |  | 8,720 |  | 1,819 |  | - | CMD |
| 27 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 28 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 29 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 30 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 31 | Total Operation | \$ | 821,790 | \$ | 821,790 | \$ | 484,576 | \$ | 319,248 | \$ | 15,531 | \$ | 2,435 | \$ | - |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Commodity | \$ | 18,666 | \$ | 18,666 | \$ | 10,702 | \$ | 7,435 | \$ | 485 | \$ | 44 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 33 | Demand |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CMD |
| 34 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 35 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 36 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 37 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 38 | Total Maintenance | \$ | 18,666 | \$ | 18,666 | \$ | 10,702 | \$ | 7,435 | \$ | 485 | \$ | 44 | \$ | - |  |
| Total Water Treatment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Commodity | \$ | 280,686 | \$ | 280,686 | \$ | 160,925 | \$ | 111,805 | \$ | 7,296 | \$ | 659 | \$ | - |  |
| 40 | Demand |  | 559,770 |  | 559,770 |  | 334,352 |  | 214,878 |  | 8,720 |  | 1,819 |  | - |  |
| 41 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 42 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 43 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 44 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 45 | Total Water Treatment | \$ | 840,456 | \$ | 840,456 | \$ | 495,277 | \$ | 326,684 | \$ | 16,016 | \$ | 2,479 | \$ | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | Commodity | \$ | 838,274 | \$ | 838,274 | \$ | 480,607 | \$ | 333,909 | \$ | 21,789 | \$ | 1,970 | \$ | - |  |
| 47 | Demand |  | 1,207,768 |  | 1,207,768 |  | 721,403 |  | 463,625 |  | 18,815 |  | 3,925 |  | - |  |
| 48 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 49 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 50 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 51 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 52 | Total Production Expenses | \$ | 2,046,043 | \$ | 2,046,043 | \$ | 1,202,010 | \$ | 797,534 | \$ | 40,604 | \$ | 5,895 | \$ | - |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Proposed Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jurisdictional } \\ \text { Total (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ <br> Construction |  | Private Fire |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Allocation } \\ \text { Code (b) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | Commodity | \$ | 180,477 | \$ | 180,477 | \$ | 103,473 | \$ | 71,889 | \$ | 4,691 | \$ | 424 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 54 | Demand |  | 441,875 |  | 441,875 |  | 263,933 |  | 169,622 |  | 6,884 |  | 1,436 |  | - | CMD |
| 55 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 56 | Customer Meters |  | 100,408 |  | 100,408 |  | 70,779 |  | 28,747 |  | 35 |  | 846 |  | - | CM |
| 57 | Customer Services |  | 112,101 |  | 112,101 |  | 90,717 |  | 21,048 |  | 37 |  | 299 |  | - | CS |
| 58 | Fire Hydrants |  | 46,435 |  | 46,435 |  | 41,361 |  | 4,162 |  | 8 |  | 46 |  | 858 | CH |
| 59 | Total Operation | \$ | 881,296 | \$ | 881,296 | \$ | 570,263 | \$ | 295,468 | \$ | 11,656 | \$ | 3,051 | \$ | 858 |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | Commodity | \$ | 3,823 | \$ | 3,823 | \$ | 2,192 | \$ | 1,523 | \$ | 99 | \$ | 9 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 61 | Demand |  | 9,359 |  | 9,359 |  | 5,590 |  | 3,593 |  | 146 |  | 30 |  | - | CMD |
| 62 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 63 | Customer Meters |  | 2,127 |  | 2,127 |  | 1,499 |  | 609 |  | 1 |  | 18 |  | - | CM |
| 64 | Customer Services |  | 2,374 |  | 2,374 |  | 1,921 |  | 446 |  | 1 |  | 6 |  | - | CS |
| 65 | Fire Hydrants |  | 984 |  | 984 |  | 876 |  | 88 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 18 | CH |
| 66 | Total Maintenance | \$ | 18,666 | \$ | 18,666 | \$ | 12,078 | \$ | 6,258 | \$ | 247 | \$ | 65 | \$ | 18 |  |
| Total Transmission \& Distribution Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Commodity | \$ | 184,300 | \$ | 184,300 | \$ | 105,664 | \$ | 73,412 | \$ | 4,791 | \$ | 433 | \$ | - |  |
| 68 | Demand |  | 451,234 |  | 451,234 |  | 269,523 |  | 173,215 |  | 7,029 |  | 1,466 |  | - |  |
| 69 | Customer Accounts |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 70 | Customer Meters |  | 102,534 |  | 102,534 |  | 72,278 |  | 29,356 |  | 36 |  | 864 |  | - |  |
| 71 | Customer Services |  | 114,475 |  | 114,475 |  | 92,638 |  | 21,494 |  | 38 |  | 305 |  | - |  |
| 72 | Fire Hydrants |  | 47,419 |  | 47,419 |  | 42,237 |  | 4,250 |  | 8 |  | 47 |  | 876 |  |
| 73 | Total Transmission \& Distribution Expenses | \$ | 899,962 | \$ | 899,962 | \$ | 582,341 | \$ | 301,726 | \$ | 11,902 | \$ | 3,115 | \$ | 876 |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Proposed Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jurisdictional } \\ \text { Total (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Standpipe } \\ \text { Construction } \end{array}$ |  | Private Fire |  | Allocation Code (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer Accounts Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | Commodity | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | CBC |
| 75 | Demand |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CMD |
| 76 | Customer Accounts |  | 547,999 |  | 547,999 |  | 488,118 |  | 49,115 |  | 98 |  | 545 |  | 10,124 | CB |
| 77 | Customer Meters |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 78 | Customer Services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | CS |
| 79 | Fire Hydrants |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 80 | Total Customer Accounts | \$ | 547,999 | \$ | 547,999 | \$ | 488,118 | \$ | 49,115 | \$ | 98 | \$ | 545 | \$ | 10,124 |  |
| O\&M w/oA\&G Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | Commodity | \$ | 1,022,574 | \$ | 1,022,574 | \$ | 586,271 | \$ | 407,320 | \$ | 26,580 | \$ | 2,403 | \$ | - |  |
| 82 | Demand |  | 1,659,002 |  | 1,659,002 |  | 990,927 |  | 636,840 |  | 25,844 |  | 5,392 |  | - |  |
| 83 | Customer Accounts |  | 547,999 |  | 547,999 |  | 488,118 |  | 49,115 |  | 98 |  | 545 |  | 10,124 |  |
| 84 | Customer Meters |  | 102,534 |  | 102,534 |  | 72,278 |  | 29,356 |  | 36 |  | 864 |  | - |  |
| 85 | Customer Services |  | 114,475 |  | 114,475 |  | 92,638 |  | 21,494 |  | 38 |  | 305 |  | - |  |
| 86 | Fire Hydrants |  | 47,419 |  | 47,419 |  | 42,237 |  | 4,250 |  | 8 |  | 47 |  | 876 |  |
| 87 | Total O\&M w/oA\&G Expenses | \$ | 3,494,004 | \$ | 3,494,004 | \$ | 2,272,469 | \$ | 1,148,375 | \$ | 52,604 | \$ | 9,555 | \$ | 11,000 |  |
| Administrative and General Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | Commodity | \$ | 96,778 | \$ | 96,778 | \$ | 55,485 | \$ | 38,549 | \$ | 2,516 | \$ | 227 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 89 | Demand |  | 218,211 |  | 218,211 |  | 130,338 |  | 83,764 |  | 3,399 |  | 709 |  | - | CMD |
| 90 | Customer Accounts |  | 311,434 |  | 311,434 |  | 277,402 |  | 27,912 |  | 56 |  | 310 |  | 5,754 | CB |
| 91 | Customer Meters |  | 15,791 |  | 15,791 |  | 11,131 |  | 4,521 |  | 6 |  | 133 |  | - | CM |
| 92 | Customer Services |  | 17,630 |  | 17,630 |  | 14,267 |  | 3,310 |  | 6 |  | 47 |  | - | CS |
| 93 | Fire Hydrants |  | 7,303 |  | 7,303 |  | 6,505 |  | 655 |  | 1 |  | 7 |  | 135 | CH |
| 94 | Total Administrative and General Expenses | \$ | 667,146 | \$ | 667,146 | \$ | 495,129 | \$ | 158,712 | \$ | 5,983 | \$ | 1,433 | \$ | 5,889 |  |
| Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | Commodity | \$ | 1,119,352 | \$ | 1,119,352 | \$ | 641,757 | \$ | 445,870 | \$ | 29,095 | \$ | 2,630 | \$ | - |  |
| 96 | Demand |  | 1,877,213 |  | 1,877,213 |  | 1,121,264 |  | 720,604 |  | 29,243 |  | 6,101 |  | - |  |
| 97 | Demand - Extra Cap Max Hour |  | - |  | - |  | -- |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 98 | Customer Accounts |  | 859,433 |  | 859,433 |  | 765,520 |  | 77,027 |  | 154 |  | 854 |  | 15,878 |  |
| 99 | Customer Meters |  | 118,325 |  | 118,325 |  | 83,410 |  | 33,877 |  | 42 |  | 997 |  | - |  |
| 100 | Customer Services |  | 132,105 |  | 132,105 |  | 106,905 |  | 24,804 |  | 44 |  | 352 |  | - |  |
| 101 | Fire Hydrants |  | 54,722 |  | 54,722 |  | 48,742 |  | 4,904 |  | 10 |  | 54 |  | 1,011 |  |
| 102 | Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses | \$ | 4,161,149 | \$ | 4,161,149 | \$ | 2,767,598 | \$ | 1,307,087 | \$ | 58,587 | \$ | 10,988 | \$ | 16,889 |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Proposed Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | Jurisdictional Total (a) |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ Construction |  | Private Fire |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Allocation } \\ \text { Code (b) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depreciation \& Amort Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 | Commodity |  | \$ | 272,159 | \$ | 272,159 | \$ | 156,037 | \$ | 108,409 | \$ | 7,074 | \$ | 639 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 104 | Demand |  |  | 666,346 |  | 666,346 |  | 398,011 |  | 255,790 |  | 10,380 |  | 2,166 |  | - | CMD |
| 105 | Customer Accounts |  |  | 211,203 |  | 211,203 |  | 188,125 |  | 18,929 |  | 38 |  | 210 |  | 3,902 | CB |
| 106 | Customer Meters |  |  | 224,169 |  | 224,169 |  | 158,021 |  | 64,181 |  | 79 |  | 1,889 |  | - | CM |
| 107 | Customer Services |  |  | 116,866 |  | 116,866 |  | 94,573 |  | 21,943 |  | 39 |  | 311 |  | - | CS |
| 108 | Fire Hydrants |  |  | 27,687 |  | 27,687 |  | 24,662 |  | 2,481 |  | 5 |  | 28 |  | 512 | CH |
| 109 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 110 | Total Depreciation \& Amort Expense |  | \$ | 1,518,431 | \$ | 1,518,431 | \$ | 1,019,427 | \$ | 471,733 | \$ | 17,615 | \$ | 5,242 | \$ | 4,413 |  |
| Taxes Other Than Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 | Commodity |  | \$ | 50,045 | \$ | 50,045 | \$ | 28,692 | \$ | 19,934 | \$ | 1,301 | \$ | 118 | \$ | - | CBC |
| 112 | Demand |  |  | 122,529 |  | 122,529 |  | 73,187 |  | 47,035 |  | 1,909 |  | 398 |  | - | CMD |
| 113 | Customer Accounts |  |  | 19,189 |  | 19,189 |  | 17,092 |  | 1,720 |  | 3 |  | 19 |  | 355 | CB |
| 114 | Customer Meters |  |  | 15,696 |  | 15,696 |  | 11,064 |  | 4,494 |  | 6 |  | 132 |  | - | CM |
| 115 | Customer Services |  |  | 21,671 |  | 21,671 |  | 17,538 |  | 4,069 |  | 7 |  | 58 |  | - | CS |
| 116 | Fire Hydrants |  |  | 9,816 |  | 9,816 |  | 8,743 |  | 880 |  | 2 |  | 10 |  | 181 | CH |
| 117 | Total Taxes Other Than Income |  | \$ | 238,947 | \$ | 238,947 | \$ | 156,317 | \$ | 78,132 | \$ | 3,227 | \$ | 735 | \$ | 536 |  |
| O\&M, Customer, A\&G and Other Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 | Commodity |  | \$ | 1,441,556 | \$ | 1,441,556 | , | 826,486 | \$ | 574,213 | \$ | 37,471 | \$ | 3,387 | \$ | - |  |
| 119 | Demand |  |  | 2,666,088 |  | 2,666,088 |  | 1,592,462 |  | 1,023,429 |  | 41,532 |  | 8,665 |  | - |  |
| 120 | Customer Accounts |  |  | 1,089,825 |  | 1,089,825 |  | 970,736 |  | 97,676 |  | 195 |  | 1,083 |  | 20,134 |  |
| 121 | Customer Meters |  |  | 358,191 |  | 358,191 |  | 252,495 |  | 102,552 |  | 126 |  | 3,018 |  | - |  |
| 122 | Customer Services |  |  | 270,642 |  | 270,642 |  | 219,016 |  | 50,815 |  | 90 |  | 721 |  | - |  |
| 123 | Fire Hydrants |  |  | 92,224 |  | 92,224 |  | 82,147 |  | 8,266 |  | 16 |  | 92 |  | 1,704 |  |
| 124 | Total O\&M, Customer, A\&G and Other Taxes |  | \$ | 5,918,527 | \$ | 5,918,527 | \$ | 3,943,342 | \$ | 1,856,952 | \$ | 79,430 | \$ | 16,965 | \$ | 21,838 |  |
| Labor Allocator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 125 | Commodity |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | CBC |
| 126 | Demand |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CMD |
| 127 | Customer Accounts |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CB |
| 128 | Customer Meters |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CM |
| 129 | Customer Services |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CS |
| 130 | Fire Hydrants |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CH |
| 131 | Total Labor |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Proposed Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No.s } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jurisdictional } \\ \text { Total (a) } \end{array}$ |  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/ Construction |  | Private Fire |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Allocation } \\ \text { Code (b) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | Income Before Taxes | \$ 1,717,784 | \$ | 1,717,784 | \$ | 568,304 | \$ | 1,174,131 | \$ | $(69,780)$ | \$ | 30,402 | \$ | 14,725 |  |
| 133 | State Income Tax | 60,741 |  | 60,741 |  | 12,519 |  | 49,871 |  | $(3,736)$ |  | 1,418 |  | 669 |  |
| 134 | Federal Income Tax | 247,562 |  | 247,562 |  | 51,023 |  | 203,260 |  | $(15,226)$ |  | 5,778 |  | 2,727 |  |
| 135 | Total Income Taxes | \$ 308,303 | \$ | 308,303 | \$ | 63,542 | \$ | 253,131 | \$ | $(18,961)$ | \$ | 7,196 | \$ | 3,396 |  |
| 136 | Net Income After Tax | \$ 1,409,480 | \$ | 1,409,480 | \$ | 504,762 | \$ | 921,001 | \$ | $(50,818)$ | \$ | 23,207 | \$ | 11,330 |  |
| 137 | Present Return Rate Of Return | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |  | 4.90\% |  | 17.88\% |  | -23.89\% |  | 47.93\% |  | 32.08\% |  |
| 138 | Present Relative Return Rate Of Return | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.55 |  | 2.00 |  | (2.67) |  | 5.36 |  | 3.58 |  |
| State Income Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 139 | Income Before Tax | \$ 1,717,784 | \$ | 1,717,784 | \$ | 568,304 | \$ | 1,174,131 | \$ | $(69,780)$ | \$ | 30,402 | \$ | 14,725 |  |
| 140 | Less: Interest Expense | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  | 312,817 |  | 156,356 |  | 6,459 |  | 1,470 |  | 1,072 |  |
| 141 | State Taxable Income | \$ 1,239,609 | \$ | 1,239,609 | \$ | 255,487 | \$ | 1,017,775 | \$ | $(76,239)$ | \$ | 28,932 | \$ | 13,653 |  |
| 142 | Pro Forma State Income Tax | 60,741 | \$ | 60,741 | \$ | 12,519 | \$ | 49,871 | \$ | $(3,736)$ | \$ | 1,418 | \$ | 669 |  |
| 143 | Amortization of Flow Through Tax | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 144 | Subtotal State Income Tax | \$ 60,741 | \$ | 60,741 | \$ | 12,519 | \$ | 49,871 | \$ | $(3,736)$ | \$ | 1,418 | \$ | 669 |  |
| 145 | Deferred State Income Tax | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| 146 | Total State Income Tax | \$ 60,741 | \$ | 60,741 | \$ | 12,519 | \$ | 49,871 | \$ | $(3,736)$ | \$ | 1,418 | \$ | 669 |  |
| Federal Income Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 | Income Before Tax | \$ 1,717,784 | \$ | 1,717,784 | \$ | 568,304 | \$ | 1,174,131 | \$ | $(69,780)$ | \$ | 30,402 | \$ | 14,725 |  |
| 148 | Less: Interest Expense | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  | 312,817 |  | 156,356 |  | 6,459 |  | 1,470 |  | 1,072 |  |
| 149 | Less: State Income Tax | 60,741 |  | 60,741 |  | 12,519 |  | 49,871 |  | $(3,736)$ |  | 1,418 |  | 669 |  |
| 150 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 151 | Federal Taxable Income | \$ 1,178,868 | \$ | 1,178,868 | \$ | 242,968 | \$ | 967,904 | \$ | $(72,503)$ | \$ | 27,515 | \$ | 12,984 |  |
| 152 | Pro Forma Federal Income Tax | \$ 247,562 | \$ | 247,562 | \$ | 51,023 | \$ | 203,260 | \$ | $(15,226)$ | \$ | 5,778 | \$ | 2,727 |  |
| 153 | ITC Amortization | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | CRB |
| 154 | Subtotal Federal Income Tax | \$ 247,562 | \$ | 247,562 | \$ | 51,023 | \$ | 203,260 | \$ | $(15,226)$ | \$ | 5,778 | \$ | 2,727 |  |
| 155 | Deferred Federal Income Tax | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 156 | Total Federal Income Tax | 247,562 |  | 247,562 |  | 51,023 |  | 203,260 |  | $(15,226)$ |  | 5,778 |  | 2,727 |  |
| 157 | Total Income Tax | 308,303 |  | 308,303 |  | 63,542 |  | 253,131 |  | $(18,961)$ |  | 7,196 |  | 3,396 |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Revenue and Expense Allocation to Rate Schedules at Proposed Revenues

| Line <br> No.s | Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Company (a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Jurisdictional <br> Total (a) |  |  | Residential | Commercial |  | Irrigation |  | Standpipe/Construction |  | Private Fire |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Allocation } \\ \text { Code (b) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRESENT REVENUES TAXES \& ROR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposed Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 158 | Revenues | \$ 7,546,654 | \$ | 7,546,654 | \$ | 4,460,243 | \$ | 2,995,371 | \$ | 7,320 | \$ | 47,157 | \$ | 36,564 |  |
| 159 | Other Revenue | 89,657 |  | 89,657 |  | 51,403 |  | 35,713 |  | 2,330 |  | 211 |  | - |  |
| 160 | Total Present Revenue | \$ 7,636,311 | \$ | 7,636,311 | \$ | 4,511,646 | \$ | 3,031,083 | \$ | 9,650 | \$ | 47,368 | \$ | 36,564 |  |
| 161 | O\&M, Customer, A\&G and Other Taxes | \$ 5,918,527 | \$ | 5,918,527 | \$ | 3,943,342 | \$ | 1,856,952 | \$ | 79,430 | \$ | 16,965 | \$ | 21,838 |  |
| 162 | Income Before Tax | \$ 1,717,784 | \$ | 1,717,784 | \$ | 568,304 | \$ | 1,174,131 | \$ | $(69,780)$ | \$ | 30,402 | \$ | 14,725 |  |
| 163 | Less: Interest Expense | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  | 312,817 |  | 156,356 |  | 6,459 |  | 1,470 |  | 1,072 |  |
| 164 | State Taxable Income | \$ 1,239,609 | \$ | 1,239,609 | \$ | 255,487 | \$ | 1,017,775 | \$ | $(76,239)$ | \$ | 28,932 | \$ | 13,653 |  |
| 165 | Pro Forma State Income Tax | \$ 60,741 | \$ | 60,741 | \$ | 12,519 | \$ | 49,871 | \$ | $(3,736)$ | \$ | 1,418 | \$ | 669 |  |
| 166 | Amortization of Flow Through Tax | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 167 | Subtotal State Income Tax | \$ 60,741 | \$ | 60,740.85 | \$ | 12,518.86 | \$ | 49,870.99 |  | $(3,735.69)$ | \$ | 1,417.68 | \$ | 669.00 |  |
| 168 | Deferred State Income Tax | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| 169 | Total State Income Tax | \$ 60,741 | \$ | 60,741 | \$ | 12,519 | \$ | 49,871 | \$ | $(3,736)$ | \$ | 1,418 | \$ | 669 |  |
| 170 | Income Before Tax | \$ 1,717,784 | \$ | 1,717,784 | \$ | 568,304 | \$ | 1,174,131 | \$ | $(69,780)$ | \$ | 30,402 | \$ | 14,725 |  |
| 171 | Less: Interest Expense | 478,174 |  | 478,174 |  | 312,817 |  | 156,356 |  | 6,459 |  | 1,470 |  | 1,072 |  |
| 172 | Less: State Income Tax | 60,741 |  | 60,741 |  | 12,519 |  | 49,871 |  | $(3,736)$ |  | 1,418 |  | 669 |  |
| 173 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 174 | Federal Taxable Income | \$1,178,868 |  | 1,178,868 |  | 242,968 |  | 967,904 |  | $(72,503)$ |  | 27,515 |  | 12,984 |  |
| 175 | Pro Forma Federal Income Tax | \$ 247,562 |  | 247,562 |  | 51,023 |  | 203,260 |  | $(15,226)$ |  | 5,778 |  | 2,727 |  |
| 176 | ITC Amortization | - |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | CRB |
| 177 | Subtotal Federal Income Tax | \$247,562 |  | 247,562 |  | 51,023 |  | 203,260 |  | $(15,226)$ |  | 5,778 |  | 2,727 |  |
| 178 | Total Federal Income Tax | \$247,562 |  | 247,562 |  | 51,023 |  | 203,260 |  | $(15,226)$ |  | 5,778 |  | 2,727 |  |
| 179 | Total Income Tax | \$308,303 |  | 308,303 |  | 63,542 |  | 253,131 |  | $(18,961)$ |  | 7,196 |  | 3,396 |  |
| 180 | Income After Tax | \$1,409,480 |  | \$1,409,480 |  | \$504,762 |  | \$921,001 |  | $(\$ 50,818)$ |  | \$23,207 |  | \$11,330 |  |
| Proposed Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 181 | Return Rate Of Return | 8.95\% |  | 8.95\% |  | 4.90\% |  | 17.88\% |  | -23.89\% |  | 47.93\% |  | 32.08\% |  |
| 182 | Realtive Rate Of Return | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.55 |  | 2.00 |  | (2.67) |  | 5.36 |  | 3.58 |  |
| Supporting Schedules |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended 04/30/2023 <br> Rate Base and Plant Functionalization 

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Acct No. | Description |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Company } \\ \text { Total (a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commodity } \\ \text { Cost[A] } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Demand [A] |  | Customer Accounts [A] |  | Customer <br> Meters[A] |  | Customer Services[A] |  | Private Fire[A] | Alloc. Code(b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLANT IN SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Source of Supply Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 30320 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | 695,704 | \$ | 201,749 | \$ | 493,955 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | FWT |
| 3 | 30420 | Structures and Improvements |  | 4,253,358 |  | 1,233,440 |  | 3,019,917 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 4 | 30520 | Collecting and Impounding Res. |  | 46,813 |  | 13,575 |  | 33,238 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 5 | 30620 | Lake River and Other Intakes |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 6 | 30720 | Wells and Springs |  | 1,774,962 |  | 514,725 |  | 1,260,237 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 7 | 30820 | Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 8 | 30920 | Supply Mains |  | 421,529 |  | 122,240 |  | 299,289 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 9 |  | Total Source of Supply Plant | \$ | 7,192,366 | \$ | 2,085,730 | \$ | 5,106,636 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| Pumping Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 31020 | Power Generation Equipment | \$ | 330,135 | \$ | 95,736 | \$ | 234,398 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | FPU |
| 11 | 31120 | Elec.\&Diesel Pump.Equipment |  | 5,548,739 |  | 1,609,091 |  | 3,939,648 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FPU |
| 12 | 31130 | Other Pumpng Plant |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FPU |
| 13 |  | Total Pumping Plant | \$ | 5,878,873 | \$ | 1,704,827 | \$ | 4,174,046 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| Water Treatment Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 30330 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | FWT |
| 15 | 30430 | Structures and Improvements |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 16 | 32000 | Water Treatment Equipment |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 17 | 32010 | Water Treatment Plant |  | 89,253 |  | 25,883 |  | 63,370 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 18 | 32020 | Chemical Solution Feeders |  | 165,725 |  | 48,059 |  | 117,666 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FWT |
| 19 |  | 'Total Water Treatment Plant | \$ | 254,978 | \$ | 73,942 | \$ | 181,036 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |
| Transmission and Distribution Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 30340 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | FDS |
| 21 | 30440 | Structures and Improvements |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |
| 22 | 33000 | Dist. Reservoirs \& Standpipe |  | 4,400 |  | 1,276 |  | 3,124 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |
| 23 | 33010 | Storage tanks |  | 3,634,403 |  | 1,053,948 |  | 2,580,454 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |
| 24 | 33020 | Pressure Tanks |  | 523,660 |  | 151,857 |  | 371,803 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |
| 25 | 33140 | Trans. and Distrib.Mains |  | 19,350,661 |  | 5,611,540 |  | 13,739,120 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FTDM |
| 26 | 33340 | Services |  | 4,045,997 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | -- |  | 4,045,997 |  | - | FCS |
| 27 | 33440 | Meters and Meter Installations |  | 3,793,511 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,793,511 |  | - |  | - | FCM |
| 28 | 33540 | Hydrants |  | 1,754,371 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 1,754,371 | FFH |
| 29 | 33600 | Backflow Prevention Devices |  | , |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FCS |
| 30 | 33900 | Other Plant and Equipment |  | 189,281 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 189,281 |  | - | FCS |
| 31 |  | Total Transmission and Distribution Plant | \$ | 33,296,284 | \$ | 6,818,622 | \$ | 16,694,502 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,793,511 | \$ | 4,235,278 | \$ | 1,754,371 |  |
| 32 |  | Gross Plant In Service before Intangible and Gen. | \$ | 46,622,500 | \$ | 10,683,120 | \$ | 26,156,220 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,793,511 | \$ | 4,235,278 | \$ | 1,754,371 |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Rate Base and Plant Functionalization
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# Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended 04/30/2023 

Rate Base and Plant Functionalization


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
O\&M Expenses, Depreciation Expenses and Income Functionalization at Present Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Acct No. | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adjusted } \\ \text { Test Year(a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commodity } \\ \operatorname{Cost}[\mathrm{A}] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Demand [A] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Customer } \\ \text { Accounts [A] } \end{gathered}$ | Customer Meters[A] | Customer Services[A] | Private Fire[A] | Alloc. Code(b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
O\&M Expenses, Depreciation Expenses and Income Functionalization at Present Revenues

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Acct No. | Description |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adjusted } \\ \text { Test Year(a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Commodity } \\ & \text { Cost[A] } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Demand [A] |  | Customer Accounts [A] |  | Customer Meters[A] |  | stomer |  | Private Fire[A] | Alloc. Code(b) | Labor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer Accounts Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 620.7 | Materials and Supplies |  | 3,111 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,111 |  | - |  | - |  | - | FCC |  |
| 32 | 634.7 | Contractual Services - Management Fees |  | 344,073 |  | - |  | - |  | 344,073 |  | - |  | - |  | - | FCC |  |
| 33 | 636.7 | Contractual Services - Other |  | 142,210 |  | - |  | - |  | 142,210 |  | - |  | - |  | - | FCC |  |
| 34 | 650.7 | Transportation Expenses |  | 10,779 |  | - |  | - |  | 10,779 |  | - |  | - |  | - | FCC |  |
| 35 | 670.7 | Bad Debt Expense |  | 31,581 |  | - |  | - |  | 31,581 |  | - |  | - |  | - | FCC |  |
| 36 | 675.7 | Miscellaneous Expenses |  | 6,863 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,863 |  | - |  | - |  | - | FCC |  |
| 37 |  | Total Customer Accounts Expenses | \$ | 538,618 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 538,618 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 38 |  | Total O\&M w/oA\&G Expenses | \$ | 3,484,622 | \$ | 1,022,574 | \$ | 1,659,002 | \$ | 538,618 | \$ | 102,534 | \$ | 114,475 | \$ | 47,419 |  |  |
| Operation Administrative and General Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 620.8 | Materials and Supplies |  | 3,111 |  | 366 |  | 805 |  | 1,834 |  | 41 |  | 46 |  | 19 | FTOMPIS |  |
| 40 | 632.8 | Contractual Services - Accounting |  | 43,224 |  | 5,079 |  | 11,191 |  | 25,485 |  | 570 |  | 636 |  | 264 | FTOMPIS |  |
| 41 | 633.8 | Contractual Services - Legal |  | 3,977 |  | 467 |  | 1,030 |  | 2,345 |  | 52 |  | 59 |  | 24 | FTOMPIS |  |
| 42 | 634.8 | Contractual Services - Management Fees |  | 137,629 |  | 16,172 |  | 35,633 |  | 81,145 |  | 1,814 |  | 2,026 |  | 839 | FTOMPIS |  |
| 43 | 636.8 | Contractual Services - Other |  | 143,662 |  | 16,881 |  | 37,195 |  | 84,701 |  | 1,894 |  | 2,115 |  | 876 | FTOMPIS |  |
| 44 | 642.8 | Rental of Equipment |  | 3,313 |  | 389 |  | 858 |  | 1,953 |  | 44 |  | 49 |  | 20 | FTOMPIS |  |
| 45 | 650.8 | Transportation Expenses |  | 10,779 |  | 1,267 |  | 2,791 |  | 6,355 |  | 142 |  | 159 |  | 66 | FTOMPIS |  |
| 46 | 657.8 | Insurance - General Liability |  | 88,630 |  | 20,309 |  | 49,723 |  | - |  | 7,211 |  | 8,051 |  | 3,335 | FGPIS |  |
| 47 | 668.8 | Water Resource Conservation Expense |  | 72,250 |  | 16,980 |  | 37,412 |  | 12,945 |  | 1,905 |  | 2,127 |  | 881 | FTOMWPC |  |
| 48 | 675.8 | Miscellaneous Expenses |  | 160,570 |  | 18,868 |  | 41,573 |  | 94,670 |  | 2,117 |  | 2,363 |  | 979 | FTOMPIS |  |
| 49 |  | Total Operation | \$ | 667,146 | \$ | 96,778 | \$ | 218,211 | \$ | 311,434 | \$ | 15,791 | \$ | 17,630 | \$ | 7,303 |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Other - Maintenance | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| 50 |  | Total Administrative and General Expenses | \$ | 667,146 | \$ | 96,778 | \$ | 218,211 | \$ | 311,434 | \$ | 15,791 | \$ | 17,630 | \$ | 7,303 |  |  |
| 51 |  | Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses | \$ | 4,151,767 | \$ | 1,119,352 | \$ | 1,877,213 | \$ | 850,051 | \$ | 118,325 | \$ | 132,105 | \$ | 54,722 |  |  |
| 52 |  | Depreciation \& Amort Expense | \$ | 1,518,431 | \$ | 272,159 | \$ | 666,346 | \$ | 211,203 | \$ | 224,169 | \$ | 116,866 | \$ | 27,687 |  |  |
| 53 |  | Taxes Other Than Income | \$ | 217,111 | \$ | 45,472 | \$ | 111,332 | \$ | 17,435 | \$ | 14,262 | \$ | 19,691 | \$ | 8,919 | FNP |  |
| 54 |  | Total Operating Expenses Before Income Taxes | \$ | 5,887,310 | \$ | 1,436,983 | \$ | 2,654,891 | \$ | 1,078,690 | \$ | 356,756 | \$ | 268,662 | \$ | 91,327 |  |  |
|  |  | Total Labor | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | L |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
O\&M Expenses, Depreciation Expenses and Income Functionalization at Present Revenues

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Acct No. | Description |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adjusted } \\ \text { Test Year(a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Commodity } \\ & \text { Cost[A] } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Demand [A] |  | Customer Accounts [A] |  | Customer Meters[A] |  | Customer ervices[A] |  | Private Fire[A] | Alloc. Code(b) | Labor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source of Supply Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | 304.2 | Structures and Improvements |  | 141,637 |  | 41,074 |  | 100,563 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FECMD |  |
| 56 | 305.2 | Collecting and Impounding Res. |  | 1,170 |  | 339 |  | 831 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FECMD |  |
| 57 | 307.2 | Wells and Springs |  | 59,106 |  | 17,140 |  | 41,966 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FECMD |  |
| 58 | 309.2 | Supply Mains |  | 8,431 |  | 2,445 |  | 5,986 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FECMD |  |
| 59 |  | Total Source of Supply Plant | \$ | 210,344 | \$ | 60,998 | \$ | 149,346 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| Pumping Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 311.2 | Power Generation Equipment |  | 16,507 |  | 4,787 |  | 11,720 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FPU |  |
| 61 | 311.3 | Elec.\&Diesel Pump.Equipment |  | 334,596 |  | 97,030 |  | 237,566 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FPU |  |
| 62 |  | Total Pumping Plant | \$ | 351,103 | \$ | 101,817 | \$ | 249,286 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| Water Treatment Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | 320.1 | Water Treatment Plant |  | 2,972 |  | 862 |  | 2,110 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FECMD |  |
| 64 | 320.2 | Chemical Solution Feeders |  | 22,884 |  | 6,636 |  | 16,248 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FECMD |  |
| 65 |  | Total Pumping \& Purification | \$ | 25,856 | \$ | 7,498 | \$ | 18,358 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| Transmission and Distribution Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | 303.4 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | FDS |  |
| 67 | 304.4 | Structures and Improvements |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |  |
| 68 | 330 | Dist. Standpipe and Reserv. |  | 98 |  | 28 |  | 69 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |  |
| 69 | 330.1 | Storage tanks |  | 80,684 |  | 23,398 |  | 57,286 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |  |
| 70 | 330.2 | Pressure Tanks |  | 26,183 |  | 7,593 |  | 18,590 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |  |
| 71 | 331.4 | Trans. and Distrib.Mains |  | 387,013 |  | 112,231 |  | 274,782 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FTDM |  |
| 72 | 333.4 | Services |  | 134,732 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 134,732 |  | - | FCS |  |
| 73 | 334.4 | Meters and Meter Installations |  | 240,171 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 240,171 |  | - |  | - | FCM |  |
| 74 | 335.4 | Hydrants |  | 35,087 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 35,087 | FFH |  |
| 75 | 339.0 | Other Plant \& Equipment |  | 12,625 |  | 3,661 |  | 8,964 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | FDS |  |
| 76 |  | Total Transmission and Distribution Plant | \$ | 916,593 | \$ | 146,911 | \$ | 359,692 | \$ | - | \$ | 240,171 | \$ | 134,732 | \$ | 35,087 |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
O\&M Expenses, Depreciation Expenses and Income Functionalization at Present Revenues


## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp

Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
O\&M Expenses, Depreciation Expenses and Income Functionalization at Proposed Revenues


## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp

Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
O\&M Expenses, Depreciation Expenses and Income Functionalization at Proposed Revenues


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
O\&M Expenses, Depreciation Expenses and Income Functionalization at Proposed Revenues


## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp

Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
O\&M Expenses, Depreciation Expenses and Income Functionalization at Proposed Revenues

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Acct <br> No. | Description |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adjusted } \\ \text { Test Year(a) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Commodity } \\ & \operatorname{Cost[A]} \end{aligned}$ |  | emand [A] |  | Customer Accounts [A] |  | Customer Meters[A] |  | stomer vices[A] |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Private } \\ & \text { Fire[A] } \end{aligned}$ | Alloc. Code(b) | Labor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | 340.5 | Furniture and Equipment |  | 3,343 |  | 383 |  | 938 |  | 1,672 |  | 136 |  | 152 |  | 63 | FGPCC |  |
| 76 | 340.7 | Computer Software |  | 52,235 |  | 5,985 |  | 14,652 |  | 26,117 |  | 2,125 |  | 2,373 |  | 983 | FGPCC |  |
| 77 | 341.5 | Transportation Equipment |  | 40,377 |  | 4,626 |  | 11,326 |  | 20,189 |  | 1,643 |  | 1,834 |  | 760 | FGPCC |  |
| 78 | 343.5 | Tools,Shop and Garage Equip. |  | 29,771 |  | 3,411 |  | 8,351 |  | 14,886 |  | 1,211 |  | 1,352 |  | 560 | FGPCC |  |
| 79 | 344.5 | Laboratory Equipment |  | 329 |  | 38 |  | 92 |  | 164 |  | 13 |  | 15 |  | 6 | FGPCC |  |
| 80 | 345.5 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 5,068 |  | 581 |  | 1,421 |  | 2,534 |  | 206 |  | 230 |  | 95 | FGPCC |  |
| 81 | 346.5 | Communication Equipment |  | 74,839 |  | 8,574 |  | 20,993 |  | 37,420 |  | 3,045 |  | 3,399 |  | 1,408 | FGPCC |  |
| 82 | 347.5 | Miscellaneous Equipment |  | 115 |  | 13 |  | 32 |  | 58 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 2 | FGPCC |  |
| 83 | 347.5 | Miscellaneous Equipment - CNG |  | 15,208 |  | 1,742 |  | 4,266 |  | 7,604 |  | 619 |  | 691 |  | 286 | FGPCC |  |
| 84 | 348.5 | Other Tangible Property |  | 10,898 |  | 1,249 |  | 3,057 |  | 5,449 |  | 443 |  | 495 |  | 205 | FGPCC |  |
| 85 |  | Total General Plant | \$ | 232,183 | \$ | 26,601 | \$ | 65,130 | \$ | 116,092 | \$ | 9,446 | \$ | 10,546 | \$ | 4,368 |  |  |
| 86 |  | Subtotal Direct Depreciation Expense | \$ | 1,736,079 | \$ | 343,825 | \$ | 841,811 | \$ | 116,092 | \$ | 249,617 | \$ | 145,278 | \$ | 39,456 |  |  |
|  |  | Amortization of Property Losses | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | FGPIS |  |
| 87 | 903 | Land and Land Rights | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | FGPCC |  |
| 88 | 904 | Structures and Improvments |  | 10,982 |  | 1,258 |  | 3,081 |  | 5,491 |  | 447 |  | 499 |  | 207 | FGPCC |  |
| 89 | 940 | Office Furniture and Fixtures |  | 3,914 |  | 448 |  | 1,098 |  | 1,957 |  | 159 |  | 178 |  | 74 | FGPCC |  |
| 90 | 940.1 | Computers and Software |  | 32,081 |  | 3,676 |  | 8,999 |  | 16,040 |  | 1,305 |  | 1,457 |  | 604 | FGPCC |  |
| 91 | 940.2 | Customer First |  | 140,624 |  | 16,111 |  | 39,447 |  | 70,312 |  | 5,721 |  | 6,387 |  | 2,646 | FGPCC |  |
| 92 | 955 | Power Generation |  | 16 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 8 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 | FGPCC |  |
| 91 | 995 | Power Operated Equipment |  | 2,606 |  | 299 |  | 731 |  | 1,303 |  | 106 |  | 118 |  | 49 | FGPCC |  |
| 93 |  | Subtotal Allocated Depreciation Expense | \$ | 190,224 | \$ | 21,794 | \$ | 53,360 | \$ | 95,112 | \$ | 7,739 | \$ | 8,640 | \$ | 3,579 |  |  |
|  |  | Amortization of Regulatory Assets | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | FGPIS |  |
| 94 |  | CIAC/AIAC Amortization - General | \$ | $(407,871)$ | \$ | $(93,460)$ | \$ | $(228,824)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(33,187)$ | \$ | $(37,052)$ | \$ | $(15,348)$ | FGPIS |  |
| 95 |  | Total Depreciation \& Amortization | \$ | 1,518,431 | \$ | 272,159 | \$ | 666,346 | \$ | 211,203 | \$ | 224,169 | \$ | 116,866 | \$ | 27,687 |  |  |
|  |  | Supporting Schedules <br> (a) B-2 ; <br> (b) G-7b |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ecap Schedules } \\ & \hline \text { ] G-3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Development of Allocation Factors by Function

| Line No |  | Allocation Factor | Total (1) | Commodity <br> (2) | Demand (3) | Customer Accounts (4) | Customer Meters (5) | Customer Services <br> (6) | Fire Hydrants <br> (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | ALLOCATION RATIOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | EXTERNAL FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | DEMAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Commodity | FBC | 100.0000\% | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 9 | Demand | FECMD | 100.0000\% | 28.9992\% | 71.0008\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Pumping Equipment | FPU | 100.0000\% | 28.9992\% | 71.0008\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 12 | Distribution Storage | FDS | 100.0000\% | 28.9992\% | 71.0008\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 13 | Transmission \& Distribution Mains | FTDM | 100.0000\% | 28.9992\% | 71.0008\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 14 | Treatment Plant | FWT | 100.0000\% | 28.9992\% | 71.0008\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | CUSTOMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Customer Accounts | FCC | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 19 | Customer Meters | FCM | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 20 | Customer Services | FCS | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | FIRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Fire Hydrants | FFH | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 100.0000\% |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Purchased Power |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Purchased Power | FPP | 100.0000\% | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | INTERNAL FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Net Plant | FNP | 100.0000\% | 20.9441\% | 51.2789\% | 8.0306\% | 6.5689\% | 9.0696\% | 4.1079\% |
| 31 | Net Plant w/CIAC | FNPCA | 100.0000\% | 20.9441\% | 51.2789\% | 8.0306\% | 6.5689\% | 9.0696\% | 4.1079\% |
| 32 | Gross Plant In Service (excl Intangible and Gen.) | FGPIS | 100.0000\% | 22.9141\% | 56.1021\% | 0.0000\% | 8.1367\% | 9.0842\% | 3.7629\% |
| 33 | Total O\&M w/oA\&G Expenses | FTOMW | 100.0000\% | 29.3453\% | 47.6092\% | 15.4570\% | 2.9425\% | 3.2851\% | 1.3608\% |
| 34 | Labor | FLA | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 35 | Source of Supply Plant | FSS | 100.0000\% | 28.9992\% | 71.0008\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 36 | Pumping \& Purification Plant | FWT | 100.0000\% | 28.9992\% | 71.0008\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| 37 | Transmission \& Distrib Plant | FTD | 100.0000\% | 20.4786\% | 50.1392\% | 0.0000\% | 11.3932\% | 12.7200\% | 5.2690\% |
| 38 | General \& Admin. Plant (See Note 1) | FGPCC | 100.0000\% | 11.4570\% | 28.0511\% | 50.0000\% | 4.0683\% | 4.5421\% | 1.8815\% |
| 39 | 50/50 Labor and Gross Plant | FOT | 100.0000\% | 22.9141\% | 56.1021\% | 0.0000\% | 8.1367\% | 9.0842\% | 3.7629\% |
| 40 | Plant In Service | FPIS | 100.0000\% | 21.3198\% | 52.1987\% | 6.9577\% | 7.5705\% | 8.4521\% | 3.5011\% |
| 41 | Total O\&M w/oA\&G Expenses w/o power \& chemicals | FTOMWPC | 100.0000\% | 23.5012\% | 51.7813\% | 17.9176\% | 2.6367\% | 2.9438\% | 1.2194\% |
| 42 | General \& Admin Expenses (See Note 2) | FTOMPIS | 100.0000\% | 11.7506\% | 25.8906\% | 58.9588\% | 1.3184\% | 1.4719\% | 0.6097\% |



Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended 04/30/2023
Development of Allocation Factors by Customer Class

| Line No |  | Allocation Factor | Total (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { (2) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irrigation } \\ & \text { (4) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Standpipe/ Construction (5) | Private Fire <br> (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ALLOCATION RATIOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | EXTERNAL FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | COMMODITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Commodity (1) | CBC | 100.0000\% | 57.3329\% | 39.8329\% | 2.5993\% | 0.2350\% | 0.0000\% |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | DEMAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Demand | CMD | 100.0000\% | 59.7303\% | 38.3869\% | 1.5578\% | 0.3250\% |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | CUSTOMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Bills | CB | 100.0000\% | 89.0727\% | 8.9626\% | 0.0179\% | 0.0994\% | 1.8475\% |
| 13 | Meters | CM | 100.0000\% | 70.4918\% | 28.6306\% | 0.0351\% | 0.8425\% | 0.0000\% |
| 14 | Services | CS | 100.0000\% | 80.9244\% | 18.7759\% | 0.0333\% | 0.2664\% | 0.0000\% |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Water Sales | CRWC | 100.0000\% | 58.8833\% | 39.7599\% | 0.0937\% | 0.7504\% | 0.5127\% |
| 18 | Water Sales excluding private fire | CRWXPF | 100.0000\% | 59.1868\% | 39.9648\% | 0.0942\% | 0.7542\% | 0.0000\% |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | INTERNAL FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Hydrants | CH | 100.0000\% | 89.0727\% | 8.9626\% | 0.0179\% | 0.0994\% | 1.8475\% |
| 23 | Rate Base | CRB | 100.0000\% | 65.4190\% | 32.6986\% | 1.3507\% | 0.3074\% | 0.2243\% |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | INPUTS FOR RATIOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## SCHEDULE H

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Revenue Summary
With Annualized Revenues to Year End Number of Customers

Exhibit
Schedule H-1
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

| Line No. | Meter Size | Class | Present Revenues |  | Proposed Revenues |  | Dollar Change |  | Percent Change | Percent of Present Water Revenues | Percent of <br> Proposed Water Revenues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5/8×3/4 Inch | Residential | \$ | 3,353,558 | \$ | 4,382,611 | \$ | 1,029,054 | 30.69\% | 56.96\% | 57.39\% |
| 2 | 3/4 Inch | Residential |  | 49,595 |  | 64,003 |  | 14,408 | 29.05\% | 0.84\% | 0.84\% |
| 3 | 1 Inch | Residential |  | 6,109 |  | 7,702 |  | 1,592 | 26.06\% | 0.10\% | 0.10\% |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | Subtotal |  | 3,409,262 |  | 4,454,316 |  | 1,045,054 | 30.65\% | 57.91\% | 58.33\% |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 5/8×3/4 Inch | Commercial | \$ | 143,762 | \$ | 173,146 | \$ | 29,385 | 20.44\% | 2.44\% | 2.27\% |
| 8 | 3/4 Inch | Commercial |  | 4,162 |  | 4,886 |  | 723 | 17.38\% | 0.07\% | 0.06\% |
| 9 | 1 Inch | Commercial |  | 175,896 |  | 226,762 |  | 50,866 | 28.92\% | 2.99\% | 2.97\% |
| 10 | $11 / 2$ Inch | Commercial |  | 251,963 |  | 326,835 |  | 74,872 | 29.72\% | 4.28\% | 4.28\% |
| 11 | 2 Inch | Commercial |  | 1,354,075 |  | 1,777,071 |  | 422,996 | 31.24\% | 23.00\% | 23.27\% |
| 12 | 3 Inch | Commercial |  | 239,076 |  | 311,829 |  | 72,753 | 30.43\% | 4.06\% | 4.08\% |
| 13 | 4 Inch | Commercial |  | 71,543 |  | 92,282 |  | 20,739 | 28.99\% | 1.22\% | 1.21\% |
| 14 | 6 Inch | Commercial |  | 31,403 |  | 40,602 |  | 9,199 | 29.29\% | 0.53\% | 0.53\% |
| 15 | 8 Inch | Commercial |  | 21,085 |  | 26,771 |  | 5,686 | 26.96\% | 0.36\% | 0.35\% |
| 16 |  | Subtotal | \$ | 2,292,965 | \$ | 2,980,184 | \$ | 687,219 | 29.97\% | 38.95\% | 39.03\% |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1 Inch | Irrigation |  | 5,271 |  | 7,114 |  | 1,843 | 34.97\% | 0.09\% | 0.09\% |
| 19 | 3 Inch | Hydrant |  | 43,502 |  | 47,157 |  | 3,655 | 8.40\% | 0.74\% | 0.62\% |
| 20 |  | Fire Lines 4 Inch |  | 17,150 |  | 21,095 |  | 3,945 | 23.00\% | 0.29\% | 0.28\% |
| 21 |  | Fire Lines 6 Inch |  | 9,188 |  | 11,301 |  | 2,113 | 23.00\% | 0.16\% | 0.15\% |
| 22 |  | Fire Lines 8 Inch |  | 3,243 |  | 3,989 |  | 746 | 23.00\% | 0.06\% | 0.05\% |
| 23 | Total Revenue | re Annualization | \$ | 5,780,581 | \$ | 7,525,156 | \$ | 1,744,575 | 30.18\% | 98.19\% | 98.54\% |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Revenue Summary
With Annualized Revenues to Year End Number of Customers
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| Line No. | Meter |  | Revenue Annualization |  |  |  |  |  | Percent Change | Additional Bills | Additional Gallons to be Pumped (In 1,000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Present Revenues |  | Proposed Revenues |  | Dollar Change |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5/8X3/4 Inch | Residential | \$ | 5,471 | \$ | 7,116 |  | 1,645 | 30.06\% | 196 | 1,037 |
| 2 | 3/4 Inch | Residential |  | (413) |  | (532) |  | (119) | 0.00\% | (11) | (63) |
| 3 | 1 Inch | Residential |  | (561) |  | (717) |  | (156) | 0.00\% | (7) | (88) |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | Subtotal | \$ | 4,497 | \$ | 5,867 |  | 1,370 | 30.46\% | 178 | 886 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 5/8X3/4 Inch | Commercial | \$ | 555 | \$ | 613 |  | 58 | 10.47\% | 16 | 93 |
| 8 | 3/4 Inch | Commercial |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% | - | - |
| 9 | 1 Inch | Commercial |  | (499) |  | (634) |  | (135) | 0.00\% | (6) | (80) |
| 10 | $11 / 2$ Inch | Commercial |  | (652) |  | (852) |  | (200) | 0.00\% | (4) | (109) |
| 11 | 2 Inch | Commercial |  | 19,679 |  | 24,963 |  | 5,284 | 26.85\% | 55 | 3,929 |
| 12 | 3 Inch | Commercial |  | $(6,973)$ |  | $(8,944)$ |  | $(1,971)$ | 0.00\% | (10) | $(1,394)$ |
| 13 | 4 Inch | Commercial |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% | - | - |
| 14 | 6 Inch | Commercial |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% | - | - |
| 15 | 8 Inch | Commercial |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% | - | - |
| 16 |  | Subtotal | \$ | 12,111 | \$ | 15,147 |  | 3,036 | 25.07\% | 51 | 2,438 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1 Inch | Irrigation |  | 162 |  | 205 |  | 43 | 26.50\% | 1 | 36 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | Fire Lines 4 Inch |  | 10 |  | 12 |  | 2 | 23.00\% | 1 | - |
| 21 |  | Fire Lines 6 Inch |  | 135 |  | 166 |  | 31 | 23.00\% | 8 | - |
| 22 |  | Fire Lines 8 Inch |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% | - | - |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Total Revenue | alization | \$ | 16,916 | \$ | 21,398 | \$ | 4,482 | 26.50\% | 239 | 3,359 |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Revenue Summary
With Annualized Revenues to Year End Number of Customers
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|  | Present <br> Revenues | Proposed <br> Revenues |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Reconcilation of Revenues
Metered Revenues per GL
Add: Tax Reform Credit
Add(Subtract): Revenue Accrual Correction

## Total

Revenues from Rate Book before Annualization
Difference
Tolerance (+/- 1/2\%)
\$ 5,510,024
214,773
$\begin{array}{r}56,000 \\ \hline \$ \quad 5,780,796\end{array}$
\$ 5,780,581
\$ 215
\$ 28,904

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Customer Summary

## Exhibit

Schedule H-2
Page 1
Witness: Bourassa

| Line |
| :---: |
| No. |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |


| (a) <br> Average Number of Customers |  |  | Avera | ge |  | Proposed Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at 4/30/2023 | Average Consumption |  | Present Rates |  | Proposed Rates | Dollar Amount | Percent Amount |
| 9,444 | 5,274 | \$ | 27.87 | \$ | 36.24 | 8.37 | 30.04\% |
| 108 | 5,479 |  | 36.90 |  | 47.45 | 10.55 | 28.59\% |
| 7 | 11,121 |  | 74.65 |  | 96.44 | 21.79 | 29.19\% |
| 9,558 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344 | 5,416 | \$ | 33.33 | \$ | 36.81 | 3.47 | 10.42\% |
| 4 | 17,584 |  | 85.46 |  | 93.55 | 8.09 | 9.46\% |
| 169 | 13,581 |  | 83.48 |  | 106.28 | 22.80 | 27.32\% |
| 109 | 33,111 |  | 183.82 |  | 236.38 | 52.56 | 28.59\% |
| 299 | 72,926 |  | 363.17 |  | 458.05 | 94.88 | 26.12\% |
| 28 | 137,558 |  | 690.57 |  | 882.90 | 192.33 | 27.85\% |
| 6 | 182,423 |  | 958.65 |  | 1,249.41 | 290.76 | 30.33\% |
| 2 | 163,209 |  | 1,308.01 |  | 1,691.75 | 383.74 | 29.34\% |
| 1 | 142,240 |  | 1,755.16 |  | 2,230.92 | 475.76 | 27.11\% |
| 962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 53,301 |  | 226.07 |  | 295.26 | 69.19 | 30.60\% |
| 11 | 19,392 |  | 339.86 |  | 368.41 | 28.56 | 8.40\% |
| 143 | - | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 12.30 | 2.30 | 23.00\% |
| 45 | - | \$ | 16.89 | \$ | 20.77 | 3.88 | 23.00\% |
| 10 | 0 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 | 6.21552 | 23.00\% |
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## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Present and Proposed Rates
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Schedule H-3
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| Line No. | Monthly Usage Charge for: | Present Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  | Proposed Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  | Change | Percent <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Meter Size (All Classes): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 5/8 Inch | 16.89 | \$ | 20.77 | \$ | 3.88 | 22.97\% |
| 2 | 3/4 Inch | 25.34 |  | 31.16 |  | 5.82 | 22.97\% |
| 3 | 1 Inch | 42.23 |  | 51.93 |  | 9.70 | 22.97\% |
| 4 | 1 1/2 Inch | 84.45 |  | 103.85 |  | 19.40 | 22.97\% |
| 5 | 2 Inch | 135.12 |  | 166.16 |  | 31.04 | 22.97\% |
| 6 | 3 Inch | 270.24 |  | 332.32 |  | 62.08 | 22.97\% |
| 7 | 4 Inch | 422.25 |  | 519.25 |  | 97.00 | 22.97\% |
| 8 | 6 Inch | 844.50 |  | 1,038.50 |  | 194.00 | 22.97\% |
| 9 | 8 Inch | 1,351.20 |  | 1,661.60 |  | 310.40 | 22.97\% |
| 10 | 10 Inch | 1,942.35 |  | 2,388.55 |  | 446.20 | 22.97\% |
| 11 | 12 Inch | 3,631.35 |  | 4,465.55 |  | 834.20 | 22.97\% |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Low Income Tariff - A 15\% discount is available to qualified residential customers meeting the low income qualifications. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Fire Lines 8 Inch (R-14-2-408.B) | Per Rule* |  | Per Rule* |  |  |  |
| 16 | Fire Lines 10 Inch (R-14-2-408.B) | Per Rule* |  | Per Rule* |  |  |  |
| 17 | Fire Lines 12 Inch (R-14-2-408.B) | Per Rule* |  | Per Rule* |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | * Note 1: $2 \%$ of the equivalent monthly meter size or $\$ 10$ whichever is greater for all meter sizes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Gallons In Minimum (All Classes) | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Commodity rates - see pages 2 and 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | ${ }^{1}$ Customer assistance (Low income) Tariff - A 15\% discount is available on monthly minimum and commodity charges to qualified residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | customers meeting the low income qualifications. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | NT = No Tariff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Present and Proposed Rates
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## Commodity Rates

## (All Classes) <br> 5/8×3/4 Inch Residential

5/8x3/4 Inch Commercial

5/8x3/4 Inch All Classes (Except Standpipe)

3/4 Inch Residential

3/4 Inch Commercial

3/4 Inch All Classes (except Standpipe)

1 Inch Meter All Classes (Except Standpipe)

1 Inch Meter All Classes (Except Standpipe)
1.5 Inch Meter All Classes (Except Standpipe)

0 gallons to 4,000 gallons 4,000 gallons to 10,000 gallons over 10,000 gallons

0 gallons to 4,000 gallons over 4,000 gallons

0 gallons to 4,000 gallons 4,000 gallons to 10,000 gallons over 10,000 gallons

0 gallons to 4,000 gallons 4,000 gallons to 10,000 gallons over 10,000 gallons

0 gallons to 4,000 gallons over 4,000 gallons

0 gallons to 4,000 gallons 4,000 gallons to 10,000 gallons over 10,000 gallons

0 gallons to 10,000 gallons over 10,000 gallons

0 gallons to 25,000 gallons over 25,000 gallons

0 gallons to 26,000 gallons over 26,000 gallons
1.5 Inch Meter All Classes (Except Standpipe)

0 gallons to 50,000 gallons over 50,000 gallons

0 gallons to 45,000 gallons over 45,000 gallons

0 gallons to 80,000 gallons over 80,000 gallons
(Per 1,000 gallons)


| $\$$ | 1.84 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 2.84 |
| $\$$ | 3.59 |
|  |  |
| $\$$ | 2.84 |
| $\$$ | 3.59 |


|  |  | \$ | 2.59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ | 4.00 |
|  |  | \$ | 5.06 |
| \$ | 2.84 |  |  |
|  | 3.59 |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | 4.00 |
|  |  | \$ | 5.06 |
| \$ | 2.84 |  |  |
|  | 3.59 |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | 4.00 |
|  |  | \$ | 5.06 |
| \$ | 2.84 |  |  |
|  | 3.59 |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | 4.00 |
|  |  | \$ | 5.06 |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Present and Proposed Rates
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## Commodity Rates

(All Classes)


${ }^{1}$ Customer assistance (Low income) Tariff - A 15\% discount is available on monthly minimum and commodity charges to qualified residential customers meeting the low income qualifications.

NT $=$ No Tariff

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Changes in Representative Rate Schedules
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023

Exhibit
Schedule H-3
Page 4
Witness: Bourassa

| Line No. | Other Service Charges | Present Rates |  | Proposed Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Establishment | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 30.00 |
| 2 | Reestablishment (within 12 months) | (a) |  | (a) |  |
| 3 | Reconnection (Delinquent) | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 30.00 |
| 4 | Meter test (If Correct) | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 30.00 |
| 5 | Meter Reread (if Correct) | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 30.00 |
| 6 | Deposit | (c) |  | (c) |  |
| 7 | Deposit Interest | 6\%(c) |  | 6\%(c) |  |
| 8 | NSF Check | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| 9 | Late Payment Penalty | 1.5\% per month |  | 1.5\% per month(b) |  |
| 10 | Deferred Payment (R-01-2-409.G) | 1.5\% per month |  | 1.5\% per month |  |
| 11 | Moving meter at customer request (R-14-2-405.B) |  |  | at Cost |  |
| 12 | After Hours Service Charge (d) | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 90.00 |
| 13 | Road Cutting or Boring | NT |  | at Cost |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | (a) Minimum charge times number of full months off the system per A.A.C. R-14-2-403 (D). |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | (b) Greater of \$5.00 or $1.50 \%$ of unpaoid balance whichever is greater. |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | (c) Per Commission Rule A.A.C. R14-2-403(B): |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Residential - two times the average bill; |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Commercial - two and one-half times the average bill. |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | (d) The After-Hours Service Charge shall apply to any service requested by customer that is performed by Company after regular business hours and shall be in addition to the regular business hours service charge. |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | IN ADDITION TO THE COLLECTION OF REGULAR RATES, THE UTILITY WILL COLLECT FROM |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | ITS CUSTOMERS A PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF ANY PRIVILEGE, SALES, USE, AND FRANCHISE |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | TAX. PER COMMISSION RULE 14-2-409D(5). |  |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023

Meter and Service Line Charges

## Exhibit

Schedule H-3

## Page 5

Witness: Bourassa

## Refundable Meter and Service Line Charges

|  | Present |  |  | Proposed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present | Meter |  | Proposed | Meter |  |
|  | Service Line | Installation | Total Present | Service Line | Installation | Total Proposed |
|  | Charge | Charge | Charge | Charge | Charge | Charge |
| 5/8 x 3/4 Inch | 1,765.00 | 105.00 | 1,870.00 | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3/4 Inch | 1,765.00 | 180.00 | 1,945.00 | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 1 Inch | 1,765.00 | 240.00 | 2,005.00 | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 1 1/2 Inch | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 2 Inch Turbine | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 2 Inch Compound | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3 Inch Turbine | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 3 Inch Compound | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 4 Inch Turbine | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 4 Inch Compound | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 6 inch Turbine | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 6 inch Compound | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |
| 8 Inch \& Larger | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost | At Cost |



|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates |  |
| Meter Size: | $5 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ Inch Residential |

5/8×3/4 Inch Residential

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed <br> Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 16.89 | \$ | 20.77 | \$ | 3.88 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 18.73 |  | 23.36 |  | 4.63 | 24.73\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 20.57 |  | 25.96 |  | 5.39 | 26.18\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 16.89 |
| 3,000 |  | 22.41 |  | 28.55 |  | 6.14 | 27.39\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 24.25 |  | 31.14 |  | 6.89 | 28.41\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 27.09 |  | 35.14 |  | 8.05 | 29.73\% | Up to | 4,000 | \$ | 1.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 29.93 |  | 39.15 |  | 9.22 | 30.79\% | Up to | 10,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 7,000 |  | 32.77 |  | 43.15 |  | 10.38 | 31.67\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 8,000 |  | 35.61 |  | 47.15 |  | 11.54 | 32.41\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 38.45 |  | 51.15 |  | 12.70 | 33.04\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 41.29 |  | 55.16 |  | 13.87 | 33.58\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 48.47 |  | 65.28 |  | 16.81 | 34.68\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 55.65 |  | 75.41 |  | 19.76 | 35.50\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 20.77 |
| 16,000 |  | 62.83 |  | 85.53 |  | 22.70 | 36.13\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 18,000 |  | 70.01 |  | 95.66 |  | 25.65 | 36.63\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 77.19 |  | 105.78 |  | 28.59 | 37.04\% | Up to | 4,000 | \$ | 2.59 |
| 25,000 |  | 95.14 |  | 131.09 |  | 35.95 | 37.79\% | Up to | 10,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 30,000 |  | 113.09 |  | 156.41 |  | 43.32 | 38.30\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 35,000 |  | 131.04 |  | 181.72 |  | 50.68 | 38.67\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 148.99 |  | 207.03 |  | 58.04 | 38.96\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 166.94 |  | 232.35 |  | 65.41 | 39.18\% | Over |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 184.89 |  | 257.66 |  | 72.77 | 39.36\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 220.79 |  | 308.28 |  | 87.49 | 39.63\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 256.69 |  | 358.91 |  | 102.22 | 39.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 292.59 |  | 409.53 |  | 116.94 | 39.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 328.49 |  | 460.16 |  | 131.67 | 40.08\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 364.39 |  | 510.79 |  | 146.40 | 40.18\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5,274 | \$ | 27.87 | \$ | 36.24 | \$ | 8.37 | 30.04\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3,500 | \$ | 23.33 | \$ | 29.84 | \$ | 6.51 | 27.92\% |  |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

 Bill Comparison Present and Proposed RatesMeter Size: 3/4 Inch Residential

| Usage | Present Bill |  | ProposedBill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 25.34 | \$ | 31.16 | \$ | 5.82 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 27.18 |  | 33.75 |  | 6.57 | 24.19\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 29.02 |  | 36.34 |  | 7.33 | 25.25\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 25.34 |
| 3,000 |  | 30.86 |  | 38.93 |  | 8.08 | 26.18\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 32.70 |  | 41.53 |  | 8.83 | 27.01\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 35.54 |  | 45.53 |  | 9.99 | 28.12\% | Up to | 4,000 | \$ | 1.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 38.38 |  | 49.53 |  | 11.16 | 29.07\% | Up to | 10,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 7,000 |  | 41.22 |  | 53.53 |  | 12.32 | 29.89\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 8,000 |  | 44.06 |  | 57.54 |  | 13.48 | 30.60\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 46.90 |  | 61.54 |  | 14.64 | 31.23\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 49.74 |  | 65.54 |  | 15.81 | 31.78\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 56.92 |  | 75.67 |  | 18.75 | 32.95\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 64.10 |  | 85.79 |  | 21.70 | 33.85\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 31.16 |
| 16,000 |  | 71.28 |  | 95.92 |  | 24.64 | 34.57\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 78.46 |  | 106.04 |  | 27.59 | 35.16\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 85.64 |  | 116.17 |  | 30.53 | 35.65\% | Up to | 4,000 | \$ | 2.59 |
| 25,000 |  | 103.59 |  | 141.48 |  | 37.89 | 36.58\% | Up to | 10,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 30,000 |  | 121.54 |  | 166.79 |  | 45.26 | 37.24\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 35,000 |  | 139.49 |  | 192.10 |  | 52.62 | 37.72\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 157.44 |  | 217.42 |  | 59.98 | 38.10\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 175.39 |  | 242.73 |  | 67.35 | 38.40\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 193.34 |  | 268.04 |  | 74.71 | 38.64\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 229.24 |  | 318.67 |  | 89.43 | 39.01\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 265.14 |  | 369.29 |  | 104.16 | 39.29\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 301.04 |  | 419.92 |  | 118.88 | 39.49\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 336.94 |  | 470.55 |  | 133.61 | 39.65\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 372.84 |  | 521.17 |  | 148.34 | 39.79\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5,479 | \$ | 36.90 | \$ | 47.45 | \$ | 10.55 | 28.59\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage4,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 34.12 | \$ | 43.53 | \$ | 9.41 | 27.59\% |  |  |  |  |


|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates |  |
| Meter Size: | 1 Inch Residential |

1 Inch Residential

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | 42.23 | \$ | 51.93 | \$ | 9.70 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 45.07 |  | 55.93 |  | 10.86 | 24.10\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 47.91 |  | 59.93 |  | 12.03 | 25.10\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 42.23 |
| 3,000 |  | 50.75 |  | 63.93 |  | 13.19 | 25.99\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 53.59 |  | 67.94 |  | 14.35 | 26.78\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 56.43 |  | 71.94 |  | 15.51 | 27.49\% | Up to | 10,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 59.27 |  | 75.94 |  | 16.68 | 28.14\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 62.11 |  | 79.94 |  | 17.84 | 28.72\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 64.95 |  | 83.95 |  | 19.00 | 29.26\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 67.79 |  | 87.95 |  | 20.16 | 29.75\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 70.63 |  | 91.95 |  | 21.33 | 30.20\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 77.81 |  | 99.96 |  | 22.15 | 28.47\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 84.99 |  | 107.96 |  | 22.98 | 27.04\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 51.93 |
| 16,000 |  | 92.17 |  | 115.97 |  | 23.80 | 25.82\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 99.35 |  | 123.97 |  | 24.63 | 24.79\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 106.53 |  | 131.98 |  | 25.45 | 23.89\% | Up to | 25,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 124.48 |  | 151.99 |  | 27.51 | 22.10\% | Over | 25,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 142.43 |  | 177.30 |  | 34.88 | 24.49\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 160.38 |  | 202.61 |  | 42.24 | 26.34\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 178.33 |  | 227.93 |  | 49.60 | 27.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 196.28 |  | 253.24 |  | 56.97 | 29.02\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 214.23 |  | 278.55 |  | 64.33 | 30.03\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 250.13 |  | 329.18 |  | 79.05 | 31.61\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 286.03 |  | 379.80 |  | 93.78 | 32.79\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 321.93 |  | 430.43 |  | 108.50 | 33.70\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 357.83 |  | 481.06 |  | 123.23 | 34.44\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 393.73 |  | 531.68 |  | 137.96 | 35.04\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11,121 | \$ | 74.65 | \$ | 96.44 | \$ | 21.79 | 29.19\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8,500 | \$ | 66.37 | \$ | 85.95 | \$ | 19.58 | 29.51\% |  |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates
Meter Size: 5/8 Inch Commercial

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 16.89 | \$ | 20.77 | \$ | 3.88 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 19.73 |  | 23.36 |  | 3.63 | 18.41\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 22.57 |  | 25.96 |  | 3.39 | 15.00\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 16.89 |
| 3,000 |  | 25.41 |  | 28.55 |  | 3.14 | 12.35\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 28.25 |  | 31.14 |  | 2.89 | 10.23\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 31.84 |  | 35.14 |  | 3.30 | 10.37\% | Up to | 4,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 35.43 |  | 39.15 |  | 3.72 | 10.49\% | Over | 4,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 39.02 |  | 43.15 |  | 4.13 | 10.58\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 42.61 |  | 47.15 |  | 4.54 | 10.66\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 46.20 |  | 51.15 |  | 4.95 | 10.72\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 49.79 |  | 55.16 |  | 5.37 | 10.78\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 56.97 |  | 65.28 |  | 8.31 | 14.59\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 64.15 |  | 75.41 |  | 11.26 | 17.55\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 20.77 |
| 16,000 |  | 71.33 |  | 85.53 |  | 14.20 | 19.91\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 78.51 |  | 95.66 |  | 17.15 | 21.84\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 85.69 |  | 105.78 |  | 20.09 | 23.45\% | Up to | 4,000 | \$ | 2.59 |
| 25,000 |  | 103.64 |  | 131.09 |  | 27.45 | 26.49\% | Up to | 10,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 30,000 |  | 121.59 |  | 156.41 |  | 34.82 | 28.63\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 35,000 |  | 139.54 |  | 181.72 |  | 42.18 | 30.23\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 157.49 |  | 207.03 |  | 49.54 | 31.46\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 175.44 |  | 232.35 |  | 56.91 | 32.44\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 193.39 |  | 257.66 |  | 64.27 | 33.23\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 229.29 |  | 308.28 |  | 78.99 | 34.45\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 265.19 |  | 358.91 |  | 93.72 | 35.34\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 301.09 |  | 409.53 |  | 108.44 | 36.02\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 336.99 |  | 460.16 |  | 123.17 | 36.55\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 372.89 |  | 510.79 |  | 137.90 | 36.98\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5,416$ | \$ | 33.33 | \$ | 36.81 | \$ | 3.47 | 10.42\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,500 | \$ | 21.15 | \$ | 24.66 | \$ | 3.51 | 16.59\% |  |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates
Meter Size:
3/4 Inch Commercial

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 25.34 | \$ | 20.77 | \$ | (4.57) | -18.02\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 28.18 |  | 23.36 |  | (4.81) | -17.08\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 31.02 |  | 25.96 |  | (5.06) | -16.31\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 25.34 |
| 3,000 |  | 33.86 |  | 28.55 |  | (5.31) | -15.68\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 4,000 |  | 36.70 |  | 31.14 |  | (5.55) | -15.14\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 40.29 |  | 35.14 |  | (5.14) | -12.76\% | Up to | 4,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 43.88 |  | 39.15 |  | (4.73) | -10.78\% | Over | 4,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 47.47 |  | 43.15 |  | (4.32) | -9.10\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 51.06 |  | 47.15 |  | (3.90) | -7.65\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 54.65 |  | 51.15 |  | (3.49) | -6.39\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 58.24 |  | 55.16 |  | (3.08) | -5.29\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 65.42 |  | 65.28 |  | (0.13) | -0.21\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 72.60 |  | 75.41 |  | 2.81 | 3.87\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 20.77 |
| 16,000 |  | 79.78 |  | 85.53 |  | 5.76 | 7.22\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 86.96 |  | 95.66 |  | 8.70 | 10.01\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 94.14 |  | 105.78 |  | 11.65 | 12.37\% | Up to | 4,000 | \$ | 2.59 |
| 25,000 |  | 112.09 |  | 131.09 |  | 19.01 | 16.96\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 30,000 |  | 130.04 |  | 156.41 |  | 26.37 | 20.28\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 35,000 |  | 147.99 |  | 181.72 |  | 33.73 | 22.80\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 165.94 |  | 207.03 |  | 41.10 | 24.77\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 183.89 |  | 232.35 |  | 48.46 | 26.35\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 201.84 |  | 257.66 |  | 55.82 | 27.66\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 237.74 |  | 308.28 |  | 70.55 | 29.68\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 273.64 |  | 358.91 |  | 85.27 | 31.16\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 309.54 |  | 409.53 |  | 100.00 | 32.31\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 345.44 |  | 460.16 |  | 114.73 | 33.21\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 381.34 |  | 510.79 |  | 129.45 | 33.95\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17,584 | \$ | 85.46 | \$ | 93.55 | \$ | 8.09 | 9.46\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,000 | \$ | 31.02 | \$ | 25.96 | \$ | (5.06) | -16.31\% |  |  |  |  |


|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates |  |
| Meter Size: | 1 Inch Commercial |

1 Inch Commercial

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 42.23 | \$ | 51.93 | \$ | 9.70 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 45.07 |  | 55.93 |  | 10.86 | 24.10\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 47.91 |  | 59.93 |  | 12.03 | 25.10\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 42.23 |
| 3,000 |  | 50.75 |  | 63.93 |  | 13.19 | 25.99\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 4,000 |  | 53.59 |  | 67.94 |  | 14.35 | 26.78\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 56.43 |  | 71.94 |  | 15.51 | 27.49\% | Up to | 10,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 59.27 |  | 75.94 |  | 16.68 | 28.14\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 62.11 |  | 79.94 |  | 17.84 | 28.72\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 64.95 |  | 83.95 |  | 19.00 | 29.26\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 67.79 |  | 87.95 |  | 20.16 | 29.75\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 70.63 |  | 91.95 |  | 21.33 | 30.20\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 77.81 |  | 99.96 |  | 22.15 | 28.47\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 84.99 |  | 107.96 |  | 22.98 | 27.04\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 51.93 |
| 16,000 |  | 92.17 |  | 115.97 |  | 23.80 | 25.82\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 99.35 |  | 123.97 |  | 24.63 | 24.79\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 106.53 |  | 131.98 |  | 25.45 | 23.89\% | Up to | 25,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 124.48 |  | 151.99 |  | 27.51 | 22.10\% | Over | 25,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 142.43 |  | 177.30 |  | 34.88 | 24.49\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 160.38 |  | 202.61 |  | 42.24 | 26.34\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 178.33 |  | 227.93 |  | 49.60 | 27.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 196.28 |  | 253.24 |  | 56.97 | 29.02\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 214.23 |  | 278.55 |  | 64.33 | 30.03\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 250.13 |  | 329.18 |  | 79.05 | 31.61\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 286.03 |  | 379.80 |  | 93.78 | 32.79\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 321.93 |  | 430.43 |  | 108.50 | 33.70\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 357.83 |  | 481.06 |  | 123.23 | 34.44\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 393.73 |  | 531.68 |  | 137.96 | 35.04\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13,581 | \$ | 83.48 | \$ | 106.28 | \$ | 22.80 | 27.32\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6,500 | \$ | 60.69 | \$ | 77.94 | \$ | 17.26 | 28.44\% |  |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates
Meter Size:
1 1/2 Inch Commercial

| Usage | Present <br> Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 84.45 | \$ | 103.85 | \$ | 19.40 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 87.29 |  | 107.85 |  | 20.56 | 23.56\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 90.13 |  | 111.86 |  | 21.73 | 24.10\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 84.45 |
| 3,000 |  | 92.97 |  | 115.86 |  | 22.89 | 24.62\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 95.81 |  | 119.86 |  | 24.05 | 25.10\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 98.65 |  | 123.86 |  | 25.21 | 25.56\% | Up to | 26,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 101.49 |  | 127.87 |  | 26.38 | 25.99\% | Over | 26,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 104.33 |  | 131.87 |  | 27.54 | 26.40\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 107.17 |  | 135.87 |  | 28.70 | 26.78\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 110.01 |  | 139.87 |  | 29.86 | 27.15\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 112.85 |  | 143.88 |  | 31.03 | 27.49\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 118.53 |  | 151.88 |  | 33.35 | 28.14\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 124.21 |  | 159.89 |  | 35.68 | 28.72\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 103.85 |
| 16,000 |  | 129.89 |  | 167.89 |  | 38.00 | 29.26\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 18,000 |  | 135.57 |  | 175.90 |  | 40.33 | 29.75\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 141.25 |  | 183.90 |  | 42.65 | 30.20\% | Up to | 50,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 155.45 |  | 203.91 |  | 48.46 | 31.18\% | Over | 50,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 172.65 |  | 223.93 |  | 51.28 | 29.70\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 190.60 |  | 243.94 |  | 53.34 | 27.99\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 208.55 |  | 263.95 |  | 55.40 | 26.57\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 226.50 |  | 283.97 |  | 57.47 | 25.37\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 244.45 |  | 303.98 |  | 59.53 | 24.35\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 280.35 |  | 354.60 |  | 74.25 | 26.49\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 316.25 |  | 405.23 |  | 88.98 | 28.14\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 352.15 |  | 455.85 |  | 103.70 | 29.45\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 388.05 |  | 506.48 |  | 118.43 | 30.52\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 423.95 |  | 557.11 |  | 133.16 | 31.41\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33,111 | \$ | 183.82 | \$ | 236.38 | \$ | 52.56 | 28.59\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17,500 | \$ | 134.15 | \$ | 173.89 | \$ | 39.74 | 29.63\% |  |  |  |  |


|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates |  |
| Meter Size: | 2 Inch Commercial | 2 Inch Commercial


| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed <br> Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 135.12 | \$ | 166.16 | \$ | 31.04 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 137.96 |  | 170.16 |  | 32.20 | 23.34\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 140.80 |  | 174.17 |  | 33.37 | 23.70\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 135.12 |
| 3,000 |  | 143.64 |  | 178.17 |  | 34.53 | 24.04\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 146.48 |  | 182.17 |  | 35.69 | 24.37\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 149.32 |  | 186.17 |  | 36.85 | 24.68\% | Up to | 45,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 152.16 |  | 190.18 |  | 38.02 | 24.98\% | Over | 45,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 155.00 |  | 194.18 |  | 39.18 | 25.28\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 157.84 |  | 198.18 |  | 40.34 | 25.56\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 160.68 |  | 202.18 |  | 41.50 | 25.83\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 163.52 |  | 206.19 |  | 42.67 | 26.09\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 169.20 |  | 214.19 |  | 44.99 | 26.59\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 174.88 |  | 222.20 |  | 47.32 | 27.06\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 166.16 |
| 16,000 |  | 180.56 |  | 230.20 |  | 49.64 | 27.49\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 18,000 |  | 186.24 |  | 238.21 |  | 51.97 | 27.90\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 191.92 |  | 246.21 |  | 54.29 | 28.29\% | Up to | 80,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 206.12 |  | 266.22 |  | 60.10 | 29.16\% | Over | 80,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 220.32 |  | 286.24 |  | 65.92 | 29.92\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 234.52 |  | 306.25 |  | 71.73 | 30.59\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 248.72 |  | 326.26 |  | 77.54 | 31.18\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 262.92 |  | 346.28 |  | 83.36 | 31.70\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 280.87 |  | 366.29 |  | 85.42 | 30.41\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 316.77 |  | 406.31 |  | 89.54 | 28.27\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 352.67 |  | 446.34 |  | 93.67 | 26.56\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 388.57 |  | 486.36 |  | 97.79 | 25.17\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 424.47 |  | 536.99 |  | 112.52 | 26.51\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 460.37 |  | 587.62 |  | 127.25 | 27.64\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72,926 | \$ | 363.17 | \$ | 458.05 | \$ | 94.88 | 26.12\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29,500 | \$ | 218.90 | \$ | 284.24 | \$ | 65.34 | 29.85\% |  |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates <br> Meter Size: 3 Inch Commercia

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 270.24 | \$ | 332.32 | \$ | 62.08 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 273.08 |  | 336.32 |  | 63.24 | 23.16\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 275.92 |  | 340.33 |  | 64.41 | 23.34\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 270.24 |
| 3,000 |  | 278.76 |  | 344.33 |  | 65.57 | 23.52\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 281.60 |  | 348.33 |  | 66.73 | 23.70\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 284.44 |  | 352.33 |  | 67.89 | 23.87\% | Up to | 98,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 287.28 |  | 356.34 |  | 69.06 | 24.04\% | Over | 98,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 290.12 |  | 360.34 |  | 70.22 | 24.20\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 292.96 |  | 364.34 |  | 71.38 | 24.37\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 295.80 |  | 368.34 |  | 72.54 | 24.52\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 298.64 |  | 372.35 |  | 73.71 | 24.68\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 304.32 |  | 380.35 |  | 76.03 | 24.98\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 310.00 |  | 388.36 |  | 78.36 | 25.28\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 332.32 |
| 16,000 |  | 315.68 |  | 396.36 |  | 80.68 | 25.56\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 321.36 |  | 404.37 |  | 83.01 | 25.83\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 327.04 |  | 412.37 |  | 85.33 | 26.09\% | Up to | 160,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 341.24 |  | 432.38 |  | 91.14 | 26.71\% | Over | 160,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 355.44 |  | 452.40 |  | 96.96 | 27.28\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 369.64 |  | 472.41 |  | 102.77 | 27.80\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 383.84 |  | 492.42 |  | 108.58 | 28.29\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 398.04 |  | 512.44 |  | 114.40 | 28.74\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 412.24 |  | 532.45 |  | 120.21 | 29.16\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 440.64 |  | 572.47 |  | 131.83 | 29.92\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 469.04 |  | 612.50 |  | 143.46 | 30.59\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 497.44 |  | 652.52 |  | 155.08 | 31.18\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 525.84 |  | 692.55 |  | 166.71 | 31.70\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 555.74 |  | 732.58 |  | 176.84 | 31.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 137,558 | \$ | 690.57 | \$ | 882.90 | \$ | 192.33 | 27.85\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 505.96 | \$ | 664.53 | \$ | 158.57 | 31.34\% |  |  |  |  |


|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates |  |
| Meter Size: | 4 Inch Commercial | 4 Inch Commercial


| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 422.25 | \$ | 519.25 | \$ | 97.00 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 425.09 |  | 523.25 |  | 98.16 | 23.09\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 427.93 |  | 527.26 |  | 99.33 | 23.21\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 422.25 |
| 3,000 |  | 430.77 |  | 531.26 |  | 100.49 | 23.33\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 433.61 |  | 535.26 |  | 101.65 | 23.44\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 436.45 |  | 539.26 |  | 102.81 | 23.56\% | Up to | 158,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 439.29 |  | 543.27 |  | 103.98 | 23.67\% | Over | 158,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 442.13 |  | 547.27 |  | 105.14 | 23.78\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 444.97 |  | 551.27 |  | 106.30 | 23.89\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 447.81 |  | 555.27 |  | 107.46 | 24.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 450.65 |  | 559.28 |  | 108.63 | 24.10\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 456.33 |  | 567.28 |  | 110.95 | 24.31\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 462.01 |  | 575.29 |  | 113.28 | 24.52\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 519.25 |
| 16,000 |  | 467.69 |  | 583.29 |  | 115.60 | 24.72\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 18,000 |  | 473.37 |  | 591.30 |  | 117.93 | 24.91\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 479.05 |  | 599.30 |  | 120.25 | 25.10\% | Up to | 250,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 493.25 |  | 619.31 |  | 126.06 | 25.56\% | Over | 250,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 507.45 |  | 639.33 |  | 131.88 | 25.99\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 521.65 |  | 659.34 |  | 137.69 | 26.40\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 535.85 |  | 679.35 |  | 143.50 | 26.78\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 550.05 |  | 699.37 |  | 149.32 | 27.15\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 564.25 |  | 719.38 |  | 155.13 | 27.49\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 592.65 |  | 759.40 |  | 166.75 | 28.14\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 621.05 |  | 799.43 |  | 178.38 | 28.72\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 649.45 |  | 839.45 |  | 190.00 | 29.26\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 677.85 |  | 879.48 |  | 201.63 | 29.75\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 706.25 |  | 919.51 |  | 213.26 | 30.20\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 182,423 | \$ | 958.65 | \$ | 1,249.41 | \$ | 290.76 | 30.33\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage139,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 817.01 | \$ | 1,075.61 | \$ | 258.60 | 31.65\% |  |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

 Bill Comparison Present and Proposed RatesMeter Size: 6 Inch Commercial

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Usage }}$ | \$ | $\frac{\text { Bill }}{844.50}$ | \$ | $\frac{\text { Bill }}{1,038.50}$ | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 847.34 |  | 1,042.50 |  | 195.16 | 23.03\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 850.18 |  | 1,046.51 |  | 196.33 | 23.09\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 844.50 |
| 3,000 |  | 853.02 |  | 1,050.51 |  | 197.49 | 23.15\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 855.86 |  | 1,054.51 |  | 198.65 | 23.21\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 858.70 |  | 1,058.51 |  | 199.81 | 23.27\% | Up to | 327,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 861.54 |  | 1,062.52 |  | 200.98 | 23.33\% | Over | 327,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 864.38 |  | 1,066.52 |  | 202.14 | 23.39\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 867.22 |  | 1,070.52 |  | 203.30 | 23.44\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 870.06 |  | 1,074.52 |  | 204.46 | 23.50\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 872.90 |  | 1,078.53 |  | 205.63 | 23.56\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 878.58 |  | 1,086.53 |  | 207.95 | 23.67\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 884.26 |  | 1,094.54 |  | 210.28 | 23.78\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 1,038.50 |
| 16,000 |  | 889.94 |  | 1,102.54 |  | 212.60 | 23.89\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 18,000 |  | 895.62 |  | 1,110.55 |  | 214.93 | 24.00\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 901.30 |  | 1,118.55 |  | 217.25 | 24.10\% | Up to | 500,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 915.50 |  | 1,138.56 |  | 223.06 | 24.37\% | Over | 500,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 929.70 |  | 1,158.58 |  | 228.88 | 24.62\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 943.90 |  | 1,178.59 |  | 234.69 | 24.86\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 958.10 |  | 1,198.60 |  | 240.50 | 25.10\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 972.30 |  | 1,218.62 |  | 246.32 | 25.33\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 986.50 |  | 1,238.63 |  | 252.13 | 25.56\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 1,014.90 |  | 1,278.65 |  | 263.75 | 25.99\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 1,043.30 |  | 1,318.68 |  | 275.38 | 26.40\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 1,071.70 |  | 1,358.70 |  | 287.00 | 26.78\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 1,100.10 |  | 1,398.73 |  | 298.63 | 27.15\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 1,128.50 |  | 1,438.76 |  | 310.26 | 27.49\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 163,209 | \$ | 1,308.01 | \$ | 1,691.75 | \$ | 383.74 | 29.34\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 154,250 | \$ | 1,282.57 | \$ | 1,655.89 | \$ | 373.32 | 29.11\% |  |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates <br> Meter Size: <br> 8 Inch Commercial

| Usage |  | Present Bill |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proposed } \\ & \text { Bill } \end{aligned}$ |  | Dollar ncrease | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | \$ | 1,351.20 | \$ | 1,661.60 | \$ | 310.40 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 1,354.04 |  | 1,665.60 |  | 311.56 | 23.01\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 1,356.88 |  | 1,669.61 |  | 312.73 | 23.05\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 1,351.20 |
| 3,000 |  | 1,359.72 |  | 1,673.61 |  | 313.89 | 23.08\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 4,000 |  | 1,362.56 |  | 1,677.61 |  | 315.05 | 23.12\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 1,365.40 |  | 1,681.61 |  | 316.21 | 23.16\% | Up to | 584,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 1,368.24 |  | 1,685.62 |  | 317.38 | 23.20\% | Over | 584,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 1,371.08 |  | 1,689.62 |  | 318.54 | 23.23\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 1,373.92 |  | 1,693.62 |  | 319.70 | 23.27\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 1,376.76 |  | 1,697.62 |  | 320.86 | 23.31\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 1,379.60 |  | 1,701.63 |  | 322.03 | 23.34\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 1,385.28 |  | 1,709.63 |  | 324.35 | 23.41\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 1,390.96 |  | 1,717.64 |  | 326.68 | 23.49\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 1,661.60 |
| 16,000 |  | 1,396.64 |  | 1,725.64 |  | 329.00 | 23.56\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 1,402.32 |  | 1,733.65 |  | 331.33 | 23.63\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 1,408.00 |  | 1,741.65 |  | 333.65 | 23.70\% | Up to | 800,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 1,422.20 |  | 1,761.66 |  | 339.46 | 23.87\% | Over | 800,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 1,436.40 |  | 1,781.68 |  | 345.28 | 24.04\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 1,450.60 |  | 1,801.69 |  | 351.09 | 24.20\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 1,464.80 |  | 1,821.70 |  | 356.90 | 24.37\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 1,479.00 |  | 1,841.72 |  | 362.72 | 24.52\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 1,493.20 |  | 1,861.73 |  | 368.53 | 24.68\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 1,521.60 |  | 1,901.75 |  | 380.15 | 24.98\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 1,550.00 |  | 1,941.78 |  | 391.78 | 25.28\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 1,578.40 |  | 1,981.80 |  | 403.40 | 25.56\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 1,606.80 |  | 2,021.83 |  | 415.03 | 25.83\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 1,635.20 |  | 2,061.86 |  | 426.66 | 26.09\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142,240Median Usage | \$ | 1,755.16 | \$ | 2,230.92 | \$ | 475.76 | 27.11\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 | \$ | 1,352.62 | \$ | 1,663.60 | \$ | 310.98 | 22.99\% |  |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates <br> Meter Size: <br> 1 Inch Irrigation

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 42.23 | \$ | 51.93 | \$ | 9.70 | 22.97\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 45.07 |  | 55.93 |  | 10.86 | 24.10\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 47.91 |  | 59.93 |  | 12.03 | 25.10\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 42.23 |
| 3,000 |  | 50.75 |  | 63.93 |  | 13.19 | 25.99\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 53.59 |  | 67.94 |  | 14.35 | 26.78\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 56.43 |  | 71.94 |  | 15.51 | 27.49\% | Up to | 10,000 | \$ | 2.84 |
| 6,000 |  | 59.27 |  | 75.94 |  | 16.68 | 28.14\% | Over | 10,000 | \$ | 3.59 |
| 7,000 |  | 62.11 |  | 79.94 |  | 17.84 | 28.72\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 64.95 |  | 83.95 |  | 19.00 | 29.26\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 67.79 |  | 87.95 |  | 20.16 | 29.75\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 70.63 |  | 91.95 |  | 21.33 | 30.20\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 77.81 |  | 99.96 |  | 22.15 | 28.47\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 84.99 |  | 107.96 |  | 22.98 | 27.04\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 51.93 |
| 16,000 |  | 92.17 |  | 115.97 |  | 23.80 | 25.82\% | Gallons in Minimum |  |  | - |
| 18,000 |  | 99.35 |  | 123.97 |  | 24.63 | 24.79\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 106.53 |  | 131.98 |  | 25.45 | 23.89\% | Up to | 25,000 | \$ | 4.00 |
| 25,000 |  | 124.48 |  | 151.99 |  | 27.51 | 22.10\% | Over | 25,000 | \$ | 5.06 |
| 30,000 |  | 142.43 |  | 177.30 |  | 34.88 | 24.49\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 160.38 |  | 202.61 |  | 42.24 | 26.34\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 178.33 |  | 227.93 |  | 49.60 | 27.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 196.28 |  | 253.24 |  | 56.97 | 29.02\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 214.23 |  | 278.55 |  | 64.33 | 30.03\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 250.13 |  | 329.18 |  | 79.05 | 31.61\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 286.03 |  | 379.80 |  | 93.78 | 32.79\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 321.93 |  | 430.43 |  | 108.50 | 33.70\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 357.83 |  | 481.06 |  | 123.23 | 34.44\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 393.73 |  | 531.68 |  | 137.96 | 35.04\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53,301 | \$ | 226.07 | \$ | 295.26 | \$ | 69.19 | 30.60\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6,500 | \$ | 60.69 | \$ | 77.94 | \$ | 17.26 | 28.44\% |  |  |  |  |


|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule <br> Page <br> Witness: Bourassa | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-4 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | er Size: |  |  |  | e Lines 4 Inch |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usage |  | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase | Percent Increase |  |  |  |  |
| - | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 12.30 | \$ | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% | Present Rates: |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 10.00 |
| 3,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 4,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 6,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 7,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% | Monthly Minimum: |  | \$ | 12.30 |
| 16,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 25,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 30,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 10.00 |  | 12.30 |  | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 12.30 | \$ | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage 10.00 \$ 12.30 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 12.30 | \$ | 2.30 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |  |



| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates |  |
| Meter Size: | Fire Lines 8 Inch |

Fire Lines 8 Inch

| Usage | Present Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase |  | Percent Increase | Present Rates: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | 27.02 | \$ | 33.24 | \$ | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% | Monthly Minimum: | \$ | 27.02 |
| 3,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 4,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 6,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 7,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% | Monthly Minimum: | \$ | 33.24 |
| 16,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 18,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 25,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 30,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 27.02 |  | 33.24 |  | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Usage | \$ | 27.02 | \$ | 33.24 | \$ | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 27.02 | \$ | 33.24 | \$ | 6.22 | 23.00\% |  |  |  |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Bill Comparison Present and Proposed Rates
Meter Size: 3 Inch Hydrant

| Usage |  | resent Bill |  | Proposed Bill |  | Dollar Increase | Percent Increase |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | \$ | 270.24 | \$ | 270.24 | \$ |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| 1,000 |  | 273.83 |  | 275.30 |  | 1.47 | 0.54\% | Present Rates: |  |  |
| 2,000 |  | 277.42 |  | 280.37 |  | 2.95 | 1.06\% | Monthly Minimum: | \$ | 270.24 |
| 3,000 |  | 281.01 |  | 285.43 |  | 4.42 | 1.57\% | Gallons in Minimum |  | - |
| 4,000 |  | 284.60 |  | 290.49 |  | 5.89 | 2.07\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |
| 5,000 |  | 288.19 |  | 295.55 |  | 7.36 | 2.55\% | All gallons | \$ | 3.59 |
| 6,000 |  | 291.78 |  | 300.62 |  | 8.84 | 3.03\% |  |  |  |
| 7,000 |  | 295.37 |  | 305.68 |  | 10.31 | 3.49\% |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 298.96 |  | 310.74 |  | 11.78 | 3.94\% |  |  |  |
| 9,000 |  | 302.55 |  | 315.80 |  | 13.25 | 4.38\% |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 306.14 |  | 320.87 |  | 14.73 | 4.81\% |  |  |  |
| 12,000 |  | 313.32 |  | 330.99 |  | 17.67 | 5.64\% | Proposed Rates: |  |  |
| 14,000 |  | 320.50 |  | 341.12 |  | 20.62 | 6.43\% | Monthly Minimum: | \$ | 270.24 |
| 16,000 |  | 327.68 |  | 351.24 |  | 23.56 | 7.19\% | Gallons in Minimum |  | - |
| 18,000 |  | 334.86 |  | 361.37 |  | 26.51 | 7.92\% | Charge Per 1,000 Gallons |  |  |
| 20,000 |  | 342.04 |  | 371.49 |  | 29.45 | 8.61\% | All gallons | \$ | 5.06 |
| 25,000 |  | 359.99 |  | 396.80 |  | 36.81 | 10.23\% |  |  |  |
| 30,000 |  | 377.94 |  | 422.12 |  | 44.18 | 11.69\% |  |  |  |
| 35,000 |  | 395.89 |  | 447.43 |  | 51.54 | 13.02\% |  |  |  |
| 40,000 |  | 413.84 |  | 472.74 |  | 58.90 | 14.23\% |  |  |  |
| 45,000 |  | 431.79 |  | 498.06 |  | 66.27 | 15.35\% |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 449.74 |  | 523.37 |  | 73.63 | 16.37\% |  |  |  |
| 60,000 |  | 485.64 |  | 573.99 |  | 88.35 | 18.19\% |  |  |  |
| 70,000 |  | 521.54 |  | 624.62 |  | 103.08 | 19.76\% |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  | 557.44 |  | 675.24 |  | 117.80 | 21.13\% |  |  |  |
| 90,000 |  | 593.34 |  | 725.87 |  | 132.53 | 22.34\% |  |  |  |
| 100,000 |  | 629.24 |  | 776.50 |  | 147.26 | 23.40\% |  |  |  |
| Average Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19,392 | \$ | 339.86 | \$ | 368.41 | \$ | 28.56 | 8.40\% |  |  |  |
| Median Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3,500 | \$ | 282.81 | \$ | 287.96 | \$ | 5.15 | 1.82\% |  |  |  |






Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size: 3/4 Inch Residential

Exhibit
Schedule H-5
Pag
Witness: Bourassa

| Usage <br> From: | Usage To: | Month of May-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jun-22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jul-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Aug-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Month of Sep-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Oct-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Month of Nov-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Dec-22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jan-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Mar-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Month of Apr-23 | Total <br> Year | Cumulative Billing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumul- } \\ \text { ative } \\ \text { Gallons } \\ \text { (in 1,000's) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 11 | 11 | - |
| 1 | 1,000 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 96 | 107 | 48 |
| 1,001 | 2,000 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 184 | 291 | 324 |
| 2,001 | 3,000 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 147 | 438 | 692 |
| 3,001 | 4,000 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 150 | 588 | 1,217 |
| 4,001 | 5,000 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 13 | 18 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 149 | 737 | 1,887 |
| 5,001 | 6,000 | 17 | 12 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 142 | 879 | 2,668 |
| 6,001 | 7,000 | 8 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 107 | 986 | 3,364 |
| 7,001 | 8,000 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 60 | 1,046 | 3,814 |
| 8,001 | 9,000 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 62 | 1,108 | 4,341 |
| 9,001 | 10,000 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 42 | 1,150 | 4,740 |
| 10,001 | 11,000 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 30 | 1,180 | 5,055 |
| 11,001 | 12,000 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 14 | 1,194 | 5,216 |
| 12,001 | 13,000 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1,203 | 5,329 |
| 13,001 | 14,000 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 20 | 1,223 | 5,599 |
| 14,001 | 15,000 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 17 | 1,240 | 5,845 |
| 15,001 | 16,000 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1,247 | 5,954 |
| 16,001 | 17,000 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 8 | 1,255 | 6,086 |
| 17,001 | 18,000 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 9 | 1,264 | 6,243 |
| 18,001 | 19,000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1,271 | 6,373 |
| 19,001 | 20,000 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1,275 | 6,451 |
| 20,001 | 21,000 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | 1,280 | 6,553 |
| 21,001 | 22,000 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,281 | 6,575 |
| 22,001 | 23,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,281 | 6,575 |
| 23,001 | 24,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,281 | 6,575 |
| 24,001 | 25,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1,282 | 6,599 |
| 25,001 | 26,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,282 | 6,599 |
| 26,001 | 27,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,282 | 6,599 |
| 27,001 | 28,000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,283 | 6,627 |
| 28,001 | 29,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1,285 | 6,684 |
| 29,001 | 30,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,285 | 6,684 |
| 30,001 | 31,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,285 | 6,684 |
| 31,001 | 32,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,285 | 6,684 |
| 32,001 | 33,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1,286 | 6,716 |
| 33,001 | 34,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1,287 | 6,750 |
| 34,001 | 35,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,287 | 6,750 |
| 35,001 | 36,000 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,288 | 6,785 |
| 36,001 | 37,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,288 | 6,785 |
| 37,001 | 38,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,288 | 6,785 |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size: 3/4 Inch Residentia

Exhibit
Schedule H-5
Page 2
Witness: Bourassa

| Usage From: | Usage <br> To: | Month of May-22 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jul-22 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Month of Aug-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Sep-22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Oct-22 } \end{gathered}$ | Month of Nov-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Dec-22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jan-23 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb- } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Mar-23 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Apr-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Year |  | Cumulative Billing | ative <br> Gallons (in 1,000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38,001 | 39,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,288 | 6,785 |
| 39,001 | 40,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | 1 | 1,289 | 6,825 |
| 40,001 | 41,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 1,290 | 6,865 |
| 41,001 | 42,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | 1 | 1,291 | 6,907 |
| 42,001 | 43,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  | 1 | 1,292 | 6,949 |
| 43,001 | 44,000 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 1,293 | 6,993 |
| 44,001 | 45,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,293 | 6,993 |
| 45,001 | 46,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,293 | 6,993 |
| 46,001 | 47,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,293 | 6,993 |
| 47,001 | 48,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  | 1 | 1,294 | 7,040 |
| 48,001 | 49,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,294 | 7,040 |
| 49,001 | 50,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,294 | 7,040 |
| 50,001 | 51,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,294 | 7,040 |
| 51,001 | 52,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,294 | 7,040 |
| 52,001 | 53,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,294 | 7,040 |
| 53,001 | 54,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,294 | 7,040 |
| 54,001 | 55,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,294 | 7,040 |
| 55,001 | 56,000 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 56,001 | 57,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 57,001 | 58,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 58,001 | 59,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 59,001 | 60,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 60,001 | 61,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 61,001 | 62,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 62,001 | 63,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 63,001 | 64,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 64,001 | 65,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 65,001 | 66,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 66,001 | 67,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 67,001 | 68,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 68,001 | 69,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 69,001 | 70,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 70,001 | 71,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 71,001 | 72,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 72,001 | 73,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 73,001 | 74,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 74,001 | 75,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 75,001 | 76,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |
| 76,001 | 77,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,295 | 7,096 |



## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size:

1 Inch Residential

Exhibit
Schedule H-5
Page
Witness: Bourassa

|  |  | Total <br> Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumul- } \\ & \text { ative } \\ & \text { Billing } \end{aligned}$ | Cumul- <br> ative <br> Gallons <br> (in 1,000's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - |
| 1 | - | 17 | 19 | 9 |
| - | - | 1 | 20 | 10 |
| 1 | - | 2 | 22 | 15 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 25 | 26 |
| 1 | - | 5 | 30 | 48 |
| - | 1 | 3 | 33 | 65 |
| - | 1 | 2 | 35 | 78 |
| - | - | 1 | 36 | 85 |
| 1 | - | 4 | 40 | 119 |
| - | - | 4 | 44 | 157 |
| 1 | - | 4 | 48 | 199 |
| - | - | 1 | 49 | 211 |
| - | - | 3 | 52 | 248 |
| - | - | 1 | 53 | 262 |
| - | - | 3 | 56 | 305 |
| - | - | 2 | 58 | 336 |
| - | - | 2 | 60 | 369 |
| - | - | 1 | 61 | 387 |
| - | - | 1 | 62 | 405 |
| - | - | 3 | 65 | 464 |
| - | - | 1 | 66 | 484 |
| - | - | 1 | 67 | 506 |
| - | - | 1 | 68 | 528 |
| - | 1 | 1 | 69 | 552 |
| - | - | 3 | 72 | 625 |
| - | - | 1 | 73 | 651 |
| - | - | - | 73 | 651 |
| - | - | 1 | 74 | 678 |
| - | - | - | 74 | 678 |
| - | - | - | 74 | 678 |
| - | - | - | 74 | 678 |
| - | - | - | 74 | 678 |
| - | - | - | 74 | 678 |
| - | - | - | 74 | 678 |
| - | - | - | 74 | 678 |
| - | - | 2 | 76 | 749 |
| - | - | 1 | 77 | 786 |
| - | - | - | 77 | 786 |
| - | 1 | 1 | 78 | 824 |

## Liberty Utilities（Bella Vista Water）Corp．

Test Year Ended April 30， 2023
Meter Size：
1 Inch Residential

| Usage From： | Usage To： | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { May-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jun-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jul-22 } \\ \hline \end{array} ⿳ ⺈ ⿴ 囗 十 一 ⿱ 䒑 土\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Month } \\ \text { of }\end{array}$ <br> Aug－22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Sep-22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Oct-22 } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Nov-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Dec- } 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jan-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb－23 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Mar-23 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Apr－23 }\end{array}$ | Total Year | Cumul－ ative Billing | $\begin{gathered} \text { ative } \\ \text { Gallons } \\ \text { (in } 1,000 \text { 's) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39，001 | 40，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 40，001 | 41，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 41，001 | 42，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 42，001 | 43，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 43，001 | 44，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 44，001 | 45，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 45，001 | 46，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 46，001 | 47，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 47，001 | 48，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 48，001 | 49，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 49，001 | 50，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 50，001 | 51，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 51，001 | 52，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 52，001 | 53，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 53，001 | 54，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 78 | 824 |
| 54，001 | 55，000 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | 79 | 879 |
| 55，001 | 56，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 56，001 | 57，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 57，001 | 58，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 58，001 | 59，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 59，001 | 60，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 60，001 | 61，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 61，001 | 62，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 62，001 | 63，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 63，001 | 64，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 64，001 | 65，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 65，001 | 66，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 66，001 | 67，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 67，001 | 68，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 68，001 | 69，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 69，001 | 70，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 70，001 | 71，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 71，001 | 72，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 72，001 | 73，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 73，001 | 74，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 74，001 | 75，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 75，001 | 76，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 76，001 | 77，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 77，001 | 78，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |
| 78，001 | 79，000 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 79 | 879 |




Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size

5/8 Inch Commercial

Exhibit
Schedule H-5
Page
Witness: Bourassa

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumul- } \\ \text { ative } \\ \text { Gallons } \\ \text { (in 1,000's' } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month of | Month of | Total | Cumulative |  |
| Mar-23 | Apr-23 | Year | Billing |  |
| 29 | 23 | 310 | 310 | - |
| 137 | 127 | 1,506 | 1,816 | 754 |
| 42 | 43 | 528 | 2,344 | 1,546 |
| 21 | 23 | 300 | 2,644 | 2,296 |
| 15 | 17 | 214 | 2,858 | 3,045 |
| 20 | 15 | 177 | 3,035 | 3,842 |
| 16 | 17 | 126 | 3,161 | 4,535 |
| 8 | 11 | 121 | 3,282 | 5,321 |
| 1 | 8 | 98 | 3,380 | 6,057 |
| 2 | 8 | 71 | 3,451 | 6,660 |
| 4 | 7 | 70 | 3,521 | 7,325 |
| 7 | 2 | 64 | 3,585 | 7,997 |
| 5 | 2 | 53 | 3,638 | 8,607 |
| 5 | 1 | 41 | 3,679 | 9,119 |
| 3 | 4 | 31 | 3,710 | 9,538 |
| 2 | 3 | 45 | 3,755 | 10,190 |
| 2 | 1 | 33 | 3,788 | 10,702 |
| 5 | 2 | 34 | 3,822 | 11,263 |
| - | 2 | 25 | 3,847 | 11,700 |
| 1 | 4 | 23 | 3,870 | 12,126 |
| 1 | 1 | 14 | 3,884 | 12,399 |
| 1 | 2 | 16 | 3,900 | 12,727 |
| 4 | 3 | 24 | 3,924 | 13,243 |
| - | 4 | 11 | 3,935 | 13,490 |
| - | - | 9 | 3,944 | 13,702 |
| 1 | - | 5 | 3,949 | 13,824 |
| - | 2 | 9 | 3,958 | 14,054 |
| - | - | 2 | 3,960 | 14,107 |
| - | 1 | 10 | 3,970 | 14,382 |
| - | 1 | 11 | 3,981 | 14,695 |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 3,986 | 14,843 |
| 1 | 1 | 9 | 3,995 | 15,117 |
| 1 | 2 | 5 | 4,000 | 15,275 |
| - | 1 | 8 | 4,008 | 15,535 |
| - | - | 4 | 4,012 | 15,669 |
| - | 1 | 4 | 4,016 | 15,807 |
| - | - | 1 | 4,017 | 15,842 |
| 1 |  | 4 | 4,021 | 15,988 |




## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size: $\quad 5 / 8$ Inch Commercial

| Exhibit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule H-5 |  |  |  |  |
| Page | 4 |  |  |  |
| Witness: Bourassa |  |  |  |  |
| Month of | Month of | Total | Cumulative | Cumulative Gallons |
| Mar-23 | Apr-23 | Year | Billing | (in 1,000's) |





|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Meter Size: | Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 |
| I Inch Commercial |  |

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
1 Inch Commercial
Meter Size:
1 Inch Commercial


Exhibit
Schedule H-5
Page 6
Witness: Bourassa

|  | Meter Size: | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 1 Inch Commercial |  |  |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule H-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Witness: B | urassa |  |  |  |
| Usage | Usage | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Total | Cumulative | Cumulative Gallons |
| From: | To: | May-22 | Jun-22 | Jul-22 | Aug-22 | Sep-22 | Oct-22 | Nov-22 | Dec-22 | Jan-23 | Feb-23 | Mar-23 | Apr-23 | Year | Billing | (in 1,000's) |
| 40,001 | 41,000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,885 | 13,520 |
| 41,001 | 42,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1,889 | 13,686 |
| 42,001 | 43,000 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 1,893 | 13,856 |
| 43,001 | 44,000 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1,898 | 14,073 |
| 44,001 | 45,000 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1,901 | 14,207 |
| 45,001 | 46,000 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 6 | 1,907 | 14,480 |
| 46,001 | 47,000 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 1,911 | 14,666 |
| 47,001 | 48,000 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 1,915 | 14,856 |
| 48,001 | 49,000 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1,919 | 15,050 |
| 49,001 | 50,000 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,920 | 15,099 |
| 50,001 | 51,000 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,922 | 15,200 |
| 51,001 | 52,000 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,923 | 15,252 |
| 52,001 | 53,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,923 | 15,252 |
| 53,001 | 54,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,923 | 15,252 |
| 54,001 | 55,000 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 6 | 1,929 | 15,579 |
| 55,001 | 56,000 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 1,933 | 15,801 |
| 56,001 | 57,000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,934 | 15,857 |
| 57,001 | 58,000 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,935 | 15,915 |
| 58,001 | 59,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1,936 | 15,973 |
| 59,001 | 60,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1,937 | 16,033 |
| 60,001 | 61,000 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1,940 | 16,214 |
| 61,001 | 62,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1,942 | 16,337 |
| 62,001 | 63,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,944 | 16,462 |
| 63,001 | 64,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,944 | 16,462 |
| 64,001 | 65,000 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1,946 | 16,591 |
| 65,001 | 66,000 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1,950 | 16,853 |
| 66,001 | 67,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,950 | 16,853 |
| 67,001 | 68,000 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,951 | 16,921 |
| 68,001 | 69,000 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1,953 | 17,058 |
| 69,001 | 70,000 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,955 | 17,197 |
| 70,001 | 71,000 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,956 | 17,267 |
| 71,001 | 72,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1,958 | 17,410 |
| 72,001 | 73,000 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,959 | 17,483 |
| 73,001 | 74,000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,960 | 17,556 |
| 74,001 | 75,000 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 1,964 | 17,854 |
| 75,001 | 76,000 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,965 | 17,930 |
| 76,001 | 77,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1,966 | 18,006 |
| 77,001 | 78,000 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,967 | 18,084 |
| 78,001 | 79,000 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1,970 | 18,319 |
| 79,001 | 80,000 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,972 | 18,478 |
| 80,001 | 81,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,972 | 18,478 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 1 Inch Commercial | Page 6 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage <br> From: | Usage <br> To: | Month of May-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jun-22 } \end{gathered}$ |  | Month of Aug-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Sep-22 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Month of <br> Nov-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Dec-22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jan-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb-23 } \end{gathered}$ |  | Month of Apr-23 | Total Year | Cumulative Billing | Cumul- <br> ative <br> Gallons <br> (in 1,000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81,001 | 82,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,974 | 18,641 |
| 82,001 | 83,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  | - | 2 | 1,976 | 18,806 |
| 83,001 | 84,000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1,979 | 19,057 |
| 84,001 | 85,000 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,981 | 19,226 |
| 85,001 | 86,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,981 | 19,226 |
| 86,001 | 87,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,981 | 19,226 |
| 87,001 | 88,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,981 | 19,226 |
| 88,001 | 89,000 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1,984 | 19,491 |
| 89,001 | 90,000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,985 | 19,581 |
| 90,001 | 91,000 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,986 | 19,671 |
| 91,001 | 92,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,986 | 19,671 |
| 92,001 | 93,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,986 | 19,671 |
| 93,001 | 94,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,986 | 19,671 |
| 94,001 | 95,000 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,987 | 19,766 |
| 95,001 | 96,000 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1,989 | 19,957 |
| 96,001 | 97,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,989 | 19,957 |
| 97,001 | 98,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1,990 | 20,054 |
| 98,001 | 99,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1,991 | 20,153 |
| 99,001 | 100,000 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1,993 | 20,352 |
| 124,443 | 124,443 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,994 | 20,476 |
| 100,856 | 100,856 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,995 | 20,577 |
| 127,576 | 127,576 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,996 | 20,705 |
| 110,189 | 110,189 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,997 | 20,815 |
| 338,746 | 338,746 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,998 | 21,154 |
| 149,940 | 149,940 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,999 | 21,304 |
| 115,916 | 115,916 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,000 | 21,420 |
| 347,656 | 347,656 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,001 | 21,767 |
| 296,668 | 296,668 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,002 | 22,064 |
| 482,474 | 482,474 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,003 | 22,546 |
| 128,409 | 128,409 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,004 | 22,675 |
| 158,379 | 158,379 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,005 | 22,833 |
| 494,587 | 494,587 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,006 | 23,328 |
| 420,947 | 420,947 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,007 | 23,749 |
| 425,055 | 425,055 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,008 | 24,174 |
| 130,203 | 130,203 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,009 | 24,304 |
| 109,155 | 109,155 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,010 | 24,413 |
| 111,794 | 111,794 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,011 | 24,525 |
| 435,784 | 435,784 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,012 | 24,961 |
| 370,891 | 370,891 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,013 | 25,332 |
| 453,083 | 453,083 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,014 | 25,785 |
| 149,400 | 149,400 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,015 | 25,934 |





## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.

Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size:

Usage

| Usage <br> From: | Usage <br> To: <br> 79,001 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 80,001 | 80,000 |
| 81,001 | 81,000 |
| 82,001 | 82,000 |
| 83,001 | 83,000 |
| 84,001 | 84,000 |
| 85,001 | 85,000 |
| 86,001 | 86,000 |
| 87,001 | 87,000 |
| 88,001 | 88,000 |
| 89,001 | 89,000 |
| 90,001 | 91,000 |
| 91,001 | 92,000 |
| 92,001 | 93,000 |
| 93,001 | 94,000 |
| 94,001 | 95,000 |
| 95,001 | 96,000 |
| 96,001 | 97,000 |
| 97,001 | 98,000 |
| 98,001 | 99,000 |
| 99,001 | 100,000 |
| 159,521 | 159,521 |
| 175,317 | 175,317 |
| 120,205 | 120,205 |
| 247,060 | 247,060 |
| 491,388 | 491,388 |
| 103,187 | 103,187 |
| 127,979 | 127,979 |
| 166,507 | 166,507 |
| 257,115 | 257,115 |
| 151,873 | 151,873 |
| 161,580 | 161,580 |
| 300,606 | 300,606 |
| $1,042,704$ | $1,042,704$ |
| 117,147 | 117,147 |
| 108,950 | 108,950 |
| 131,271 | 131,271 |
| 150,523 | 150,523 |
| 202,059 | 202,059 |
| 249,199 | 249,199 |
|  |  |

Exhibit
Schedule H-5
Page 7
Witness: Bourassa

| Month of Feb-23 | Month of Mar-23 | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Apr-23 } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Total Year | Cumulative Billing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumul- } \\ \text { ative } \\ \text { Gallons } \\ \text { (in } 1,000 \text { 's } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | 2 | 1,196 | 22,990 |
| - | - | - | - | 1,196 | 22,990 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,197 | 23,072 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,198 | 23,154 |
| - | 1 | - | 2 | 1,200 | 23,321 |
| - | - | - | - | 1,200 | 23,321 |
| - | - | - | 2 | 1,202 | 23,492 |
| - | - | - | 3 | 1,205 | 23,752 |
| - | - | 1 | 2 | 1,207 | 23,927 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,208 | 24,015 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,209 | 24,105 |
| - | - | 1 | 2 | 1,211 | 24,286 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,212 | 24,377 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,213 | 24,470 |
| 1 | - | - | 4 | 1,217 | 24,844 |
| - | - | - | 3 | 1,220 | 25,127 |
| - | - | - | - | 1,220 | 25,127 |
| - | - | - | 2 | 1,222 | 25,320 |
| - | - | - | - | 1,222 | 25,320 |
| - | - | - | - | 1,222 | 25,320 |
| - | - | - | 2 | 1,224 | 25,519 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,225 | 25,679 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,226 | 25,854 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,227 | 25,974 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,228 | 26,221 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,229 | 26,713 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,230 | 26,816 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,231 | 26,944 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,232 | 27,110 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,233 | 27,367 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,234 | 27,519 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,235 | 27,681 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,236 | 27,981 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,237 | 29,024 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,238 | 29,141 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,239 | 29,250 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,240 | 29,381 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,241 | 29,532 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,242 | 29,734 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 1,243 | 29,983 |

## Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023

Meter Size:

| Usage | Usage | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Total | Cumulative | Cumul- <br> ative Gallons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From: | To: | May-22 | Jun-22 | Jul-22 | Aug-22 | Sep-22 | Oct-22 | Nov-22 | Dec-22 | Jan-23 | Feb-23 | Mar-23 | Apr-23 | Year | Billing | (in 1,000's) |
| 172,658 | 172,658 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,244 | 30,156 |
| 111,696 | 111,696 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,245 | 30,268 |
| 179,574 | 179,574 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,246 | 30,447 |
| 307,245 | 307,245 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,247 | 30,754 |
| 379,429 | 379,429 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,248 | 31,134 |
| 140,499 | 140,499 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,249 | 31,274 |
| 139,730 | 139,730 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,250 | 31,414 |
| 116,472 | 116,472 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,251 | 31,531 |
| 123,862 | 123,862 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,252 | 31,654 |
| 156,530 | 156,530 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,253 | 31,811 |
| 200,538 | 200,538 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,254 | 32,011 |
| 232,115 | 232,115 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,255 | 32,244 |
| 139,578 | 139,578 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,256 | 32,383 |
| 166,723 | 166,723 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1,258 | 32,717 |
| 296,184 | 296,184 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,259 | 33,013 |
| 137,169 | 137,169 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,260 | 33,150 |
| 120,997 | 120,997 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,261 | 33,271 |
| 101,320 | 101,320 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,262 | 33,372 |
| 140,745 | 140,745 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,263 | 33,513 |
| 152,670 | 152,670 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,264 | 33,666 |
| 211,931 | 211,931 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,265 | 33,878 |
| 219,932 | 219,932 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,266 | 34,098 |
| 162,025 | 162,025 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,267 | 34,260 |
| 170,675 | 170,675 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,268 | 34,430 |
| 361,697 | 361,697 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,269 | 34,792 |
| 202,784 | 202,784 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,270 | 34,995 |
| 100,652 | 100,652 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,271 | 35,095 |
| 161,996 | 161,996 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,272 | 35,257 |
| 153,690 | 153,690 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,273 | 35,411 |
| 200,375 | 200,375 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,274 | 35,611 |
| 258,527 | 258,527 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,275 | 35,870 |
| 157,815 | 157,815 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,276 | 36,028 |
| 146,714 | 146,714 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,277 | 36,175 |
| 356,986 | 356,986 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,278 | 36,532 |
| 149,553 | 149,553 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,279 | 36,681 |
| 168,319 | 168,319 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,280 | 36,849 |
| 171,631 | 171,631 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,281 | 37,021 |
| 268,998 | 268,998 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,282 | 37,290 |
| 122,989 | 122,989 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,283 | 37,413 |
| 383,900 | 383,900 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1,284 | 37,797 |




|  | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule H-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Usage From: | Usage To: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { May-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jun-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jul-22 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Month of Aug-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sep-22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Oct-22 } \end{aligned}$ | Month of <br> Nov-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Dec- } 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jan-23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Month of <br> Mar-23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Apr-23 } \end{aligned}$ | Total Year | Cumulative Billing |
| 42,001 | 43,000 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 10 | 2,085 |
| 43,001 | 44,000 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 10 | 2,095 |
| 44,001 | 45,000 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | 16 | 2,111 |
| 45,001 | 46,000 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 2,128 |
| 46,001 | 47,000 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 2,145 |
| 47,001 | 48,000 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 2,159 |
| 48,001 | 49,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 19 | 2,178 |
| 49,001 | 50,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 17 | 2,195 |
| 50,001 | 51,000 | 4 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | 6 | 7 | - | 26 | 2,221 |
| 51,001 | 52,000 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 2,235 |
| 52,001 | 53,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 24 | 2,259 |
| 53,001 | 54,000 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 14 | 2,273 |
| 54,001 | 55,000 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 14 | 2,287 |
| 55,001 | 56,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 14 | 2,301 |
| 56,001 | 57,000 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 15 | 2,316 |
| 57,001 | 58,000 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 2,332 |
| 58,001 | 59,000 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 2,347 |
| 59,001 | 60,000 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 2,364 |
| 60,001 | 61,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 13 | 2,377 |
| 61,001 | 62,000 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 2,394 |
| 62,001 | 63,000 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 2,406 |
| 63,001 | 64,000 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 2,418 |
| 64,001 | 65,000 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 2,434 |
| 65,001 | 66,000 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 2,445 |
| 66,001 | 67,000 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 17 | 2,462 |
| 67,001 | 68,000 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 15 | 2,477 |
| 68,001 | 69,000 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 14 | 2,491 |
| 69,001 | 70,000 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 17 | 2,508 |
| 70,001 | 71,000 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | 10 | 2,518 |
| 71,001 | 72,000 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | - | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2,533 |
| 72,001 | 73,000 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 2,549 |
| 73,001 | 74,000 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 15 | 2,564 |
| 74,001 | 75,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 2,575 |
| 75,001 | 76,000 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 14 | 2,589 |
| 76,001 | 77,000 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 15 | 2,604 |
| 77,001 | 78,000 | (1) | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2,612 |
| 78,001 | 79,000 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 13 | 2,625 |
| 79,001 | 80,000 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | 10 | 2,635 |
| 80,001 | 81,000 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 12 | 2,647 |
| 81,001 | 82,000 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | 9 | 2,656 |
| 82,001 | 83,000 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 2,678 |
| 83,001 | 84,000 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | 18 | 2,696 |
| 84,001 | 85,000 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 9 | 2,705 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage To: | Month of May-22 |  |  | Month of Aug-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year | Cumulative <br> Billing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85,001 | 86,000 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2,713 |
| 86,001 | 87,000 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 10 | 2,723 |
| 87,001 | 88,000 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 2,738 |
| 88,001 | 89,000 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 2,750 |
| 89,001 | 90,000 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 10 | 2,760 |
| 90,001 | 91,000 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2,772 |
| 91,001 | 92,000 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 10 | 2,782 |
| 92,001 | 93,000 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | 2,788 |
| 93,001 | 94,000 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2,797 |
| 94,001 | 95,000 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 2,805 |
| 95,001 | 96,000 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 2,809 |
| 96,001 | 97,000 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 9 | 2,818 |
| 97,001 | 98,000 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 9 | 2,827 |
| 98,001 | 99,000 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 2,835 |
| 99,001 | 100,000 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2,842 |
| 103,378 | 103,378 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,843 |
| 124,731 | 124,731 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,844 |
| 121,465 | 121,465 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,845 |
| 108,154 | 108,154 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,846 |
| 365,995 | 365,995 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,847 |
| 176,702 | 176,702 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,848 |
| 144,315 | 144,315 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,849 |
| 150,040 | 150,040 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2,851 |
| 141,128 | 141,128 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,852 |
| 222,032 | 222,032 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,853 |
| 118,861 | 118,861 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,854 |
| 119,725 | 119,725 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,855 |
| 179,086 | 179,086 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,856 |
| 136,808 | 136,808 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,857 |
| 130,033 | 130,033 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,858 |
| 112,050 | 112,050 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,859 |
| 251,752 | 251,752 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,860 |
| 103,474 | 103,474 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,861 |
| 187,987 | 187,987 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,862 |
| 186,316 | 186,316 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,863 |
| 935,371 | 935,371 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,864 |
| 163,470 | 163,470 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,865 |
| 144,705 | 144,705 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,866 |
| 121,506 | 121,506 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,867 |
| 353,798 | 353,798 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,868 |
| 126,928 | 126,928 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,869 |
| 148,853 | 148,853 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,870 |
| 167,001 | 167,001 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,871 |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size:

| Usage From: | Usage To: | Month of May-22 |  | Month of <br> Jul-22 |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sep- } 22\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year | Cumul- <br> ative <br> Billing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129,338 | 129,338 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,872 |
| 156,341 | 156,341 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,873 |
| 111,400 | 111,400 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,874 |
| 260,155 | 260,155 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,875 |
| 127,072 | 127,072 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,876 |
| 115,780 | 115,780 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,877 |
| 103,705 | 103,705 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,878 |
| 182,544 | 182,544 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,879 |
| 176,416 | 176,416 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,880 |
| 1,128,497 | 1,128,497 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,881 |
| 308,209 | 308,209 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,882 |
| 151,110 | 151,110 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,883 |
| 118,587 | 118,587 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,884 |
| 159,745 | 159,745 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,885 |
| 128,440 | 128,440 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,886 |
| 156,079 | 156,079 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,887 |
| 118,099 | 118,099 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,888 |
| 101,905 | 101,905 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,889 |
| 311,501 | 311,501 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,890 |
| 103,396 | 103,396 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,891 |
| 424,775 | 424,775 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,892 |
| 544,791 | 544,791 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,893 |
| 110,719 | 110,719 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,894 |
| 752,072 | 752,072 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,895 |
| 228,469 | 228,469 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,896 |
| 144,528 | 144,528 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,897 |
| 169,558 | 169,558 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,898 |
| 421,416 | 421,416 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,899 |
| 806,234 | 806,234 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,900 |
| 674,453 | 674,453 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,901 |
| 541,845 | 541,845 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,902 |
| 521,958 | 521,958 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,903 |
| 478,465 | 478,465 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,904 |
| 110,500 | 110,500 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,905 |
| 140,064 | 140,064 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,906 |
| 275,975 | 275,975 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,907 |
| 295,920 | 295,920 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,908 |
| 113,709 | 113,709 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,909 |
| 412,379 | 412,379 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,910 |
| 368,645 | 368,645 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,911 |
| 355,285 | 355,285 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,912 |
| 506,133 | 506,133 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,913 |
| 239,769 | 239,769 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,914 |


|  Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023  <br> Meter Size: 2 Inch Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule H-5 <br> Page 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Witness: | urassa |  |  |  |
| Usage | Usage | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | Month of | Month of | Total |  | Cumulative |
| From: | To: | May-22 | Jun-22 | Jul-22 | Aug-22 | Sep-22 | Oct-22 | Nov-22 | Dec-22 | Jan-23 | Feb-23 | Mar-23 | Apr-23 | Year |  | Billing |
| 116,457 | 116,457 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,915 |
| 155,691 | 155,691 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,916 |
| 151,310 | 151,310 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,917 |
| 134,096 | 134,096 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,918 |
| 393,502 | 393,502 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,919 |
| 173,448 | 173,448 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,920 |
| 129,564 | 129,564 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,921 |
| 170,943 | 170,943 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,922 |
| 101,260 | 101,260 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,923 |
| 140,503 | 140,503 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,924 |
| 254,317 | 254,317 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,925 |
| 141,328 | 141,328 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,926 |
| 142,327 | 142,327 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,927 |
| 365,642 | 365,642 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,928 |
| 134,292 | 134,292 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,929 |
| 130,493 | 130,493 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,930 |
| 114,860 | 114,860 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,931 |
| 271,381 | 271,381 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,932 |
| 108,775 | 108,775 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,933 |
| 189,392 | 189,392 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,934 |
| 266,573 | 266,573 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,935 |
| 1,184,618 | 1,184,618 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,936 |
| 119,160 | 119,160 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,937 |
| 123,190 | 123,190 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,938 |
| 154,201 | 154,201 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,939 |
| 221,065 | 221,065 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,940 |
| 386,029 | 386,029 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,941 |
| 105,154 | 105,154 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,942 |
| 133,356 | 133,356 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,943 |
| 228,627 | 228,627 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,944 |
| 144,314 | 144,314 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,945 |
| 183,498 | 183,498 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,946 |
| 107,000 | 107,000 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,947 |
| 255,860 | 255,860 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,948 |
| 117,325 | 117,325 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 2,949 |
| 107,098 | 107,098 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,950 |
| 129,085 | 129,085 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,951 |
| 116,720 | 116,720 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,952 |
| 183,994 | 183,994 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,953 |
| 182,509 | 182,509 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,954 |
| 118,463 | 118,463 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,955 |
| 117,477 | 117,477 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,956 |
| 138,336 | 138,336 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,957 |


|  Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023  <br> Meter Size: 2 Inch Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule H-5 <br> Page 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Witness: | urassa |  |  |  |
| Usage | Usage | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Total |  | Cumulative |
| From: | To: | May-22 | Jun-22 | Jul-22 | Aug-22 | Sep-22 | Oct-22 | Nov-22 | Dec-22 | Jan-23 | Feb-23 | Mar-23 | Apr-23 | Year |  | Billing |
| 1,101,610 | 1,101,610 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,958 |
| 220,073 | 220,073 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,959 |
| 148,496 | 148,496 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,960 |
| 138,842 | 138,842 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,961 |
| 157,698 | 157,698 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,962 |
| 159,426 | 159,426 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,963 |
| 213,386 | 213,386 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,964 |
| 114,283 | 114,283 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,965 |
| 121,676 | 121,676 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,966 |
| 110,098 | 110,098 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,967 |
| 275,854 | 275,854 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,968 |
| 461,136 | 461,136 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,969 |
| 666,769 | 666,769 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,970 |
| 101,184 | 101,184 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,971 |
| 790,690 | 790,690 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,972 |
| 237,262 | 237,262 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,973 |
| 149,192 | 149,192 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,974 |
| 655,518 | 655,518 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,975 |
| 1,039,334 | 1,039,334 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,976 |
| 721,174 | 721,174 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,977 |
| 445,258 | 445,258 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,978 |
| 789,072 | 789,072 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,979 |
| 704,546 | 704,546 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,980 |
| 511,287 | 511,287 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,981 |
| 153,406 | 153,406 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,982 |
| 216,396 | 216,396 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,983 |
| 126,430 | 126,430 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,984 |
| 306,937 | 306,937 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,985 |
| 788,600 | 788,600 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,986 |
| 108,163 | 108,163 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,987 |
| 105,054 | 105,054 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,988 |
| 145,959 | 145,959 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,989 |
| 342,328 | 342,328 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,990 |
| 120,659 | 120,659 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,991 |
| 359,701 | 359,701 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 2,992 |
| 137,427 | 137,427 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,993 |
| 138,230 | 138,230 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,994 |
| 346,460 | 346,460 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,995 |
| 327,008 | 327,008 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,996 |
| 336,998 | 336,998 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,997 |
| 499,068 | 499,068 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,998 |
| 242,285 | 242,285 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 2,999 |
| 116,602 | 116,602 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 3,000 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage To: |  |  | Month of <br> Jul-22 |  |  |  | Month of Nov-22 |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 183,822 | 183,822 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,001 |
| 178,618 | 178,618 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,002 |
| 158,056 | 158,056 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,003 |
| 518,026 | 518,026 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,004 |
| 199,950 | 199,950 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,005 |
| 142,571 | 142,571 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,006 |
| 137,287 | 137,287 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,007 |
| 103,425 | 103,425 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,008 |
| 168,091 | 168,091 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,009 |
| 128,705 | 128,705 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,010 |
| 429,589 | 429,589 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,011 |
| 227,427 | 227,427 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,012 |
| 288,400 | 288,400 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,013 |
| 149,569 | 149,569 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,014 |
| 125,663 | 125,663 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,015 |
| 145,094 | 145,094 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,016 |
| 244,120 | 244,120 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,017 |
| 150,751 | 150,751 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,018 |
| 187,541 | 187,541 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,019 |
| 549,829 | 549,829 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,020 |
| 119,785 | 119,785 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,021 |
| 161,032 | 161,032 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,022 |
| 216,185 | 216,185 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,023 |
| 155,355 | 155,355 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,024 |
| 192,762 | 192,762 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,025 |
| 132,062 | 132,062 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,026 |
| 180,527 | 180,527 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,027 |
| 102,900 | 102,900 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,028 |
| 283,519 | 283,519 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,029 |
| 128,809 | 128,809 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,030 |
| 118,007 | 118,007 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,031 |
| 265,288 | 265,288 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,032 |
| 264,232 | 264,232 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,033 |
| 120,291 | 120,291 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,034 |
| 118,770 | 118,770 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,035 |
| 141,617 | 141,617 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,036 |
| 999,004 | 999,004 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,037 |
| 186,223 | 186,223 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,038 |
| 160,960 | 160,960 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,039 |
| 150,005 | 150,005 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,040 |
| 178,976 | 178,976 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,041 |
| 157,484 | 157,484 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,042 |
| 228,075 | 228,075 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,043 |



| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage To: |  |  |  | Month of Aug-22 |  |  | Month of Nov-22 |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 524,910 | 524,910 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,086 |
| 243,521 | 243,521 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,087 |
| 116,490 | 116,490 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,088 |
| 124,667 | 124,667 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,089 |
| 119,324 | 119,324 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,090 |
| 238,155 | 238,155 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,091 |
| 151,476 | 151,476 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,092 |
| 127,681 | 127,681 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,093 |
| 118,657 | 118,657 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,094 |
| 429,918 | 429,918 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,095 |
| 108,100 | 108,100 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,096 |
| 116,785 | 116,785 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,097 |
| 162,219 | 162,219 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,098 |
| 223,093 | 223,093 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,099 |
| 109,112 | 109,112 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,100 |
| 125,419 | 125,419 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,101 |
| 176,071 | 176,071 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,102 |
| 108,200 | 108,200 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,103 |
| 248,701 | 248,701 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,104 |
| 175,979 | 175,979 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,105 |
| 106,333 | 106,333 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,106 |
| 106,504 | 106,504 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,107 |
| 120,047 | 120,047 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,108 |
| 121,939 | 121,939 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,109 |
| 779,045 | 779,045 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,110 |
| 171,272 | 171,272 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,111 |
| 146,819 | 146,819 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,112 |
| 112,413 | 112,413 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,113 |
| 127,772 | 127,772 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,114 |
| 132,316 | 132,316 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,115 |
| 186,861 | 186,861 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,116 |
| 109,796 | 109,796 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,117 |
| 100,848 | 100,848 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,118 |
| 117,776 | 117,776 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,119 |
| 201,019 | 201,019 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,120 |
| 399,441 | 399,441 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,121 |
| 589,421 | 589,421 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,122 |
| 705,184 | 705,184 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,123 |
| 203,283 | 203,283 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,124 |
| 260,363 | 260,363 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,125 |
| 156,169 | 156,169 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,126 |
| 558,840 | 558,840 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,127 |
| 1,035,826 | 1,035,826 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,128 |

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size:


Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size:

| Usage From: | Usage To: | Month <br> of <br> May-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumul- } \\ & \text { ative } \\ & \text { Billing } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108,084 | 108,084 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,172 |
| 103,909 | 103,909 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,173 |
| 121,170 | 121,170 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,174 |
| 107,327 | 107,327 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,175 |
| 111,695 | 111,695 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,176 |
| 122,391 | 122,391 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,177 |
| 902,190 | 902,190 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,178 |
| 268,504 | 268,504 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,179 |
| 153,014 | 153,014 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,180 |
| 128,156 | 128,156 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,181 |
| 143,483 | 143,483 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,182 |
| 208,251 | 208,251 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,183 |
| 109,871 | 109,871 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,184 |
| 155,155 | 155,155 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,185 |
| 180,696 | 180,696 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,186 |
| 484,318 | 484,318 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,187 |
| 721,157 | 721,157 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,188 |
| 697,335 | 697,335 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,189 |
| 202,732 | 202,732 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,190 |
| 118,264 | 118,264 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,191 |
| 209,496 | 209,496 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,192 |
| 1,066,834 | 1,066,834 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,193 |
| 589,778 | 589,778 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,194 |
| 541,457 | 541,457 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,195 |
| 101,365 | 101,365 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,196 |
| 487,323 | 487,323 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,197 |
| 116,277 | 116,277 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,198 |
| 428,745 | 428,745 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,199 |
| 154,370 | 154,370 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,200 |
| 145,175 | 145,175 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,201 |
| 464,069 | 464,069 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,202 |
| 389,294 | 389,294 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,203 |
| 260,361 | 260,361 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,204 |
| 438,495 | 438,495 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,205 |
| 137,520 | 137,520 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,206 |
| 202,776 | 202,776 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,207 |
| 182,122 | 182,122 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,208 |
| 185,602 | 185,602 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,209 |
| 374,163 | 374,163 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,210 |
| 101,859 | 101,859 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,211 |
| 244,201 | 244,201 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,212 |
| 236,813 | 236,813 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,213 |
| 208,908 | 208,908 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,214 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage To: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year | Cumulative <br> Billing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 379,120 | 379,120 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,215 |
| 200,319 | 200,319 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,216 |
| 137,912 | 137,912 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,217 |
| 114,902 | 114,902 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,218 |
| 141,342 | 141,342 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,219 |
| 365,010 | 365,010 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,220 |
| 237,164 | 237,164 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,221 |
| 101,761 | 101,761 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,222 |
| 171,968 | 171,968 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,223 |
| 145,582 | 145,582 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,224 |
| 193,606 | 193,606 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,225 |
| 130,287 | 130,287 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,226 |
| 405,318 | 405,318 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,227 |
| 150,566 | 150,566 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,228 |
| 162,128 | 162,128 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,229 |
| 208,355 | 208,355 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,230 |
| 111,389 | 111,389 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,231 |
| 122,920 | 122,920 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,232 |
| 144,178 | 144,178 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,233 |
| 265,633 | 265,633 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,234 |
| 106,234 | 106,234 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,235 |
| 106,498 | 106,498 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,236 |
| 115,874 | 115,874 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,237 |
| 128,499 | 128,499 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,238 |
| 130,005 | 130,005 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,239 |
| 143,875 | 143,875 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,240 |
| 896,478 | 896,478 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,241 |
| 283,252 | 283,252 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,242 |
| 154,238 | 154,238 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,243 |
| 110,164 | 110,164 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,244 |
| 143,401 | 143,401 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,245 |
| 150,390 | 150,390 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,246 |
| 330,405 | 330,405 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,247 |
| 101,274 | 101,274 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,248 |
| 129,740 | 129,740 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,249 |
| 153,389 | 153,389 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,250 |
| 266,728 | 266,728 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,251 |
| 528,117 | 528,117 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,252 |
| 686,875 | 686,875 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,253 |
| 151,911 | 151,911 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,254 |
| 320,963 | 320,963 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,255 |
| 275,477 | 275,477 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,256 |
| 782,474 | 782,474 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,257 |



| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage <br> To: | Month of May-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jun-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jul-22 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Month of Aug-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sep-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Oct-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Nov-22 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Dec-22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jan-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Mar-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Month of Apr-23 | Total Year | Cumulative Billing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 235,707 | 235,707 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,301 |
| 109,315 | 109,315 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,302 |
| 100,189 | 100,189 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,303 |
| 107,998 | 107,998 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,304 |
| 132,105 | 132,105 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,305 |
| 127,243 | 127,243 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,306 |
| 126,768 | 126,768 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,307 |
| 132,372 | 132,372 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,308 |
| 131,277 | 131,277 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,309 |
| 130,368 | 130,368 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,310 |
| 912,106 | 912,106 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,311 |
| 214,627 | 214,627 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,312 |
| 128,241 | 128,241 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,313 |
| 112,206 | 112,206 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,314 |
| 158,460 | 158,460 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,315 |
| 213,964 | 213,964 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,316 |
| 114,157 | 114,157 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,317 |
| 180,545 | 180,545 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,318 |
| 298,116 | 298,116 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,319 |
| 487,908 | 487,908 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,320 |
| 101,791 | 101,791 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,321 |
| 167,247 | 167,247 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,322 |
| 150,196 | 150,196 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,323 |
| 335,499 | 335,499 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,324 |
| 236,498 | 236,498 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,325 |
| 778,900 | 778,900 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,326 |
| 563,512 | 563,512 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,327 |
| 103,984 | 103,984 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,328 |
| 420,253 | 420,253 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,329 |
| 149,663 | 149,663 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,330 |
| 140,851 | 140,851 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,331 |
| 678,412 | 678,412 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,332 |
| 254,922 | 254,922 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,333 |
| 299,032 | 299,032 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,334 |
| 129,818 | 129,818 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,335 |
| 148,515 | 148,515 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,336 |
| 103,936 | 103,936 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,337 |
| 354,513 | 354,513 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,338 |
| 109,231 | 109,231 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,339 |
| 232,262 | 232,262 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,340 |
| 162,909 | 162,909 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,341 |
| 429,098 | 429,098 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,342 |
| 100,288 | 100,288 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,343 |


#### Abstract

Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 Exhibit Schedule H-5 Page 8 Witness: Bourassa


| Usage From: | Usage To: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Month of Nov-22 |  |  |  | Month of <br> Mar-23 |  | Total Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105,181 | 105,181 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,344 |
| 200,456 | 200,456 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,345 |
| 195,661 | 195,661 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,346 |
| 171,890 | 171,890 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,347 |
| 277,554 | 277,554 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,348 |
| 149,221 | 149,221 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,349 |
| 107,540 | 107,540 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,350 |
| 115,215 | 115,215 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,351 |
| 124,824 | 124,824 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,352 |
| 255,524 | 255,524 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,353 |
| 135,062 | 135,062 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,354 |
| 252,157 | 252,157 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,355 |
| 108,469 | 108,469 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,356 |
| 182,759 | 182,759 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,357 |
| 100,074 | 100,074 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,358 |
| 299,297 | 299,297 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,359 |
| 252,027 | 252,027 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,360 |
| 150,920 | 150,920 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,361 |
| 176,470 | 176,470 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,362 |
| 106,381 | 106,381 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,363 |
| 129,713 | 129,713 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,364 |
| 109,384 | 109,384 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,365 |
| 270,629 | 270,629 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,366 |
| 121,659 | 121,659 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,367 |
| 118,369 | 118,369 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,368 |
| 796,704 | 796,704 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,369 |
| 192,934 | 192,934 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,370 |
| 140,012 | 140,012 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,371 |
| 101,867 | 101,867 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,372 |
| 147,820 | 147,820 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,373 |
| 152,426 | 152,426 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,374 |
| 147,685 | 147,685 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,375 |
| 119,599 | 119,599 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,376 |
| 115,929 | 115,929 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,377 |
| 205,415 | 205,415 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,378 |
| 529,926 | 529,926 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,379 |
| 657,618 | 657,618 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,380 |
| 109,935 | 109,935 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,381 |
| 280,623 | 280,623 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,382 |
| 510,878 | 510,878 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,383 |
| 158,863 | 158,863 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,384 |
| 124,962 | 124,962 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,385 |
| 141,682 | 141,682 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,386 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage To: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Month of <br> Nov-22 |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year | Cumulative Billing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 576,218 | 576,218 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,387 |
| 132,023 | 132,023 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,388 |
| 484,546 | 484,546 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,389 |
| 173,733 | 173,733 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,390 |
| 175,596 | 175,596 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,391 |
| 119,291 | 119,291 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,392 |
| 742,706 | 742,706 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,393 |
| 388,376 | 388,376 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,394 |
| 228,713 | 228,713 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,395 |
| 147,253 | 147,253 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,396 |
| 124,522 | 124,522 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,397 |
| 111,498 | 111,498 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,398 |
| 345,943 | 345,943 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,399 |
| 158,349 | 158,349 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,400 |
| 109,569 | 109,569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,401 |
| 533,627 | 533,627 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3,402 |
| 236,849 | 236,849 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,403 |
| 230,141 | 230,141 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,404 |
| 204,402 | 204,402 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,405 |
| 232,295 | 232,295 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,406 |
| 131,273 | 131,273 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,407 |
| 117,789 | 117,789 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,408 |
| 215,566 | 215,566 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,409 |
| 123,697 | 123,697 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,410 |
| 176,796 | 176,796 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,411 |
| 165,799 | 165,799 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,412 |
| 104,325 | 104,325 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,413 |
| 217,051 | 217,051 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,414 |
| 160,325 | 160,325 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,415 |
| 204,040 | 204,040 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,416 |
| 122,472 | 122,472 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,417 |
| 137,648 | 137,648 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,418 |
| 126,457 | 126,457 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,419 |
| 144,891 | 144,891 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,420 |
| 284,602 | 284,602 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,421 |
| 173,089 | 173,089 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,422 |
| 864,042 | 864,042 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,423 |
| 182,283 | 182,283 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,424 |
| 134,048 | 134,048 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,425 |
| 103,135 | 103,135 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,426 |
| 104,101 | 104,101 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,427 |
| 202,407 | 202,407 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,428 |
| 136,290 | 136,290 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,429 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage To: |  |  | Month of <br> Jul-22 |  |  |  | Month of <br> Nov-22 |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year | Cumulative Billing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181,947 | 181,947 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,430 |
| 235,887 | 235,887 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,431 |
| 384,654 | 384,654 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,432 |
| 524,124 | 524,124 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,433 |
| 132,416 | 132,416 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,434 |
| 190,397 | 190,397 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,435 |
| 126,162 | 126,162 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,436 |
| 100,651 | 100,651 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,437 |
| 176,231 | 176,231 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,438 |
| 110,892 | 110,892 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,439 |
| 775,377 | 775,377 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,440 |
| 110,725 | 110,725 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,441 |
| 444,899 | 444,899 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,442 |
| 162,507 | 162,507 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,443 |
| 156,541 | 156,541 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,444 |
| 738,302 | 738,302 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,445 |
| 220,987 | 220,987 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,446 |
| 217,325 | 217,325 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,447 |
| 137,583 | 137,583 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,448 |
| 273,108 | 273,108 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,449 |
| 137,140 | 137,140 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,450 |
| 483,160 | 483,160 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,451 |
| 104,723 | 104,723 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,452 |
| 113,936 | 113,936 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3,453 |
| 184,957 | 184,957 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,454 |
| 180,804 | 180,804 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,455 |
| 159,651 | 159,651 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,456 |
| 214,768 | 214,768 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,457 |
| 133,237 | 133,237 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,458 |
| 101,825 | 101,825 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,459 |
| 214,259 | 214,259 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,460 |
| 107,334 | 107,334 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,461 |
| 142,842 | 142,842 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,462 |
| 176,917 | 176,917 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,463 |
| 162,297 | 162,297 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,464 |
| 118,900 | 118,900 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,465 |
| 146,287 | 146,287 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,466 |
| 232,244 | 232,244 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,467 |
| 135,426 | 135,426 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,468 |
| 134,858 | 134,858 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,469 |
| 147,619 | 147,619 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,470 |
| 256,788 | 256,788 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,471 |
| 181,629 | 181,629 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,472 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage To: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Month of Nov-22 |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year | Cumulative <br> Billing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 760,946 | 760,946 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,473 |
| 170,001 | 170,001 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,474 |
| 143,635 | 143,635 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,475 |
| 113,114 | 113,114 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,476 |
| 235,065 | 235,065 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,477 |
| 175,040 | 175,040 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,478 |
| 356,026 | 356,026 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,479 |
| 487,290 | 487,290 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,480 |
| 174,176 | 174,176 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,481 |
| 179,537 | 179,537 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,482 |
| 637,548 | 637,548 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,483 |
| 115,094 | 115,094 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,484 |
| 449,021 | 449,021 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,485 |
| 165,361 | 165,361 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,486 |
| 164,262 | 164,262 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,487 |
| 600,912 | 600,912 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,488 |
| 148,710 | 148,710 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,489 |
| 202,901 | 202,901 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,490 |
| 148,257 | 148,257 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,491 |
| 107,469 | 107,469 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,492 |
| 254,875 | 254,875 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,493 |
| 173,446 | 173,446 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,494 |
| 441,462 | 441,462 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,495 |
| 170,958 | 170,958 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3,496 |
| 180,148 | 180,148 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,497 |
| 174,504 | 174,504 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,498 |
| 155,570 | 155,570 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,499 |
| 218,792 | 218,792 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,500 |
| 115,234 | 115,234 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,501 |
| 201,134 | 201,134 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,502 |
| 146,582 | 146,582 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,503 |
| 221,575 | 221,575 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,504 |
| 131,561 | 131,561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,505 |
| 140,655 | 140,655 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,506 |
| 199,518 | 199,518 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,507 |
| 129,529 | 129,529 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,508 |
| 126,463 | 126,463 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,509 |
| 195,396 | 195,396 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,510 |
| 253,792 | 253,792 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,511 |
| 135,910 | 135,910 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,512 |
| 617,243 | 617,243 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,513 |
| 167,814 | 167,814 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,514 |
| 124,679 | 124,679 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,515 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 2 Inch Commercial | Page 8 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage From: | Usage To: |  |  | Month of <br> Jul-22 |  |  |  | Month of <br> Nov-22 |  |  |  |  |  | Total Year | Cumulative Billing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101,743 | 101,743 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,516 |
| 163,087 | 163,087 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,517 |
| 185,221 | 185,221 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,518 |
| 356,277 | 356,277 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,519 |
| 454,744 | 454,744 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,520 |
| 158,190 | 158,190 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,521 |
| 116,151 | 116,151 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,522 |
| 157,566 | 157,566 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,523 |
| 407,713 | 407,713 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,524 |
| 109,844 | 109,844 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,525 |
| 423,698 | 423,698 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,526 |
| 154,737 | 154,737 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,527 |
| 170,586 | 170,586 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,528 |
| 491,452 | 491,452 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,529 |
| 173,231 | 173,231 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,530 |
| 218,997 | 218,997 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,531 |
| 147,505 | 147,505 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,532 |
| 123,497 | 123,497 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,533 |
| 162,519 | 162,519 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,534 |
| 158,375 | 158,375 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,535 |
| 155,359 | 155,359 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,536 |
| 137,254 | 137,254 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,537 |
| 275,951 | 275,951 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,538 |
| 152,442 | 152,442 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,539 |
| 103,777 | 103,777 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,540 |
| 106,125 | 106,125 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,541 |
| 241,174 | 241,174 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,542 |
| 103,390 | 103,390 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,543 |
| 262,680 | 262,680 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,544 |
| 256,497 | 256,497 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,545 |
| 100,061 | 100,061 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,546 |
| 110,174 | 110,174 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,547 |
| 441,448 | 441,448 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,548 |
| 104,500 | 104,500 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,549 |
| 153,991 | 153,991 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,550 |
| 198,561 | 198,561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,551 |
| 153,214 | 153,214 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,552 |
| 157,428 | 157,428 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,553 |
| 130,907 | 130,907 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,554 |
| 176,197 | 176,197 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,555 |
| 107,500 | 107,500 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,556 |
| 133,329 | 133,329 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,557 |
| 312,920 | 312,920 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3,558 |






Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
Test Year Ended April 30, 2023
Meter Size:
3 Inch Commercial

Exhibit
Schedule H-5
Page
Witness: Bourassa

| Usage <br> From: | Usage To: | Month of May-22 |  |  | Month of Aug-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sep-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Month of Nov-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Dec-22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jan-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb-23 }\end{array}$ |  |  | Total <br> Year |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumul- } \\ & \text { ative } \\ & \text { Gallons } \\ & \text { (in 1,000's) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 152,600 | 152,600 | - | 1 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 195 | 11,088 |
| 150,386 | 150,386 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 196 | 11,239 |
| 667,862 | 667,862 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 197 | 11,907 |
| 175,271 | 175,271 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 198 | 12,082 |
| 121,469 | 121,469 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 199 | 12,203 |
| 1,130,626 | 1,130,626 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 200 | 13,334 |
| 109,801 | 109,801 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 201 | 13,444 |
| 309,055 | 309,055 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 202 | 13,753 |
| 329,078 | 329,078 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 203 | 14,082 |
| 729,420 | 729,420 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 204 | 14,811 |
| 205,897 | 205,897 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 205 | 15,017 |
| 262,596 | 262,596 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 206 | 15,280 |
| 269,800 | 269,800 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 207 | 15,550 |
| 329,877 | 329,877 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 208 | 15,880 |
| 100,275 | 100,275 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 209 | 15,980 |
| 130,800 | 130,800 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 210 | 16,111 |
| 156,200 | 156,200 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 211 | 16,267 |
| 205,054 | 205,054 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 212 | 16,472 |
| 768,209 | 768,209 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 213 | 17,240 |
| 147,311 | 147,311 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 214 | 17,387 |
| 145,892 | 145,892 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 215 | 17,533 |
| 147,195 | 147,195 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 216 | 17,680 |
| 207,477 | 207,477 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 217 | 17,888 |
| 352,557 | 352,557 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 218 | 18,240 |
| 724,488 | 724,488 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 219 | 18,965 |
| 144,581 | 144,581 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 220 | 19,110 |
| 208,267 | 208,267 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 221 | 19,318 |
| 215,236 | 215,236 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 222 | 19,533 |
| 270,137 | 270,137 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 223 | 19,803 |
| 181,100 | 181,100 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 224 | 19,984 |
| 134,646 | 134,646 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 225 | 20,119 |
| 665,158 | 665,158 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 226 | 20,784 |
| 177,146 | 177,146 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 227 | 20,961 |
| 110,100 | 110,100 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 228 | 21,071 |
| 154,540 | 154,540 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 229 | 21,226 |
| 187,079 | 187,079 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 230 | 21,413 |
| 116,398 | 116,398 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 231 | 21,529 |
| 283,427 | 283,427 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 232 | 21,813 |
| 574,343 | 574,343 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 233 | 22,387 |
| 155,901 | 155,901 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 234 | 22,543 |


| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exhibit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schedule H-5 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Inch Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page $\quad 9$Witness: Bourassa |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month of May-22 | Month of <br> Jun-22 |  | Month of Aug-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Sep-22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Oct-22 } \end{aligned}$ | Month of <br> Nov-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Dec-22 } \end{aligned}$ | Month of <br> Jan-23 |  |  |  | Total <br> Year |  | Cumulative Gallons (in 1,000's) |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 235 | 22,782 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 236 | 23,050 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 237 | 23,382 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 238 | 23,566 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 239 | 23,712 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 240 | 24,341 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 241 | 24,515 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 242 | 24,685 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 243 | 24,923 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 244 | 25,063 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 245 | 25,334 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 246 | 25,979 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 247 | 26,200 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 248 | 26,428 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 249 | 26,701 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 250 | 27,008 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 251 | 27,204 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 252 | 27,366 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 253 | 27,752 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 254 | 27,926 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 255 | 28,034 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 256 | 28,207 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 257 | 28,461 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 258 | 29,198 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 259 | 29,471 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 260 | 29,618 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 261 | 29,838 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 262 | 30,089 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 263 | 30,192 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 264 | 30,360 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 265 | 30,524 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 266 | 30,895 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 267 | 31,084 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 268 | 31,211 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 269 | 31,349 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 270 | 31,567 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 271 | 32,106 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 272 | 32,382 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 273 | 32,506 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 274 | 32,744 |














| Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. | Exhibit |
| :---: | :--- |
| Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 | Schedule H-5 |
| 1 Inch Irrigation | Page 13 |
|  | Witness: Bourassa |


| Usage <br> From: | Usage <br> To: | Month of May-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jun-22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jul-22 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Month of Aug-22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sep-22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Oct-22 } \end{gathered}$ | Month of Nov-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Dec-22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jan-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb-23 } \end{gathered}$ | Month of Mar-23 | Month of Apr-23 | Total <br> Year | Cumulative Billing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumul- } \\ \text { ative } \\ \text { Gallons } \\ \text { (in 1,000's' } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  |
| 1 | 1,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| 1,001 | 2,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 1 |
| 2,001 | 3,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 8 | 3 |
| 3,001 | 4,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 3 |
| 4,001 | 5,000 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 9 | 8 |
| 5,001 | 6,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 8 |
| 6,001 | 7,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 12 | 27 |
| 7,001 | 8,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 27 |
| 8,001 | 9,000 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 13 | 36 |
| 9,001 | 10,000 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 14 | 45 |
| 10,001 | 11,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 11,001 | 12,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 12,001 | 13,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 13,001 | 14,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 14,001 | 15,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 15,001 | 16,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 16,001 | 17,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 17,001 | 18,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 18,001 | 19,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 19,001 | 20,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 20,001 | 21,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 21,001 | 22,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 22,001 | 23,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 23,001 | 24,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 24,001 | 25,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 25,001 | 26,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 26,001 | 27,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 27,001 | 28,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 28,001 | 29,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 29,001 | 30,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 30,001 | 31,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 31,001 | 32,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 32,001 | 33,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 33,001 | 34,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 34,001 | 35,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 45 |
| 35,001 | 36,000 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 15 | 81 |
| 36,001 | 37,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 37,001 | 38,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |


|  |  | Liberty | Utilities | ella Vis | Water) | orp. |  |  |  |  |  | xhibit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Uest Year | ded Apri | 30, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  | chedule |  |  |  |  |
|  | Meter Size: |  | 1 Inch Irri | ation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | age | 13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | itness: | urassa |  |  |  |
| Usage | Usage | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Month of | Total | Cumulative | Cumulative Gallons |
| From: | To: | May-22 | Jun-22 | Jul-22 | Aug-22 | Sep-22 | Oct-22 | Nov-22 | Dec-22 | Jan-23 | Feb-23 | Mar-23 | Apr-23 | Year | Billing | (in 1,000's' |
| 38,001 | 39,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 39,001 | 40,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 40,001 | 41,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 41,001 | 42,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 42,001 | 43,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 43,001 | 44,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 44,001 | 45,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 45,001 | 46,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 46,001 | 47,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 47,001 | 48,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 48,001 | 49,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 49,001 | 50,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 50,001 | 51,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 51,001 | 52,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 52,001 | 53,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 53,001 | 54,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 54,001 | 55,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 55,001 | 56,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 56,001 | 57,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 57,001 | 58,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 58,001 | 59,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 59,001 | 60,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 60,001 | 61,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 61,001 | 62,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 62,001 | 63,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 63,001 | 64,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 64,001 | 65,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 65,001 | 66,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 66,001 | 67,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 67,001 | 68,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 68,001 | 69,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 69,001 | 70,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 70,001 | 71,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 71,001 | 72,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 72,001 | 73,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 73,001 | 74,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 74,001 | 75,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 75,001 | 76,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |
| 76,001 | 77,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 |



|  | Meter Size： | Liberty Utilities（Bella Vista Water）Corp． Test Year Ended April 30， 2023 Fire Lines 4 Inch |  |  |  |  | ExhibitSchedule H－5Page 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Witness： | urassa |  |  |  |
| Usage From： | Usage To： | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { May-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jun- } 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jul-22 } \\ \hline \end{array} ⿳ ⺈ ⿴ 囗 十 一 ⿳ ⺈ ⿴ 囗 十 一 ~ \end{aligned}$ | Month of <br> Aug－22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sep-22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Oct-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Nov-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Dec-22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jan-23 } \\ \hline \end{array} ⿳ ⺈ ⿴ 囗 十 一 贝{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Mar-23 } \end{gathered}$ | Month of <br> Apr－23 | Total Year | Cumul－ <br> ative <br> Billing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumul- } \\ \text { ative } \\ \text { Gallons } \\ \text { (in } 1,000 \text { 's) } \end{gathered}$ |
| － | － | 142 | 142 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 142 | 143 | 144 | 144 | 143 | 1，715 | 1，715 | － |
| 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 1，001 | 2，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 2，001 | 3，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 3，001 | 4，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 4，001 | 5，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 5，001 | 6，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 6，001 | 7，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 7，001 | 8，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 8，001 | 9，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 9，001 | 10，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 10，001 | 11，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 11，001 | 12，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 12，001 | 13，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 13，001 | 14，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 14，001 | 15，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 15，001 | 16，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 16，001 | 17，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 17，001 | 18，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 18，001 | 19，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 19，001 | 20，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 20，001 | 21，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 21，001 | 22，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 22，001 | 23，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 23，001 | 24，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 24，001 | 25，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 25，001 | 26，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 26，001 | 27，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 27，001 | 28，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 28，001 | 29，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 29，001 | 30，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 30，001 | 31，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 31，001 | 32，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 32，001 | 33，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 33，001 | 34，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 34，001 | 35，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 35，001 | 36，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 36，001 | 37，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |
| 37，001 | 38，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 1，715 | － |




|  | Meter Size: | Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. <br> Test Year Ended April 30, 2023 <br> Fire Lines 6 Inch |  |  |  |  | Exhibit <br> Schedule H-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Witness: | urassa |  |  |  |
| Usage From: | Usage To: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { May-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Jun-22 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jul-22 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Aug-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sep-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Oct-22 } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Nov-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Dec-22 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Jan-23 } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Feb-23 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Mar-23 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Apr-23 } \end{gathered}$ | Total Year | Cumulative Billing | Cumul- <br> ative <br> Gallons <br> (in 1,000 's) |
| - | - | 46 | 44 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 544 | 544 | - |
| 1 | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 1,001 | 2,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 2,001 | 3,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 3,001 | 4,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 4,001 | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 5,001 | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 6,001 | 7,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 7,001 | 8,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 8,001 | 9,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 9,001 | 10,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 10,001 | 11,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 11,001 | 12,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 12,001 | 13,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 13,001 | 14,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 14,001 | 15,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 15,001 | 16,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 16,001 | 17,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 17,001 | 18,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 18,001 | 19,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 19,001 | 20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 20,001 | 21,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 21,001 | 22,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 22,001 | 23,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 23,001 | 24,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 24,001 | 25,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 25,001 | 26,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 26,001 | 27,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 27,001 | 28,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 28,001 | 29,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 29,001 | 30,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 30,001 | 31,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 31,001 | 32,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 32,001 | 33,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 33,001 | 34,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 34,001 | 35,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 35,001 | 36,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 36,001 | 37,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
| 37,001 | 38,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 544 | - |
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I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
A. My name is Thomas J. Bourassa. My business address is 139 W. Wood Drive, Phoenix, Arizona 85029.

## Q. WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSION AND BACKGROUND?

A. I am a Certified Public Accountant and am self-employed, providing consulting services to utility companies as well as general accounting services. I have a B.S. in Chemistry and Accounting from Northern Arizona University (1980) and an M.B.A. with an emphasis in Finance from the University of Phoenix (1991).
Q. COULD YOU BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR PRIOR WORK AND REGULATORY EXPERIENCE?
A. Yes. Prior to becoming a private consultant, I was employed by High-Tech Institute, Inc., and served as controller and chief financial officer. Prior to working for High-Tech Institute, I worked as a division controller for the Apollo Group, Inc. Before joining the Apollo Group, I was employed at Kozoman \& Kermode, CPAs. In that position, I prepared compilations and other write-up work for water and wastewater utilities, as well as tax returns.

In my private practice, I have prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of several water and wastewater utility rate applications before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission").
Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS DIRECT TESTIMONY?
A. I am testifying in this proceeding on behalf of applicants Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water and Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Rio Rico"), Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty Bella Vista"), Liberty Utilities (Cordes Lakes Water) Corp. ("Liberty Cordes Lakes"), and Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp. ("Liberty Beardsley"). As stated in the testimony of Matthew Garlick, Liberty is seeking approval to transfer all the of the assets of Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Beardsley and Liberty Cordes Lakes to Liberty Rio Rico with the consolidated entity owning all the of the assets of the four utilities. Since the
appropriate return on equity is based on a total of Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)'s consolidated capital structure, I refer to the consolidated entity as "Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)" or the "Company".
Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED ANY TABLES AND EXHIBITS TO ACCOMPANY YOUR TESTIMONY?
A. Yes. I have prepared 11 tables that support my testimony. I also sponsor exhibits TJB-COC-DT1, TJB-COC-DT2, and TJB-COC-DT3 that also support my testimony.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW YOUR TESTIMONY IS ORGANIZED.
A. In this Section I, a summary of my analysis and my approach is presented. In Section II, I discuss the meaning of just and reasonable rates. In Section III, I provide an overview of the risk and expected return on investment. In Section IV, I discuss the sample of seven publicly traded water utilities in my sample group and provide a comparison to Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). I then discuss recent developments in the water utility industry and their impact on investments. In Section V, I provide an overview of each of the methods (Discounted Cash Flow and Risk Premium including the Capital Asset Pricing Model) that I employ in my analysis. In Section VI, I discuss the additional business risks faced by Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated), my comparative risk study, and my recommended risk premium for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). Finally, in Section VII, I summarize my testimony and present a summary of the equity costs of the water proxy group and Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated).
Q. BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR FINDINGS CONCERNING LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED)'S COST OF COMMON EQUITY.
A. I have determined that the cost of equity for the publicly traded water utilities falls in the range of 8.60 percent to 11.40 percent with an average of 10.1 percent. After considering differences in financial risk and business risk between Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) and the publicly traded water utilities, I am recommending the adoption of an ROE of 10.95 percent for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated).

My recommendation is based on consideration of (i) cost of equity estimates using
a Discounted Cash Flow ("DCF") and three risk premium ("RP") methods (Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM") is one of the RP methods) using a sample group of publicly traded water utilities, (ii) my review of the economic conditions expected to prevail during the period in which new rates will be in effect, (iii) my judgments about the risks associated with relatively small utilities like Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) that are not captured by the market data of publicly traded water utilities, (iv) the financial risk associated with the level of debt in Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)'s recommended capital structure, and (v) additional, specific business and operational risks faced by Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated).

The results of the DCF and RP methodologies were adjusted upward by 20 basis points to account for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)'s higher than average business risk compared to the proxy group. My recommended ROE is based on the Commission adoption of a 54 percent common equity ratio for ratemaking purposes.

## Q. WHAT IS THE RECOMMENDED CAPITAL STRUCTURE FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED) FOR RATE MAKING PURPOSES?

A. Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is recommending a capital structure consisting of 46 percent debt and 54 percent equity for setting base rates in the instant case.

## Q. WHY A 46 PERCENT DEBT AND 54 PERCENT EQUITY CAPITAL STRUCTURE?

A. In a recent rate case for Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water and Sewer), Corp., Liberty Utilities informally agreed with the parties to that case to file the next Liberty Utilities rate cases in Arizona using a capital structure of 46 percent debt and 54 percent equity. This capital structure was used in the recent Liberty Utilities (Black Mountain Sewer), Corp. rate case for this reason. See Decision 78017 (May 18, 2021)

Because the Company's current capital structure is different than the 46 percent debt and 54 percent equity capital structure, the Company intends to file a financing application for approval of additional debt in order to maintain a capital structure of 46 percent debt and 54 percent equity.
Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED WEIGHTED COST OF DEBT?
A. The proposed weighted cost of debt is 6.57 percent. The weighted cost is based on current debt costing 3.48 percent and the proposed additional debt financing at 6.60 percent. (See Schedule D-2. Regarding the proposed additional debt, the Company intends to file a financing application concurrently with this rate case. The terms specify that the debt cost is based upon a 15 -year U.S Treasury plus 160 basis points as will be proposed in the Company's financing application. A recent spot rate for the 15 -year treasury is 5.0 percent. Thus, the proposed cost of debt at this stage of the proceeding for the new debt is 6.60 percent $(5.0 \%$ plus $1.60 \%)$. I would note that the actual interest rate may be higher or lower depending on the prevailing U.S. Treasury yields at the time the debt is issued.
Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL?
A. Based upon Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) proposed capital structure of 43 percent debt and 57 percent equity, a cost of debt of 6.57 percent, and a cost of equity of 10.95 percent, the WACC is 8.93 percent (rounded) as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1

|  | Ratio | Rate | Weighted Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Debt | $46 \%$ | $6.57 \%$ | $3.02 \%$ |
| Equity | $54 \%$ | $10.95 \%$ | $5.91 \%$ |
| Weighted Average |  |  | $8.93 \%$ |

## Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE APPROACH YOU USED TO ESTIMATE THE COST OF EQUITY FOR THE COMPANY.

A. The cost of equity for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) cannot be estimated directly because there is no market data for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) as the Company's equity is not in the form of a publicly traded security. Consequently, I have assessed the market-based common equity cost rates of companies of similar, but not necessarily identical, risk for insight into a recommended common equity cost rate applicable to Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). Analysis of a proxy group serves as a starting point, because no proxy group
can be selected to be identical in risk to Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). Therefore, the proxy group's results must be adjusted to reflect the unique, relative financial and/or business risks of Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated), as I will discuss in detail.

## II. THE MEANING OF "JUST AND REASONABLE" RATE OF RETURN

## Q. HAVE THE COURTS SET FORTH ANY CRITERIA THAT GOVERN THE RATE

 OF RETURN THAT A UTILITY'S RATES SHOULD PRODUCE?A. Yes. In 1923, the U.S. Supreme Court set forth the following criteria for determining whether a rate of return is reasonable in Bluefield Water Works and Improvement Co. v. Public Service Commission of West Virginia, 262 U.S. 679, 692-93 (1923):

A public utility is entitled to such rates as will permit it to earn a return on the value of the property which it employs for the convenience of the public equal to that generally being made at the same time and in the same general part of the country on investments in other business undertakings which are attended by corresponding risks and uncertainties ... The return should be reasonably sufficient to assure confidence in the financial soundness of the utility, and should be adequate, under efficient and economical management, to maintain and support its credit and enable it to raise the money necessary for the proper discharge of its public duties. A rate of return may be reasonable at one time and become too high or too low by changes affecting opportunities for investment, the money market, and business conditions generally.

Then, in Federal Power Commission v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591 (1944), the
U.S. Supreme Court stated the following regarding the return to owners of an entity:
[T]he return to the equity owner should be commensurate with returns on investments in other enterprises having corresponding risks. That return, moreover, should be sufficient to assure confidence in the financial integrity of the enterprise, so as to maintain its credit and to attract capital. 320 U.S. at 603.

In summary, under Hope and Bluefield:
(1) The rate of return should be similar to the return in businesses with similar or comparable risks;
(2) The return should be sufficient to ensure the confidence in the financial integrity of the utility; and
(3) The return should be sufficient to maintain and support the utility's credit.
Q. HAVE THESE CRITERIA BEEN APPLIED IN REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS?
A. Yes, but the application of the "reasonableness" criteria laid down by the Supreme Court has resulted in controversy. The typical method of computing the overall cost of capital is quite straightforward; it is the composite, weighted cost of the various classes of capital (debt, preferred stock, and common equity) used by the utility. Calculating the proportion that each class of capital bears to total capital does the weighting. However, there is no consensus regarding the best method of estimating the cost of equity capital. To date, the increasing regulatory use of market-based finance models in equity return determinations has not led to a universally accepted means of estimating the ROE. In addition, the marketbased results are too often applied to a book-value investment base, which, as I will discuss later in my testimony, understates the return expected by investors who invest in actual markets based on market values.

The cost of capital is based on the concept of opportunity cost i.e., the prospective return to investors must be comparable to investments of similar risk. If a utility's return is less than the returns on investments with similar risk, investors can and will invest elsewhere. As explained by Dr. Roger Morin in his book, New Regulatory Finance:

The concept of cost of capital is firmly anchored in the opportunity cost notion of economics. The cost of a specific source of capital is basically determined by the riskiness of that investment in light of alternative opportunities and equals investor's current opportunity cost of investing in the securities of that utility. A rational investor is maximizing the performance of his or her portfolio only if returns expected on investor investments of comparable risk are the same. If not, the investor will switch out of those investments yielding low returns at a given risk level in favor of those investments offering higher returns for the same degree of risk. This implies that a utility will be unable to attract capital unless it can offer returns to capital suppliers comparable to those achieved on alternate competing investments of similar risk. ${ }^{1}$

The Bluefield decision suggests that opportunity cost is an appropriate measure of the actual cost of common equity for a utility. This necessarily involves the direct

[^57]observation of returns on equity actually earned by entities with comparable risk to ensure that the authorized rate of return is equivalent to the returns those entities are earning.

## III. OVERVIEW OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RISK AND THE EXPECTED

## RETURN ON AN INVESTMENT

Q. HOW IS THE COST OF EQUITY TYPICALLY ANALYZED?
A. The cost of equity is the rate of return that equity investors expect to receive on their investment. Investors can choose from numerous investment options, not simply publicly traded stocks. Investments have varying degrees of risk, ranging from relatively low risk assets such as Treasury securities to somewhat higher risk corporate bonds to even higher risk common stocks. As the level of risk increases, investors require higher returns on their investment. Finance models used to estimate the cost of equity often rely on this basic concept.
Q. CAN YOU ILLUSTRATE THE CAPITAL MARKET RISK-RETURN CONCEPT?
A. Yes. The following graph depicts the risk-return relationship that has become widely known as the Capital Market Line ("CML"). The CML illustrates in a general way the riskreturn relationship.

## The Capital Market Line (CML)



The CML can be viewed as a continuum of the available investment opportunities for investors. Investment risk increases move upward and to the right along the CML. Again, the return required by investor's increases with the risk.

## Q. HOW DOES THE RISK-RETURN TRADE OFF CONCEPT WORK IN THE CAPITAL MARKET?

A. As shown by the CML, the allocation of capital in a free market economy is based upon the relative risk of, and expected return from, an investment. In general, investors rank investment opportunities in the order of their relative risks. Investment alternatives in which the expected return is commensurate with the perceived risk become viable investment options. If all other factors remain equal, the greater the risk, the higher the rate of return investors will require to compensate them for the possibility of loss of either the principal amount invested or the expected annual income from such investment.

Short-term Treasury bills provide a high degree of certainty and in nominal terms (after considering inflation) are considered virtually risk free. Long-term bonds and preferred stocks, having priority claims to assets and fixed income payments, are relatively low risk, but are not risk free. The market values of long-term bonds often fluctuate when government policies or other factors cause interest rates to change. Common stocks are higher and to the right on the CML continuum, because they have greater investment risk. Common stock risk is impacted by the nature of the underlying business and the financial strength of the issuing corporation and market-wide factors, such as general changes in capital costs.

The capital markets reflect investor expectations and requirements each day through market prices. Prices for stocks and bonds change to reflect investor expectations and the relative attractiveness of one investment relative to others. While the example provided above seems straightforward, returns on common stocks are not directly observable in advance as compared to debt or preferred stocks with fixed payment terms. This means that these returns must be estimated from market data. Estimating the cost of equity capital should be a matter of informed judgment about the relative risk of the company in question
and the expected rate of return characteristics of other alternative investments.

## Q. HOW IS THE COST OF EQUITY TO BE DETERMINED FOR A PARTICULAR COMPANY?

A. Estimating a company's cost of equity is complex. It requires an analysis of the factors influencing the cost of various types of capital, such as interest on long-term debt, dividends on preferred stock, and earnings on common equity. The data for such an analysis comes from highly competitive capital markets, where the firm raises funds by issuing common stock, selling bonds, and by borrowing (both long-term and short-term) from banks and other financial institutions. In the capital markets, the cost of capital, whether the capital is in the form of debt or equity, is determined by two important factors:

1) The pure or real rate of interest, often called the risk-free rate of interest, and,
2) The uncertainty or risk premium (or the compensation the investor requires, over and above the real or pure rate of interest for subjecting his or her capital to additional risk).

## Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THESE FACTORS IN GREATER DETAIL.

A. The pure rate of interest essentially reflects both the time preference for and the productivity of capital. From the standpoint of the individual, it is the rate of interest required to induce the individual to forgo present consumption and offer the funds, thus saved, to others for a specified length of time. Moreover, the pure rate of interest concept is based on the assumption that no uncertainty affects the investment undertaken by the individual, i.e., there is no doubt that the periodic interest payments will be made and the principal returned at the end of the time period. In reality, investments without any risk do not exist. Every commitment of funds involves some degree of uncertainty.

Turning to the second factor affecting the cost of capital, it is generally accepted that the higher the degree of uncertainty, the higher the cost of capital. Investors are regarded as risk averse and require that the rate of return increase as the risks and uncertainty associated with an investment increases.

## Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME PERSPECTIVE ON YOUR PREVIOUS DISCUSSION WITH RESPECT TO RETURNS ON COMMON STOCKS?

A. Yes. Conceptually, the required return on common stocks can be quantified by the following equation:

| Required Return for | Return on a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common Stocks $=$ | risk-free asset + Risk Premium |

The risk premium investors require for common stocks will be higher than the risk premium they require for investment grade bonds. This relationship is depicted in the graph of the CML above. As I will discuss later in this testimony, this concept is the basis of risk premium methods, such as the CAPM, that are used to estimate the cost of equity.

## Q. PLEASE DISCUSS IN MORE DETAIL THE IMPACT OF RISK ON CAPITAL COSTS.

A. With reference to specific utilities, risk is often discussed as consisting of two separate types of risk: business risk and financial risk.

Business risk, the basic risk associated with any business undertaking, is the uncertainty associated with the enterprise's day-to-day operations. In essence, it is a function of the normal day-to-day business environment, both locally and nationally. Business risks include the condition of the economy and capital markets, the state of labor markets, regional stability, government regulation, technological obsolescence, and other similar factors that may impact demand for the business' products or services and its cost of production. For utilities, business risk also includes the volatility of revenues arising from abnormal weather conditions, degrees of operational leverage, regulation, and regulatory climate. Regulation, for example, can compound the business risk if it is unpredictable in reacting to cost increases, both in terms of the time lag and magnitude for recovery of such increases.

Financial risk, on the other hand, concerns the distribution of business risk to the various capital investors in the utility. Permanent capital is normally divided into three categories: long-term debt, preferred stock, and common equity. Because common equity
owners have only a residual claim on earnings after debt and preferred stockholders are paid, financial risk tends to be concentrated in that element of the firm's capital. Thus, a decision by management to raise additional capital by issuing additional debt concentrates even more of the financial risk of the utility on the common equity owners.

## Q. WHAT ARE THE DETERMINANTS OF THE RISK FREE RATE IN EQUATION [1]?

A. The risk-free rate can be disaggregated into a "real" rate of interest and an inflation premium (i.e., expected future inflation).

## Q. WHAT ARE THE DETERMINANTS OF THE REQUIRED RISK PREMIUM FROM EQUATION [1] ABOVE?

A. The risk premium can be disaggregated into five general components: (1) Interest Rate Risk; (2) Business Risk; (3) Regulatory Risk; (4) Financial Risk; and (5) Liquidity Risk. Interest Rate Risk refers to the variability in return caused by subsequent changes in interest rates and stems from the inverse relationship between interest rates and asset prices. For example, bond prices fall when interest rates rise and vice versa. As discussed earlier, business risk is the basic risk associated with any business undertaking.

Regulatory risk refers to the quality and consistency of regulation applied to a given regulated utility. Regulatory jurisdictions are evaluated on the basis of three major factors: (1) earnable return on equity, (2) regulatory quality, and (3) regulatory practices. Collectively, these three factors influence a utility's ability to earn its authorized return. The type of test year employed (historical or future), capital structure and rate base issues, and the length of regulatory lag are among the reasons a utility may or may not have a reasonable opportunity to earn its authorized return.

As detailed above, financial risk concerns the distribution of business risk to the various capital investors in the utility. It relates to the additional variability imparted to income available to common shareholders stemming from the entity's method of financing its capital needs.

Construction risk is an important component of financial risk. Construction risk is
the risk of tying capital up in projects that are not earning returns, or not having sufficient capital to build the assets needed to keep generating returns. If an entity has a large construction budget relative to internally generated cash flows, it will require external financing, which will result in greater financial risk. It is essential that such entities have access to capital funds on reasonable terms and conditions.

Utilities are more susceptible to construction risk for two reasons. First, water and wastewater utilities generally have high capital requirements to build plants to serve customers. Second, utilities have a mandated obligation to serve, leaving less flexibility both in the timing and discretion of scheduling capital projects. This is compounded by the limited ability to wait for more favorable market conditions to raise the capital necessary to fund the capital projects, and then the lag between when capital is invested and when rates can be approved to provide returns on and of that capital. It is imperative that the utility maintain access to needed capital and on reasonable terms and conditions. The return allowed on common equity plays a critical role in determining those terms and conditions.

Although often discussed separately, the business and financial risks are interrelated. A study by Scott and Martin found statistically significant results for unregulated firms in twelve industries that "smaller equity ratios (higher leverage use) are generally associated with larger companies." ${ }^{2}$ While unregulated enterprises would be expected to seek the optimal balance between debt and equity to achieve the lowest overall cost of capital, the findings of Scott and Martin suggest smaller firms found it prudent to offset higher business risks related to being small by reducing financial risk. This evidence suggests the lowest cost equity ratio for these two utilities may be higher than the average equity ratio for the benchmark water proxy group.

Finally, Liquidity Risk refers to the ability to readily convert an investment into cash without sustaining a loss. Capital market theory generally assumes that investments are liquid and observations about risk and return are drawn from information about liquid

[^58]investments. Non-publicly traded or privately-held investments possess little liquidity.

## Q. IS INVESTMENT RISK IMPACTED BY COMPANY SIZE?

A. Yes. Investment risk bears a direct relationship to size and increases as company size decreases. Investment liquidity may be a significant factor in explaining this relationship. However, the illiquidity of smaller stocks does not capture the size effect completely. Size may be a proxy for one or more true unknown factors correlated with size. ${ }^{3}$
IV. THE PUBLICLY TRADED UTILITIES THAT COMPRISE THE SAMPLE GROUP USED TO ESTIMATE THE COST OF EQUITY
Q. WHICH COMPANIES COMPRISE YOUR WATER PROXY GROUP?
A. There are seven water utilities in my sample: American States Water ("AWR"), American Water Works ("AWK"), Essential Utilities ("WTRG"), California Water Company ("CWT"), Middlesex Water ("MSEX"), SJW Corp. ("SJW"), and York Water Company ("YORW"). For the methods employed in my analysis, I used data on these sample entities from a sample of publicly traded water utilities, or proxy group, selected from the Value Line Investment Survey as a starting point.

The seven water companies comprising the proxy group were selected by meeting the following criteria: (1) they are followed by the Value Line Investment Survey; (2) they have at least ten years of historical financial and market information; (3) they have a Value Line adjusted beta; (4) they have not cut or omitted their common dividends during the five years ending 2022 or through the time of the preparation of this testimony; (5) they have operating revenues primarily from regulated operations; and (6) at the time of the preparation of this testimony, they had not publicly announced that they were involved in any major merger or acquisition activity.

## Q. BUT THE WATER UTILITIES IN YOUR SAMPLE ARE NOT DIRECTLY COMPARABLE TO LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED)?

[^59]A. That is correct. However, they are utilities for which market data is available. All of them primarily provide water service (although some provide both water and wastewater services) and their primary source of revenues is from regulated services. They are also commonly used in regulatory proceedings where sample companies are selected to measure the cost of equity. Therefore, they provide a useful starting point for developing the cost of equity for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) while recognizing that the proxies are not perfectly comparable.
Q. BRIEFLY, WHY IS A PROXY GROUP NECESSARY FOR COMPARISON IN A COST OF CAPITAL ANALYSIS?
A. First, a fair rate of return for a specific utility is the return required by investors to hold assets with corresponding levels of risk. Market data for a sample of comparable companies provides insight into the investors' required return, and such data comports with the guidance from the U.S. Supreme Court's decisions in Bluefield and Hope, which I discussed earlier. The comparable earnings standard set forth in the Hope and Bluefield decisions requires that the rate of return afforded to utilities be similar to the return for businesses with similar or comparable risks. It follows that a proxy group of companies with comparable risk is the starting point in a cost of capital analysis.

Second, a primary objective of rate regulation is to determine an authorized ROE that is both fair to customers and provides reasonable returns for the subject utility. The best estimate of that ROE is the cost of equity for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). The cost of equity is a cost of service fairly recovered from customers through rates. For investors in Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated), the cost of equity is commensurate with returns an investor in these utilities would expect to earn from investments of comparable risk. To estimate the cost of equity requires market data that reveal investor-required returns. Since Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is not publicly traded, there is no market information to determine the cost of equity. This necessitates the selection and use of a proxy group.

## Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE WATER UTILITIES IN YOUR WATER PROXY GROUP?

A. Yes. Table 2 lists the percentages of regulated revenues, operating revenues, net plant, the number of customers or population served, Value Line Financial strength, Value Line betas, market capitalization, and market size category for the seven water utilities. Comparative data for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) (where available) is also shown in Table 2. The water utilities in the water proxy group consist of Micro-Cap to Large-Cap companies. Four of the seven companies are Mid-Cap or larger. ${ }^{4}$ The market capitalizations range from about $\$ 577$ million to over $\$ 27.1$ billion with an average of approximately $\$ 7$ billion. Operating revenues range from about $\$ 60$ million to nearly $\$ 3.8$ billion with an average of over $\$ 1.18$ billion. Net plant ranges from $\$ 431$ million to over $\$ 23$ billion, with an average of over $\$ 6.1$ billion. Most of the companies operate in multiple jurisdictions.

## Q. HOW DOES LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED) COMPARE TO THE

## UTILITES IN YOUR PROXY GROUP?

A. On average, the utilities in the water proxy group are much larger and, according to the empirical financial data, they are less risky than Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is much smaller with fewer customers and has far less revenues, far less net plant and a relatively small and limited service territory. At the end of 2022, Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) had approximately 25,000 water and sewer connections as compared to the average of the water proxy group of nearly 963,000 connections per company. Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)'s revenues totaled approximately $\$ 13.8$ million, and net plant-in-service was approximately $\$ 69$ million. The average revenues of the water proxy group are over 85 times greater than Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated), and those entities have on average over 89 times the net plant of Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated).

## Q. DO RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN THE WATER UTILITY INDUSTRY IMPACT INVESTMENTS AND THE DETEMINATION OF THE COST OF EQUITY?

[^60]A. Yes. On the whole, the water and wastewater utility industry continues to confront increasing need for infrastructure upgrades and replacement. See Value Line Investment Survey, Ratings and Reports - Water Utility Industry (October 6, 2023). Value Line notes that higher interest rates are typically not good for utilities as fixed income investments become more attractive and utilities heavily rely of debt to fund their capital improvements. Accordingly, Value Line recognizes that heavy reliance on debt for financing does not lead to strong finances. As Value Line notes, the balance sheets in this sector are not in stellar condition.

Value Line also recognizes that the stocks in the water sector ordinarily trade with $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ ratios that are higher than the average stock. Part of this is due to scarcity, as it is a small industry. Iin other words, the demand to own shares by large institutional investors outstrips the supply which is a prime reason for water utility stocks trading at seemingly inflated P/E ratios. See Value Line Investment Survey, Ratings and Reports - Water Utility Industry (January 23, 2023).

A copy of the most recent Value Line report on the water industry along with each water utility in my proxy group is attached as Exhibit TJB-COC-DT1.

## Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF RECENT EVENTS THAT HAVE IMPACTED CAPITAL MARKET CONDITIONS?

A. Over the past few years, the capital markets experienced unprecedented levels of uncertainty due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the global economy and US economy. To mitigate the impact on the economy, the Federal Reserve cut its policy rate to 0 to 0.25 percent in March 2020 and announced unlimited quantitative easing and emergency liquidity programs. ${ }^{5}$ During 2020 the U.S. passed several large spending programs including the $\$ 2.2$ trillion CARES Act (March 2020) which was then the largest economic stimulus package in U.S. history. ${ }^{6}$ Despite these efforts in 2020, the U.S.

[^61]economy actually contracted and entered a technical recession by mid-2020. ${ }^{7}$ To help mitigate this situation, the U.S. government then passed a $\$ 1.7$ trillion American Rescue Plan of 2021 (March 2021) which was intended to stimulate the economy. ${ }^{8}$ Despite the passage of significant stimulus packages by the U.S. government, the U.S. entered a "technical" recession by the 2nd quarter of $2022 .{ }^{9}$

Because the annualized inflation rate rose to 7.0 percent by the end of 2021, the Federal Reserve began raising interest rates in March 2022 in attempt to tame inflation. ${ }^{10}$ Since March 2022 and through July 2023, the Federal Reserve has increased the federal funds rate by 525 basis points to a target rate of 5.25 to 5.50 percent. ${ }^{11}$ Despite the attempts to tackle inflation, inflation has remained stubbornly high peaking at over 9.0 percent in June 2022. ${ }^{12}$ By February 2023, the annualized inflation rate was still at about 6.0 percent and far above the Federal Reserve's targeted 2.0 percent inflation rate. ${ }^{13}$ The Value Line Investment Survey, Selection and Opinion (September 29, 2023) notes that inflation remains sticky and well above the Fed's target rate of 2.0 percent after trending lower in the spring and summer. Value Line also notes that the central bank may keep interest rates higher for an extended period. The federal funds rate has stayed steady at 5.0 percent to 5.25 percent as the Fed had been more hawkish during the summer of 2023, which has put pressure on Treasury yields. The average 20 -year and 30 -year U.S. Treasury bond yields reached peaks of 4.77 percent and 4.65 percent respectively in September 2023. Finally, Value Line notes that market volatility has picked up as the market is dealing with several headwinds during a traditionally tough period for equities.

[^62]Q. WHAT ARE THE EXPECTATIONS FOR INTEREST RATES AND THE ECONOMY MOVING FORWARD?
A. According to Blue Chip Financial Forecasts ("BCFF"), the expectations are for more monetary tightening by the Federal Reserve and for interest rates to remain elevated for longer. ${ }^{14}$ Blue Chip long-range forecasts from June 2023 projects that long-term treasuries will average 3.7 percent of the 2024-26 period, the period new rates are expected to be in effect.
Q. CAN YOU DISCUSS RISK FACTORS DISTINGUISH LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED) FROM THE LARGER WATER UTILITIES IN YOUR PROXY GROUP?
A. First, water and wastewater utilities are capital intensive and typically have large construction budgets. Firms with large construction budgets face greater construction risk (a component of financial risk). The size of a utility's capital budget relative to the size of the utility itself often increases construction risk. Large utilities are better able to fund their capital budgets from their earnings, cash flows, and short-term borrowings. For smaller utilities, the ability to fund their capital budgets from earnings, cash flows, and short-term debt is difficult, if not impossible, and must rely on additional outside capital.

Second, smaller companies are simply less able to cope with significant events that affect sales, revenues and earnings. For example, the loss of revenues from a few larger customers or from trends in the reduction of usage by customers through conservation or the makeup of the customer base would have a greater effect on a small company than on a much larger company with a larger customer base.

Third, there are a number of other factors, including the differences in regulatory environments, differences in the type of test year used for rate making, and differences in the available regulatory mechanisms for recovery of costs outside of a rate case. The large water utilities in my water proxy group are generally not subject to the adverse impacts of

[^63]an unfavorable regulatory environment of one jurisdiction.
In summary, there are several factors that impact the ability of a smaller utility to actually earn its authorized return. An inadequate opportunity to earn the revenues in a rate case leads to a greater variability of earnings for entities like Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) when compared to the proxy group. This volatility means greater risk, and the greater risk requires higher returns to maintain and support the utility's credit.

## Q. WHAT QUANTITATIVE MEASURES CAN BE USED TO HELP IDENTIFY DIFFERENCES IN BUSINESS RISK?

A. There are a number of fundamental accounting-based business risk measures that can be used to assess the relative differences between firms. Those include: (1) the co-efficient of variance of ROE; (2) the co-efficient of variance of operating income; (3) the co-efficient of variance of operating margin; and (4) Operating Leverage. The first three reflect the distributions of earnings. These are meaningful when measured against the distribution of earnings of alternative investments, like the water utilities in my water proxy group. The fourth business risk measure reflects the impact of sales fluctuations and the impact of fixed operating costs on earnings.

The co-efficient of variance of ROE can be quantified using the following equation:
[2] Co-efficient of Variance of ROE = Standard Deviation of ROE/Mean of ROE
The co-efficient of variance of operating income can be quantified using a relatively simple equation:
[3] Co-efficient of Variance of Operating Income $=$ Standard Deviation of Operating Income/Mean of Operating Income

The co-efficient of variance of operating margin can be quantified using the following equation:
[4] Co-efficient of Variance of Operating Margin = Standard Deviation of Operating Margin/Mean of Operating Margin

And, the Operating Leverage formula is expressed as:
[5] Operating Leverage $=$ Percentage Change in Operating Income/Percentage

Change in Sales
Using the business risk measures expressed in equations [2], [3], and [4], the greater the co-efficient of variation or Operating Leverage, the greater the risk to investors of not receiving expected returns. ${ }^{15}$ Below are the computed co-efficient of variation for ROE, Operating Income, and Operating Margin, as well as Operating Leverage using the five most recent years of historical data for the water proxy group and Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated):

Company
Water Proxy
Group
Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)
Relative Risk of
Liberty Rio Rico
(Consolidated) to
Water Proxy Group

| Business Risk <br> Co-efficient of <br> variance of <br> Operating <br> Income | Business Risk <br> Co-efficient <br> of variance of <br> Operating <br> Margin | Operating <br> Leverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1585 | 0.0816 | 4.06 |
| 0.2793 |  | 77.34 |
|  | 4.39 | 19.03 |

These metrics show that Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is riskier than the average water proxy group companies which is why my analysis indicates a 90 to 110 basis point risk premium over the water proxy group based upon these metrics.

## Q. CAN METRICS LIKE A COMPANY'S CO-EFFICIENT OF VARIATION IN ROE,

 CO-EFFICIENT OF VARIATION IN OPERATING INCOME, AND OPERATING MARGIN BE USED ALONG WITH MARKET DATA TO DEVELOP COMPANY SPECIFIC RISK PREMIUMS?A. Yes. Duff \& Phelps publishes comparative risk characteristics using market data that provides a nexus between a market beta and the metrics operating margin, the coefficient of variation in operating margin, and the coefficient of variation in return on equity. ${ }^{16}$ This

[^64]information can be used to develop implied betas for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) for use in the CAPM. See Exhibit TJB-COC-DT3. By comparing the results of the CAPM for the water proxy group with the CAPM for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) using the implied betas, informed risk premiums can be developed. As one would expect, the implied beta for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is higher than the beta of the water proxy group. A risk premium of 90 to 110 basis points over the cost of equity of the water proxy group is indicated for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). I will discuss the indicated risk premiums and implied betas in more detail in Section VI of this direct testimony.

## Q. WHAT ABOUT LIQUIDITY RISK?

A. A rational investor would regard an investment in Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) as having a higher level of risk as AWK or even the smaller MSEX) because of the previously mentioned small size characteristics of Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) and the fact that an investment in Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is relatively illiquid compared to the publicly traded water utilities. An investor in a publicly traded stock can sell stock in a very short period of time if dissatisfied with the returns. Whereas an investor in a privately held stock does not have this ability to sell quickly. Consequently, investors will require a greater risk premium, often called liquidity risk premium. As a consequence of these differences in risk, the results produced by the DCF and RP methodologies, utilizing data for the sample utilities, often understate the appropriate ROE for a small, regulated water utility such as Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated).

## Q. IS THERE A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN A UTILITY'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND ITS COST OF CAPITAL?

A. Yes. Generally speaking, when an entity engages in debt financing, it exposes itself to greater risk. As debt grows relative to the total capital structure, the risk increases in a geometric fashion compared to the linear percentage increase in the debt ratio itself. This risk is illustrated by considering the effect of leverage on net earnings. For example, as leverage increases, the equity ratio falls. This creates two adverse effects. First, equity earnings decline rapidly and may even disappear. Second, the "cushion" of equity
protection for debt falls. A decline in the protection afforded to debt holders, or the possibility of a serious decline in debt protection, will act to increase the cost of debt financing. Therefore, one may conclude that each new financing, whether through debt or equity, impacts the marginal cost of future financing by any alternative method.

For an entity already perceived as being over-leveraged, this additional borrowing would cause the marginal costs of both equity and debt to increase. On the other hand, if the same entity instead successfully employed equity funding, this could actually reduce the real marginal cost of additional borrowing, even if the particular equity issuance occurred at a higher unit cost than an equivalent amount of debt.

## Q. HOW DO THE CAPITAL STRUCTURES OF THE SAMPLE WATER UTILITIES COMPARE TO THE PROPOSED PRO FORMA CAPITAL STRUCTURES FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED)?

A. Table 3 shows that the debt and equity capital structure used to develop the cost of capital for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). This structure contains 54 percent equity and 46 percent debt, compared to the average of the water utility sample of approximately 52 percent equity and 48 percent debt. Having less debt in its capital structure implies that the Company has lower financial risk than those in the water proxy group. However, the 46 percent level of debt for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is not significantly less than the average of the proxy group of 48 percent. The differences in the levels of debt and equity between the proxy group utilities and Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) are not significant and in my view do not result in a material difference in overall investment risk. ${ }^{17}$ Consequently, I do not recommend a financial risk adjustment at this time based on Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)'s proposed capital structure.

[^65]V. OVERVIEW OF THE DCF AND RISK PREMIUM METHODS
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE GENERAL APPROACHES TO ESTIMATING THE COST OF CAPITAL.
A. There are two broad approaches:

1) identify comparable-risk sample companies and estimate the cost of capital directly, or
2) find the location on the CML and estimate the relative risk of the entity, which jointly determines the cost of capital.

The DCF method falls into the first approach. It is a direct method, but uses only a subset of the total capital market evidence. The DCF rests on the premise that the fundamental value of an asset (i.e. stock) is its ability to generate future cash flows to the owner of that asset. The DCF is simply the sum of a stock's expected dividend yield and the expected long-term growth rate. Dividend yields are readily available, but long-term growth estimates are not. I will explain the DCF in greater detail later.

The RP methods fall into the second approach. An equity risk premium is established by determining the relationship between the cost of equity and an interest rate over time. The CAPM method falls into the category of RP methods. To implement, it is generally assumed that the past correlation will continue on into the future. The RP generally uses a small subset of the capital market evidence whereas the CAPM uses information on all securities, rather than a small subset. I will explain the RP methods in more detail later. For now, the RP methods reflect a risk-return relationship, often depicted graphically as the CML.

Each of these methods measures investor expectations. In the final analysis, ROE estimates are subjective and should be based on sound, informed judgment and supported by competent evidence. I have applied one version of the DCF and three versions of the RP methods (including the CAPM as one of the RP methods.) I believe these methods provide the foundation for evaluating the fair cost of equity capital for the publicly traded water utilities in my proxy group. I then add a risk premium to the results of these models
for the water proxy group to account for the differences in risk (business, regulatory, liquidity, size) between the water proxy group and Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated).

## A. Explanation of the DCF Model And its Inputs

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DCF METHOD OF ESTIMATING THE COST OF EQUITY.

A. The DCF model is based on the concept that the current price of a share of stock is equal to the present value of future cash flows from the purchase of the stock. In other words, the DCF model seeks to replicate the market valuation process that sets the price investors are willing to pay for a share of an entity's stock. It rests on the assumption that investors rely on the expected returns (i.e., cash flow they expect to receive) to set the price of a security. The DCF model in its most general form is:
[6] $\quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{CF}_{1} /(1+\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{CF}_{2} /(1+\mathrm{k})^{2}+\ldots .+\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{n}} /(1+\mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{n}}$ where k is the cost of equity; n is the number of years; $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ is the current stock price; and, $\mathrm{CF}_{1}$, through $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are the expected future cash flows expected to be received in periods 1 through n .

Equation [6] can be written to show that the current price $\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ is also equal to
[7] $\quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{CF}_{1} /(1+\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{CF}_{2} /(1+\mathrm{k})^{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} /(1+\mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{t}}$
where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the price expected to be received at the end of the period t . If the future price $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ included a premium (an expected increase in the stock price or capital gain), the price the investor would pay today (in anticipation of receiving that premium) would increase. In other words, by estimating the cash flows from the purchase of a stock in the form of dividends and capital gains, we can calculate the investor's required rate of return, i.e., the rate of return an investor presumptively used in bidding the current price to the stock $\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ to its current level.

Equation [7] is a Market Price version of the DCF model. As with the general form of the DCF model in equation [6], the current stock price $\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ is the present value of the expected cash inflows in the Market Price approach. The cash flows are comprised of dividends and the final selling price of the stock. The estimated cost of equity $(\mathrm{k})$ is the rate
of return investors expect if they bought the stock at today's price, held the stock and received dividends through the transition period, and then sold it for price in period $t\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$.
Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE TO ILLUSTRATE THE MARKET PRICE VERSION OF THE DCF MODEL?
A. Yes. Assume an investor buys a share of common stock for $\$ 40$. If the expected dividend during the coming year is $\$ 2.00$, then the expected dividend yield is 5 percent $(\$ 2.00 / \$ 40=$ 5.0 percent). If the stock price is also expected to increase to $\$ 43.00$ after one year, this $\$ 3.00$ expected gain adds an additional 7.5 percent to the expected total rate of return $(\$ 3.00 / \$ 40=7.5$ percent $)$. Thus, the investor buying the stock at $\$ 40$ per share expects a total return of 12.5 percent ( 5 percent dividend yield plus 7.5 percent price appreciation). The total return of 12.5 percent is the appropriate measure of the cost of capital because this is the rate of return that caused the investor to commit $\$ 40$ of his or her capital by purchasing the stock.

## Q. PLEASE CONTINUE WITH YOUR DESCRIPTION OF THE DCF MODEL.

A. Under the assumption that future cash flow is expected to grow at a constant rate (" g "), equation [6] can be solved for k and rearranged into the simple form:
[8] $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{CF}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{g}$
where $\mathrm{CF}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{0}$ is the expected dividend yield (also expressed as $\mathrm{D}_{0} / \mathrm{P}_{0}$ ) and g is the expected long-term dividend (price) growth rate. The expected dividend yield is computed as the ratio of next period's expected dividend (" $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ ") divided by the current stock price ("P 0 ").

This form of the DCF model is known as the "constant growth" DCF model and recognizes that investors expect to receive a portion of their total return in the form of current dividends and the remainder through future dividends and capital (i.e. price) appreciation. A key assumption of this form of the model is that investors expect that same rate of return (k) every year and that market price grows at the same rate as dividends. As already discussed, this has not been historically true for the water utility sample, as shown by the data in Table 4.

## Q. ARE THERE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT APPLYING THE DCF MODEL TO UTILITY STOCKS?

A. Yes, there are a number of reasons why caution must be used when applying the DCF model to utility stocks. First, a non-publicly traded company does not have a stock market price. Using the stock prices from a proxy group assumes that the stock of Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) would be similarly priced and has a dividend yield similar to the publicly traded water companies. Second, the stock price and dividend yield components may be unduly influenced by structural changes in the industry, such as mergers and acquisitions, which influence investor expectations. Third, the DCF model is based on a number of assumptions that may not be realistic given the current capital market environment. The traditional DCF model assumes that the market price per share ("MPPS"), book value per share ("BVPS), earnings per share ("EPS"), and dividends per share ("DPS"), all grow at the same rate. This has not been historically true for the sample water utility companies. For example, Table 4 shows than over the past 5 years the average MPPS growth has significantly exceeded the average BVPS, EPS, and DPS.

We should be especially concerned with the DCF model's applicability under current market conditions. Over the past several years, Value Line has taken note of these fundamental changes surrounding water utility stocks. The Value Line Investment Survey (October 14, 2016) for the Water Utility Industry noted:

> When we went to press last July, institutional investors, spurred by low rates on U.S. Treasury securities, had plowed large amounts of funds into this relatively minor segment of the U.S. equity market. Consisting of only nine stocks, the industry has a combined market capitalization of less than $\$ 25$ billion. Long known to many retail investors for their modest, but well-defined earnings, many accounts have also been attracted to these shares because of their higher-thanaverage yields, solid dividend growth prospects, low volatility, and defensive nature. During the first half of 2016, however, demand for certain income-generating stocks reached peak levels. Indeed, the price of the equities in this industry were pushed to such all-time highs, that their yields (the primary reason to buy the stocks) fell below the median of the Value Line universe.
noted:
The average dividend yield on the eight regulated water utilities we follow is currently $2.1 \%$, or exactly the same as the median for all stocks in the Value Line universe. Historically, the yield on these stocks has been much higher. As an example, the typical yield on an electric utility equity is about $3.6 \%$, or 150 basis points higher than the water utility industry. Why is this? One reason is that when taken as a whole, the market capitalization of the group is very modest. Thus, it doesn't take a large shift into the sector by institutional investors to drive the price of these stocks higher and their yields lower. Indeed, the three stocks with the best returns over the last three months were all small cap stocks. York Water and $S J W$ each surged $30 \%$ while Middlesex Water rose about $25 \%$. Before these moves, the market capitalization of each individual stock was $\$ 375$ million, $\$ 850$ million, and $\$ 550$ million, respectively. The spike in prices has also left the equities with respective yields of $1.7 \%, 1.5 \%$, and $2.1 \%$.

The Value Line Investment Survey (January 12, 2018) for the Water Utility Industry noted:

Shares of water utilities are currently trading in uncharted territory. Aided most likely by strong institutional demand, and a limited supply of equity, the large- and mid-cap stocks in the group have done extremely well.

We caution investors that these stocks may not be as safe as they have been in the past. That is because the larger utilities have seen their stocks rise to near all-time highs. For example, the current yield on this group's stocks is only about equal to the Value Line median. Also, though inflation remains tame, the Federal Reserve has indicated more interest rate hikes next year. This could make bonds more attractive to income-oriented investors. In any case, subscribers should be aware that these stocks may carry more risk than their Beta co-efficients and Safety ranks indicate.

The Value Line Investment Survey (April 12, 2019) for the Water Utility Industry continues this theme and notes:

Despite its reputation as being defensive sector of the equity market, the Water Utility Industry continues to perform relatively well in an up market. Indeed, typically purchased for their yield and dividend growth prospects, the average yield in this group is now below the Value Line median. Based, on other key financial metrics, this Industry is trading at historically high levels. For example, the P/E ratios of these stocks is probably close to 30 . That's over 1.7 times
the average stock's P/E. Not only are other stocks offering an alternative to this group, but short-term Treasury notes are looking attractive on a relative basis as well. The yield on a three-month Treasury note is currently over $2.4 \%$. Thus, it is yielding more than 50 basis points higher than most water equities. True, there is not the possibility of dividend hikes for this security, but there also is just about no risk whatsoever. All in all, we think investors should take a hard look at the offerings on the front end of the yield curve rather than invest in water utility stocks.
... Despite their low Beta co-efficient, and high scores for Price Stability and Earnings Predictability, these stocks may hold more risk than a typical utility investor may want to undertake. This opinion is based purely on what we believe are elevated valuations of the equities. We continue to think that the industry is fundamentally sound, but better alternatives are available elsewhere.

Finally, the most recent Value Line Investment Survey (January 6, 2023) (emphasis
added) for the Water Utility Industry noted:
The total market capitalization of the Water Utility Industry is about $\$ 51$ billion, or slightly below that of Dominion Energy, the nation's fourth largest electric utility. Moreover American Water Works accounts for over $54 \%$ of the total. Thus, in the group there are only two large cap stocks. (The other Essential Utilities.) That leaves 4 companies that have market caps ranging from $\$ 2.5$ billion to $\$ 3.4$ billion. The demand to own shares by the large institutional investors clearly outstrips the supply. This is one of the prime reasons for these stocks trading at such seemingly inflated P/E ratios. Of the six water stocks covered by Value Line, the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ 's range from a low of 24.8 , to a high of 38.8 , with the average being 32.4. Essential Utilities is the only equity with a P/E below 30, mostly because of its gas utility operations.

Over the past several years while the price-earnings ratios for water utility stocks have reached all-time highs and dividend yields have reached all-time lows, yet the 5 and 10year average annual total return for the water proxy group are 11.8 , and 16.8 percent, respectively, from advances in stock prices and reinvestment of dividends. ${ }^{18}$ These returns are significantly higher than the DCF estimate of the cost of equity of just 8.6 percent and is a source of my concern in the application of the DCF at this time. The expected equity returns suggested by the market based DCF model do not line up with recent experience in the markets. As Dr. Morin notes:

[^66]To the extent that increases (decreases) in relative market valuation are anticipated by investors, especially myopic investors with shortterm investment horizons, the standard DCF model will understate (overstate) the cost of equity. ${ }^{19}$

Another way of stating this point is that the DCF model does not account for the ebb and flow of investor sentiments over the course of the business cycle. The problem was particularly acute in the mid 1990's and mid 2000's where investors, faced with very low returns on short-term fixed-income securities and an uncertain market outlook, sought higher yields offered by utility stocks in a so-called flight to quality, boosting utility stock price and lowering the dividend yield. ${ }^{20}$ The circumstances then are not so different from what is occurring today.

Fourth, the application of the DCF model produces estimates of the cost of equity that are consistent with investor expectations only when the market price of a stock and the stock's book value are approximately the same. The DCF model will understate the cost of equity when the market-to-book ratio exceeds 1.0 and, conversely, the model will overstate the cost of equity when the market-to-book ratio is less than 1.0. The reason for this is that the market-derived return produced by the DCF is often applied to book value rate base by regulators.

Fifth, the assumption of a constant growth rate may be unrealistic, and there may be difficulty in finding an adequate proxy for the growth rate. Historical growth rates can be downward biased as a result of the impact of anemic historical growth rates in earnings, mergers and acquisitions, restructuring, unfavorable regulatory decisions, and even abnormal weather patterns. Conversely, historical growth rates can be upwardly biased as well, particularly under current market conditions as discussed previously.

## Q. WHAT DATA HAVE YOU USED TO COMPUTE THE EXPECTED DIVIDEND YIELD ( $\mathrm{D}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{0}$ ) IN YOUR DCF MODEL?

A. First, I computed a current dividend yield $\left(\mathrm{D}_{0} / \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$. The time value of money should be taken into account when determining dividend yields. This adjustment is required because the

[^67]basic model assumes dividends are paid once a year, but investors actually receive dividend payments on a quarterly basis. Prices they pay for the stock ( $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ ), would reflect the anticipated payment and potential re-investment of quarterly dividends. To approximate the time value of money and the payment of quarterly dividends, I computed expected dividend yield $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ as the current dividend yield $\left(\mathrm{D}_{0} / \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ times one plus the growth rate (g) divided by 2. I used the spot price for each of the stocks of the water utilities in the sample group as reported by the Value Line Investment Analyzer for September 22, 2023 for $\mathrm{P}_{0}$. The current dividend $\left(\mathrm{D}_{0}\right)$ is the current indicated dividend as reported by Value Line. In my tables, the current dividend yield is denoted as ( $\mathrm{D}_{0} / \mathrm{P}_{0}$ ), where $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ is the current dividend and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ is the spot stock price. $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ is used to denote the expected dividend yield in the tables.

## Q. WHAT MEASURES OF GROWTH ("g") HAVE YOU USED?

A. My estimates of growth are based upon analysts' estimates of growth. For my forecast growth estimate, I have used the growth forecasts from Value Line, Zacks Investment Research, and Yahoo Finance. I report the analysts' forecasts of future growth in Table 4.

## Q. WHY DID YOU USE FORECASTED GROWTH RATES IN YOUR GROWTH

 ESTIMATES?A. The empirical evidence indicates that analyst estimates of EPS growth are the best measure of growth for use in the DCF for utility stocks. ${ }^{21}$ Further, the DCF model requires estimates of growth that investors expect in the future and not past estimates of growth that have

[^68]already occurred. Logically, in estimating future growth, financial institutions and analysts have taken into account all relevant historical information on an entity, as well as other more recent information. ${ }^{22}$ To the extent that past results provide useful indications of future growth prospects, analysts' forecasts would already incorporate that information. In addition, the current price of a stock reflects known historic information on that entity, including its past earnings history. Any further recognition of the past will double count what has already occurred. Therefore, forward-looking growth rates should be used.
Q. DID YOU APPLY A REASONABLENESS TEST TO THE INDIVIDUAL RESULTS THE DCF?
A. Yes. DCF results that are less than the forecast Baa investment grade bond yield plus 100 basis points or 6.7 percent are excluded. An indicated return of 6.7 percent is the minimum plausible expected cost of equity. This reasonableness approach is consistent with methods the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") adopted in the past and consistent with common sense. ${ }^{23}$

## Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE EQUITY COST ESTIMATES YOU MAKE WITH THE DCF APPROACH.

A. In Table 6, my DCF estimate for the cost of equity of the water proxy group is 9.6 percent. For Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) my estimate is 9.8 percent as shown in Table 1.

## B. Explanation of the RP And its Inputs

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RP METHODOLOGY FOR ESTIMATING THE COST OF EQUITY.

A. The RP method is sometimes referred to as the "bond yield plus risk premium method." The general approach is to determine the spread between the return on debt and the return on equity, and then add this spread to the current debt yield to derive an estimate of the cost

[^69]of equity. To implement the RP, it is assumed that the past relationship will continue into the future. The RP is widely used by analysts and investors. ${ }^{24}$

The RPM formula provides a formal risk-return relationship and is stated as:
(9) $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}+$ bond-equity spread Where k is the expected return on equity and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is the cost of debt or debt yield.

## Q. PLEASE TURN TO YOUR RISK PREMIUM EQUITY COST ESTIMATES. HOW MANY RP ANALYSES HAVE YOU PERFORMED?

A. I performed two risk premium analyses, not including the CAPM. My first analysis is presented in Table 8. It is an updated and modified version of the risk premium analysis used in a prior California Public Utility Commission rate case. In that case, the Public Advocates Office ("Cal Advocates") presented in San Jose Water Company’s GRC (A.06-02-014) in June 2006. In that case, Cal Advocates adopted annual averages of actual returns on average equity for water utilities in its sample as proxies for the costs of equity for the period 1996 to 2005, subtracted contemporaneous Treasury rates from those equity cost proxies to determine annual average risk premiums, then added 5-year and 10-year averages of those risk premiums to forecasts of the respective Treasury rates to determine an equity cost range. Table 8 adopts annual averages of available DCF equity costs for utilities in the sample as the annual proxies for the costs of equity. This analysis was based on data for the period 2013 to 2022. See Table 9. Current dividend yields are annual averages of yields for the water utilities sample in the various years as reported by Value Line. Growth rates are averages of EPS growth rates forecasted by Value Line. This RP analysis indicates a cost of equity of 10.66 percent for the water proxy group. For Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated), the indicated cost of equity is 11.47 percent as shown in Table 1. My analysis assumes that the sample of seven utilities is large enough to provide meaningful estimates.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR SECOND RP APPROACH.

[^70]A. The second RP analysis is analysis is presented in Table 9. For the period 1977 to 2022 (46 years), I subtract average annual long-term US. Treasury yields from total returns of the S\&P 500 Utility Index to determine the annual risk premium for each year. The average risk premium over the period adjusted to reflect the estimated impact on the risk premium due to the difference between the average interest rate over the period and the current forecast estimate for interest rates. This adjustment is necessary because the risk premium varies inversely with interest rates. That said, the adjusted risk premium is then added to the average expected long-term U.S. Treasury yield (2024-2026) of 2.7 percent from Table 7 to estimate the cost of equity. This RP analysis indicates a cost of equity of 11.3 percent for the water proxy group. For Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated), the indicated cost of equity is 12.11 percent as shown in Table 1.
Q. SHOULD STUDIES OF HISTORICAL RISK PREMIUMS RELY ON ARITHMETIC AVERAGE RETURNS OR ON GEOMETRIC AVERAGE RETURNS?
A. Whenever relying on historical risk premiums, only arithmetic average returns over long periods are appropriate for forecasting and estimating the cost of capital, and geometric average returns are not. As various finance experts have explained, an arithmetic mean is the correct approach to use in estimating the cost of capital, particularly for a risk premium model. ${ }^{25}$ As Dr. Morin states:

Because valuation is forward-looking, the appropriate average is the one that most accurately approximates the expected future rate of return. The best estimate of the expected returns over a future holding period is the arithmetic average. Only arithmetic means are correct for forecasting purposes and for estimating the cost of capital. There is no theoretical or empirical justification for the use of geometric rates of return as a measure of the appropriate discount rate in computing the cost of capital or in computing present values. ${ }^{26}$

[^71]The consensus among these experts makes sense. Only arithmetic mean return rates and yields are appropriate for cost of capital purposes because ex-post (historical) total returns and equity risk premiums differ in size and direction over time, providing insight into the variance and standard deviation of returns. The geometric mean of ex-post (after the fact) equity risk premiums provides no insight into the potential variance of future returns because the geometric mean relates the change over many periods to a constant rate of change, rather than the year-to-year fluctuations, or variance, which are critical to risk analysis. In short, the conclusion of these financial experts is that, while the geometric mean is useful in comparing what happened in the past, it should not be used to determine estimates of expected future returns or market risk premiums.

## Q. LET'S TURN TO THE CAPM. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CAPM METHODOLOGY FOR ESTIMATING THE COST OF EQUITY.

A. Like all RP methods, the CAPM is the sum of a risk-free rate plus a risk premium. Like the RPM, it quantifies the additional return required by investors for bearing incremental risk. The CAPM was developed by William Sharpe and John Lintner in the mid-1960s and is a common topic in college finance textbooks. The CAPM provides a formal risk-return relationship premised on the idea that only market risk matters, as measured by beta. The traditional version of CAPM is represented by the formula:

$$
[10] \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+\beta\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)
$$

Where k is the expected return, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the risk-free rate (or zero beta asset), $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the market return, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is the market risk premium, and $\beta$ is beta.

## Q. WHAT IS BETA AND WHAT DOES IT MEASURE?

A. Beta is a measure of the relative risk of a security in relation to the market. In other words, it is a measure of the sensitivity of a security to the market as a whole. This sensitivity is also known as systematic risk. It is estimated by regressing a security's excess returns against a market portfolio's excess returns. The slope of the regression line is the beta.

Beta for the market is 1.0 . A security with a beta greater than 1.0 is considered riskier than the market. A security with a beta less than 1.0 is considered less risky than the market.
Q. ARE THERE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT APPLYING THE CAPM MODEL TO UTILITY STOCKS?
A. Yes. I have concerns with using this model in most periods because mechanical application of the model may produce unreasonable results. The traditional CAPM only captures a single measure of systematic risk as measured by beta, but there are other forms of systematic risk priced by the market such as company size. A size premium is necessary because the empirical evidence indicates that beta alone does not measure the risk of smaller companies. ${ }^{27}$ Further, there are computational problems surrounding beta since it depends on the return data, the time period used, its duration, the choice of the market index, and whether annual, monthly, or weekly return figures are used. Betas are estimated with error. Based on empirical evidence, high betas will tend to have a positive error (risk is overestimated) and low betas will have a negative error (risk is underestimated). ${ }^{28}$

## Q. ARE THERE ALTERNATIVES TO THE TRADITIONAL CAPM?

A. Yes, alternative versions of the CAPM have been developed that provide more robust explanations of returns required by investors. A version of the CAPM called the Empirical CAPM or ECAPM was developed to recognize that estimations of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ are higher than the return on long-term Treasuries. Dr. Roger Morin discusses ECAPM at pages 189-191 of his book, New Regulatory Finance. The ECPAM is represented as follows:

$$
[11] \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+.25\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)+.75 \beta\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)
$$

The ECAPM was developed from the empirical findings that show the slope of the CML is flatter and the risk-free rate is at a higher point than predicted by the pure CAPM. The ECAPM has been shown to do a better job at predicting market returns.

[^72]Duff \& Phelps also suggests a version of the CAPM in which a size premium is included. ${ }^{29}$ This modified CAPM or MCAPM is represented as follows:

$$
[12] \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+\beta\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)+\mathrm{RP} P_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

Where k is the expected return, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the risk-free rate (or zero beta asset), $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the market return, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is the market risk premium, $\beta$ is beta, and $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the size premium. Both the ECAPM and MCAPM recognize the pure CAPM is incomplete and does not fully account for the higher returns that are needed on smaller company stocks. In other words, the higher risks associated with smaller firms are not fully accounted for by beta. ${ }^{30}$

## Q. IS FIRM SIZE A UNIQUE RISK?

A. No, firm size is a systematic risk factor and is an adjustment to the pure CAPM. ${ }^{31}$ Putting aside the empirical financial data, the need for a risk premium for size makes sense. Company size is a significant element of business risk for which investors expect to be compensated through greater returns. As discussed earlier, smaller companies are simply less able to cope with significant events that impact sales, revenues, and earnings. For example, smaller companies face more risk exposure to business cycles and economic conditions, both nationally and locally. Additionally, the loss of revenues from a few larger customers would have a greater effect on a small entity than on a much larger entity with a larger, more diverse, customer base. Moreover, smaller companies are generally less diverse in their operations and have less financial flexibility.

## Q. DID YOU EMPLOY EITHER OF THESE ALTERNATIVE CAPM METHODS (EQUATIONS 11 AND 12) AS PART OF YOUR ANALYSIS?

A. Yes. I employed all three versions of the CAPM to estimate the cost of equity for the water proxy group, which does somewhat mitigate my concerns about the traditional CAPM.

## Q. WHAT IS THE RISK-FREE RATE $\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ ?

[^73]A. It is the return on an investment with no risk. The U.S. Treasury rate serves as the basis for the risk-free rate because the yields are directly observable in the market and are backed by the U.S. government. Practically speaking, short-term rates are volatile, fluctuate widely and are subject to more random disturbances than long-term rates. In short, long-term Treasury rates are preferred for these reasons and because long-term rates are more appropriately matched to securities with an indefinite life or long-term investment horizon.

## Q. WHAT DO YOU USE AS THE RISK FREE RATE ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ )?

A. I used the expected U.S. Long-term Treasury rate for 2024-2026 as the basis for the risk free rate. Since the cost of capital is an opportunity cost and is prospective, it necessarily requires the use of a forward-looking bond yield. In recent years, interest rates have dropped to very low levels when compared to interest rates for similar securities in the past. From 1999 to 2007, the annual average yield for long-term Treasury bonds was 5.24 percent, ranging from a low of 4.84 percent in 2007 to a high of 5.94 percent in 2000. In 2008, and during the recent recession, that annual average dropped to 4.24 percent and dropped further in 2012 to 2.9 percent.

The drop in long-term Treasury rates has been largely attributed to the market intervention by the Federal Reserve through its quantitative easing programs. Long-term Treasury rates for 2013 and 2014 averaged 3.45 percent and 3.34 percent, respectively. For 2017, 2018, 2020, and 2021 long-term Treasury rates have averaged 2.90 percent, 3.11 percent, 2.58 percent, 1.56 percent, and 2.06 percent, respectively.

Since March 2022, the Federal Reserve raised the key interest rate by 525 basis points in an attempt to tame high inflation and is expected to raise interest rates further as inflation remains stubbornly high. The average annual long-term Treasury rates for 2022 was 3.11 percent. The September, 2023 average monthly yield on 30 -year U.S. Treasury had risen to about 4.66 percent.

Economists expect the 30-year U.S. Treasury yields to be 3.9 percent in 2024-2026 timeframe. See Table 7.

## Q. WHY DO YOU USE LONG-TERM U.S. TREASURY YIELDS?

A. The yields on long-term Treasury bonds match more closely with the perpetual nature of common stock investments. ${ }^{32}$ In addition, short-term rates are more volatile, fluctuate widely and are subject to more random disturbances than long-term rates. Long-term Treasury rates are more appropriately matched to securities with an indefinite life or longterm investment horizon. For these reasons, long-term rates are preferred.

## Q. WHAT DO YOU ADOPT AS THE RETURN FOR THE RISK-FREE RATE?

A. I used long-term expected Treasury bond rates as the measure of the risk-free return for use with CAPM cost of equity estimates from the Blue Chip Financial Forecasts. ${ }^{33}$ The appropriate choice for the risk-free rate is the expected return for long-term Treasury securities. ${ }^{34}$ Thus, when determining an estimate of the risk-free rate, it is appropriate to adopt a return that is no less than the expected return on the long-term Treasury bond rate. Models to determine the cost of capital are prospective in nature, which require expectational inputs, such as forecasted interest rates. ${ }^{35}$ The CAPM, ECAPM, and MCAPM estimates are based on expected yields of the long-term Treasury rates for 20242026, the average of which is 3.7 percent. See Table 7.

## Q. WHAT DID YOU USE AS THE PROXY OF THE BETA IN YOUR CAPM MODELS?

A. For the CAPM and ECAPM, I used the average beta of the sample water utility companies. These betas were obtained from Value Line Investment Analyzer (weekly data as of September 13, 2023). Value Line is the source for estimated betas that I regularly employ. The average Value Line beta for my water proxy group as shown on Table 2 is 0.80 .

For the MCAPM, I use sum beta. Sum beta is an alternative method of computing betas. Since Duff \& Phelps size premiums are derived using sum beta, I use sum beta to be internally consistent with the size risk premiums for the water proxy group derived from the Duff \& Phelps 2022 Size Study. I computed the sum beta over a 60 month period (5-

[^74]years) and use the S\&P 500 as the market index. Weekly data over 5 -year period is the same period used to estimate beta by Value Line. However, Value Line uses the NYSE as the market index.

I should note that because Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is not publicly traded, it has no beta. In my expert opinion, I strongly believe Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated), if it were publicly traded, would have a higher Value Line beta and sum beta than the sample water utility companies. Morningstar reports that when betas (a measure of market risk) are properly estimated, betas are greater for small companies than for larger companies. ${ }^{36}$ Morningstar also finds that, even after accounting for differences in beta risk, small firms require an additional risk premium over and above the added risk premium indicated by differences in beta risk.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE MARKET RISK PREMIUM.

A. The market-risk premium $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is the return an investor expects to receive as compensation for market risk. It is the expected market return minus the risk-free rate. Approaches for estimating the market risk premium can be historical or prospective.

Since expected returns are not directly observable, historical realized returns are often used as a proxy for expected returns on the basis that the historical market risk premium follows what is known in statistics as a "random walk." If the historical risk premium does follow the random walk, then one should expect the risk premium to remain at its historical mean. Based on this, the best estimate of the future market risk premium is the historical mean. Duff \& Phelps provides historical market returns for various asset classes from various historical time periods. This publication also provides market risk premiums over U.S. Treasury bonds, which makes it an excellent source for historical market risk premiums.

A current market risk premium estimation approach necessarily requires examining the returns expected from common equities and bonds. One method employs application

[^75]of the DCF model to a representative market index such as the Value Line 1700 stocks. The expected return from the DCF is measured for a number of periods of time, and then subtracted from the prevailing risk-free rate for each period to arrive at market risk premium for each period. The market risk premium that is subsequently employed in the CAPM is the average market risk premium of the overall period.

## Q. HOW DID YOU ESTIMATE THE MARKET RISK PREMIUMS FOR USE IN THE CAPM MODELS?

A. For the traditional CAPM and ECAPM, I averaged two market risk premium estimates: an average of an historical market risk premium (1926-2022) and a current market risk premium. For the MCAPM, I used a historical market risk premium (1963-2022) and a current market risk premium.

For the historical market risk premiums, I used the Duff \& Phelps measure of the average premium of the market over long-term treasury securities from 1926 through 2022 and 1963 through 2022, both of which use the S\&P 500 market index (which is considered a large-cap index). The average historical market risk premium over long-term treasury securities is 7.46 percent for the 1926 to 2022 period and 6.5 percent for the 1963 through 2022 period.

For the current market risk premium, I derived a market risk premium by first using the DCF model to compute an expected market return for each of the past 12 months using Value Line's projections of the average dividend yield for the dividend yield in the DCF and an average of the median EPS, DPS and BVPS growth on the Value Line 1700 stocks. I then subtracted the historical monthly average 30-year Treasury yield for each month from the expected market returns to arrive at the expected market risk premiums. Finally, I averaged the computed market risk premiums to determine the current market risk premium for the last 12 months, 9 months, 6 months, and 3 months. The data and computations are shown on Table 10. The recent 12-month average current market risk premium is 7.73 percent. Estimates of the current market risk premium have ranged from 6.89 percent to 8.95 percent over the past 12 months. My recommended market risk premium is based on
the recent 3-month average estimate of 7.03 percent, well below the mid-point of the range of the past 12 months of 7.73 percent.

## Q. WHY USE TWO DIFFERENT HISTORICAL RISK PREMIUM ESTIMATES?

A. I have typically used a historical market risk premium (1926-2022) in my CAPM and ECAPM. I concur with Morningstar, which recommends use of a historical market risk premium based upon the longest period practicable. ${ }^{37}$ Duff \& Phelps Risk Premium Report size and risk premia are calculated over the time horizon 1963 - 2022, so I used the historical market risk premium for this period for the MCAPM.

## Q. WHY IS IT NECESSARY TO USE A CURRENT MARKET RISK PREMIUM?

A. Long-term historical interest rates used to estimate market risk premiums are much higher than current interest rates. As a result, risk premiums are higher today than the average long-term historical risk premium.

## Q. WHY?

A. Because risk premiums vary inversely with interest rates. Dr. Morin found this inverse relationship between risk premiums and interest rates and reported it in chapter 4 of his 2006 book, New Regulatory Finance. He stated a risk premium technique that can be used to determine the cost of equity "consists of examining the risk premiums implied in returns on equity allowed by regulatory commissions for utilities over some past period relative to the contemporaneous level of the long-term Treasury bond yield. ${ }^{, 38}$ Professor Morin reports the following statistical relationship between risk premiums (RPm) and long-term Treasury bond yields (Yield) for the period 1987 to 2005 for electric utilities:

$$
\mathrm{RPm}=8.2049-0.4833 \times \text { Yield, with } \mathrm{R}^{2}=.81
$$

The slope was found to be statistically significantly less than zero (i.e., the t-statistic was 8.4). In his analysis, annual averages of allowed equity returns reported by Regulatory Research Associates were adopted as the proxies for equity costs. This risk premium method is presented by Dr. Morin in Section 4.5 of his book.

[^76]
## Q. HAVE OTHERS FOUND AN INVERSE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RISK PREMIUMS AND INTEREST RATES?

A. Yes. Harris and Marston, "Estimating Shareholders Risk Premia Using Analysts' Growth Rates," Financial Management, Summer 1992 found an inverse relationship. In Decision 97-12-089, which established the cost of equity for Pacific Gas \& Electric Company ("PG\&E"), the Commission also found that costs of equity for energy utilities move in the same direction as interest rates, but to a lesser degree. In Decision 02-11-027, an interim opinion on rates of return on equity for PG\&E, Southern California Edison Company, Sierra Pacific Power Company, and San Diego Gas \& Electric Company for the year 2003, the Commission confirmed that its practice is to adjust ROEs for energy utilities by one-half to two-thirds of the change in the benchmark interest rate. ${ }^{39}$

## Q. HOW DID YOU ESTIMATE THE SIZE PREMIUM FOR THE WATER PROXY

 GROUP FOR USE IN THE MCAPM?A. Duff \& Phelps's Size Study sorts companies by eight measures of size, breaking down the NYSE universe of companies into 25 size-ranked portfolios. ${ }^{40}$ The Size Study provides two ways to match a company's size (or risk) characteristics to the appropriate size (or risk) premium - a guideline portfolio method and a regression equation method. I used the regression equation method to find the CAPM size risk premium for each of the publicly traded utilities in the proxy group for six measures of size (namely, market value of equity, book equity, market value of invested capital, 5-year average of net income, total assets, and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization). ${ }^{41}$ I determined the average size premium of all size measures for the proxy group ( 3.81 percent) and then adjusted the average size premium to reflect the lower risk of the water proxy group compared to the companies that make up the respective size-ranked portfolios. This

[^77]comparative risk study uses the fundamental measures of company risk (operating margin, coefficient of variation in operating income, and coefficient of variation in return on book equity) to gauge how alike or different the water proxy group is compared to the companies that make up the size-ranked portfolios in the Size Study. In the instant case, the estimated reduction in risk is -1.81 percent. Thus, the market risk premium for size for the proxy group is 2.00 percent ( $3.81 \%-1.91 \%$ ) (rounded). See Exhibit TJB-COC-DT2.

## Q. WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF YOUR CAPM METHODS.

A. In Table 11, the traditional CAPM produces an indicated cost of equity of 9.50 percent. The ECAPM produces an indicated cost of equity of 9.80 percent. The MCAPM produces an indicated cost of equity of 10.80 percent. The average of these three methods is 10.00 percent.

## VI. REQUIRED RISK PREMIUM FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED)

Q. PLEASE DISCUSS YOUR RECOMMENDED RISK PREMIUM FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED).
A. As I testified earlier, Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is not directly comparable to the publicly traded water utilities in my water proxy group. The characteristics associated with small size, such as the lack of diversification, limited revenue and cash flow, relatively small customer base, lack of investment liquidity, and earnings volatility, increase the risk faced by smaller water and wastewater utilities over the risk associated with the water proxy group.

## Q. PLEASE DISCUSS SIZE RISK FOR SMALL UTILITY COMPANIES.

A. Investment risk increases as the firm size decreases, all else remaining constant. There is a great deal of empirical evidence that the firm size phenomenon exists. Morningstar's Ibbotson SBBI 2013 Valuation Yearbook (Chapter 7) reports that smaller companies have experienced market higher returns that are not fully explainable by their higher betas, and that beta is inversely related to firm size. In other words, smaller companies, not only have higher betas, but also higher market returns than larger ones. Even after accounting for differences in beta risk, small companies require an additional risk premium over and above
the added risk premium indicated by differences in beta risk. Dr. Thomas M. Zepp has also reported evidence that the investment in stocks of small water or wastewater utilities are riskier than the stocks of larger water utilities, such as those in the water utilities sample. ${ }^{42}$ Additionally, the CPUC published a study that showed smaller water utilities are more risky than larger ones. ${ }^{43}$ Based on the evidence, it is clear that investors require higher returns on small company stocks than on large company stocks.
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR COMPARATIVE RISK STUDY YOU PREPARED TO DEVELOP A RISK PREMIUM FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED) TO BE ADDED TO THE RESULTS FOR THE WATER PROXY GROUP?
A. Yes. The risk study I prepared for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is attached as Exhibit TJB-COC-DT3. To conduct my comparative risk study, I started by computing the 5 -year historical operating margin, coefficient of variation of operating margin, and coefficient of variation of ROE for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). Operating margin is a measure of profitability. The co-efficient of variation of operating margin and ROE are measures of earnings variability. All three of these metrics are highly correlated with size and risk.
Q. ARE THESE THE METRICS FOR THE WATER PROXY GROUP AND LIBERTY

## RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED) YOU PRESENTED EARLIER IN YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, on page 25.
Q. PLEASE CONTINUE.
A. Next, I cross-referenced these metrics with data from Duff \& Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator Supplementary Data Risk Study and identified the corresponding market portfolio beta for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) and for my water proxy group. ${ }^{44}$ I then computed the relative difference in betas between the Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) and the water proxy group. Assuming that the relative difference in the market portfolio beta

[^78]for the all publicly traded companies is the same for publicly traded water utilities, I then computed implied betas for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) using the difference in portfolio betas. ${ }^{45}$ Finally, I used the CAPM methods to compute the indicated cost of equity for each utility and compared the results to the CAPM results for the water proxy group. ${ }^{46}$ Based upon this analysis, I conclude that required risk premium for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is in the range of 90 to 110 basis points with a midpoint of 100 basis points.
Q. IS THERE ANOTHER METHOD WHICH PROVIDES USEFUL INFORMATION ABOUT THE RISK PREMIUM FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED)?
A. Yes. Based upon my analysis of the size risk premium for use in the MCAPM, I found that Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)'s size premium over the water proxy group is 200 basis points. See Exhibit TJB-COC-DT2, page 2, line 26.
Q. WHAT RISK PREMIUM OVER THE WATER PROXY GROUP DO YOU RECOMMEND FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED)?
A. I recommend a minimum of 85 basis points based upon the facts and circumstances in this case.

## VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

## Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

A. I recommend the Commission adopt the three-step method I presented above to determine the ROE for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated). In the first step, an average of costs of equity for a sample of seven water utilities is determined with the DCF model and several RP models including variations of the CAPM.

In the second step, a risk premium for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is determined to reflect the Company's higher risks. Quantitative evidence based on differences in Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)'s business risk metrics compared to the benchmark water proxy group justifies a risk premium in the range of 90 to 110 basis points. Based upon the facts and circumstances of this case, I recommend an 85 basis point risk premium for Liberty Rio

[^79]Rico (Consolidated).
In the third step, equity costs from step one and the risk premiums from step two are combined to determine a fair ROE for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) of 10.95 percent. I recommend the Commission adopt an ROE for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) of no less than 10.95 percent.

## Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE EQUITY COST ESTIMATES YOU MADE IN STEP

 ONE.A. I made four equity cost estimates for the water proxy group, which are summarized in Table 1. Where data were available, the equity cost estimates were based on data for the seven water utilities listed in Table 2. The first equity cost estimate was derived with the DCF model. Using the DCF model to estimate growth, the indicated equity cost for the water proxy group is 8.60 percent. Next, I determined three risk premium estimates including the CAPM method. The first RP approach was based on ten annual DCF estimates of the cost of equity for the water proxy group. This approach shows an indicated cost of equity for the water proxy group of 10.66 percent. The second RP approach was based on a 46 -year comparison of total returns on the S\&P utility index to the annual yields on long-term U.S. Treasury bonds. This approach shows an indicated cost of equity for the water proxy group of 11.30 percent. Finally, I established a range of CAPM estimates using a long horizon estimates of the market risk premium as well as a current of the market risk premium which produced a cost of equity for the water proxy group of 9.50 percent to 10.80 percent with an average of 10.00 percent. I gave the DCF, the RP estimates, and the CAPM estimates equal weight to establish a cost of equity for the water proxy group of 10.10 percent.

## Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR ESTIMATE OF THE RISK PREMIUM YOU DETERMINED IN STEP 2.

A. I prepared a comparative risk study using commonly used business risk metrics and data from Duff \& Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator 2022 Supplementary Data Risk Study. Based upon this study, I concluded that risk premium for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is in the range of 90 to 110 basis points. I also examined differences in the size premium
between Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) and the water proxy group based upon the Duff \& Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator 2022 Supplementary Data Size Study and Risk Study. Based upon this analysis, I conclude that the risk premium for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is 254 basis points. Based on my consideration of that testimony and my judgment, I recommend a risk premium for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) of no less than 85 basis points at this time.
Q. GIVEN THE RESULTS OF YOUR EQUITY COST ANALYSES, IS AN ROE OF 10.95 PERCENT FOR LIBERTY RIO RICO (CONSOLIDATED) REASONABLE?
A. Yes. In step 1, I estimated the benchmark cost of equity for the sample of seven publicly traded water utilities which falls in the range of 8.60 percent to 11.30 percent with an average of 10.1 percent. In step 2, I determined a conservative estimate of the risk premium required by Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is 85 basis points. Combining the results of step 1 and step 2 indicates the cost of equity for Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated) is 10.85 percent.
Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR COST OF CAPITAL DIRECT TESTIMONY? A. Yes.

## TABLES 1 - 11

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Table 1
Summary of Results

| Line <br> No. |  | $\frac{\text { Indicated }}{\text { Cost of Equity for }}$ | $\frac{\text { Indicated }}{\text { Cost of Equity for }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DCF Constant Growth - Table 6 | $\frac{\text { Company }{ }^{1}}{}$ |  |
| 2 | Risk Premium - Table 8 | $8.60 \%$ | $9.45 \%$ |
| 3 | Risk Premium - Table 9 | $10.66 \%$ | $11.51 \%$ |
| 4 | CAPM - Table 10 | $11.30 \%$ | $12.15 \%$ |
| 5 | Average (rounded) | $10.00 \%$ | $10.85 \%$ |
| 6 | Cost of Equity Recommendation | $10.10 \%$ | $10.95 \%$ |

# Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp. 

Table 2 Selected Characteristics of Sample Group of Water Utilities

| Line |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{\text { No. }}{1}$ | $\frac{\text { Company }}{\text { American States Water }}$ |
| 2 | American Water Works |
| 3 | Essential Utilities |
| 4 | California Water |
| 5 | Middlesex |
| 6 | SJW Corp. |
| 7 | York Water Company |

Average

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.

| Symbol |
| :--- |
| AWR |
| AWK |
| WTRG |
| CWT |
| MSEX |
| SJW |
| YORW |

\$ 1,180.2 \$
\$


Notes:
Value Line Analyzer Data (Weekly as of September 13, 2023)
${ }^{2}$ S\&P and/or Moody's Website
${ }^{3}$ Most recent annual report or $10-\mathrm{K}$ or Value Line Rating and Reports
${ }^{4}$ See workpapers.

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Table 3
Capital Structures

${ }^{1}$ Value Line Analyzer Data (Weekly as of September 13, 2023)

## Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp. Table 4 Comparisons of Past and Future Estimates of Growth

|  |  |  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | year hist | nnual ch |  | Historical |
| Line |  |  | Stock | Book |  |  | Average Growth |
| No. | Company | Symbol | Price ${ }^{1}$ | Value ${ }^{2}$ | EPS ${ }^{2}$ | DPS ${ }^{2}$ | Col. 1-4 |
| 1 | American States Water | AWR | 9.83\% | 6.50\% | 6.50\% | 8.50\% | 7.83\% |
| 2 | American Water Works | AWK | 10.75\% | 6.00\% | 15.00\% | 10.00\% | 10.44\% |
| 3 | Essential Utilities | WTRG | 4.00\% | 14.00\% | 3.50\% | 7.00\% | 7.13\% |
| 4 | California Water | CWT | 5.98\% | 9.00\% | 11.00\% | 6.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 5 | Middlesex | MSEX | 14.54\% | 9.50\% | 11.00\% | 6.50\% | 10.38\% |
| 6 | SJW Corp. | SJW | 4.93\% | 10.50\% | -2.00\% | 9.00\% | 5.61\% |
| 7 | York Water Company | YORW | 5.82\% | 7.00\% | 6.50\% | 4.00\% | 5.83\% |
| 8 | GROUP AVERAGE |  | 7.98\% | 8.93\% | 7.36\% | 7.29\% | 7.89\% |
|  |  |  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] |
|  |  |  |  | historic | e annua |  | Historical |
|  |  |  | Stock | Book |  |  | Average Growth |
|  | Company | Symbol | Price ${ }^{1}$ | Value ${ }^{2}$ | EPS ${ }^{2}$ | DPS ${ }^{2}$ | Col. 1-4 |
| 9 | American States Water | AWR | 14.45\% | 5.50\% | 9.50\% | 6.50\% | 8.99\% |
| 10 | American Water Works | AWK | 15.17\% | 5.00\% | 9.00\% | 11.00\% | 10.04\% |
| 11 | Essential Utilities | WTRG | 8.91\% | 10.50\% | 7.50\% | 6.50\% | 8.35\% |
| 12 | California Water | CWT | 12.70\% | 7.00\% | 4.00\% | 7.50\% | 7.80\% |
| 13 | Middlesex | MSEX | 14.93\% | 6.50\% | 4.00\% | 9.50\% | 8.73\% |
| 14 | SJW Corp. | SJW | 11.81\% | 9.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.50\% | 8.83\% |
| 15 | York Water Company | YORW | 9.86\% | 5.00\% | 3.50\% | 6.50\% | 6.21\% |
| 16 | GROUP AVERAGE |  | 12.55\% | 6.93\% | 6.36\% | 7.86\% | 8.42\% |


|  |  | [1] <br> Value Line Projected EPS | [2] Zack's Projected EPS | [3] Yahoo Finance Projected EPS | [4] <br> Average <br> Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | Symbol | Growth ${ }^{2}$ | Growth ${ }^{3}$ | Growth ${ }^{4}$ | Growth |
| American States Water | AWR | 6.50\% | 6.30\% | 4.40\% | 5.73\% |
| American Water Works | AWK | 3.00\% | 8.18\% | 8.07\% | 6.42\% |
| Essential Utilities | WTRG | 7.50\% | 5.60\% | 5.40\% | 6.17\% |
| California Water | CWT | 6.50\% | ND | 10.80\% | 8.65\% |
| Middlesex | MSEX | 5.00\% | ND | 2.70\% | 3.85\% |
| SJW Corp. | SJW | 5.00\% | ND | 6.10\% | 5.55\% |
| York Water Company | YORW | ND | ND | 4.90\% | 4.90\% |
| GRoup average |  | 5.58\% | 6.69\% | 6.05\% | 90\% |

[^80]
## Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.

Table 5
Current Dividend Yields for Water Utility Sample Group

|  |  | [1] | [2] | [3] | $[4]$ <br> Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line |  |  | Stock |  |  |

[^81]Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Table 6
Discounted Cash Flow Analysis DCF Constant Growth


[^82]
## Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.

Table 7
Forecasts of Long-Term Interest Rates

| Line No. |  | $\underline{2024}$ | $\underline{2025}$ | $\underline{2026}$ | 3-year Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Long-term Treasury Rates |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Blue Chip Consensus Forecasts ${ }^{1}$ | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | 3.7\% |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Average | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% |
| 5 | Aaa Corporate Bonds |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Blue Chip Consensus Forecasts ${ }^{1}$ | 4.7\% | 4.6\% | 4.7\% |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Average | 4.7\% | 4.6\% | 4.7\% | 4.7\% |
| 9 | Baa Corporate Bonds |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Blue Chip Consensus Forecasts ${ }^{1}$ | 5.8\% | 5.6\% | 5.7\% |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Average | 5.8\% | 5.6\% | 5.7\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Notes: |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{1}$ Blue Chip Consensus Forecasts (June 2023). | A $=$ No |  |  |  |

## Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.

 Table 8Risk Premium Analysis Based on Averages of Annual DCF Equity Cost Estimates 2013-2022

| Line <br> No. | Year | Dividend Yield $\left(D_{0} / P_{0}\right)^{1}$ | Average Projected Growth (g) ${ }^{2}$ | DCF <br> Expected Dividend Yield $\left(D_{1} / P_{0}\right)^{3}$ | DCF <br> Equity Cost Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 30-\mathrm{Yr} \\ \text { Treasury } \\ \underline{\text { Rate }}^{4} \end{gathered}$ | Risk <br> Premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2013 | 3.71\% | 7.13\% | 3.85\% | 10.97\% | 3.45\% | 7.52\% |
| 2 | 2014 | 2.78\% | 6.86\% | 2.88\% | 9.73\% | 3.34\% | 6.39\% |
| 3 | 2015 | 2.79\% | 5.71\% | 2.87\% | 8.59\% | 2.84\% | 5.75\% |
| 4 | 2016 | 2.66\% | 5.86\% | 2.74\% | 8.60\% | 2.59\% | 6.01\% |
| 5 | 2017 | 2.18\% | 7.29\% | 2.26\% | 9.55\% | 2.90\% | 6.65\% |
| 6 | 2018 | 1.80\% | 8.21\% | 1.88\% | 10.09\% | 3.11\% | 6.98\% |
| 7 | 2019 | 2.03\% | 8.07\% | 2.11\% | 10.19\% | 2.58\% | 7.61\% |
| 8 | 2020 | 1.77\% | 7.79\% | 1.83\% | 9.62\% | 1.56\% | 8.06\% |
| 9 | 2021 | 1.76\% | 7.67\% | 1.82\% | 9.49\% | 2.06\% | 7.43\% |
| 10 | 2022 | 1.68\% | 6.92\% | 1.74\% | 8.65\% | 3.11\% | 5.54\% |
| 11 |  |  |  | 5-year Average |  |  | 7.12\% |
| 12 |  |  |  | 10-Year Average |  |  | 6.79\% |
| 13 |  |  |  | Average of 5 and | 10-year RPs |  | 6.96\% |
| 14 |  |  |  | Average of Forec | ast Treasury R | ates ${ }^{5}$ | 3.70\% |
| 15 |  |  |  | Projected Return | on Equity |  | 10.66\% |

# Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp. Table 9 <br> Risk Premium Analysis Based on Total Returns 

| Line | S\&P 500 |  |  | Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Utility Index | LT Treasury |  |
| No. |  | Total Return ${ }^{1}$ | Bond Yield ${ }^{2}$ | Premium |
| 1 | 1977 | 8.64\% | 7.75\% | 0.89\% |
| 2 | 1978 | -3.71\% | 8.49\% | -12.20\% |
| 3 | 1979 | 13.58\% | 9.28\% | 4.30\% |
| 4 | 1980 | 15.08\% | 11.27\% | 3.81\% |
| 5 | 1981 | 11.74\% | 13.45\% | -1.71\% |
| 6 | 1982 | 26.52\% | 12.76\% | 13.76\% |
| 7 | 1983 | 20.01\% | 11.18\% | 8.83\% |
| 8 | 1984 | 26.04\% | 12.41\% | 13.63\% |
| 9 | 1985 | 33.05\% | 10.79\% | 22.26\% |
| 10 | 1986 | 28.53\% | 7.78\% | 20.75\% |
| 11 | 1987 | -2.92\% | 8.59\% | -11.51\% |
| 12 | 1988 | 18.27\% | 8.96\% | 9.31\% |
| 13 | 1989 | 47.80\% | 8.45\% | 39.35\% |
| 14 | 1990 | -2.57\% | 8.61\% | -11.18\% |
| 15 | 1991 | 14.61\% | 8.14\% | 6.47\% |
| 16 | 1992 | 8.10\% | 7.67\% | 0.43\% |
| 17 | 1993 | 14.41\% | 6.59\% | 7.82\% |
| 18 | 1994 | -7.94\% | 7.37\% | -15.31\% |
| 19 | 1995 | 42.15\% | 6.88\% | 35.27\% |
| 20 | 1996 | 3.14\% | 6.71\% | -3.57\% |
| 21 | 1997 | 24.69\% | 6.61\% | 18.08\% |
| 22 | 1998 | 14.82\% | 5.58\% | 9.24\% |
| 23 | 1999 | -8.85\% | 5.87\% | -14.72\% |
| 24 | 2000 | 59.70\% | 5.94\% | 53.76\% |
| 25 | 2001 | -30.41\% | 5.49\% | -35.90\% |
| 26 | 2002 | -30.04\% | 5.43\% | -35.47\% |
| 27 | 2003 | 26.11\% | 5.05\% | 21.06\% |
| 28 | 2004 | 24.22\% | 5.12\% | 19.10\% |
| 29 | 2005 | 16.79\% | 4.56\% | 12.23\% |
| 30 | 2006 | 20.95\% | 4.91\% | 16.04\% |
| 31 | 2007 | 19.36\% | 4.84\% | 14.52\% |
| 32 | 2008 | -28.99\% | 4.28\% | -33.27\% |
| 33 | 2009 | 11.91\% | 4.08\% | 7.83\% |
| 34 | 2010 | 5.46\% | 4.25\% | 1.21\% |
| 35 | 2011 | 19.91\% | 3.91\% | 16.00\% |
| 36 | 2012 | 1.29\% | 2.92\% | -1.63\% |
| 37 | 2013 | 13.21\% | 3.45\% | 9.76\% |
| 38 | 2014 | 28.98\% | 3.34\% | 25.64\% |
| 39 | 2015 | -4.85\% | 2.84\% | -7.69\% |
| 40 | 2016 | 16.29\% | 2.59\% | 13.70\% |
| 41 | 2017 | 12.11\% | 2.90\% | 9.22\% |
| 42 | 2018 | 4.11\% | 3.11\% | 1.00\% |
| 43 | 2019 | 26.35\% | 2.58\% | 23.77\% |
| 44 | 2020 | 0.48\% | 1.56\% | -1.08\% |
| 45 | 2021 | 17.67\% | 2.06\% | 15.61\% |
| 46 | 2022 | 1.57\% | 3.11\% | -1.54\% |
| 47 | Average over 46 years | 12.6\% | 6.3\% | 6.3\% |
| 48 |  | Expected Long | Treasury Bond Rate ${ }^{3}$ | 3.7\% |
| 49 |  | Estimate of Cu | Risk Premium ${ }^{4}$ | 7.6\% |
| 50 |  | Projected Retur | Equity for Sample | 11.30\% |

Notes:
${ }^{1}$ Total Returns from various sources.
${ }^{2}$ Average annual 30 Yr. U.S. Treasury Bond yields as reported by the Federal Reserve. Proxy for yields from 2003-2005 are based upon 20-year U.S. Treasury yield.
${ }^{3}$ Forecast LT U.S. Treasury Rate. Source Table 7.
${ }^{4}$ As explained in testimony, adjustment assumes risk premiums change by $50 \%$ as much as interest rates.

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Table 10
Estimation of Current Market Risk Premium Using DCF Analysis

| Line <br> No. | Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dividend } \\ \text { Yield }\left(D_{0} / P_{0}\right)^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expected } \\ \text { Dividend } \\ \text { Yield }\left(D_{1} / P_{0}\right)^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | + | Expected <br> Growth (g) | = | Expected <br> Market <br> Return (k) | - | Monthly Average 30 Year Treasury Rate ${ }^{4}$ | $=$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expected } \\ \text { Market Risk } \\ \text { Premium (MRP) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Jan 2021 | 2.50\% | 2.68\% | + | 7.50\% | = | 10.18\% |  | 1.82\% | = | 8.36\% |
| 2 | Feb | 2.36\% | 2.53\% | + | 7.33\% | = | 9.86\% |  | 2.04\% | = | 7.82\% |
| 3 | Mar | 2.32\% | 2.49\% | + | 7.50\% | = | 9.99\% |  | 2.34\% | = | 7.65\% |
| 4 | Apr | 2.32\% | 2.49\% | + | 7.50\% | = | 9.99\% |  | 2.30\% | = | 7.69\% |
| 5 | May | 2.14\% | 2.32\% | + | 8.17\% | = | 10.49\% |  | 2.32\% | = | 8.17\% |
| 6 | Jun | 2.19\% | 2.37\% | + | 8.17\% | = | 10.54\% |  | 2.16\% | = | 8.38\% |
| 7 | July | 2.99\% | 3.20\% | + | 7.00\% | = | 10.20\% |  | 1.94\% | = | 8.26\% |
| 8 | Aug | 2.95\% | 3.16\% | + | 7.00\% | = | 10.16\% |  | 1.92\% | = | 8.24\% |
| 9 | Sep | 2.29\% | 2.48\% | + | 8.67\% | = | 11.15\% |  | 1.94\% | = | 9.21\% |
| 10 | Oct | 1.98\% | 2.17\% | + | 9.39\% | = | 11.56\% |  | 2.06\% | = | 9.50\% |
| 11 | Nov | 2.29\% | 2.48\% | + | 8.67\% | = | 11.15\% |  | 1.94\% | = | 9.21\% |
| 12 | Dec | 2.29\% | 2.29\% | + | 0.00\% | = | 2.29\% |  | 1.85\% | = | 0.44\% |
| 13 | Jan 2022 | 2.29\% | 2.48\% | + | 8.67\% | = | 11.15\% |  | 2.10\% | = | 9.05\% |
| 14 | Feb | 2.40\% | 2.62\% | + | 9.17\% | = | 11.79\% |  | 2.25\% | $=$ | 9.54\% |
| 15 | Mar | 2.40\% | 2.63\% | + | 9.60\% | = | 12.23\% |  | 2.41\% | = | 9.82\% |
| 16 | Apr | 2.43\% | 2.67\% | + | 9.72\% | = | 12.39\% |  | 2.81\% | = | 9.58\% |
| 17 | May | 2.43\% | 2.67\% | + | 9.72\% | = | 12.39\% |  | 3.07\% | = | 9.32\% |
| 18 | Jun | 2.75\% | 3.01\% | + | 9.17\% | = | 12.17\% |  | 3.25\% | = | 8.92\% |
| 19 | July | 2.63\% | 2.87\% | + | 9.33\% | = | 12.20\% |  | 3.10\% | = | 9.10\% |
| 20 | Aug | 2.63\% | 2.89\% | + | 9.95\% | = | 12.83\% |  | 3.13\% | $=$ | 9.70\% |
| 21 | Sep | 2.91\% | 3.18\% | + | 9.33\% | = | 12.51\% |  | 3.56\% | = | 8.95\% |
| 22 | Oct | 2.79\% | 3.04\% | + | 9.00\% | = | 12.04\% |  | 4.04\% | = | 8.00\% |
| 23 | Nov | 2.58\% | 2.82\% | + | 9.00\% | = | 11.82\% |  | 4.00\% | = | 7.82\% |
| 24 | Dec | 2.58\% | 2.82\% | + | 9.00\% | = | 11.82\% |  | 3.66\% | = | 8.16\% |
| 25 | Jan 2023 | 2.55\% | 2.78\% | + | 8.83\% | = | 11.61\% |  | 3.66\% | = | 7.95\% |
| 26 | Feb | 2.66\% | 2.89\% | + | 8.83\% | = | 11.73\% |  | 3.80\% | = | 7.93\% |
| 27 | Mar | 2.86\% | 3.10\% | + | 8.33\% | = | 11.43\% |  | 3.77\% | = | 7.66\% |
| 28 | Apr | 2.92\% | 3.16\% | + | 8.17\% | = | 11.33\% |  | 3.68\% | = | 7.65\% |
| 29 | May | 2.92\% | 3.16\% | + | 8.17\% | = | 11.33\% |  | 3.86\% | = | 7.47\% |
| 30 | Jun | 2.81\% | 3.03\% | + | 8.00\% | = | 11.03\% |  | 3.87\% | = | 7.16\% |
| 31 | July | 2.81\% | 3.03\% | + | 8.00\% | = | 11.03\% |  | 3.96\% | = | 7.07\% |
| 32 | Aug | 2.77\% | 3.00\% | + | 8.17\% | = | 11.17\% |  | 4.28\% | $=$ | 6.89\% |
| 27 | Recommended | 2.80\% | 3.02\% | + | 8.06\% | $=$ | 11.08\% | - | 4.04\% | $=$ | 7.04\% |
| 28 | Short-term Trends |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Recent Twelve Months Avg | 2.76\% | 3.00\% | + | 8.57\% | $=$ | 11.57\% | - | 3.85\% | $=$ | 7.73\% |
| 30 | Recent Nine Months Avg | 2.77\% | 3.00\% | + | 8.39\% | = | 11.39\% | - | 3.84\% | = | 7.55\% |
| 31 | Recent Six Months Avg | 2.85\% | 3.08\% | + | 8.14\% | = | 11.22\% | - | 3.90\% | = | 7.32\% |
| 32 | Recent Three Months Avg | 2.80\% | 3.02\% | + | 8.06\% | $=$ | 11.08\% | - | 4.04\% | = | 7.04\% |

Notes:
${ }^{1}$ Average Dividend Yield ( $D_{0} / P_{0}$ ) of dividend paying stocks. Data from Value Line Investment Analyzer Software Data - Value Line 1700 Stocks
${ }^{2}$ Expected Dividend Yield $\left(D_{1} / P_{0}\right)$ equals current average dividend yield $\left(D_{0} / P_{0}\right)$ times one plus growth rate $(g)$.
${ }^{3}$ Median of Projected EPS and Projected DPS Growth for VL 1700 stocks. Data from Value Line Investment Analyzer Software.
${ }^{4}$ Monthly average 30 year U.S. Treasury as reported by Federal Reserve.

Liberty Utilities (Park Water), Corp.
Table 11
Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM, ECAPM, and MCAPM)
Line

| No. |
| :---: |
| 1 |

Traditional CAPM
2
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## EXHIBIT TJB-COC-DT1

The Water Utility Industry consists of six investor-owned companies that provide water services to residential, commercial, and industrial customers. It is a niche sector because most of the water utilities in the United States are run by states and local governments that do not issue stock.
Higher interest rates are typically not good news for utilities for two reasons. First, fixedincome investments become more compelling on a relative basis. And, secondly, these companies often rely heavily on debt to fund their capital expenditures. Increased interest costs are a drag on profits.
Water utility stocks are often coveted by investors because they have very well-defined earnings and dividend growth prospects. The stocks in the group ordinarily trade with $P / E$ ratios that are higher than the average stock. Part of this is due to scarcity, as it is a small industry.

All of the companies in this sector are involved in major construction projects. This is due to the outdated water infrastructure in the U.S. With the age of the typical domestic pipeline being more than 50 years old, many companies in this sector are investing heavily to replace these older assets.
The Water Utility Industry is ranked among the lowest in the Value Line universe.

## A Spike In Interest Rates

The yield on the 10-year Treasury bond reached $4.56 \%$ recently, the highest level in a decade. Federal Reserve President Jerome Powell's more-hawkish-than expected statements following the most recent meeting of the monetary authorities worried many equity investors. Yields on the long-end of the curve have increased about 15 to 20 basis points on the 10-year Treasury bond and about 30 basis points on the 30 -year government bond. This has not been good for the prices of equities, specifically those of water utilities. Since we last went to press with the Water Industry, prices of stock in the group are down anywhere from $6 \%$ to $15 \%$. By comparison, the benchmark S\&P 500 Index has fallen only about $1 \%$.

Despite the recent poor performance, the priceearnings multiples of these companies remain well above the Value Line mean of 16.4. Moreover, though often considered to be income stocks, the dividend yields on theses equities are basically near, or below, the $2.3 \%$ average. One positive of these equities, however, is that their distribution growth potential is usually generous.

## Large Construction Programs

For decades, water utilities did not reinvest sufficient funds into keeping their pipeline and wastewater facilities in proper condition. Much of this was done with the tacit approval of regulators, as state authorities are adverse to increasing ratepayers' monthly bills, which can cause public backlash. In any case, over the past 10 years or so, utilities and regulators have realized that the amount of capital spent annually to upgrade the water infrastructure would have to be increased meaningfully. Indeed, every utility we follow is spending to replace as much of its old, leaky pipelines as possible.

## INDUSTRY TIMELINESS: 85 (of 93)

Another consequence of the rebuilding programs has been that water companies have had to rely heavily on debt for financing. As a result, the balance sheets in the sector are not in stellar condition. Most are just about average.

## Mergers \& Acquisitions

The domestic water industry in the U.S. is extremely fragmented. There are over 50,000 individual water districts spread across the country. This means that there is a tremendous amount of inefficiency in the system. This has led two companies, American Water Works and Essential Utilities, to continually buy up nearby smaller undercapitalized water districts. Significant savings can be made in these mergers, as the acquirer is able to absorb these purchases and remove a large amount of redundancies. We expect this consolidation to continue for the foreseeable future. Many of the independent water authorities do no not have the funds required to invest to keep their systems in compliance with federal laws regarding clean water.

## Conclusion

At this time, there isn't much to choose from in this sector. True, these equities score well for Stock Price Stability and Earnings Predictability, However, all of these positives seem to more than reflected in their recent stock prices. In addition, most stocks are ranked to underperform the broader market averages in the year ahead. Furthermore, most of them do not stand out for long-term prospects. The one exception here is Essential Utilities. The stock has solid total return potential to 2026-2028, but investors should be aware that it is not a pure water play. Indeed, a good portion of the company's assets are allocated to their gas utility business. As always, we urge subscribers to read each individual report before making any commitments to better understand the risks involved.

James A. Flood



Cash Assets
Accts Receivable
Other
Current Assets
Accts Payable
Debt Due Other
Current Liab.
ANNUAL RATES
of change (per sh)
of change (ps
Revenues
"Cash Flow" Earnings Earnings Dividends
Book Value

| 5.0 | 6.0 | 1.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 34.4 | 26.2 | 27.0 |
| 98.7 | 119.1 | 140.9 |
| 138.1 | 151.3 | 168.9 |
| 65.9 | 84.9 | 70.7 |
| 31.4 | 255.9 | .4 |
| 58.3 | 55.7 | 69.8 |
|  | 396.5 | 140.9 |


| Past | Past | Est'd '20-'22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 Yrs. | 5 Yrs. | to '26-28 |
| 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 6.5\% |
| 4.5\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% |
| 9.5\% | 8.5\% | 8.5\% |
| 5.5\% | 6.5\% | 5.0\% |


| Calendar | QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) <br> Mar. 31 Jun. 30 Sep. 30 Dec. 31 |  |  |  | Full Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 109.1 | 121.3 | 133.6 | 124.2 | 488.2 |
| 2021 | 117.1 | 128.4 | 136.8 | 116.6 | 498.9 |
| 2022 | 108.6 | 122.5 | 135.0 | 125.4 | 491.5 |
| 2023 | 161.4 | 157.4 | 151.2 | 130 | 600 |
| 2024 | 125 | 132 | 160 | 143 | 560 |
| Calendar | EARNINGS PER SHARE A <br> Mar. 31 Jun. 30 Sep. 30 Dec. 31 |  |  |  | Full Year |
| 2020 | . 38 | . 69 | . 72 | . 54 | 2.33 |
| 2021 | . 52 | . 72 | . 76 | . 55 | 2.55 |
| 2022 | . 38 | . 54 | . 69 | . 50 | 2.11 |
| 2023 | . 93 | 1.04 | . 83 | . 55 | 3.35 |
| 2024 | . 60 | . 80 | . 85 | . 75 | 3.00 |
| al- | QUARTERLY DIVIDENDS PAID Bı |  |  |  | Full |
| endar | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 | Year |
| 2019 | . 275 | . 275 | . 305 | . 305 | 1.16 |
| 2020 | . 305 | . 305 | . 335 | . 335 | 1.28 |
| 2021 | . 335 | . 335 | . 365 | . 365 | 1.40 |
| 2022 | . 365 | . 365 | . 3975 | . 3975 | 1.53 |
| 2023 | . 3975 | . 3975 | . 43 |  |  |

BUSINESS: American States Water Co. operates as a holding company. Through its principal subsidiary, Golden State Water Co., it supplies water to 263,265 customers in 10 California counties. Service areas include the metropolitan areas of Los Angeles and Orange Counties. The company also provides electricity to 24,705 customers in Big Bear Lake and San Bernardino Cnty. Provides
The implementation of long-delayed rate relief earlier this year enabled American States Water to post another strong quarter. Each state has its own process for how utilities request increasing ratepayers' monthly bills. In California, a petition is submitted every three years to recover the higher expenses caused, in part, by inflation. The California Public Utility Commission (CPUC) was tardy in announcing its final ruling on the Golden States Water subsidiary request until 2023, instead of making it in 2022. This meant the utility couldn't raise rates last years even though prices in the United States were soaring. As a result, share earnings fell $17 \%$. In 2023 , however, Golden States was able to increase its rates retroactively to make up for the funds not collected last year. Thus, for the first half of 2023 , its share earnings are up about $114 \%$, compared to 2022's subpar showing.
We have raised the company's profit estimates. Our new forecast calls for share earnings to spike to $\$ 3.35$ this year, which would represent a full-year gain of $59 \%$. (The previous call was $\$ 3.10$.) Next
water \& wastewater services to U.S. military bases through its ASUS subsidiary. Sold Chaparral City Wtr. of AZ. (6/11). Employs 811. BlackRock, Inc. owns $18.6 \%$ of out. shares; State St., 16.6\%; off. \& dir., $0.8 \%$ (4/23 Proxy). Chair.: Anne M. Holloway. Pres. \& CEO: Robert Sprowls. Inc: CA. Address: 630 East Foothill Blvd., San Dimas, CA 91773. Tel.: 909-394-3600. Int.: www.aswater.com.
year, without the retroactive tariffs in place, we think that share earnings will likely fall to a still more-than-respectable $\$ 3.00$ per share.
The nonutility business ought to provide a boost to American States Water's long-term bottom line. In its utility businesses, the CPUC determines the allowed return on the company's equity allocated to those operations. This acts as a ceiling on profits. Through its ASUS nonregulated subsidiary, American States is involved the U.S. Armed Forces privitization of its water services on military installations. In this sector, the U.S. government sets aside a period where entities, such as ASUS, bid on contracts that can last for 50 years, to run water operations. Thus far, the subsidiary has proven successful in winning its fair portion of these contracts. In the latest quarter, share earnings from this source rose $20 \%$
Theses shares might appeal to investors with a short-term horizon. The stock carries a 2 (Above Average) Timeliness rank and stands out for appreciation potential over the next 18 -month period. James A. Flood
As of 12/31/22; \$1.1
(A) Primary earnings. Excludes nonrecurring
gains/(losses):: '08, (144); '10, (23¢); '11, 10¢. Next earnings report due early November


| (SMILL.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Assets | 136 | 117 | 824 |
| Accts Receivable | 271 | 334 | 352 |
| Other | 1147 | 799 | 813 |
| Current Assets | 1554 | 1250 | 1989 |
| Accts Payable | 235 | 254 | 246 |
| Debt Due | 641 | 1456 | 579 |
| Other | 1265 | 1101 | 939 |
| Current Liab. | 2141 | 2811 | 1764 |


| ANNUAL RATES | Past | Past | Est'd '20-'22 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| of change (per sh) | 10 Yrs. | 5 Yrs. | to '26-28 |
| Revenues | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| "Cash Flow" | $8.5 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Earnings | $11.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Dividends | $9.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Book Value | $5.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Cal- } \\ \text { endar }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) } \\ \text { Mar.31 }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Fun. 30 } \\ \text { Yull }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 844 | 931 | Sep. 30 |
| Dec. 31 |  |  |  |$)$

BUSINESS: American Water Works Company, Inc. is the largest investor-owned water and wastewater utility in the U.S., providing services to approximately 14 million people in 24 states. Nonregulated business assists municipalities and military bases with the maintenance and upkeep as well. Regulated operations made up $86 \%$ of 2022 revenues. New Jersey is its largest market accounting

## Despite the recent weakness in Amer-

 ican Water Works share price, its long-term total return potential is not attractive. Since our last report in early July, AWK has lost almost $10 \%$ of its value. By comparison, the S\&P 500 Index is down less than $1 \%$. We are not surprised by this underperformance, as we think water utility stocks' $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ ratios have been too high. This also adds to our argument that the Water Utility Industry is not as defensive as some investors believe it to be. Indeed, even with the recent decline, AW's stock continues to trade within its 2026-2028 Target Price Range.The equity's near-term prospects are mixed. For the year ahead, it is only expected to mirror the broader market. However, over the next 18 -month period, our system pegs AWK to do well above average.

## On an operational basis, the water

 utility continues to meet its relatively high expectations. The company is on pace to reach its share earnings forecast of $\$ 4.75$ and $\$ 5.15$ in 2023 and 2024 , respectively. Over the next three-to five-year period, annual growth in share income oughtfor $25.9 \%$ of regulated revenues; Pennsylvania, 23.4\%; Missouri, $10.9 \%$. Has 6,500 employees. Vanguard owns $11.7 \%$ of outstanding shares; BlackRock, $8.6 \%$; State St., $5.4 \%$; officers \& directors, less than $1.0 \%$ ( $3 / 23$ Proxy). President \& CEO: Susan Hardwick. Non-executive Board Chair. Address: 1 Water Street, Camden, NJ 08102. Tel.: 856-346-8200. Internet: www.amwater.com.

## to average close to $8 \%$.

Acquisitions remain a prime strategy for raising profits. In the United States, there are only a handful of water companies that are owned by shareholders. An overwhelming percentage are small, undercapitalized municipally run water districts that are generally in need of funds for capital improvements. Every year, American Water uses its large balance sheet to increase its rate base by $2 \%-3 \%$ this way. When a merger is made, significant synergies are able to realized. Regulators typically look favorably on this because customers get improved service due to the additional capital investment.
The construction budget is large. The average age of a water pipe in the U.S. is nearly 50 years. After years of underinvestment, the industry and its regulators have realized that more money has to be used to replace pipelines and wastewater facilities. Through the June period, American Water's capital expenditures were $\$ 1.15$ billion. We think the typical outlay will be $\$ 2.5$ billion a year for the foreseeable future.
James A. Flood
October 6, 2023

[^84]| TIMELINESS | $\mathbf{4}$ Lowered $8 / 4 / 23$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| SAFETY | $\mathbf{3}$ Lowered $1 / 8 / 21$ |
| TECHNICAL | $\mathbf{3}$ Lowered $9 / 22 / 23$ |
| BETA | $1.00 \quad$ ( $1.00=$ Market) |

18-Month Target Price Range Low-High Midpoint (\% to Mid) $\$ 34-\$ 64 \quad \$ 49(35 \%)$



| Cash Assets | 10.6 | 11.4 | 11.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Receivables | 141.0 | 206.3 | 149.9 |
| Inventory (AvgCst) | 109.6 | 46.6 | 45.3 |
| Other | 176.6 | 393.9 | 199.9 |
| Current Assets | 437.8 | 658.2 | 406.7 |
| Accts Payable | 192.9 | 238.8 | 178.9 |
| Debt Due | 197.1 | 427.9 | 246.8 |
| Other | $\underline{285.1}$ | $\frac{355.2}{}$ | 339.4 |
| Current Liab. | $\underline{675.1}$ | 1021.9 | 765.1 |


| ANNUAL RATES | Past | Past |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Est'd '20-'22 <br> of change (per sh) | 10 Yrs. | 5 Yrs. | to '26-'28 |
| Revenues | $5.5 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| "Cash Flow" | $6.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Earnings | $6.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Dividends | $7.5 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Book Value | $10.5 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |


| Calendar | QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) |  |  |  | Full Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 |  |
| 2020 | 255.6 | 384.5 | 348.6 | 474.0 | 1462.7 |
| 2021 | 583.5 | 397.0 | 361.9 | 535.7 | 1878.1 |
| 2022 | 699.3 | 448.7 | 434.6 | 705.4 | 2288.0 |
| 2023 | 726.5 | 436.7 | 455 | 711.8 | 2330 |
| 2024 | 740 | 475 | 490 | 730 | 2435 |
| Calendar | EARNINGS PER SHARE A |  |  |  | Full Year |
|  | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 |  |
| 2020 | . 21 | . 29 | . 22 | . 40 | 1.12 |
| 2021 | . 72 | . 32 | . 19 | . 44 | 1.67 |
| 2022 | . 76 | . 31 | . 26 | . 44 | 1.77 |
| 2023 | . 72 | . 34 | . 29 | . 50 | 1.85 |
| 2024 | . 80 | . 35 | . 30 | . 55 | 2.00 |
| Cal- | QUARTERLY DIVIDENDS PAID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Full |
| endar | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 | Year |
| 2019 | . 219 | . 219 | . 2343 | . 2343 | . 91 |
| 2020 | . 2343 | . 2343 | . 2507 | . 2507 | . 97 |
| 2021 | . 2507 | . 2507 | . 2682 | . 2682 | 1.04 |
| 2022 | . 2682 | . 2682 | . 287 | . 287 | 1.11 |
| 2023 | . 287 | . 287 | . 3071 |  |  |

2022; residential, $27 \%$; commercial, $7 \%$; industrial, wastewater \& other, $13 \%$. Gas $50 \%$; other, $3.0 \%$. Employes 3,178. Off. \& dir. own less than $1 \%$ of the common stock; BlackRock, 11.2\%; Vanguard, 10.1\%; Can. Pen. Plan $8.2 \%$ ( $3 / 23$ proxy). Pres. \& CEO: Christopher Franklin. Inc.: PA Addr:: 762 W Lancaster Ave., Bryn Mawr, PA 19010. Tel.: 610-525-1400. Int.: www.essential.co.
leadership went outside of the water business. However, looking forward, we can see why. Gas operations profits are expected to rise at an annual rate of $8 \%$ to $10 \%$, while the water business is only expected to expand $5 \%$ to $7 \%$ per year.
Earnings growth will also be spurred by the acquisitions. As is the case with American Water Works, Essential is pursuing an aggressive strategy of buying up many of its nearby smaller, inefficient water districts that are scattered around different states. Thus far in 2023, the company has made seven purchases and four others are pending. The water industry consists mostly of small municipally run authorities that do not have the funding required to replace aging pipeline and wastewater projects, as well as the required investment to keep up with tighter requirements on clean water mandated by the government. By absorbing these acquisitions, the company can actually finance upgrades, and also sharply reduce expenses by eliminating redundancies.
These shares are untimely, but offer solid long-term total return potential. James A. Flood

October 6, 2023
(A) Diluted egs. Excl. nonrec. gains: '12, 18c. $\quad$ outstanding in the Dec. period. Next earnings available (5\% discount). Excl. gain from disc. operations: '12, 7¢; '13, $9 ¢$; '14, 11c. Quarterly EPS do not add in '19
due to a large change in the number of shares

| outstanding in the Dec. period. Next earnings | available (5\% discount). |
| :--- | :--- |
| report early November. | (C) In millions, adjusted for stock split. | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (B) Dividends historically paid in early March, } & \text { (D) In millions, adjusted for stock split. } \\ \text { (D) Indes intangibles: 12/31/22, \$2345.4 }\end{array}$ June, Sept., \& Dec. ■ Div'd. reinvestment plan bill./\$8.89 a share.



| (\$MILL.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cash Assets | 78.4 | 62.1 | 55.6 |
|  | 222.1 | 233.4 | 256.3 |
| Other | 300.5 | 295.5 | 311.9 |
| Current Assets | 144.4 | 141.0 | 138.3 |
| Accts Payable | 40.2 | 73.3 | 131.8 |
| Debt Due | 72.0 | 80.4 | 92.6 |
| Other | 256.6 |  | 294.7 |
| Current Liab. |  |  | 362.7 |


| ANNUAL RATES | Past | Past | Est'd '20-'22 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| of change (per sh) | 10 Yrs. | 5 Yrs. | to '26-'28 |
| Revenues | $2.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| "Cash Flow" | $6.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Earnings | $7.5 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Dividends | $4.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Book Value | $7.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |


| Cal-endar | QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) ${ }^{\text {E }}$ |  |  |  | Full Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 |  |
| 2020 | 125.6 | 175.5 | 304.1 | 189.1 | 794.3 |
| 2021 | 147.7 | 213.1 | 256.7 | 173.4 | 790.9 |
| 2022 | 173.0 | 206.2 | 266.3 | 200.9 | 846.4 |
| 2023 | 131.1 | 194.0 | 265 | 214.9 | 805 |
| 2024 | 190 | 225 | 285 | 220 | 20 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EA } \\ \text { Mar. } 31 \end{array}$ | JNINGS | ER SHA |  | Full <br> Year |
| 2020 | d. 42 | . 11 | 1.94 | . 31 | 1.97 |
| 2021 | d. 06 | . 75 | 1.20 | . 07 | 1.96 |
| 2022 | . 02 | . 36 | 1.03 | . 35 | 1.77 |
| 2023 | d. 40 | . 17 | . 75 | . 43 | . 95 |
| 2024 | . 15 | . 60 | 1.20 | . 50 | 2.45 |
| Calendar | $\text { Mar. } 31$ | $\text { Jun. } 30$ | $\text { Sep. } 30$ | $\text { Dec. } 31$ | Full <br> Year |
| 2019 | . 1975 | . 1975 | . 1975 | . 1975 | 79 |
| 2020 | . 2125 | . 2125 | . 2125 | . 2125 | 85 |
| 2021 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 92 |
| 2022 | . 250 | . 250 | . 250 | . 250 | 1.00 |
| 2023 | . 260 | . 26 | . 26 |  |  |

BUSINESS: California Water Service Group provides regulated and nonregulated water service to 496,400 customers in 100 communities in the state of California. Accounts for about $90 \%$ of total customers. Also operates in Washington, New Mexico, and Hawaii. Main service areas: San Francisco Bay area, Sacramento Valley, Salinas Valley, San Joaquin Valley \& parts of Los Angeles. Ac-
quired Rio Grande Corp; West Hawaii Utilities (9/08). Revenue breakdown, '22: residential, 67\%; business, $20 \%$; industrial, $3 \%$; public authorities, $5 \%$; other $5 \%$. Off. and dir. own $1 \%$ of common stock (4/23 proxy). Has 1,184 employees. Pres. and CEO: Martin A. Kropelnicki. Inc.: DE. Addr.: 1720 North First St., San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Tel.: 408-367-8200. Internet: www.calwatergroup.com.

California Water Service Group uninspiring. A still-delayed rate case decirecently completed an acquisition. sion from state regulators, as well as unfaThe West Coast regulated water operator vorable revenue rebalancing and softer finalized the purchase of Skylonda Mutual customer usage, resulted in relatively Water Company subsequent to receiving weak revenues and earnings through the approval from California state regulators. first six months of 2023. But better days The addition is expected to unlock operational, synergies between California Water's businesses, as well as bolster the customer base. The company also intends to invest in Skylonda's infrastructure. Going forward, we think tuck-in acquisitions will likely be par for the course, especially following the company's recent extended borrowing capacity ( $\$ 600$ million 5year credit facility.)

## The stock price has held up decently.

 In comparison to industry peers, the equity is roughly flat over the past three months, versus declines of about $10 \%$ on average for most water utility stocks. Nevertheless, CWT shares remain ranked 5 (Lowest) for Timeliness and, thus, are not suitable for subscribers with a shortterm horizon.We look for improved financial performance beyond 2023. Indeed, California Water's first half of the year was
probably lie ahead. Prospects for a rate case approval, along with improved customer usage and a wider base, suggest that top- and bottom-line results are poised to rebound in 2024. Moreover, we envision additional rate hike filings over the pull to late decade, as the company is apt to invest heavily in water system infrastructure upgrades and treatment facilities. Note that a portion of capital allocated for upgrades can typically be recouped via approved rate increases to customer.
Investors with a 3- to 5-year holding period should also turn the page, for now. At the recent quotation, California Water shares offer subpar long-term capital appreciation potential. The dividend yield is below average, as well, and isn't likely to garner the interest of incomeseeking accounts.
Nicholas Patrikis
(A) Basic Ees. Excl. nonrecurring gain (Ioss):
11, 4 . Next earnings report due late Nov. (B) Dividends historically paid in late Feb., (B) Dividends historically paid in late Feb.,


| CURRENT POSITION (SMILL.) | 2021 | 2022 | 6/30/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Assets | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| Other | 30.9 | 33.5 | 36.2 |
| Current Assets | 34.4 | 37.3 | 40.6 |
| Accts Payable | 21.1 | 24.8 | 28.3 |
| Debt Due | 6.7 | 17.5 | 17.5 |
| Other | 28.8 | 75.6 | 41.8 |
| Current Liab. | 56.6 | 117.9 | 87.6 |


| ANNUAL RATES <br> of change (per sh) <br> Revenues <br> "Cash Flow" <br> Earnings <br> Dividends <br> Book Value |  | Past 10 Yrs. $2.5 \%$ $8.5 \%$ $9.5 \%$ $4.0 \%$ $6.5 \%$ | Past Est'd '20-'22 <br> 5 Yrs. to '26-'28 <br> $1.5 \%$ $4.5 \%$ <br> $10.0 \%$ $3.0 \%$ <br> $11.0 \%$ $5.0 \%$ <br> $6.5 \%$ $6.5 \%$ <br> $9.5 \%$ $2.0 \%$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calendar | QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) <br> Mar. 31 Jun. 30 Sep. 30 Dec. 31 |  |  |  | Full <br> Year |
| 2020 | 31.8 | 35.3 | 39.9 | 34.6 | 141.6 |
| 2021 | 32.5 | 36.7 | 39.9 | 34.0 | 143.1 |
| 2022 | 36.2 | 39.7 | 47.7 | 38.8 | 162.4 |
| 2023 | 38.2 | 42.8 | 50.0 | 42.0 | 173 |
| 2024 | 42.0 | 43.0 | 52.0 | 43.0 | 180 |
| Calendar | EARNINGS PER SHARE A <br> Mar. 31 Jun. 30 Sep. 30 Dec. 31 |  |  |  | Full Year |
| 2020 | . 44 | . 55 | . 72 | . 47 | 2.18 |
| 2021 | . 39 | . 62 | . 65 | . 41 | 2.07 |
| 2022 | . 68 | . 50 | . 80 | . 40 | 2.39 |
| 2023 | . 33 | . 55 | . 90 | . 62 | 2.40 |
| 2024 | . 50 | . 65 | . 93 | . 67 | 2.75 |
| Calendar | QUARTERLY DIVIDENDS PAID ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 2019 | . 24 | . 24 | . 24 | . 2562 | . 98 |
| 2020 | . 2562 | . 2562 | . 2562 | . 2725 | 1.04 |
| 2021 | . 2725 | . 2725 | . 2725 | . 29 | 1.11 |
| 2022 | . 29 | . 29 | . 29 | . 3125 | 1.18 |
| 2023 | . 3125 | . 3125 | . 3125 |  |  |

BUSINESS: Middlesex Water Company engages in the ownership and operation of regulated water utility systems in New Jersey, Delaware, and Pennsylvania. It also operates water and wastewater systems under contract on behalf of municipal and private clients in NJ and DE. Its Middlesex System provides water services to 61,000 retail customers, primarily in Middlesex County, New Jersey. In
second-quarter financial results. Reve nues of nearly $\$ 43$ million rose roughly $8 \%$ year over year, largely thanks to previous base rate increases from the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. Higher contract customer demand also played a role in the modest advance. Meanwhile, the company earned $\$ 0.55$ per share in the June period, which was in line with consensus expectations. The figure improved $10 \%$ on an annual basis, with lower income taxes more than offsetting a slight uptick in operating and maintenance expenses. On balance, we are upping our current-year revenue estimate by $\$ 3$ million, to $\$ 173$ million. Our share-profit forecast remains intact, at $\$ 2.40$.
Middlesex recently completed construction at its Park Avenue New Jersey treatment plant. The upgrade to the plant, which totaled about $\$ 52$ million, was needed to restore groundwater treatment compliance with updated standards for maximum contaminant levels in certain compounds. At present, the facility is fully functional and in compliance with all

2022, the Middlesex System accounted for $65 \%$ of operating revenues. At 12/31/22, the company had 350 employees. Incorporated: NJ. President, CEO, and Chairman: Dennis W. Doll. Officers \& directors own $1.9 \%$ of the com. stock; BlackRock Inst. Trust Co., 14.6\% (4/23 proxy). Add.: 485 C Route 1 South, Suite 400, Iselin, NJ 08830. Tele.: 732-634-1500. Int.: www.middlesexwater.com.
this, management will likely keep its foot on the gas, in terms of broad-based capital investment and infrastructure improvements.
The regulated water utility ought to have some wind at its back over the pull to late decade. For starters, overall water consumption ought to increase, mainly due to prospects for a healthier macroeconomic backdrop and a wider customer base. Moreover, as mentioned above, capital spending on water system enhancements, treatment plant upgrades, and pipeline replacements, suggests that leadership is likely to seek further rate hike approvals from state regulators.
The stock price continues to be under some pressure. Middlesex shares, which have been in a downward trend since early 2022 , slipped more than $10 \%$ in value over the past three months. At the moment, MSEX stock is unfavorably ranked for relative year-ahead price performance. Total return potential three to five years hence is limited, too. Thus, patient subscribers would do well to turn the page at this juncture.
Nicholas Patrikis
October 6, 2023
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| CURRENT POSITION (\$MILL.) | 2021 | 2022 | 6/30/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Assets | 10.9 | 12.3 | 25.5 |
| Accts Receivable | 53.7 | 58.2 | 58.5 |
| Other | 69.5 | 84.2 | 117.4 |
| Current Assets | 134.1 | 154.7 | 201.4 |
| Accts Payable | 30.4 | 29.6 | 36.4 |
| Debt Due | 39.1 | 4.4 | 44.3 |
| Other | 133.8 | 230.7 | 157.3 |
| Current Liab. | 203.3 | 264.7 | 238. |


| ANNUAL RATES | Past | Past | Est'd '20'-22 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| of change (per sh) | 10 Y Ys. | 5 Y Ys. | to '26-28 |
| Revenues | $4.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| "Cash Flow" | $7.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ |
| Earnings | $7.5 \%$ | $-2.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Dividends | $7.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Book Value | $9.0 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |


| Cal- <br> endar | QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) <br> Mar.31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 115.8 | 147.2 | 165.9 | 135.6 | Fun. |
| Yull |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

BUSINESS: SJW Group engages in the production, purchase, with Connecticut Water (10/19) which provides service to approx storage, purification, distribution, and retail sale of water. It provides water service to approximately 231,000 connections with a total population of roughly one million people in the San Jose area and 16,000 connections that reach about 49,000 residents in the region between San Antonio and Austin, Texas. The company merged
Stock of SJW Group took a step back in price over the past three months. Similar to industry peers, shares of the domestic regulated water utility dipped approximately $10 \%$ in value since our early July review. The equity was trading around all-time high territory at the onset of 2023 but, since then, it has come under some selling pressure. Note that part of the contraction may well be due to profit taking. Looking at the coming six to 12 months, SJW shares have slipped several spots on our Timeliness Ranking Scale, to 4 (below average), and are now pegged to trail the year-ahead broader market.
We are maintaining our current year top- and bottom-line estimates following a solid June-period showing. Revenues of $\$ 157$ million rose $5 \%$ year over year, while earnings of $\$ 0.58$ per share jumped more than $50 \%$. The strong results were bolstered by recent rate hikes across California and Maine, as well as lower taxes during the period. This largely offset reduced water usage in Maine and Texas due to weather. For 2023, we look for modest annual revenue and earnings expan-
with Connecticut Water (10/19) which provides service to approx.
138,000 connections with a total population of 450,000 people. Has 757 employees. Officers and directors own less than $1.0 \%$ of outstanding shares (3/23 proxy). Chairman \& CEO: Eric Thornburg. Incorporated: California. Address: 110 West Taylor Street, San Jose, CA 95110. Telephone: (408) 279-7800. Internet: www.sjwater.com.
respectively. Likewise, similar advances are probably in the cards for 2024.
The long term holds some promise. As a result of an aggressive capital allocation strategy (investment in water delivery systems, infrastructure, and treatment facilities), SJW is likely to file additional rate case requests across all operating regions in an effort to recoup a portion of these funds. Elsewhere, tuck-in acquisitions, such as the company's recent purchase of KT Water Development in Texas, should further support an expanding operating footprint. Lastly, prospects for increased water consumption and a wider customer base owing to a healthier economic backdrop is encouraging.
The dividend yield is decent but, even so, total return potential three to five years out leaves something to be desired. SJW stock currently offers an annual return which mirrors the Value Line median. That said, at the recent quotation, price upside potential over the pull to $2026-2028$ is limited. All told, we think subscribers are best served staying on the sidelines, for now.
(C) In millions.
 November. Quarterly egs. may not add due to $\mid$ vestment plan available.
© 2023 Value Line, Inc. All rights reserved. Factual material is obtained from sources believed to be reliable and is provided without warranties of any kind. THE PUBLISHER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS HEREIN. This publication is strictly for subscriber's own, non-commercial, internal use. No part of it may be reproduced, resold, stored or transmitted in any printed, electronic or other form, or used for generating or marketing any printed or electronic publication, service or product.

${ }^{\mathbf{A}}$ No. of analysts changing earn. est. in last 25 days: 0 up, 0 down, consensus 5 -year earnings growth not available. ${ }^{\mathbf{B}}$ Based upon one analyst's estimate. ${ }^{\mathbf{C}_{\text {Based }} \text { upon one analyst's estimate. }}$

| ANNUAL RATES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| of change (per share) |  |  | 5 Yrs | 1 Yr . |  |
| Revenues |  |  | 2.5\% | -- |  |
| "Cash Flow" |  |  | 6.0\% | 5.5\% |  |
| Earnings |  |  | 6.5\% | 7.5\% |  |
| Dividends |  |  | 4.0\% | 3.5\% |  |
| Book Value |  |  | 7.0\% | 24.5\% |  |
| Fiscal Year | QUARTERLY SALES (\$mill.) |  |  |  | FullYear |
|  | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q |  |
| 12/31/21 | 13.1 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 13.7 | 55.1 |
| 12/31/22 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 15.8 | 15.1 | 60.1 |
| 12/31/23 | 15.4 | 18.8 |  |  |  |
| 12/31/24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year |  |  | EARNINGS PER SHARE |  |  |  | Full Year |
|  | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q |  |  |
| 12/31/20 | . 31 | . 32 | . 36 | . 28 | 1.27 |  |
| 12/31/21 | . 28 | . 35 | . 36 | . 31 | 1.30 |  |
| 12/31/22 | . 29 | . 36 | . 40 | . 35 | 1.40 |  |
| 12/31/23 | . 26 | . 45 | . 46 | . 36 |  |  |
| 12/31/24 | . 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cal- | QUAR | RLY | IDEND | PAID | Full |  |
| endar | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q | Year |  |
| 2020 | . 18 | . 18 | . 18 | . 18 | 72 |  |
| 2021 | . 187 | . 187 | . 187 | . 195 | 76 |  |
| 2022 | . 195 | . 195 | . 195 | . 195 | . 78 |  |
| 2023 | . 203 | . 203 | . 203 |  |  |  |

INSTITUTIONAL DECISIONS

|  | 4Q'22 | 1Q'23 | 2Q'23 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to Buy | 59 | 68 | 65 |
| to Sell | 53 | 42 | 47 |
| HId's(000) | 6886 | 7043 | 7059 |


| ASSETS (\$mill.) | 2021 | 2022 | 6/30/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Assets | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| Receivables | 4.6 | 6.7 | 6.1 |
| Inventory | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.5 |
| Other | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.8 |
| Current Assets | 11.3 | 14.2 | 15.4 |
| Property, Plant \& Equip, at cost | 482.1 | 540.0 |  |
| Accum Depreciation | 99.2 | 108.8 | -- |
| Net Property | 382.9 | 431.2 | 461.0 |
| Other | 64.7 | 65.2 | 67.1 |
| Total Assets | 458.9 | 510.6 | 543.5 |
| LIABILITIES (\$mill.) |  |  |  |
| Accts Payable | 6.7 | 10.8 | 14.4 |
| Debt Due | 7.5 | . 0 | . 0 |
| Other | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.9 |
| Current Liab | 20.1 | 17.0 | 21.3 |

LONG-TERM DEBT AND EQUITY as of $6 / 30 / 23$

Total Debt $\$ 157.8$ mill.
Due in 5 Yrs. NA LT Debt $\$ 157.8$ mill. Including Cap. Leases NA
(43\% of Cap'l)
Leases, Uncapitalized Annual rentals NA
Pension Liability None in '22 vs. None in ' 21
Pfd Stock None
Pfd Div'd Paid None
Common Stock 14,309,000 shares

## INDUSTRY: Water Utility

BUSINESS: The York Water Company is an investorowned water utility. The primary business of the company is to impound, purify to meet or exceed safe drinking water standards, and distribute water. It also operates three wastewater collection systems and eight wastewater collection and treatment systems. The company operates within its franchised water and wastewater territory, which covers portions of 54 municipalities within three counties in south-central Pennsylvania. Water service is supplied through its own distribution system. It obtains the bulk of its water supply for its primary system for York and Adams Counties from both the South Branch and East Branch of the Codorus Creek, which together have an average daily flow of 73.0 million gallons. The company is regulated by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for both water and wastewater in the areas of billing, payment procedures, dispute processing, terminations, service territory, debt and equity financing and rate setting. Has 116 employees. C.E.O.: Joseph T. Hand Address: 130 East Market Street, York, PA 17401. Tel.: (717) 845-3601. Internet: www.yorkwater.com.
E.B.

## October 6, 2023

## TOTAL SHAREHOLDER RETURN

Dividends plus appreciation as of 8/31/2023

| $\mathbf{3}$ Mos. | $\mathbf{6}$ Mos. | $\mathbf{1}$ Yr. | 3 Yrs. | 5 Yrs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-3.47 \%$ | $-5.84 \%$ | $-5.57 \%$ | $-5.80 \%$ | $48.04 \%$ |

## EXHIBIT TJB-COC-DT2

Based on Duff and Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator Supplementary Data Risk Study and Regression Data Equations
Company

American States Water
American Water Works
Essential Utilities
Essential Utilities
California W
Middlesex
SJW Group
York Water Company
Symbol
AWR
AWK
WTRG
CWT
MSEX
SJW
YORW

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
${ }^{1}$ From Value Line Investment Anlayzer data weekly as of July 21, 2021

Net Income Data (\$ millions)
American States Water
American Water Works
Essential Utilities
California Water
Middlesex
SJW Group
York Water Company
Symbol
AWR
AWK
WTRG
CWT
MSEX
SJW
YORW

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp

| Measures of size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MV | Book |  | MVIC ${ }^{1}$ |  | 5 Yr Avg. |  | Total |  | 5 Yr Avg. |  | Sales |  |
|  | Equity ${ }^{1}$ |  | uity ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Net | ncome ${ }^{1}$ |  | ssets ${ }^{1}$ |  | TDA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| \$ | 3,078 | \$ | 710 | \$ | 3,550 | \$ | 82 | \$ | 2,034 | \$ | 165 | \$ | 499 |
| \$ | 27,120 | \$ | 7,694 | \$ | 38,046 | \$ | 3,708 | \$ | 2,034 | \$ | 1,808 | \$ | 3,920 |
| \$ | 10,020 | \$ | 5,377 | \$ | 16,391 | \$ | 320 | \$ | 15,719 | \$ | 733 | \$ | 1,878 |
| \$ | 2,769 | \$ | 1,318 | \$ | 3,821 | \$ | 85 | \$ | 3,851 | \$ | 269 | \$ | 791 |
| \$ | 1,303 | \$ | 399 | \$ | 1,593 | \$ | 37 | \$ | 1,074 | \$ | 72 | \$ | 143 |
| \$ | 2,024 | \$ | 1,111 | \$ | 3,516 | \$ | 52 | \$ | 3,633 | \$ | 188 | \$ | 574 |
| \$ | 577 | \$ | 207 | \$ | 717 | \$ | 16 | \$ | 511 | \$ | 33 | \$ | 55 |
|  | N/A | \$ | 35.2 |  | N/A | \$ | 0.5 | \$ | 28.7 | \$ | 16.1 | \$ | 4.8 |


|  | $\underline{2022}$ |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  | 2018 |  | verage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 78.4 | \$ | 94.4 | \$ | 86.4 | \$ | 84.3 | \$ | 63.9 | \$ | 81.5 |
| \$ | 3,792.0 | \$ | 3,920.0 | \$ | 3,777.0 | \$ | 3,610.0 | \$ | 3,440.0 | \$ | 3,707.8 |
| \$ | 465.2 | \$ | 431.6 | \$ | 284.9 | \$ | 224.5 | \$ | 192.0 | \$ | 319.6 |
| \$ | 96.0 | \$ | 101.1 | \$ | 96.8 | \$ | 63.1 | \$ | 65.6 | \$ | 84.5 |
| \$ | 42.4 | \$ | 36.5 | \$ | 38.4 | \$ | 33.9 | \$ | 32.5 | \$ | 36.7 |
| \$ | 73.8 | \$ | 60.5 | \$ | 61.5 | \$ | 23.4 | \$ | 38.8 | \$ | 51.6 |
| \$ | 19.6 | \$ | 17.0 | \$ | 16.6 | \$ | 14.4 | \$ | 13.4 | \$ | 16.2 |
|  | $\underline{2022}$ |  | $\underline{2021}$ |  | $\underline{2020}$ |  | $\underline{2019}$ |  | $\underline{2018}$ | Average |  |
|  |  |  | 1.7 |  | 2.4 |  | 1.4 |  | (3. |  | 0. |

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Risk Premium Estimates for Use In Modified CAPM
Based on Duff and Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator Supplementary Data Risk Study and Regression Data Equations


Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Comparative Risk Study - Adjustment to Size Premium
Based on Duff and Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator Supplementary Data Risk Study and Regression Data Equations
Step 1 - Identify the equivalent $C$ exhibit for the $B$ exhibits used to compute the size premium.
Step 2 - Indentify the fundamental risk characteristics of the companies of the equivalent portfolio in the $\mathbf{C}$ - exhibit
Step 3 - Indentify the guideline portfolio in the D exhibit which has the most simliar fundamental risk characteristic
found in Step 2 and find the smoothed average risk premium.
Step 4 - Indentify the guideline portfolio in the $D$ exhibit which has the most simliar fundamental risk characteristic to the Company and find the smoothed average risk premium.
Step 5 - The diffence in smoothed average risk premiums is the maxmium indicated risk adjustment. The range of
adjustments may be 0 or at the maximum depending on the circumstances.


Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Comparative Risk Study - Adjustment to Size Premium
Based on Duff and Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator Supplementary Data Risk Study and Regression Data Equations
Page 4 of 5

| Line | Equivalent C Exhibit Portfolio CV(Operating Margin) |  | MV Equity | Book Equity | MVIC | 5 Yr Avg. Net Income | Total Assets | 5 Yr Avg. EBITDA | Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Company | Symbol | (Table C-1) | (Table C-2) | (Table C-4) | (Table C-3) | (Table C-5) | (Table C-6) | (Table C-7) |  |
| 1 | American States Water | AWR | 22.29\% | 21.46\% | 20.97\% | 19.65\% | 20.00\% | 21.66\% | 28.90\% |  |
| 2 | American Water Works | AWK | 13.30\% | 13.66\% | 13.15\% | 10.68\% | 20.00\% | 13.12\% | 17.41\% |  |
| 3 | Essential Utilities | WTRG | 14.69\% | 14.21\% | 14.45\% | 14.46\% | 14.41\% | 15.05\% | 18.58\% |  |
| 4 | California Water | CWT | 22.38\% | 18.39\% | 20.71\% | 19.50\% | 17.17\% | 18.42\% | 24.36\% |  |
| 5 | Middlesex | MSEX | 25.23\% | 26.11\% | 25.45\% | 24.81\% | 25.50\% | 28.35\% | 0.00\% |  |
| 6 | SJW Group | SJW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | York Water Company | YORW | 31.21\% | 33.74\% | 34.32\% | 37.66\% | 32.39\% | 40.90\% | 0.00\% |  |
| 8 | Proxy Group Average |  | 21.52\% | 21.26\% | 21.51\% | 21.13\% | 21.58\% | 22.92\% | 14.87\% | 20.68\% |
| 9 | Smoothed Average Risk Premium based upon CV (OM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.54\% |
|  |  |  | MV | Book |  | 5 Yr Avg. | Total | 5 Yr Avg. |  |  |
|  | Equivalent C Exhibit Portfolio CV(ROE) |  | Equity | Equity | MVIC | Net Income | Assets | EBITDA | Sales |  |
|  | Company | Symbol | (Table C-1) | (Table C-2) | (Table C-4) | (Table C-3) | (Table C-5) | (Table C-6) | (Table C-7) |  |
| 10 | American States Water | AWR | 35.48\% | 34.92\% | 34.33\% | 31.14\% | 32.84\% | 34.52\% | 44.15\% |  |
| 11 | American Water Works | AWK | 23.91\% | 25.88\% | 26.07\% | 20.59\% | 32.84\% | 25.38\% | 28.79\% |  |
| 12 | Essential Utilities | WTRG | 27.80\% | 26.80\% | 26.40\% | 24.59\% | 28.71\% | 25.89\% | 32.29\% |  |
| 13 | California Water | CWT | 35.63\% | 29.29\% | 33.41\% | 30.59\% | 29.64\% | 30.52\% | 39.25\% |  |
| 14 | Middlesex | MSEX | 40.90\% | 41.65\% | 40.98\% | 40.11\% | 39.39\% | 42.80\% | 0.00\% |  |
| 15 | SJW Group | SJW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | York Water Company | YORW | 47.69\% | 50.87\% | 51.05\% | 61.15\% | 47.02\% | 57.59\% | 0.00\% |  |
| 17 | Proxy Group Average |  | 35.24\% | 34.90\% | 35.37\% | 34.69\% | 35.07\% | 36.12\% | 24.08\% | 33.64\% |
| 18 | Smoothed Average Risk Premium based upon CV (ROE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.88\% |

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Comparative Risk Study - Adjustment to Size Premium
Based on Duff and Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator Supplementary Data Risk Study and Regression Data Equations


## EXHIBIT TJB-COC-DT3

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.
Comparative Risk Study

Exhibit TJB-COC-DT3
Page 1 of 4
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## Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp. Comparative Risk Study Beta Estimate Using Duff and Phelps Risk Study Portfolio Information

A. Beta Estimates for Water Sample Group and Company`
B. Assume percentage difference is the same for water utilities as companies in general

Proxy Group ${ }^{5}$
Implied Beta for Company ${ }^{6}$

|  | Portfolio | Operating Margin | Portfolio | CV (Operating Margin) ${ }^{1}$ | Portfolio | $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{ROE})^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | 0 | 109.43\% | 5 | 35.84\% | 9 | 61.27\% |  |
| Proxy Group | 1 | 33.65\% | 19 | 8.16\% | 21 | 17.78\% |  |
|  |  | Portfolio Sum Beta ${ }^{2}$ |  | Portfolio Sum Beta ${ }^{3}$ |  | $\underline{\text { Portfolio Sum Beta }{ }^{4}}$ | Average |
| Company |  | 0.90 |  | 1.26 |  | 1.19 |  |
| Proxy Group |  | 0.88 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.93 |  |
| Percentage Difference |  | 2.3\% |  | 26.0\% |  | 28.0\% | 18.7\% |

## Value Line Beta

0.82

## Sum Beta

0.80
0.97
0.95

## Notes:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{CV}$ stands for Coefficient of Variation,
${ }^{2}$ Source is Duff \& Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator 2021 Supplementary Data Risk Study, Companies Ranked by Operating Margin
${ }^{3}$ Source is Duff \& Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator 2021 Supplmentary Data Risk Study, Companies Ranked by CV (Operating Margin).
${ }^{4}$ Source is Duff \& Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator 2021 Supplmentary Data Risk Study, Companies Ranked by CV (Operating Margin)
${ }^{5}$ Source is Table 2.
${ }^{6}$ Calculated by multiplying (1+ percentage difference in risk study betas) times average beta for the proxy group.

Liberty Utilities Rio Rico (Consolidated), Corp.

## Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)

Page 4 of 4


## Notes:

${ }^{1}$ Source Table 8.
${ }^{2}$ Implied VL Beta of Company. Source is page 3.
${ }^{3}$ Implied Sum Beta of Company. Source is page 3.
${ }^{4}$ Estimate of Market Risk Premium (MRP):
7.17\% Source is Duff \& Phelps 2022 CRSP Decile Size Study - Supplmentary Exhibits, 7.04\% Source is Table 10 7.11\%
5.63\% Source is Duff \& Phelps 2022 CRSP Decile Size Study - Supplmentary Exhibits. 7.04\% Source is Table 10 6.34\%
${ }^{6}$ Average proxy group adjusted size risk premium based upon Duff \& Phelps Size Study data and Risk Study data. See See Exhibit TJB-DT3


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Applicants also each will file applications for financing approval and then move to consolidate those financing dockets with the four rate case dockets. Additionally, as discussed in their separate rate applications, Liberty Beardsley, Liberty Cordes Lakes and Liberty Bella Vista will file an application pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-285 for approval to transfer all of their utility plant and assets and their separate CC\&Ns to Liberty Rio Rico. Applicants will file those applications during the sufficiency review period for the four rate applications and upon sufficiency will file a request in each docket to merge all of those dockets into one consolidated docket for hearing and decision on these matters.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The schedules attached to this Application pertain to Liberty Bella Vista as a stand-alone utility and Liberty Rio Rico (Consolidated)

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Customer Assistance Tariff ("CAT") - A $15 \%$ discount is available on monthly minimum and commodity charges to qualified residential customers meeting the CAT qualifications.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Customer Assistance Tariff ("CAT") - A $15 \%$ discount is available on monthly minimum and commodity charges to qualified residential customers meeting the CAT qualifications.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Customer Assistance Tariff ("CAT") - A $15 \%$ discount is available on monthly minimum and commodity charges to qualified residential customers meeting the CAT qualifications.
    ${ }^{2}$ A $5 \%$ discount is applicable to the public schools operated by the Santa Cruz County School District No. 35 receiving water and/or wastewater utility services from the Company.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ Customer Assistance Tariff ("CAT") - A $15 \%$ discount is available on monthly minimum and commodity charges to qualified residential customers meeting the CAT qualifications.

[^6]:    5 Low Income Tariff - A $15 \%$ discount is available on monthly minimum and commodity charges to qualified residential customers meeting the low income qualifications.
    ${ }^{6}$ A 5\% discount is applicable to the public schools operated by the Santa Cruz County School District No. 35 receiving water and/or wastewater utility services from the Company.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Matter of the Application of Beardsley Water Company, Inc. for approval of a Rate Increase, Decision No. 790246 (Docket No. W-02074A-14-0317) July 14, 2023 and In the Matter of the Application of Cordes Lakes Water Company for Approval of an Emergency Rate Increase, Decision No. 79009 (Docket No. W-02060A-17-228) June 28, 2023.

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ See infra Section III.

[^9]:    ${ }^{3}$ Decision No. 76644 (Docket No. SW20445A-20-0214 et.al); Decision No. 78845 (Docket No. G-01551A-21-0368)
    ${ }^{4}$ In contrast, revenue-producing plant is constructed to serve new customers and is not included in the PTYP adjustment.

[^10]:    ${ }^{5}$ Dated October 4, 2019.
    ${ }^{6}$ October 4, 2019 Staff Memorandum, page 2.
    ${ }^{7}$ Dated March 16, 2023.
    ${ }^{8}$ Dated June 4, 2021.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Liberty Utilities owns and operates seven regulated utilities in Arizona. Along with Liberty Cordes Lakes, Liberty Utilities owns and operates Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Gold Canyon"), Liberty Utilities (Beardsley Water) Corp., Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. ("Liberty Bella Vista"), Liberty Utilities (Black Mountain Sewer) Corp., Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water \& Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Litchfield Park"), and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp.
    ${ }^{2}$ Litchfield Park Service Company is now known as Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water \& Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Litchfield Park").

[^12]:    ${ }^{3}$ Docket Nos. SW-02361A-15-0206 and SW-02361A-15-0207 (consolidated).
    ${ }^{4}$ Docket Nos. W-02465A-15-0367, W-02465A-15-0370, WS-02676A-15-0371, and WS-02676A-15-0371 (consolidated).
    ${ }^{5}$ Docket Nos. SW-04316A-16-0078 and SW-04316A-16-0085 (consolidated).

[^13]:    ${ }^{6}$ After approval of consolidation, Liberty intends to change the name of Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp.

[^14]:    ${ }^{7}$ After consolidation here, Liberty would have two wastewater utilities - Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp, and Liberty Utilities (Black Mountain Sewer) Corp. and two water/wastewater utilities - Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water \& Sewer) Corp. and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water \& Sewer) Corp.
    ${ }^{8}$ Direct Testimony of Thomas J. Bourassa (Liberty Rio Rico) at 64-71; Direct Testimony of Manasa Rao at 6-9.

[^15]:    ${ }^{9}$ Docket No W-02060A-17-0228.
    ${ }^{10}$ Decision No. 76678 page 12, 23-24 (Docket No W-02060A-17-0274).
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